## CERTIFIED COPY

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT	COPY
2	OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY	
3	HEALTH SYSTEMS PLANNING UNIT	
4		
5	PUBLIC HEARING	
6		
7	DOCKET NUMBER: 21-32425-CON	
8	PREMIER BARIATRIC GROUP, PLLC	
9	d/b/a NEW YORK BARIATRIC GROUP	
10	ESTABLISHMENT OF AN OUTPATIENT SURGICAL	FACILITY
11		
12	HELD BEFORE:	
13	JOANNE V. YANDOW, ESQ - HEARING OFFI	ICER
14		
15		
16	DATE: DECEMBER 7, 2021	
17	TIME: 10:02 a.m.	
18	PLACE: HELD VIA ZOOM PLATFORM	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Court Reporter: Theresa Bergstrand,	CSR
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF:
3	
4	Brian Carney Jessica Rival
5	Leslie Greer
6	REPRESENTING THE APPLICANT: Shipman & Goodwin, LLP
7	One Constitution Plaza Hartford, CT 06103
8	BY: Joan Feldman, Esq.
9	WITNESSES:
10	Dr. Shawn Garber Vijay Bachani
11	Alan Benson
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
23 24	
25	

(The hearing commenced at 10:02 a.m.)

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Good morning. This is the matter of a Certificate of Need Application, filed by the Applicant, Premier Bariatric Group, PLLC. This is with the Office of Health Strategy, Docket Number 21-32425 CON. The Applicant in this matter seeks to establish an outpatient surgical facility under Connecticut General Statute, Section 19(a)-638(a)(6).

In its application, Applicant Premier Bariatric Group, PLLC, states it is doing business as New York Bariatric Group, that it is a privately owned, single specialty bariatric practice. Applicant gives a brief description of its proposed, of its proposal stating it seeks to establish a licensed single specialty outpatient surgical facility in Stamford, Connecticut. Applicant states the ambulatory surgery center will primarily perform laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and related tests and procedures in connection with the LSG.

The public hearing before the Office of Health Strategies Health Systems Planning Unit is being held today, December 7th, 2021. My name is Joanne V. Yandow, Y-a-n-d-o-w. Victoria Veltri, the Executive Director of the Office of Health Strategy has designated me to serve as the Hearing Officer for this matter to rule on all

motions and recommend findings of fact, conclusions of law upon completion of the hearing. Public act 21-2, Section 149, effective July 1, 2021, authorizes an agency to hold a public hearing by means of electronic equipment. In accordance with the Public Act, any person who participates orally in electronic meeting, shall make a good faith effort to state your name and title at the outset of each occasion that such person participates orally during an uninterrupted dialogue or series of questions and answers. We ask that all members of the public mute the device that they are using to access the hearings, to access this hearing, and silence any additional devices that are around them. The public hearing is held pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes Section 19(a)-639(a), and will be conducted under the provisions of Chapter 54 of the Connecticut General Statutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Office of Health Strategy staff is here to assist me in gathering facts related to this application and will be asking the Applicant witnesses questions. I am going to ask each staff person assisting with questions today to identify themselves with their name, spelling of their last name and their title. And I'll start with Mr. Carney.

MR. CARNEY: Good morning. My name is Brian

Carney, B-r-i-a-n C-a-r-n-e-y. I am a Planning

Specialist and the Certificate of Needs Supervisor at
the Office of Health Strategy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. Ms. Rival.

MS. RIVAL: Hello, I am Jessica Rival. I am a Health Care Analyst with the Office of Health Strategy. And it's Rival, R-i-v, as in Victor -a-l.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. The Certificate of Need process is a regulatory process, and as such the highest level of respect will be accorded to the Applicant, members of the public and our staff. Our priority is the integrity and transparency of this process. Accordingly, decorum must be maintained by all present during these proceedings. This hearing is being recorded and will be transcribed. All documents related to this hearing that have been or will be submitted to the Office of Health Strategy are available for review through our Certificate of Need portal, and the Certificate of Need you will often here referenced as The CON portal is accessible on the Office of CON. Health Strategies CON web page.

