1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY
3	
4	DOCKET NO. 19-32238-MDF
5	WESTERN CONNECTICUT HEALTH NETWORK AND HEALTH
6	QUEST SYSTEMS MODIFICATION REQUEST
7	
	VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE
8	
9	Public Hearing held on Tuesday, February 16, 2021, beginning at 10:01 a.m. via remote access.
10	
11	
12	Held Before:
13	MICHEALA MITCHELL, ESQ., Hearing Officer
14	
15	Administrative Staff: BRIAN CARNEY, Research Analyst
16	ORMAND CLARKE, Ph.D, MPH, Health Care Analyst LESLIE GREER, Community Outreach Coordinator
17	Appearances:
18	For the Applicant: ROBINSON & COLE 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103 BY: LISA M. BOYLE, ESQ. CONOR O. DUFFY, ESQ.
19	
20	
21	Witnesses: MARK HIRKO, M.D. RICK CANTELE KERRY EATON JOHN MURPHY, M.D. NANCY HEATON
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	Reporter: Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061

1

1	Appearances:
2	
3	Public Speakers: PAGE
	REPRESENTATIVE MARIA HORN 22
4	FIRST SELECTMAN CURTIS RAND 24
5	HERBERT MOORE 42,82 KATHLEEN FRIEDMAN 45
	NICHOLAS MOORE 47
6	JILL DREW 50
7	VICTOR GERMACK 53 LYDIA MOORE 57
8	FIRST SELECTMAN BRENT COLLEY 60,83 JOHN ALLEN 61
9	JOEL JONES 64 PARI FOROOD 65 POREDE COUNTRY
10	ROBERT SCHNURR 68 LORNA BRODTKORB 69 JAMES QUELLA 70
11	MIRIAM TANNEN 74
12	DEBORAH MOORE 88
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	**All participants were present via remote access.
24	
25	

(Whereupon, the hearing commenced at 10:01 a.m.)

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Good

morning, everybody. My name is Micheala Mitchell,
and I am the hearing officer that's going to be

hearing the applicant's modification request

6 today.

So this public hearing before the Health Systems Planning Unit, identified by Docket Number 19-32238-MDF, is being held on February 16, 2021, regarding a modification request filed by the applicants, Western Connecticut Health Network and Health Quest Systems, collectively Nuvance Health, on November 8th of 2019.

On March 14 of 2020, Governor Ned
Lamont issued Executive Order 7B, which in
relevant part suspended in-person open meeting
requirements, and that executive order has been
extended through April 20th of 2021.

To ensure continuity of operations while maintaining the necessary social distance to avoid the spread of COVID-19, the Office of Health Strategy, or OHS, is holding this hearing remotely. We ask that all members of the public mute their devices that they are using to access the hearing and silence any additional devices

that are around them.

This public hearing arises out of an application for a Certificate of Need approval pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 19a-639a, and will be conducted as a contested case in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 54 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Again, my name is Micheala Mitchell.

Victoria Veltri, the executive director of the

Office of Health Strategy, has designated me as

the hearing officer for this matter. Also in

attendance are my colleagues Brian Carney, who is

the team lead for the CON program, and Ormand

Clarke, who has been working on compliance, and is

also one of our health care analysts in this

matter.

Our priority is the integrity and transparency of the process. Accordingly, decorum must be maintained by all present during these proceedings. I just want to advise everyone that this hearing is being recorded and will be transcribed by BCT Reporting LLC.

All documents related to the hearing have been or will be uploaded to the CON portal for public review, and that is accessible on OHS's

CON webpage.

Nuvance Health is a party to this proceeding. At this time, I'm going to read into the record those documents appearing in the planning unit's table of record. All of them have been identified and shared with the applicant's counsel. I think that there were a couple of -- there was one thing that was omitted, and I think it was the prefile testimony.

I'm going to ask attorneys for the applicant to just state their name for the record, and then indicate whether or not there's any objection to the table of record that was emailed to your email accounts this morning.

MS. BOYLE: Hi. This is Lisa Boyle. I represent Nuvance and Sharon Hospital. I have no objection to the statement of the record other than the addition of the prefile testimony which you mentioned. Thank you.

MR. DUFFY: And good morning. Conor Duffy of Robinson & Cole, also representing the applicant, Nuvance Health and Sharon Hospital. Thanks.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, both.

All right. So in terms of the order, the applicants are going to present their direct testimony, HSP will ask questions of the applicant, and then we'll hear from the public. I reserve the right to allow public officials and members of the public to testify outside of the order of the agenda.

For those who weren't able to preregister in that 9 to 10 o'clock hour, just hold tight. I will make sure that I hear your comment. And then I'll also make announcements when we go into the public commentary about how to submit any written comments, if that is what you wish to do.

I'd like to advise the applicants that we may ask questions related to your modification that you may feel that you've already addressed in your request and in your prefile testimony. I'm going to do this just for the purpose of ensuring that the public has knowledge about the request, and it may also be for the purpose of clarification.

As the hearing is being held virtually, we ask that all participants, to the extent possible, enable use of video cameras when

testifying or commenting during the proceedings.

Anyone who is not testifying or commenting must
mute their electronic devices, including
telephones, televisions and other devices not
being used to access the hearing, to avoid
disruption of the hearing. We're going to monitor
to the best that we can the participants during
the hearing.

To the extent possible, counsel for the parties should raise hands to make any objection or to facilitate raising a point during the hearing. If the hand raise function is not immediately recognized by myself or by Mr. Carney, you can unmute yourself and just state what you'd like to state on the record and I'll acknowledge you.

When we go off the record -- this is very important -- we don't like to stop recording the hearing because this is a virtual space. We want to make sure that we don't miss may parts of the hearing that are pertinent to making the decision, so we don't like to stop the recording. So, if you need to go off the record to have a conversation that you don't want recorded, make sure you mute your device, otherwise it may be

captured on the recording, it may be transcribed.

So just be very careful about that.

Whenever we go off the record, I'm

going to make sure that I give a one-minute warning to the parties and to the public to let you know that we're coming back on the record so that you can get back to your computer and get settled in and be prepared to listen or to participate.

At this time, I'm going to ask, I believe it's just Dr. Hirko and Dr. Cantele, I'm going to ask Dr. Hirko and Dr. Cantele to raise their right hands so that I can swear them in. I see Dr. Hirko. I'm just kind of looking.

MR. CANTELE: I'm here. Just a point of clarification, I am not a doctor.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Got it.

18 Thank you.

19 MARK HIRKO,

RICK CANTELE,

having been first duly sworn (remotely) by Hearing Officer Mitchell, testified on their oath as follows:

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Perfect.

Thank you so much. So at this time, I'm going to

turn it over to the applicants. For people testifying, just make sure that you state your full name and adopt any written testimony you submitted, and we will go ahead and get started. Make sure, for those of you who are listening, that your electronic devices remain muted.

I'll turn it over to counsel for the applicant.

MS. BOYLE: Thank you, Hearing Officer Mitchell, and the Office of Health Strategy staff, thank you for allowing us to provide our testimony today and ask that you grant the request for modification.

Just a few things. My name is Lisa
Boyle. My colleague is Conor Duffy. We represent
Nuvance Health and Sharon Hospital. Today you'll
hear testimony from Mark Hirko, who is the
president of Sharon Hospital, and Rick Cantele,
who's the board chair for Sharon Hospital, and
they will express why it's important that this
modification be granted.

The modification requested is really straightforward to align the governance requirements imposed on Sharon Hospital with the requirements that are imposed on other hospitals

in the state. Sharon Hospital has and will continue to be a community board. There is nothing in this modification request that will change that, the board will remain a community board.

And with that, I ask that you hear the testimony of Mark Hirko who is the president of the hospital. Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Hirko): Thank you. Thank you, Hearing Officer Mitchell and staff of the Office of Health Strategy, for allowing me to testify. My name is Mark Hirko, and I am the president of Sharon Hospital, and I adopt my prefile testimony for the record. And I am today testifying on behalf of Nuvance Health and Sharon Hospital in support of the modification request filed for Condition No. 2 of the agreed settlement entered into with OHS in this matter.

As a point of background, I actually grew up in Ohio. I am a physician and a surgeon who went to medical school in Ohio. All my training was in Ohio except where I did vascular surgery training at Loyola University in Chicago. I then started my professional career in Ohio itself, spent 11 years at University Hospital at

Western Reserve Care System, and then over the years took on gradual and graduated responsibility positions leading up to chief and chair of surgery at Barnabas Health. And then most recently in 2017 I accepted a position as assistant vice president of what then was Health Quest in regard to surgical services, and we helped create the ACGME training programs throughout the New York side of our combined health system, and over a period of two years with graduated increase in responsibility then applied for the position as president of Sharon Hospital which was I named in mid November 2019 and assumed the role in December of 2019.

Regarding my time as president, particularly community engagement since becoming president, we only had about two months pre COVID, and with that, my goal and our leadership team's goal at that time was to really establish strong lines of communication and transparency. And this was with both one-on-one and group meetings both in my office and outreach throughout the community through various community groups, chambers of commerce, EMT, EMS, our private schools, and really went out to the community. And once COVID

came into being, everything went into Zoom mode, and our outreach, particularly with the Save Sharon group, was pretty much carried through in that manner.

And so a point that I actually came to really come to understand in great detail was that Sharon Hospital, although being in Sharon,
Connecticut, is actually a central point in the large region where about only 7 percent of Sharon Hospital's discharges are from residents of Sharon itself. And actually our patients come from three states. And we actually looked it up, and it's approximately 144 unique zip codes where they hail from. So this is really a regional type of facility.

some of the community initiatives that we have worked through, including we had COVID partnerships with the schools in regards to testing, we actually worked with our board members regarding outreach, setting up meal trains for the employees, recognizing the hard work and efforts that they had entertained. Working with philanthropy, which was something that when this hospital was a for-profit entity years ago, there really was a hiatus on philanthropy, and just in

the matter of a year with the million dollar challenge grant which was offered to the community, we were able to meet those goals and really utilizing our relationships with the various members of the community, our political personnel, our senior leadership team, and our board, we really came together. And the proof is that we hit that target in only eight and a half months and really proud of that effort.

Since then, you know, I've really come to rely and come to really appreciate just the magnitude of how well the board has functioned in my one year and in the few years that we have been part of the system. They are a varied group of people with a multitude of, pretty much, I should say, a melting pot of what all the communities stand for in this region from industrialized farming, philanthropy, physicians, nurses, banking, investment, private business, you name it, you know, every member of the board has their niche and they really have offered help and guidance and they really -- I really am honored and comforted in that they feel free to call me at any time. And they really have, just even over the weekend I received a few calls regarding some

issues with the COVID vaccine and questions that they had.

And they've really helped with decision-making, particularly when we're looking to partner with the schools and looking for emergency space if we were going to be overwhelmed with patients during the first wave of COVID. They helped initiate relationships with even the Save Sharon group and other groups in the community to develop the meal train whereupon we were able to provide meals to our employees, give them a healthy respite with all the hard work they've been putting in, and even some food donations to the community. Our Christmas gift giving, our tree that we have, just really have been honored with how well they have really worked with us.

And they really are members of the community and proud members of the community and let us know, they let me know if there is something that maybe I need to back off on or something that we as Nuvance Health need to really think about as we move forward, because they really are invested and are living in the communities that we work in right now. So that

really has been just a welcome type of activity that I've seen.

And with these findings, I ask that the modification should be granted. And our request to modify Condition 2 is really necessary to address changed circumstances and really to align this with what is really in place for Danbury Hospital, Norwalk Hospital and other hospitals in our state. I ask for your approval of this straightforward clarification of Condition 2. And I'll be happy to answer any questions that you may have. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right.
Thank you, Dr. Hirko.

THE WITNESS (Cantele): Okay. I think
I'm up next. Thank you, Hearing Officer Mitchell
and the staff of the Office of Health Strategy,
for allowing me to testify today. My name is Rick
Cantele, and I'm the chair of the board of Sharon
Hospital. I adopt my prefile testimony for the
record. And I'm testifying today on behalf of
Nuvance Health and Sharon Hospital in support of
the modification request filed to Condition No. 2
of the agreed settlement entered into with OHS in
this matter. In addition to my prefile testimony,

I prepared some remarks that I hope will provide some perspective or some context around that prefile testimony.

First, a little background. I've been a life-long member of this community. I went to elementary school and high school locally, and after graduating from college in 1981, I started my career as a teller at a local community bank. I initially took the job because I thought it would be good for my resume as I looked for my real job, most likely in New York City. I had a degree in finance and had envisioned a career maybe on Wall Street.

I quickly realized that I loved community banking. You really could help make a difference in people's lives by helping them buy their first home, help them save for college, or even start a business. I also recognize that this area is a great place to raise a family. It was a fantastic quality of life where everyone looked out for each other and for everyone else's kids. In fact, your social life often revolved around your kids' athletic activities.

And while there are financial trade-offs, those things, that sense of community

and being able to make a difference, were more important to me than just about anything else. So 40 years later I'm still at the bank as president and CEO. As a community banker, you're often asked to serve on local boards, and I've served on many over my career.

When I was asked in 2017 to be considered for the Sharon Hospital board, I was both honored and eager to do so. I'd watch from afar as the hospital went through several organizational and ownership changes. And as my kids were born there and we had frequented the hospital emergency room and have had many procedures there over the years, I realized just how important the hospital is not only to my family but to the entire community that it serves. I thought that being a member of the board would allow me to educate myself about health care in our rural area and hopefully contribute in a way that would ensure the stability and longevity of the hospital.

So as I became educated about health care generally and Sharon Hospital specifically, our primary responsibilities as a board became clear. We would ensure that professional

standards and high quality of care and safety are present at Sharon Hospital. We were responsible to oversee and approve the granting of or revocation of medical staff privileges. We were to periodically review and assess the health needs of our communities. And we also were to be representatives of all the communities served by Sharon Hospital. These specific responsibilities are consistent with broader governance responsibilities to exercise reasonable business judgment and do what is in the best interest of the hospital and the stakeholders.

I mention this because it provides a background and context for how board members have and will continue to be selected. First, it should be noted that OHS and the Independent Monitor have agreed that the Sharon Hospital board constitutes a community board.

Second, it should be noted that of the current roster of the 12 community members of the board, eight of us remain from the original FCH appointed board.

And third, as noted in the modification request, nothing will change to reduce community representation or change the composition of the

board.

We have a nominating committee of the board that seeks out board members taking into consideration the needs of the board, including the skill sets necessary to effectively carry out our fiduciary responsibilities, geographical needs to ensure coverage of Sharon's entire catchment area, backgrounds, experience levels, and other relevant factors that will enhance the board's ability to effectively discharge its responsibilities.

The nominating committee serves an important purpose and has been extremely effective in the following ways: If one were to review the current composition of the Sharon Hospital board and the associated bios, it is unequivocal that it meets the definition of a community board in every sense of the phrase. Board members recently nominated by the committee have served to strengthen the board in all of the above critical areas. The nominating committee and the board are open to recommendations and ideas about the hospital, including potential board and committee membership, but we cannot and should not vest a particular group in any one subset of the broader

community that may have a heightened ability to influence board or committee appointments.

My appointment as chair of the board came weeks after the appointment of Dr. Hirko as president of Sharon Hospital and just weeks before the onset of the pandemic. It is a gross understatement to say that it was a challenging environment to initiate this new leadership structure, but Dr. Hirko, despite being new to his role and thrust into the ensuing chaos during the early stages of the pandemic, worked diligently to engage constructively with members of Save Sharon Hospital, as he described earlier.

In the early days of the pandemic, the Save Sharon Hospital group also worked alongside members of the board's Community Relations

Committee to organize support for the heroic work of the staff of Sharon Hospital and organized food drives and other acts of public support for those essential workers. As Dr. Hirko mentioned, he and I engaged in several virtual meetings and email correspondence with the Save Sharon Hospital group to address their concerns, as we would with any other group. Unfortunately, towards the end of 2020, it became clear to me that we hadn't made

the positive progress that I thought we had, and it seemed like we were right back where we started from in terms of what the group was looking for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So at this point in closing, I'd like to say that as a CEO and board member of a publicly-traded company, I clearly understand the need for effective governance within an integrated system such as Nuvance in order to provide for consistency and uniformity while simultaneously providing for local representation and authority. This modification request is simply a request that Sharon Hospital's governance be treated consistent with Danbury and Norwalk Hospitals in other recent CON agreed settlements. So given the change in circumstances relative to the termination of the FCH agreement and the robust community representation within the board now and into the future, I respectfully ask for your approval of this straightforward clarification. Thank you for your time.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thanks, Mr.

Cantele. I did receive notification that

Representative Horn would like to make a

statement. I'm going to defer to Attorneys Duffy

and Boyle just in case there's anything additional

that they wanted to present prior to that.

MS. BOYLE: Hearing Officer Mitchell, if we could reserve the right to make closing remarks after the public comment, we would prefer that.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. Sounds good. All right. So I'm going to turn it over to you, Representative Horn.

much. And thank you, Dr. Hirko and Mr. Cantele.

I really wanted to just frame the conversation today a little bit. I think this particular discussion today is really an echo of a relationship that went a little bit sour a few years ago between Health Quest and the community, and, in particular, as reflected by the precipitous shutting down of part of the hospital which alarmed people in the community who are really dedicated to this precious community asset.

And beyond that, it was also, you know, it wasn't just the shutting down of a particular maternity ward, it was, you know, hostility between Health Quest -- and I am talking about Health Quest, not the current management -- I'll get to that in a minute -- and the Foundation for

Community Health and the reason that FCH withdrew from this relationship in the first place and caused this issue to arise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so I think really what you'll hear from a lot of people in the community today is that this is from my -- this is my opinion that this is probably less regulatory than it is postural, and it is about attitude and openness to the community and listening. And I will recall that many of us, I think a lot of us here today, were at the hearing in Danbury some years ago on the merger before this CON was developed, and we in particular heard the testimony of Dr. Murphy. And many of us thought, wow, what he is doing in that community and his relationship with the communities of Western Connecticut seems like a really positive thing. And I know that certainly the head of FCH changed her testimony to say if we could just do what you're doing there, that would be a huge improvement.