In making my decision, I will consider and make written findings in accordance with Section 19(a)-639 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The CON portal contains the table of record in this case. As of this

1 morning, exhibits were identified from A to Q, is that 2 correct, Ms. Rival, we go up through Q, I believe, is 3 the last one? 4 MS. RIVAL: No. O. 5 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: O. Okay. 6 MS. RIVAL: This was a revision, so it is A through 7 0. 8 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you. 9 MS. RIVAL: Sure. 10 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: In accordance with 11 Connecticut General Statutes Section 4-178, the 12 Applicant is hereby noticed that I may take judicial 13 notice of the following documents: The Statewide 14 Healthcare Facility and Services Plan; Facility and 15 Services Inventory; OHS Acute Care Hospital Discharge 16 Database; Hospital Reporting System, also known as HRS, 17 Financial and Utilization Data; and all payer claims 18 database claims data. I may also take administrative 19 notice of prior OHS Final Decisions that may be relevant 20 to this matter. 21 Mr. Carney, are there any additional exhibits to 22 enter into the record at this time? 23 MR. CARNEY: No, Attorney Yandow, not that I am 24 aware of. 25 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you. Counsel

for the Applicant, would you please identify yourself?

MS. FELDMAN: Good morning. My name is Joan Feldman. I am a partner with the law firm of Shipman and Goodwin, and I am counsel for Premier Bariatric Group, PLLC.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you.

Attorney Feldman, are there any objections to the exhibits in the Table of Record, or the Noticed Documents.

MS. FELDMAN: No, there are not.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. All identified and marked exhibits are entered as full exhibits. Attorney Feldman, just to clarify, the full, the legal name of the Applicant, just so we make sure we have it in the record?

MS. FELDMAN: Premier Bariatric Group --

MR. GARBER: Premier Bariatric Surgery, LLC.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. All right, Dr.

Garber, I am going to ask -- okay. When your, I will,
you are a witness in the matter, so I know your attorney
is going to introduce you soon, and I appreciate your
assistance, but I just, at this point, want to, any kind
of legal question I will direct to counsel, Attorney
Feldman.

So Attorney Feldman, Premier Bariatric Group, PLLC?

MS. FELDMAN: That is correct. Doing business as New York Bariatric Group.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: All right. Thank you. We will proceed in the order established in the agenda for today's hearing. The agenda can be found on the CON portal. I would like to advise the Applicant that we may ask questions related to your application that you feel you have already addressed. We will do this for the purpose of ensuring that the public has knowledge about your proposal and for the purpose of clarification. I want to reassure you that we have reviewed your application, completeness responses and prefiled testimony. As this hearing is being held virtually, we ask that all participants to the extent possible, to enable the use of their video cameras when testifying or commenting during the proceedings. Anyone who is not testifying or commenting shall mute their electronic devises, including your telephones, televisions and other devices not being used to access the hearing. All participants shall mute their devices and disable their cameras when we go off the record or take the break. Please be advised that the hearing may be recorded during breaks. It is our intention not to record during breaks, but there is a possibility that that could happen. So just want to advise you, if you

are recording, if the recording is on, any audio or video not disabled during breaks, may be accessible or will be accessible to all participants to this hearing. So I will try to give reminders when we go on breaks, but you will also want to try to remember that.

Public comments during the hearing will likely go in the order established by OHS during the registration process, however I may allow public officials to testify out of order. I, or OHS staff, will call each individual by name when it is his or her turn to speak. Registration for public comment will take place at 2:00 o'clock, and is scheduled to start at 3:00. If the technical portion of this hearing has not been completed by 2:00 o'clock, public comment may be postponed until the technical portion is complete. If the technical portion is complete. If the technical portion is complete before 2:00 p.m., we will break until 3:00. Applicant's witnesses must be available after the public comment, as OHS may have follow-up questions based on the public comment.

Mr. Carney, are there any other housekeeping matters or procedural issues that we need to address before we start?

MR. CARNEY: No Attorney Yandow, I think we are all set.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you.

Attorney Feldman, are we all set? Yes? Okay. You are on mute, so you just want to, do you have an opening statement?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FELDMAN: I have a few comments to make, and thank you for that opportunity.