And then I just want to say that I do think that we have taken huge steps in that direction. And I want to thank Dr. Hirko, in particular, who is always available to me when I've reached out to him, particularly in this

crucial moment of we are all aware of, you know, the great pressures that our hospitals are under right now in the pandemic, and I think no one really wants to increase that, but the hospital is important to people in the community, and I think we want to be part of its success.

who has chosen to dedicate so much of their time to this institution, and that includes people on all sides of the issue in front of us today, for taking the time out to dedicate themselves. And I really look forward to more of those public facing, outward facing conversations with the community that can engage everyone in its success. And again, I thank you for being here, and I thank you for allowing me to share a few words this morning.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, Representative Horn.

Are there any other public officials or legislators that are here that want to make a statement? If so, you can unmute yourself.

CURTIS RAND: I'm Curtis Rand, first selectman in Salisbury. I just want to thank you for letting us listen in on this and educate

ourselves a little more about it. That's all.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

All right. So at this time, I'm just going to circle back before we go to HSP's questions, I'm going to circle back with counsel for the applicants and just double check and make sure there's nothing else that you want to add prior to us asking questions and then going to public comment. Everyone is quiet. Attorney Boyle.

MS. BOYLE: Just a few things. I think some of the points have been made by our witnesses and certainly in our filings. This really is a very straightforward modification request. We have a situation now where there is a changed circumstance that prevents the actual Condition 2 from being able to be reformed as-is, and what we're requesting is a modest modification to allow for the clause, the provision, to actually look like what everyone else in the state has, basically what Norwalk has, what Danbury has, and what the other hospitals in this state have.

I think this is also an issue of corporate governance. Specifically, you know, we have a board that is composed of community members who have fiduciary duties by law to fulfill in

selecting people who meet the needs of the communities they serve in terms of their expertise, as I think Mark Hirko and Rick Cantele mentioned. You know, we need -- it's a very sophisticated organization that requires different skill sets. We mentioned the farming, you know, the banking, the finance. These are all things that are essential for a board to have in modern times, especially one of a health care institution. And this board of community representatives is charged with selecting the right folks who can meet those needs.

And what we are asking is that this board have the respect of this body to allow them to perform their fiduciary duties and to select folks who will act in the best interest of Sharon Hospital. And that is consistent with not only corporate law and other nonprofit boards but also the charge of OHS in making sure that there is nothing that is imposed as a condition that is unreasonable or is an unreasonable burden on this board. You know, it is something that is fundamental to our governance, and we really can't -- this is not a public corporation. It's not a political body that should have

representatives of government officials serving on the board as, you know, ex officio members, and that is, you know, fundamental to our governance.

And so with that, I ask, you know, and we'll come back and we'd like to speak at the end as well and explain to you why it's essential that this modification request gets granted. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thanks.

Okay. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to circle back with my colleagues. I'm going to do two things. I'm just going to double check on my questions, or our questions for the applicants, and then we're going to check on the list of people who preregistered so that we can start with public comment.

So we are going to go off the record, but we're still going to be recording, so just be very careful about what you say or what you do. I would really encourage people to turn their camera off if they're going to be hanging around in the background. So we're going to go off the record for about 15 minutes. We'll come back at around 10:50. Then we'll go back on the record, and at that time OHS will ask questions, and then we'll

go right into public comment. Thanks, everybody.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from

10:34 a.m. until 10:50 a.m.)

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. So we are back on the record. I just have a couple questions for Nuvance. I'm not sure who is the most appropriate to answer the question, but I will defer to Attorneys Boyle and Duffy to let me know. And if it's somebody who has not been sworn in, I'll go ahead and swear them in at that time before they respond.

just want to be very specific about what you're asking for. I think that there was a request to revise the language of Condition 2, you know, with regard to the Foundation for Community Health, but I think that there was -- it seemed like maybe there was another request with that in terms of the composition of the board. And so I just want to make sure that I'm very clear about what you're asking for.

MS. BOYLE: So, Hearing Officer
Mitchell, if I could answer that question. We're
requesting that Condition No. 2 look like
Condition No. 1, which is that one community

1 representative be elected. And the reason for 2 that is because it's consistent with what Danbury 3 and Norwalk and the rest of the hospitals in the 4 state have. And so that would be our request. 5 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: So you want 6 it to look exactly like No. 1 you're saying? 7 MS. BOYLE: Yes, but with Sharon 8 instead of Danbury and Norwalk. 9 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: 10 precipitated this request? And I think what I'm 11 specifically asking is when did the agreement 12 between the applicant and the Foundation for 13 Community Health, when did that terminate? 14 MS. BOYLE: Mark, do you want to answer that question, Mark Hirko? 15 16 THE WITNESS (Hirko): Sure. Actually, 17 it occurred before even I became president. So it 18 was sometime at the end of 2019. 19 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Does anyone 20 know the exact date? 21 MS. BOYLE: It was October 17 of 2019. 22 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. 23 And then the other question that I have for you is 24 you talked about the board composition since, you 25 know, the creation of Nuvance and how you worked

together to ensure that people are well represented in the community. How do you plan to ensure that this continues?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Hirko): I can at least from my part, I mean, we have a nominating committee which is made of the board members, and we receive recommendations from people throughout the community regarding, you know, their interest or special skill set that may further enhance the board. And as a point of fact, the most recent -one of the more recent members of the board that just started his term in January of this year was a long-time serving member and former chair of FCH. And our current chair of the nominating committee was a long-time member of FCH and helped negotiate the agreement between Health Quest and Sharon Hospital when Sharon was purchased by Health Quest. So the representation on this nominating committee and the board itself have been very vocal and very strongly community oriented. And you can see that from where they come from, Millbrook, Sharon, Lakeview, Salisbury, Kent, Dover Plains.

MS. BOYLE: Rick, do you want to add to that?

THE WITNESS (Cantele): Sure. I just would like to add that the nominating committee seeks out members that provide any number of skill sets or representation that the board is missing. For instance, we try to cover geographically the entire catchment area for Sharon Hospital. But, as I mentioned earlier, a couple of our main primary responsibilities as board members are the credentialing of physicians at the hospital, as well as safety and quality at the hospital. And unless you've been in this industry for a long time, there are many, many acronyms and definitions that us -- I'll speak for myself as a layperson -- there's a steep learning curve.

so we recognized we needed, in order to effectively discharge that fiduciary responsibility, we needed to bring onto the board members that had a medical background to help explain to us and help vet these types of situations at the hospital to ensure that we were looking out for the best interests of the hospital. So I think three of the last -- we've added four members since the original FCH appointed board, and three of those four are doctors. So I think we've really strengthened our

skill set in that area. And again, that was through the chairman of our nominating committee recognizing those skill sets that were needed on the board.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: What additional skill sets do you look for besides geographic location and medical background?

THE WITNESS (Cantele): So I'll jump in a little bit, but I'm answering on behalf of the chair of our nominating committee. But it is, it could be financial, it could be a broader perspective of health care and the changing needs of health care. We try to ensure we fill in all those gaps. And really we are looking for people that sincerely care about the hospital and the services it provides for this community. So, you know, it's not a specific checklist, but those are some of the other nuanced skill sets or characteristics that we look for.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: And then another question that I have is, can anybody tell me what precipitated the termination of the agreement with the Foundation for Community Health?

MS. BOYLE: I think it may be, the best

person to actually answer this may be Kerry Eaton,
and so we would have to have her sworn in.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. So Ms. Eaton, if you wouldn't mind raising your right hand for me when you're ready.

KERRY EATON,

having been first duly sworn (remotely) by Hearing Officer Mitchell, testified on her oath as follows:

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Perfect.

MS. BOYLE: Just one thing before she responds. I just wanted to mention that there are confidentiality obligations in that agreement, so we will be limited in what we can actually say.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. To the best of your ability, Ms. Eaton.

THE WITNESS (Eaton): Thank you for the opportunity. I think the reason I'm being asked to answer this question is because I was a member of Health Quest and the chief operating officer at the time that this was going on prior to the creation of Nuvance Health. I think it's important, but I'm going to be general because I really wasn't a direct party to either what was going on with the foundation or the exact

agreement.

But what I can share is that there was an agreement between the foundation and between Health Quest, and there was some disagreement as to how to interpret certain parts of that agreement. And there was an agreement between the parties that rather than continue that agreement that there would be, after some negotiations, there was the decision from both parties to withdraw from the agreement altogether. I know that's not very specific, but I don't want to misstate anything inaccurately.

MS. BOYLE: Hearing Officer Mitchell, John Murphy is on and also would like to add to that, if you could swear him in as well.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. Mr. Murphy, if you wouldn't mind raising your right hand for me.

JOHN MURPHY,

having been first duly sworn (remotely) by Hearing Officer Mitchell, testified on his oath as follows:

THE WITNESS (Murphy): The only thing
I'd like to add is that the contractual
relationship with FCH was cumbersome, and it

involved, I thought, a series of financial obligations that were problematic in that there 3 was a disagreement about who owed which party how 4 much, and it was getting in the way, I thought, of 5 really productively looking at what does the 6 community need and how can we deliver it. And I 7 had the good fortune to speak to a number of members of the board of FCH who agreed, and we said the money will figure itself out. We walked 10 away from what we had previously believed was owed us to the tune of several hundred thousand dollars 12 and thought really just to look forward and stop 13 the debate over who had the financial obligation 14 to whom. And it was friendly, it was cordial, and it was, I think, mutually beneficial that we just 15 16 left that behind. The board of FCH agreed with 17 it, and it was really based upon those discussions 18 that we decided to end that contract.

1

2

8

9

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BOYLE: Hearing Officer Mitchell, just one thing to mention on that. The date was October 18th of 2019, not the 17th. Sorry.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

NANCY HEATON: Hello? I can also just attest to that. I'm Nancy Heaton, the CEO of the Foundation for Community Health.

1 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. 2 Hold on one moment, Ms. Heaton, okay? Let me just 3 defer to Attorneys Duffy and Boyle. Would you 4 like me to swear in Ms. Heaton to make a comment 5 on behalf of the applicants? 6 MS. BOYLE: Yes, we're comfortable with 7 that. 8 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. 9 Ms. Heaton, if you wouldn't mind raising your 10 right hand for me. 11 NANCY HEATON, 12 having been first duly sworn (remotely) by 13 Hearing Officer Mitchell, testified on her 14 oath as follows: 15 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: What is your 16 title again, Ms. Heaton? 17 THE WITNESS (Heaton): I'm the CEO of 18 the Foundation for Community Health. 19 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. 20 THE WITNESS (Heaton): I just want to 21 confirm that it is October 18, 2019. I looked it 22 up while we were on here. And basically I believe 23 that we decided that once the grant agreement was 24 nullified that our role in the part of the grant

agreement that had us selecting the board members

25

1 for the hospital, that section also became null 2 and void, and which is why we wrote to OHS and 3 said we no longer felt that we had that 4 responsibility since the grant agreement had been 5 nullified. 6 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you. 7 Anything else, Ms. Heaton? 8 THE WITNESS (Heaton): No. 9 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Just another 10 I think I heard, I want to say that I question. 11 heard that there are still members from the FCH 12 appointments that are on the board. I just want 13 to confirm how many. 14 THE WITNESS (Cantele): I believe the 15 board members that were on the FCH board prior to 16 being on the Sharon Hospital board, is that what 17 you're asking? 18 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: So I think 19 there are appointments, people who were chosen 20 from a list of people who were recommended by the 21 FCH. 22 THE WITNESS (Cantele): There's eight 23 of the 12 original board, community board members 24 are still on the board that were originally

25

appointed by FCH.

1 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: And then can 2 you also tell me how many are FCH members? It was 3 the first question you thought I asked. THE WITNESS (Cantele): Yes. So I 4 5 believe there are three. 6 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: What are 7 your board terms? 8 THE WITNESS (Cantele): They're 9 staggered. There's a class of 2022, a class of 10 2023 and a class of 2024. 11 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Anyone 12 rolling off in 2022? 13 THE WITNESS (Cantele): Yes, there are 14 three. I don't know that they're rolling off, but 15 their term is up, and I believe they can extend 16 for another term. I'm not exactly aware of the 17 bylaws, but I believe they can re-up for another 18 term. 19 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. 20 And do you know about 2023, or is it too early? 21 THE WITNESS (Cantele): There are one, 22 two, three, four, five whose term ends in January 23 of 2023, and one, two, three, four whose term ends 24 in 2024. 25 Thank you. HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL:

1 I don't think I have any other questions. Let me 2 just defer back to Attorneys Boyle and Duffy for 3 anything additional before we go to public 4 comment. 5 MS. BOYLE: Just one note, Hearing 6 Officer Mitchell, there's the ability to have 7 three, three-year terms of each board member. So 8 they could actually, depending on when they come 9 on, they could have a total of nine years each. 10 THE WITNESS (Cantele): Thank you for 11 clarifying that. 12 MR. CARNEY: Can I just ask, what's the 13 total number on the board, total members? 14 MS. BOYLE: 12, right? Rick, you're on 15 mute, the common Zoom problem that we all have. 16 There you go. 17 THE WITNESS (Cantele): Sorry, my apologies. I think there are 12 community board 18 19 members, and Dr. Hirko is a board member as well, 20 I believe. 21 Thank you. MR. CARNEY: 22 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. 23 Anything else, Attorneys Boyle and Duffy? 24 Not at this time. MS. BOYLE: 25 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Any other

questions on OHS's behalf that I might have missed, Brian and Ormand?

MR. CARNEY: I'm all set. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. So we are going to go ahead and move on to the public comment portion of the hearing. All participants, to the extent possible, should enable the use of video cameras when commenting during the proceedings. Anyone who is not commenting, make sure that you mute your electronic devices, including telephones, televisions and other devices not being used during the hearing.

We are going to call the names of those who have signed up to speak in the order in which they are registered. If we miss anyone, please make sure that you utilize the hand function, we'll acknowledge you, and we'll make sure that you have time to speak.

Before giving your comments, please state and spell your name for the purpose of accurate transcription. Speaking time is going to be limited to three minutes for everybody. Do not be dismayed if we stop you at the conclusion of your time. We want to make sure that we give everybody the opportunity to speak, but we also

want to be fair. So if there's anything that you want to add that maybe you might not be able to verbally say, we're going to strongly encourage you to submit any written comments to OHS by email or mail no later than February 23rd of 2021. we're going to leave the record open. We're going to adjourn the hearing today, but we'll leave the record open until February 23rd of 2021.

Our email address is CON comment -Leslie, are you there? I just want to make sure I
got the email address.

MS. GREER: The email address, ohs@ct.gov.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

Can you say that one more time?

MS. GREER: Ohs@ct.gov.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

Thank you so much for backing me up on that. And then our mailing address is Post Office Box 340308 at 450 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, Connecticut, 06134-0308.

One other thing I wanted to mention about public comment is I just wanted to make sure I double check that there are no public officials or legislators that have popped in on us while we

went back and asked additional questions of the applicant. Are there any public officials? If you can just make sure you unmute yourself and let me know you're here.

(No response.)

one. So at this time, I'm going to go in order in which people signed up with Leslie, and the first person that we have is Mr. Herbert Moore.

HERBERT MOORE: Thank you. My name is Herbert Moore. I grew up in Sharon, and I live in Sharon with my wife and two children, both of whom were delivered at Sharon Hospital. Many of my friends and relatives have depended on Sharon Hospital when we've needed it most. We're grateful to the staff, doctors, administration, board members and regulators that have kept this valuable institution in our community.

I became an advocate for maintaining a high quality, broad range of medical resources locally in 2018 when it was announced that the maternity unit at Sharon Hospital would be closed within 30 days. I was troubled by the reasons publicly cited by Health Quest for this closure, and we organized with our local community and came

to share our concerns at the hearing for the proposed merger between Health Quest and Western Connecticut.

We listened as the Foundation for Community Health described contributing the majority of the cash for the purchase of Sharon Hospital in 2017 only to face an onslaught of litigation by Health Quest. This was powerful testimony that is on the record, and I would urge the examiners to revisit it.

The jarring announcement of the closing of maternity, the lack of sound reasoning publicly conveyed for doing so, and the paucity of meaningful two-way communication between the hospital and the community after the merger, points to an issue that should be addressed. As noted by some earlier speakers, this is a unique governance condition, but nobody has mentioned the unique circumstance that led to it.

The \$3 million put up by FCH for Health Quest to acquire Sharon Hospital were the direct proceeds from the sale of Sharon Hospital to a for-profit entity two decades prior which were then used to start FCH. The money that Health Quest accepted was community money that came with

the condition that FCH, as a community representative, be able to nominate board members. This must be recognized as the Sharon Hospital situation is fundamentally different than that of Norwalk and Danbury Hospitals.

The proposed change completely removes a valid and binding mechanism for protecting community representation on the Sharon Hospital board. We have attempted to discuss an alternate mechanism to protect such representation with Nuvance. While the existing Sharon Hospital board has been generous with their time, despite the suggestion by OHS, Nuvance has been unwilling to discuss directly.

I appeal to OHS. When hundreds of people from three states, including first selectmen and mayors, gather outside to protest the actions of a hospital, when hundreds more send letters clearly articulating their worry about the management of Sharon Hospital, when the local newspaper is filled with op-eds by respected community leaders decrying the abrupt closure of maternity, there is something that needs to be addressed. This is not a situation in which Nuvance's request to remove all outside oversight

from board appointments would lead to better community relations or management of our local hospital. I urge FCH to consider our proposal and reject the proposed change in Condition 2 submitted by Nuvance. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you,
Mr. Moore. We're going to go with Ms. Kathleen
Friedman next.

KATHLEEN FRIEDMAN: Good morning. My name is Kathleen Friedman. I am a member of Save Sharon Hospital and a long-time Sharon resident. I want to thank Micheala Mitchell and her team, Nuvance, Dr. Murphy, Dr. Hirko, and counsel, and acknowledge with gratitude the steadfast commitment of Nuvance and Connecticut health care officials during the pandemic.

I joined the Save Sharon Hospital effort in 2018 to oppose the closure of the maternity service when we also feared the hospital itself was in danger. We were further disheartened to hear months later at the Danbury hearing of the precarious position the Foundation for Community Health found itself in with a breakdown in relations with Health Quest.