We are very happy to be here today. We have, as you know, waited for some time. We patiently waited. We are very excited about bringing this proposal to OHS because we think it exemplifies all of the policy directives that OHS has established with respect to offering lower cost, accessible, ambulatory services. As you probably know, the managed care companies, the third-party payers are all shifting what was essentially only inpatient care to ambulatory settings. This is the first proposed ASC that will offer laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in Connecticut. It is a minimally invasive procedure. It takes approximately 35 to 40 minutes. Dr. Garber will go into greater detail and be able to answer all of your questions about the procedure, but it has a very, very minimal complication rate. Patients are on their way again 2 hours after the procedure is completed. They don't have to navigate a hospital. There is less exposure to infection. It's a lower cost procedure format in an ASC by approximately 30 to 40 percent less expensive than an inpatient procedure.

About 80 percent of the patients will qualify to have this procedure performed in the ASC. Basically having been screened using standards for making those types of determinations, and again, I don't want to steal Dr. Garber's thunder, but it really is something that is very much needed in the State of Connecticut. We, it is already being performed at about 14 other states on an ambulatory basis. Premier has just opened an ambulatory center and will begin performing the procedure very shortly in the next couple of days or weeks in New Jersey. It has negotiated with national payers for this lower rate, and has already begun to negotiate in the State of Connecticut. The payers are very excited and encouraged by this opportunity.

It is going to be located in Stamford, Connecticut, which is in Fairfield county, where there is 27 percent incidence of morbid obesity. It will be accessible to Connecticut residents and Westchester County and New York, other New York residents. The thing that is so unique about this particular application, and as Brian and Steve and other staff members know, I have been before OHS many, many times, I am leading with the fact that is a lower cost procedure and that we welcome Medicaid parents. 25 percent of our patient population is covered by Medicaid. Why is that of

interest, well, when you look at the statistics with respect to morbid obesity, there is a higher incidence of morbid obesity among lower socioeconomic groups, individuals that are black and Latino. We also know within the last two years that with the COVID-19 pandemic, that folks who are morbidly obese are at a higher risk for death as a result of COVID. So it is really an important procedure to perform. Dr. Garber can address how it reduces complications, secondary complications that are often associated with morbid obesity, such as heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, sleep apnea, arthritis, all of those very chronic and disabling diseases.

More importantly, in addition to the successful outcomes regarding weight loss, it really makes a very beneficial change in the lives of the individuals that undergo the surgery, in that they are able to become more functional. They are able to go out into society, work again, travel, et cetera.

So, we are very excited about this. This surgery center that they are planning to locate was occupied by a prior ambulatory surgery center. Actually that was grandfathered in before there was a CON process for ASC's, and it's of minimal expense. The only expense is primarily associated with equipment to outfit the two

OR's, one that will be used initially, and the procedure rooms. So I will finish, and if you will allow Dr. Garber to provide his testimony, we can begin.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So your witnesses lined up today are Dr. Garber, anyone else lined up today?

MS. FELDMAN: We don't have any other individuals providing testimony, but we have with us the Chief Operating Officer of Premier, which is Vijay Bachani, and we have the CFO, which is Alan Benson, in the event OHS has any specific questions that are within their area of expertise.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And before I decide, you know, whether or not to call them, could you tell me, Attorney Feldman, basically as far as the COO and the CFO, what their knowledge base is? And if I want more, I'll certainly swear them in and ask them that, but do you, can you tell what it is that they would have to offer?

MS. FELDMAN: Sure. So Mr. Bachani is the Chief
Operating Officer and he will have knowledge regarding
the establishment of the organization. He will have
knowledge about the reimbursement of the organization,
as will Dr. Garber, and staffing issues and just
operational issues and business issues. Mr. Benson will