As someone who had received lifesaving

care at Sharon Hospital's ICU and then as an inpatient battling an infection my failing immune system struggled to defeat, the prospect of a diminished Sharon Hospital was unnerving. Over many years, in consultation with clinicians in New York, Cleveland, Washington, D.C. and Sharon, I have learned the importance of a culture of open channels of communication within the hospital or medical system that supports the medical staff and extends to patients and to the broader community. Because community members are increasingly recognized and experienced themselves as key stakeholders in this ecosystem, the effects of starved communication reverberate, and enhanced inclusivity becomes restorative and invaluable. Sharon Hospital has recognized this value in establishing a Patient and Family Advisory Council.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our request today is simple and in keeping with Sharon Hospital's innate nature. In resolving the question of Condition 2, with a departure of the foundation for community health and the loss of its wealth of experience in addressing our local health care reality, we ask that additional community voices be added to the

1 mix of expertise on Sharon Hospital's board and 2 Outreach Committee. This comports with the Office 3 of Health Strategy's mission to break down 4 barriers and transform the ways we work together. 5 Such innovation requires a multiplicity of voices. 6 For that reason, the Affordable Care Act 7 identified patients, families and surrounding 8 communities as not simply consumers but as 9 participants and partners. 10 Finally, in our rapidly changing health 11 care world, the inclusion of various voices is

care world, the inclusion of various voices is especially critical for a small community hospital such as Sharon. Such voices have been the life breath of this hospital over decades which is why we are here today. Thank you, and thank you to Dr. Hirko and Mr. Cantele for the meetings and exchanges of ideas over many months around this issue.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Friedman.

MS. FRIEDMAN: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Nicholas

23 Moore.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

NICHOLAS MOORE: Good morning. My name is Nicholas Moore. Thank you, Hearing Officer

Mitchell. Thank you, Dr. Hirko, and thank you, Rick Cantele, for your dedication during perilous times. And thank you, Representative Horn, for your helpful framing of this discussion.

I come from a medical family. Our youngest child was born at Sharon Hospital 33 years ago as well as two of my grandchildren. I joined Save Sharon Hospital when Health Quest attempted to close maternity in 2018. The Foundation for Community Health, aka FCH, is dedicated to improving the health and well-being of the residents of the Greater Harlem Valley in New York and the Northern Litchfield Hills in Connecticut.

Connecticut Health Network merger hearing in
Danbury on December 4, 2018 where we learned of
the skism between soon to be Nuvance and FCH.
Next came the November 18, 2019 request for a
revision to Condition No. 2, which would excise
FCH from a nominating role in advancing community
members to the Sharon Hospital board. This could
result in a self-perpetuating inwardly facing
board lacking in the perspectives and connections
of FCH to the detriment of the communities served

by Sharon Hospital. It would also represent in self-perpetuating committees like the Community Outreach Committee.

When we submitted a specific proposal regarding Condition No. 2, OHS suggested that we speak with Nuvance to see if we could reach an agreement about our well-intentioned proposal. We met with a group of Sharon Hospital community -- of the Sharon Hospital community board comprised of two members of the Community Outreach Committee and the board chair, and our proposal was generally well received. Subsequent conversations with Rick Cantele and Dr. Hirko were cordial, engendering the expectation that our ideas would be passed up to Nuvance corporate. After a subsequent inconclusive email exchange with Dr. Murphy, here we are.

I live in Sharon. I have many friends and colleagues with young families from towns and independent boarding schools across the region who depend on a full service hospital. FCH is a trusted community partner entrenched in the entire Sharon Hospital service area with important connections to the Berkshire Taconic Community Foundation. The loss of FCH as a Sharon Hospital

partner leaves a void that Save Sharon Hospital can't help mitigate.

Our proposal is an affirmative attempt to enhance Sharon Hospital's community engagement for the benefit of all. And I hope that OHS will consider it with the same foresight you exhibited in creating Condition No. 2 in the first place. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Moore. The next person we have is Jill Drew.

JILL DREW: Thank you, Ms. Mitchell.

Good morning, everyone. My name is Jill Drew, and I also live in Sharon, Connecticut. I join with the other members of Save Sharon Hospital in objecting to the modification Nuvance requests from Condition 2, because Condition 2 is the only regulatory requirement that provides a structure for ongoing outsider input into the hospital's governing apparatus. I hope that Nuvance would agree that it is valuable to have ongoing give and take discussions about the hospital's role in the community, especially as it is one of our largest employers, as it makes its corporate decisions.

That was indeed the impression I got when I was able to meet with Interim President

Denise George and once with the current President Mark Hirko. We had friendly, frank and fruitful conversations that were unlike the dynamics of the short Q and A periods during the hospital's twice a year community presentations. I believe the hospital would benefit by establishing a structure for regular meetings of this kind with local elected officials and other community members separate from the whole board apparatus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nuvance has argued that it has plenty of two-way dialogue. It has a committee to assist with its obligation to conduct a community health needs assessment every three years. It has recently established a Patient and Family Advisory Committee at Sharon Hospital, as there are in the other Western Connecticut Hospitals, and I applaud that, and the structure of that committee could be complementary to the kind of committee we are seeking. And of course it has the Sharon Hospital Advisory Board. I applaud all the board members and imagine that they do share their wisdom and expertise with hospital administrators, but it is an inward facing group, and it is unclear what power it has to influence corporate decisions. All board members have signed confidentiality

agreements, so they have no avenue to independently communicate publicly about the hospital.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a generous community that supports the hospital. Many of us not only contributed as part of that one million dollar match fundraising drive that Dr. Hirko mentioned last year, but also sprang into action when COVID Members of this group helped organize the sewing and donation of more than 6,000 face masks in those first weeks when supplies were scarce, hundreds of which were delivered to Sharon Hospital presterilized and individually packaged. We had to delay delivery, however, because we couldn't find anyone at Sharon Hospital to accept them, as other hospitals had already done, including Charlotte Hungerford. Local officials said they needed the corporate okay, and that took some time in coming.

And a few weeks later, we organized local restaurants to prepare and deliver hundreds of meals to Sharon Hospital workers paid for by community members. And after our experiences with the masks, we asked, I reached out to the hospital board to ask if they could help us get approval,

and I am really grateful to the two members of the Outreach Committee who were able to connect us to Nuvance officials in Danbury who were able to approve our meal train plans.

What we are seeking here today is simply a mechanism for this kind of two-way dialogue to become the rule, not the exception, so that when things get tough, and we know they will, there will be an enforceable requirement for hospital officials to have a real public dialogue. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you,
Ms. Drew. All right. So the next person is
Victor Germack.

VICTOR GERMACK: Thank you very much.

My name is Victor Germack. I've lived in

Salisbury since 1984, and I've been very involved
in preserving Sharon Hospital for our community,
first through the efforts of the Community to Save
Sharon Hospital, and now as part of Save Sharon
Hospital. I've been involved since the first sale
to Essent in 2001 and now with Nuvance, its
current owner. This is against a backdrop of
hospitals experiencing extreme financial
difficulty, and this is certainly true of Sharon

Hospital today, and Nuvance. And it's something that I think we as members of the community all have to be responsive to, how do we preserve our local hospital.

And I want to say this, that OHS stated back in 2019 when we had a community meeting, and I quote, that the community engagement represented by FCH's involvement should continue unabated and that the needs of the Sharon community would be heard and addressed following the merger. And that's exactly FCH's -- that was OHS's feeling at the time.

We now understand that Nuvance wants to change the nominating process for board members so that the board will be self-selecting, in effect, self-nominating. This is wrong and goes against all fair notions of what an openly selected board should look like where the community has a voice and the board regularly and openly communicates with the public, which unfortunately hasn't been happening. An example of that is when maternity was going to be closed and threatened to be closed. Where was the board at that time? Why didn't they say something? If they truly represent the public interest, why wasn't there

more dialogue with the public?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, I'm an expert on best corporate practices because I'm the CEO of a company called RateFinancials, and we advise corporations and clients on their boards. And I will tell you, having open boards, having community representation is a very positive thing. Sharon Hospital proposes to the Office of Health Strategy some modest changes to the Sharon Hospital board governance policy so that Condition 2 will be changed by, one, that Save Sharon Hospital nominate a nonbiased community member to the current Outreach Committee of the hospital board, and that one rotating public official on an annual basis from the area served by Sharon Hospital shall also serve on the Outreach Committee.

Notice what we're asking for. We're not asking for seats on their board. We are asking for seats on their committee, the Outreach Committee, which currently, as I understand, is composed of perhaps two members at most. We want to enlarge this and make real contributions to the community by encouraging open communications.

Two, that these two members be entitled

to participate in full board meetings where issues directly impacting the community's health are discussed.

Three, that the Outreach Committee have at least one annual public community meeting.

And four, that Save Sharon Hospital shall have the nonexclusive right to nominate candidates to the Sharon board when vacancies occur. We don't consider these odious. We consider them helpful and constructive suggestions to make the board more effective and more responsive to the community.

We had a series of discussions, and perhaps some negotiation, with the Sharon board and its chairman, and I thought we were making real progress. Unfortunately, Sharon Hospital and the Nuvance senior management really didn't want to get involved and we couldn't build a dialogue with them. My feeling is that all of the people involved with this situation, whether it was the board or Sharon Hospital or Nuvance, are good, well-meaning people, and we would hope to be able to find a way to effectively have real communications and representation on this board through the Outreach Committee. We look to the

Office of Health Strategy to restore real and effective board governance and improved communications with our community. I want to thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Germack. Lastly, I think we have Lydia Moore. LYDIA MOORE: Thank you. Hello, my name is Lydia Moore, and I live in Sharon.

you for the opportunity to speak today.

As one of the founding members of Save Sharon Hospital, I would like to start with a little background about how our group was formed. In July 2018, my life as a pregnant mother was turned upside down when I learned that maternity at Sharon Hospital would be closing in a month. I had been hoping to repeat the wonderful experience I had delivering my first child at Sharon Hospital, and I was terrified that I would not be able to survive the long journey to another hospital if I had a medical emergency. This inspired us to create Save Sharon Hospital in order to rally our community to encourage the hospital to keep maternity open.

Our efforts proved successful. able to deliver my son in 2019 at Sharon Hospital,

Thank

and hundreds of other mothers have delivered their babies in Sharon since then.

Many of our group's members spoke at the OHS hearing regarding the Health Quest and WCHN merger in December 2018. We are extremely concerned about the hospital's lack of communications surrounding the initial decision to suddenly close maternity. You at OHS listened to our concerns, so thank you for that.

We are now concerned that if you grant Nuvance's request to simply remove FCH from its role in nominating board members in the CON, that our community will be even less represented by a self-nominating inward facing board that had previously agreed to shut down maternity despite its vital function in our community. We therefore ask that OHS prevent this complete exclusion of outside community influence on the hospital board by denying Nuvance's request to modify Condition 2 as they have written. There must be better two-way communication, and this should be written into the CON.

Our suggestion is that the community outreach -- or one suggestion is that the Community Outreach Committee of the hospital board

should include elected officials from towns in the hospital catchment area. This would be a simple yet effective change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to close by emphasizing that our group is made up of individuals who are heavily invested in Sharon Hospital. When Nuvance asked for donations to make the one million dollar challenge grant, many of our members contributed to this success. When the pandemic was reaching its initial peak over the summer, several of our members helped to organize hundreds of community members in a large mask making effort for Sharon Hospital, as another of my colleagues mentioned, as well as other hospitals and organizations. We organized a meal train for hospital staff, and we also helped to organize area schools to deliver inspirational artwork from community children to the hospital. I do not believe any of these efforts would have taken place if it weren't for members of our group. We have put our love and hard work into these projects because we know that Sharon Hospital is vital to our community, and we believe the potential exists for a stronger hospital and community relationship.

OHS, you have the ability to help us

1 build this relationship by not permitting a 2 modification to the CON without including real and 3 meaningful changes. Thank you for your time and 4 consideration. 5 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you, 6 Ms. Moore. 7 All right. Is there anyone else that 8 wants to give public comment that didn't have an 9 opportunity to? You can unmute yourself and just 10 let me know. 11 BRENT COLLEY: I'm Brent Colley. I'm 12 the first selectman. And I stand behind 13 everything that's been said. I think it will be a 14 real big help, you know, I'll keep it that simple. 15 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: I'm sorry. 16 Can you just state your name again just for the 17 record? I want to make sure that we got you for 18 the purpose of transcription. 19 MR. COLLEY: Sure. It's Brent, 20 B-R-E-N-T, Colley, C-O-L-L-E-Y, and I'm the first 21 selectman. 22 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. Thank 23 you. 24 Thank you. MR. COLLEY: 25 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Anyone else?

JOHN ALLEN: Can I make a statement?

John Allen.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: John Allen, okay.

MR. ALLEN: I'm a pretty neutral observer of this because I've been here in the northwestern part of Connecticut for over 45 years, but I haven't been that familiar with what has been going on with Sharon Hospital even though I think it's an integral part of the community.

And just listening to the commentary on both sides, I was quite impressed by the expertise that both sides showed. And it would seem to me that Nuvance and Sharon Hospital would want to capitalize on the expertise of the people on the other side of the table. They seem to be very knowledgeable about health care systems and the medical environment.

I'm a trustee of the John Hartford
Foundation, which is a leading foundation in the
United States, which is trying to improve the
health care for older Americans. And so I've been
integrally involved with the U.S. health care
system in that manner for over 12 or 13 years now.
And I think it really would behoove Nuvance Health

and Sharon Hospital to, as I said, capitalize on the expertise of the people in the community. And those are my comments. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you very much. Any additional public comment?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Cantele): This is Rick Cantele. I just wanted to follow up on a couple of points that were made. And I think I have to speak on behalf of the board that we would take offense at the notion that we are inwardly focused and not focused on the needs of the community and that we don't represent the community. We have had many debates, discussions, pushback on both the Health Quest administration, the Nuvance administration, although certainly I think, as Dr. Murphy alluded to earlier, the relationship between not only the community but the board and Nuvance is an excellent collaborative give and take working relationship which has not always been the case.

And I think many of the comments made here today were made in the context of the previous administration and things that were done, things that were said, and actions that were taken. And we as board members were caught up in

that as well as the community was. So, I think the comment that the board refuses to engage with the community has been demonstrated to be false given all the discussions that we've both talked about today that have gone on for more than a year.

I just want to provide a quick example of some of the nuances because I agree, I think we have worked collaboratively. I've known some members of the Save Sharon Hospital group for a long time, and I consider them my friends. And we want their input, and we seek their input. And we had offered, and again, just as a couple of examples, we had offered that they would have the ability to recommend members of the committee or members of the board. At the end of the day the request demanded that they have the ability to nominate a board member. We have a nominating committee of the board, and the board is representative of the community.

We also had the discussion, and I may not have this exactly right, but Victor talked about that they would want whoever they appoint to or recommend to the Community Relation Committee, which we're open to having a discussion about, has

the ability to participate in all board discussions relevant to the health of everybody in our catchment area. Well, every agenda at the board is relevant to the health of everybody in our catchment area, so that's overly broad. So these are just two examples of sort of where we have progressed to.

And although, at the end of the day, I will say I think we all want the same thing. And I tried to impress on the group that the board is representative of the community. We want Sharon Hospital to be here. It is a challenge given the financial circumstances, the location of the hospital, the volumes at the hospital, but we are not inwardly facing to Nuvance or Health Quest. I assure you, we are members of the community, and we voice our concern at every single meeting. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right.

So I'm just going to offer, I don't want a response so much to what Mr. Cantele said, I'm just going to ask if there's any additional public comment before I go back to Attorneys Boyle and Duffy.

JOEL JONES: All right. Forgive me, I

```
1
   just can't help but fully support Rick's comments.
   I'm Joel Jones, Rick's predecessor as chair of the
2
   board of the hospital. And I just want to fully
3
4
   support everything Rick has said. We are
5
   absolutely members of the community. I'm on the
6
   board of finance in my town of Falls Village. I'm
7
   a volunteer firefighter here. We've been here
   almost 20 years now. I'm the Appalachian Trail
8
9
   maintainer in Falls Village. We are very much
10
   part of the fabric of the community, and my
11
   colleagues on the board all have their similar
12
   stories in that regard as well. So I just wanted
13
   to confirm and support everything that Rick has
14
   said. Thank you.
15
              HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay.
16
   Anyone else for public comment?
17
              PARI FOROOD: I'm Pari Forood. Hello?
18
              HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Yes.
19
              MS. FOROOD: Okay. I am on the Sharon
20
   Hospital board. I'm actually the vice chairman of
21
   the board. And I wanted to say that we live in
22
   Lakeville. Do you want me to spell my name?
23
              HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Yes, that's
24
   actually what I was going to say. Thank you.
25
              MS. FOROOD: No problem. It's P-A-R-I,
```

and then my last name is Forood, "F" as in "Frank," O-R-O-O-D.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

MS. FOROOD: As I say, I live in Lakeville. I actually run a breast cancer foundation, so I can add not-for-profit to all the different board -- all the different occupations that the board has. We are a very representative board of our community. I had the pleasure and honor to work with Lydia and Jill, and they talked about how we got that meal train going. We were thrilled to do it. They reached out to us as members of the community.

Yes, I'm on the board, but also as a member of the community I'm an elected official in the Town of Salisbury. I'm on the board of finance. I chair the Salisbury Affordable Housing Commission. I volunteer in every aspect I can here, and I feel like I know the community pretty well. My kids went to school here from the time they were little kids with Herbert Moore and Nick's kids, and I know all of these people. And we are open and representative, and everything that Rick and Joel has said, you know, we're out in the community and very accessible.

And the thought that we would be, you know, inward just after our own particular interests or not just Nuvance, you know, spokespeople or something is entirely wrong. We care about the hospital. We care about the people who work there. We care about the people who are treated there. My kids have been in the emergency room more than I can tell you with broken wrists and broken arms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we consider ourselves stakeholders not only as representatives of the community on this board but also people with a deep, deep care and concern for management and how the hospital is run and how it responds to the community. I happen to chair the QPIC committee, and there's nothing more important to me than making this the best hospital in our little community. As you can imagine, running a breast cancer foundation, I'm extremely aware of the fact that health care in our community and every community needs to be responsive to the needs of the people. And we are. And our board cares. And we're from all over the community. And I just wanted to stress that we are, as I say, the board is community Thank you. members.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

I see a hand up from, it says "Rosellen's iPad."

Did you want to speak?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ROBERT SCHNURR: Yes. This is Robert Schnurr, S-C-H-N-U-R-R. I'm a retired physician from Sharon Hospital.