1 be able to address any questions you have with respect to the Financial Attachment B, regarding our projections 2 3 and things of that nature. 4 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you. Okay. 5 And Dr. Shawn Garber is the only witness that filed 6 prefiled testimony, correct? 7 MS. FELDMAN: Correct. 8 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. All right. Dr. 9 Garber, could you please raise your right hand. 10 11 (Whereupon Dr. Shawn Garber was duly sworn in by 12 Hearing Officer Yandow.) 13 14 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Could you state and 15 spell your name, please. 16 MR. GARBER: Shawn, S-h-a-w-n Garber, G-a-r-b, like 17 boy-e-r. 18 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And what is your 19 title regarding the Applicant? 20 MR. GARBER: I am the Founder and President of 21 Premier Bariatric Surgery, PLLC. 22 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So Dr. Garber, I 23 just want to make you aware, that Attorney Feldman gave 24 us a nice outline about what the evidence is going to 25 show, but your attorney's statements aren't evidence.

1 Evidence has to come from witnesses and from documents. So she set forth a path, hopefully on what kind of 2 information we are going to get today from the 3 4 witnesses. You know, your testimony is going to be 5 helpful, any kind of documentation, if it is already 6 been submitted, you know, please refer to that, anything 7 that will back up any kind of statement you have. Any 8 documents that you have that haven't been submitted, we 9 may seek late files, or if you believe there is 10 something there that we haven't identified, I mean, 11 certainly bring that to our attention. And we will 12 certainly take a break at, you know, some point, too, 13 and you can always, you know, come back to me during 14 this hearing today to tell me other documents that you 15 think might be relevant that we should consider, okay.

Now you did file a prefiled testimony, do you adopt the written testimony that you submitted and that has been filed on CON portal?

MR. GARBER: Yes, I do.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And is, and is that information true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?

MR. GARBER: Yes, it is.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So we have this, I mean, we may also have some public viewing in to get

information. I mean, your document is on the portal.

It is now a full exhibit. You have taken an oath that this is true, but so you can certainly tell me what is in there. Certainly expand, if you need to. And again, refer us to any other documents that are in the record that you think that are helpful and support what you have to state. So, I will turn it over to you, Dr. Garber.

MR. GARBER: First I want to thank Attorney Yandow and the rest of the staff for having me today and looking at our application. Like Ms. Feldman said, we are very enthusiastic about this and we think it is a great opportunity for us to provide care to the residents of Connecticut and increase access to care, which has always been very, very important to myself and our practice.

A little background about New York Bariatric Group, we are the larger bariatric surgery practice in the country. We have over 24 bariatric surgeons, now, more than what we originally had in our application. We have been growing since the application was submitted. We have a comprehensive support structure in place in our practice, which I think is very important for our application to show that we do very comprehensive workups and evaluation of all of our patients prior to

undergoing any kind of surgery.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we employ our own cardiologists, pulmonologists, nutritionists, psychologists, as well as anesthesiologists. The bariatric patient population is very unique in that they have a lot of comorbidities and medical problems, like diabetes, sleep apnea, hypertension. Anesthesiologists need to be specialty trained to take care of bariatric patients and that is why we employ our own. We do a very comprehensive workup on all of our patients. All of our patients, before undergoing any kind of surgery, will see all of these different specialists of cardiology and pulmonary, nutrition and psychology, and if they find anything out of the ordinary in this patient population, then obviously they would take care of it. If they do a cardiac workup and they are having chest pain, we will send them to have their heart checked prior to undergoing surgery. If they have psychological issues that we think they won't perform well after surgery, we will undergo counseling and correct those issues prior to surgery. So we do a very thorough evaluation of everybody, and that has been what we have been doing for, since I started my practice many years ago.

We also set up and run over seven MBSAQIP Center of Excellence Programs at different hospitals throughout New York, New Jersey and Connecticut.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Dr. Garber, I am just going to ask, I don't know if, so I am not a doctor and I am not, some of the acronyms you use may, maybe everybody but me knows what they mean. But I know, like, Attorney Feldman was talking about ASC, ambulatory surgery center, can we agree that ASC is an ambulatory surgery center?

MR. GARBER: Yes. Yes. I will elaborate more on those acronyms for you, I will --

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So just be mindful, you know, those of us taking into consideration -- I mean, my job as the hearing officer is to apply the law to the facts. Okay. So I am not a medical person, so anything you assume might be, you know, it will be helpful for me when you use, at least the first time, tell me what it means.