I'm just a little confused about something. First of all, the current board makeup, which is actually excellent, was picked by the community foundation. I believe they want to get rid of the people in that sense that has done a good job picking the board members. I understand the reason for that, but I'm just trying to think. There's nothing about the current board, but what about 10, 15 years down the road from now, will it change tremendously, will we still have the ability to sustain quality board members, not the ones right now. The head of the nominating committee is a close, close friend of mine. I would trust him with anything. So what will happen in 10 or 15 years? That would be my concern. Thank you very much.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

I see a hand up from, is it Lorna Brodtkorb? I'm

not sure if I'm saying it correctly.

LORNA BRODTKORB: Yes, you are saying it correctly. I don't know how to get my picture to be a picture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hospital.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: That's okay.

MS. BRODTKORB: And it's probably

connected with the fact that I was born in Sharon

Hospital at a point when most babies were still

born at home. My children were born in Sharon

And I'm impressed today by the passion with which board members and community members are expressing their concern for the hospital and for the community. What I don't understand is why would the hospital resist the suggestion that it be required to have, for instance, elected officials on its Outreach Committee? We're not asking to take over your board. We're asking that you be required to remember us down the road when I'll be gone and my children and grandchildren will not. To require community representation on something called the Outreach Committee seems pretty innocent, and I don't know why you don't just leave that requirement in. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

JAMES QUELLA: Can I make a couple of comments?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Sure. Just state your name for the record.

MR. QUELLA: My name is James Quella.

I'm a resident of Sharon, Connecticut and the chair of the Outreach Committee that's been alluded to multiple times.

I had the opportunity to meet with one of the representatives of Save Sharon Hospital in my home during the period of time that we were going through the controversy about the maternity closure that was being proposed. And I was all I listened for hours and exchanged a ears. dialogue that was, I thought, very cordial and very productive. And I took it back to the board and expressed all the opinions and all of the concerns that were alluded to and actually individually fought very hard in that context that this announcement and the way it was being handled was not effective. And a part of the input of understanding that was me meeting with somebody from the community, notably somebody who represented Save Sharon Hospital.

So in no way did I feel impeded, inward

looking, or in any way debilitated in carrying out my duties as a board member. I don't think it would have been necessary for that individual to be on my board or to sit on my committee or to attend every board meeting that had anything to do with community relations for me to fully appreciate, understand and internalize that input.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Save Sharon Hospital is a subset of the entire community and itself represents some group of people who are passionate and committed and no doubt putting a tremendous amount of time and effort and are concerned about the community and its needs as it relates to Sharon Hospital. But they're not the only community members, and there are quite a number of other community members who have other gripes and other concerns and other issues about Sharon Hospital, which we're not discussing, and it would be a bit challenging for us to have every one of those groups represented as a group coming before you asking for permission to participate in a board. The board probably would consummate at some point far more than 13 people. It would, I don't know how many people would have to come before we felt that we had as diverse a group as represents this community,

which by no means diminishes in any way.

As I said, I've met with various members of Save Sharon. Pari and I working with the group participated in getting the food, as was alluded to earlier. I personally contributed to that effort both with my time and energy, and by no means did I feel obligated to do that. But I did feel the same sense of obligation that comes in supporting our workers at Sharon Hospital.

As a governance matter, I've sat on many public boards and I've been on many boards throughout my entire career. It is highly unusual for outside groups to demand positions in boards that are not a part of the normal functioning of the board, and I would find that to be an outlier, quite frankly, in the way in which boards work. To say a board is self-nominating is almost axiomatic. Every board has a nominating and governance committee, and every member of that nominating and governance committee is on the board. It's just the way boards work.

The responsibility of the board chair is to look at the entire array of diverse candidates that are represented in a community representing in this case Sharon Hospital and to

be deliberative and thoughtful about which nominations make the most sense and to then go about their business of getting those nominated people before us in front of the board. You can't have a nominating committee, in my opinion, that is divorced from the board because it's the board's itself understanding of the needs and requirements of that board that help that board function as a board.

I'm most dismayed by comments that have been made today that we're inward looking, myopic, unrepresentative of the community, deaf eared to the needs of the community and that without added representation and a kind of governance structure, which I find highly unusual, that this group of people would be continuing in a myopic, inward looking way. I'm very deeply dismayed that those words have been said, deeply dismayed that they're believed, and deeply dismayed that they're at the basis for the argument.

If the argument were that we needed more diverse candidates, I'd be all ears. I'd be, in fact, strongly recommending our non-gov committee to look at those candidates that could be recommended by anybody who was participating in

this phone call today. But to have it as a reaction to the failure of this board to be a community, sensitive community listening and community participating group to me is just the thesis that I can't accept. And whether you decide one way or another, if that's the thesis upon which you decide, I think you'll have to hear from me a strong objection that anybody on this board doesn't do anything but listen to the community, but participate in community activity, but try to invite those people into our home and listen to their concerns.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thanks,
Mr. Quella. We're going to move on to Mimi
Tannen.

MIRIAM TANNEN: Thank you. I'm also a board member of Sharon Hospital and also a board member of the Foundation for Community Health, and I was a member when Sharon Hospital was formed and we went through all the negotiations. So a few things that I want to say.

First, referring to FCH, we recommended, according to the agreement, we recommended board members and Health Quest nominated them. The Foundation for Community

Health did not do the actual nomination of the board members. We gave a list to Sharon Hospital, I mean, rather, to Health Quest, and they chose from our list. At the time we had about 100 applicants' resumes that were sent to us. We worked diligently for a long period of time. We interviewed I don't remember how many in person, and we chose about 15, I think it was, I'm not clear of the numbers, that we proposed to Health Quest, and they chose out of those numbers. Eight of us are still on the board. I am on the Sharon Hospital board as one of those people.

Our grant at the time, I think, was about five years that we were going to be doing those recommendations. It was not meant to be in perpetuity. It was a short period just while the hospital stabilized. At this point, since our grant agreement separated, Foundation for Community Health is not interested in providing anymore nominations. And I hope I'm not speaking out of turn now. I'm not the CEO. I am a board member. So I did want to say that.

My background at Sharon has been since 1978 when I started working at the hospital, I worked there for about 20 years. I actually

worked for Dr. Schnurr in his office for seven
years and then moved to Poughkeepsie, worked for a
not-for-profit in Poughkeepsie, and because I'm so
familiar with the not-for-profit world that served
the needs of the community, I'm the chair of the
Health Needs Committee at the Sharon board now.
There's no way that we're not involved
in the community. I'm from Millbrook, and I bring

in the community. I'm from Millbrook, and I bring that knowledge, as well as my history with Sharon to the board and work with the agencies and with any community members that are interested in working with us.

I am offended by the claim that we're inward looking and not interested in the community, and that's just a personal feeling.

And I support what the other board members have said. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thanks,
Ms. Tannen.

Anybody else want to give public comment?

(No response.)

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: I want to thank everybody for just talking about what their perspective is for the purpose of public comment.

Again, we are going to leave the record open for seven days to receive public comment by email, or you can mail it into the office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One other thing before I turn it over to Attorneys Boyle and Duffy for closing is I wanted to mention that -- it will come back to me.

Let me just go ahead. Attorney Boyle, did you have a closing statement?

MS. BOYLE: Yes. Thank you, Hearing Officer Mitchell. I wanted to say that, you know, I think the board members have illustrated a lot of my points. I think this is a great group of people who clearly represent the community. This is a community board, as they've shown you. They are diverse. They're committed. They are involved in the community. And this is actually, you know, it's not going to change. It's going to continue. This is a tax exempt nonprofit board that is obligated, you know, by virtue of its charitable status to ensure that it represents the interests of the community and that it acts in the best interest of Sharon Hospital, this corporation. And it is essential that these board members are allowed to actually exercise their fiduciary duties and choose board members who

represent this community and who believe in Sharon Hospital and can act in its best interests.

And it is not the case that we are trying to foreclose community involvement at all. There's been extensive communications, including with the Save Sharon Hospital group, to hear what they have to say and get their input, and that's not going to change. These folks, as you have seen, are committed to that, as is the management of Nuvance. These are folks who are stewards of these tax-exempt assets and are committed to making sure that they understand their community and do what's right for that community.

This, though, is a request for a straightforward modification of a covenant that is tied to the past. It's tied to the foundation grant which is no more. You've heard the foundation folks mention that this was done mutually, we are all friends, we're still on the -- you know, these people are on each other's boards, and we will continue to do that. There are mechanisms already in place for community input beyond the informal ones which have been discussed extensively, including the two public hearings that we have a year. And a lot of what

you're hearing is tied to the past and when this was a for-profit entity. That is no more. This is a tax-exempt entity, and it's different. We have different stewards. We have different people in charge.

And to the extent that there are changes in the future, as there likely will be, as with every health care institution that involve services, then there are mechanisms in place currently for addressing those. There will be hearings. The CON laws protect, you know, and provide investment and discourse on those issues, and those will continue to exist. And so that's the mechanism for addressing changes and coming forward regarding any changes.

But this board has said that they are committed to listening, to having open doors, to even looking at, you know, candidates for committee membership or board members. What we don't want is to legislate that in a CON by an unreasonable provision that would involve, for example, as suggested, public officials. This is not a public corporation. It's a private tax exempt entity. Those folks have a forum for their voice. And what we want is people like the ones

you've seen who are true community representatives who can speak to their use of the facility, what they see in their community, and that represent a diverse set of interests.

The condition that we're requesting, the change, is actually similar to what -- it's exactly what every other corporation, every other hospital board has in their CONs. All we're asking is to be treated equally and fairly on that and to actually have the same requirements as everyone else. Progress has been made in communication with the community. It will continue to be made. We're committed to that. I ask that you -- I respectfully ask that OHS grant this modification request which is reasonable and fair for this community and Sharon Hospital. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right.
Thank you, Attorney Boyle.

So at this time we're going to go ahead and adjourn the hearing. I take that back. We're not going to formally adjourn. We're going to end the portion for public comment. I have to take that back too.

So what's going to happen next is I'm

going to actually stay on. Counsel for the applicants are welcome to stay on. But because in our announcement we said that the hearing was going to last until 2, I'm actually going to remain on Zoom with my camera off, and I'm also going to mute myself so I can do some other work in case there are other people that want to come and render public comment. So I'm going to be on for the next two hours and then at 2 o'clock we'll adjourn.

I also want to mention and reiterate that if anybody wants to submit a written comment, they can do so by February 23rd of 2021, and that will be included in the docket.

I thank everybody for their time. I'm happy to have you stay as long as you mute yourself. You can keep your camera on, you can turn your camera off, but for me I'm going to mute myself and turn my camera off. Every 15 minutes though I will come on to see if anybody has a comment that they want to render, and I'll also be listening in case somebody pops on in between those times. So we are pretty much done for today for everyone that wants to log off at this time.

VOICES: Thank you, Micheala.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: You're

welcome everybody. You're welcome.

HERBERT MOORE: Micheala, can people

that have already spoke add anything to their

public comment or are we --

done at Sharon Hospital.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: No, you can

state -- you can add to your public comment.

HERBERT MOORE: Thank you for that. I just wanted to respond to a good point that some of the board members have made about their dedication to the community. I think it's absolutely valid, as some of you noted, we've known each other personally for 30 years, and I respect what you do, and I respect what you've

I think in making the point about how decent and community connected you are, you make the point that we ourselves are making is that the current process has led to a board of this strength. It's led to having all of you there to advocate for us. And what we are concerned with is a change in the process without any substitution or discussion of a mechanism that may be comparable that could potentially lead to different board members who are not as strong as

Т

you are.

I certainly hope that nothing I said or anybody -- well, I don't want to speak for anybody else, but I certainly hope that nothing I said came off as our being critical of you personally. What we are genuinely concerned with is a change to the process that would lead to, or potentially lead to, board members who are not as strong as you are. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

Anyone else?

(No response.)

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. So I will still be here and within earshot, but I'm just going to go ahead and mute myself and turn of my camera, but I'll be here until 2.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 12:03 p.m. until 12:05 p.m.)

MR. COLLEY: Hi, it's Brent Colley again, first selectman. Just as an understanding, I think of what Save Sharon Hospital was asking that may have, you know, kind of got twisted was, I think when I started, I started in 2013, it was as an appointed member of our town on a board that had the ability not to do anything with the

hospital at that point in time, but we sat on an advisory board, and we could basically share information with the hospital that we learned just because, you know, everyone talks to us. That's the simplest way I can put it.

And we met like once a month or, you know, if we didn't have a decent amount of information to give, it was every couple of months. And I think for the hospital at that time it was helpful because we could share with them maybe some things that they weren't aware of. And it wasn't like we were trying to control anything. It was just kind of just information being shared. And obviously a lot happened between 2013 and, you know, into the present.

So I think like what the Save Sharon Hospital individuals that spoke were speaking to was that, you know, whether it be me or Curtis Rand in Salisbury or Jean down in Kent or whomever, you know, our local area, because, you know, Sharon Hospital serves all of us, we could provide some information that they may not have had if they hadn't heard from us. So it's not really a control thing. It's just kind of like a sharing thing.

And Dr. Hirko has been great in reaching out to me along the way since the transition to Nuvance. And I think that's just the thing is, is we want to be able to like help the board. I don't get any feedback from the board itself. I know people because I know their spouses or I know who they are, but I have never spoken to these individuals on the board, I mean, outside of Mr. Jones, because I knew Felicia, his wife. So if they check in with us, or they reach out to us, or we can reach out to them. And I can

do that.

But still, I mean, when you're a first selectman you're juggling so many different topics that, you know, unless it's put to your frontal lobe by a resident, you just don't focus on it because there's so much to manage. We don't have town managers. We're not a mayor, you know, there's no deputy mayor. We're juggling a lot. And to have a hospital in our town is great, and we want to help them. There's nothing negative really here at all. It's just we want to help. We want to be a part of the process. And it doesn't have to be me. It can be anyone serving.

And I get it, like no one likes a

politician, but a lot of us aren't your typical politicians. We listen and talk to so many people. I can't go to the store or XtraMart, which is our gas station, or the post office without talking to somebody about something. And that's what we kind of want to is just make sure that the board, whomever they are, are aware of what's being talked about. And I think we can help. And that's the ask, really. It has nothing to with do anything that's wrong or right. It's just that we have some information that they could never have.

And that was what was so great way back when we had that advisory board. We had no control over anything that the hospital could do or did, but we could share with them some things we heard, and then that would help them be like, oh, wow, I was not aware of that. You know, because, think about it, when you're an elected official, you have access to every single resident in your community, but also you know so much that's going on in your community which deals with everything from ambulance to fire calls to, you know, basically most of us that live here, I'm here because my wife got a job with a local

orthopaedic office. So I would not be here if not for the hospital because that's where she does surgery. So we have an intimate knowledge of the hospital that others don't have.

And I think, you know, days like today are tough because, you know, people get defensive, but I don't see it as anything negative. I'm just saying like we could help, and we want to help. And if they don't want the help, then that's great, but that's it. We're here to help, and we just want the capability to help moving forward. But again, if no one wants help, then that's cool, we're good.

All right. So I'm Brent Colley. I'm the first selectman of Sharon, Connecticut. And that's my final testimony. And thank you so much for doing this. I mean, this is a big help for everyone, and we appreciate it.

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 12:12 p.m. until 12:21 p.m.)

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Just checking in to see if there's anyone who wants to give public comment. I'll check back in within about 15 or 20 more minutes.

1 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 2 12:21 p.m. until 1:03 p.m.) 3 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Just 4 checking in to see if there is anyone that wants 5 to give public comment. Please let me know. I'11 6 check back in at 1:23. 7 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 8 1:03 p.m. until 1:21 p.m.) 9 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Checking in 10 to determine if anyone wants to render public 11 comment. Thank you. 12 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 13 1:21 p.m. until 1:39 p.m.) 14 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: This is Micheala Mitchell again. This is the last time 15 16 that I'm going to come back on and ask if there is 17 any public comment to be rendered in this matter. 18 Otherwise, we're going to go ahead and close at 2. 19 DEBORAH MOORE: Hello, can I just make 20 my statement? Is that how this works? 21 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Yes, ma'am. 22 Just state your full name and spell it for me. 23 MS. MOORE: Okay, sure. My name is 24 Deborah, D-E-B-O-R-A-H, Moore, M-O-O-R-E. Look at 25 all these faces popping up suddenly.

1 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Okay. Ms. 2 Moore, if you want to make your statement, you 3 can. All of a sudden you're muted. 4 MS. MOORE: I was just holding the 5 space bar down. I stand in support of keeping the community engaged in the hospital, in the 6 7 corporate ownership of our hospital, and through a liaison of an elected official. Okay. Do I need 8 9 to do more than that? 10 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: No, ma'am, 11 unless you wanted to say something else. 12 MS. MOORE: I'm just sort of curious. 13 Yeah, I think it would be nice if the hospital 14 board and the community were able to engage with a 15 local official at the very least. I know there's 16 a lot of animosity, but there doesn't need to be. 17 Okay. Hi, everyone. 18 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: Thank you 19 for your comment. Anything else? 20 MS. MOORE: It's such a weird one 21 dimensional thing, this Zoom thing. I hope you're 22 all well. Stay well. Appreciate the care that 23 all of you helped our people to give us. Thank 24 you. Be well. 25

HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL:

Thank you.

1 Anyone else want to give public 2 comment? 3 (No response.) 4 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right. 5 So we're going to close at 2 o'clock. I'm just 6 going to leave it open. If anybody comes, I will 7 have my ears open. 8 MS. MOORE: Thank you, Micheala. 9 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: You're 10 welcome. 11 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 12 1:42 p.m. until 1:59 p.m.) 13 HEARING OFFICER MITCHELL: All right, 14 everybody, this is the last call for any 15 additional comment, public comment. All right. 16 So the time is now 2 p.m. We're going to go ahead 17 and adjourn the hearing. The record will be open 18 for written comment for one week. And after that, 19 the record will be closed, and we will be drafting 20 up a decision. Thanks, everybody. 21 (Whereupon, the above proceedings 22 concluded at 2:00 p.m.) 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING

I hereby certify that the foregoing 90 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the Public Hearing for the Office of Health Strategy held by Remote Access in Re: DOCKET NO. 19-32238-MDF, WESTERN CONNECTICUT HEALTH NETWORK AND HEALTH QUEST SYSTEMS MODIFICATION REQUEST, which was held remotely before MICHEALA MITCHELL, ESQ., HEARING OFFICER, on February 16, 2021.

Lisa Wallell

Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter BCT REPORTING LLC 55 WHITING STREET, SUITE 1A PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT 06062