MR. GARBER: Sure. So MBSAQIP is a program to do
Centers of Excellence for bariatric surgery. It was set
up by the American College of Surgeons and the American
Society of Metabolic and Bariatric Surgeons. Together
they formed this MBSAQIP, which what they do is, is they
certify hospitals to be centers of excellence. And how
they do that is, is we have to have lots of special
procedures and policies in place at each hospital,

proper equipment, proper training of all the staff. We have to have an independent data collector that goes and, that enters data into a centralized database nationally of all of our complication rates, procedures, everything gets entered by an independent person. And we get benchmarked against other programs around the country. Every quarter we get a report from MBSAQIP.

So it is a Center of Excellent program that is nationally recognized by the American College of Surgeons and the American society of Metabolic and Bariatric Surgeons. In all of the hospitals that we work at, we have achieved that status. And we, me or one of my surgeons, have set up and run each one of those programs. Our goal here with this surgery center that we are setting up, is they do MBSAQIP, the Center of Excellence Program, does have defined criteria for ambulatory surgery centers performing bariatric surgery. So our plan is, number one, is to follow all of the strict rules, policies and criteria when setting up our program there, as well as achieving Center of Excellence by that accreditation within the year of opening up the center.

We participate with all the major insurance companies. This is another important thing. So there is a lot of bariatric surgeons I know in the northeast

that are out of network with insurance plans. We are a fully, 100 percent in-network model and do participate with every insurance, as well as we accept Medicare and Medicaid patients. We, New York we do all the managed medicaid plans, Connecticut there is only one Medicaid, Husky, and in Connecticut it is a very large portion of our patient population is the Husky Medicaid. So about 25 to 30 percent of our patients that we treat currently, do take Medicaid. And we look at that as charity caring, that our reimbursement is quite low and that we pretty much lose money on every patient that we take care of with Medicaid, we lose money. Second to the reimbursement as compared to what we pay our surgeons. But it is very important to us as organization is to treat all patients and really help the community. Because obesity, as everyone knows, is a huge epidemic in the U.S. and growing every single day. There is always news articles. It affects lots of different medical problems that patients get, like diabetes, high blood pressure, sleep apnea, joint disease, a high degree of depression in morbidly obese patients. And like my attorney mentioned earlier, it disproportionally affects the lower socioeconomic classes and black and Latino communities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I just want to just touch

base, and I don't mean to interrupt, but that was one of the things that you stated, and I know that there was a link in documents that you filed. So when I clicked on that link, I didn't find the information about the black community and the Hispanic community. So maybe,

Attorney Feldman, if you could check that link and I just want to make sure that information is there based on the population that you say it affects. I did go through documents, I clicked on links, I clicked on the footnote link. I know that the link in the prefiled testimony, I couldn't get on those through the links, but I could get on through the links through the application.

So if, that was something I did want to follow-up on. So maybe, Attorney Feldman, on a break or something, can take a look to see where that information is and refer us later. Okay. I am sorry, Dr. Garber, go ahead.

MR. GARBER: No problem, at all.

I want give to you a little background of what is laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, because like you said, a lot of people, again, might not be aware of what that is. It is the most commonly performed procedure right now for weight loss in the United States. So over the years there has been evolutions of different procedures.

Many years ago, many people might have heard or something called gastric bypass surgery. Then there was lap band surgery. Sleeve gastrectomy has been around for quite some time, probably for over 15, 16 years, and it is now the number one most common surgery being performed in the United States for weight loss. What laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy is, it is a minimally invasive procedure done laparoscopically through five little tiny incisions about a quarter of an inch each. We put a camera inside the abdomen and we watch what we are doing on a TV screen. And then we staple and remove about 70 percent of the patients stomach and pull it out through the bellybutton. And we make the stomach into a long thin lube like a sleeve. A lot of people think that you put a sleeve in, it really what we do is we change the shape of the stomach into looking like a So it becomes a long thin tube. And this has sleeve. been shown to be very effective in helping people lose a large majority of their excess weight.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the surgery is extremely successful. The long-term success rate of sleeve gastrectomy is the majority of patients will lose about 80 percent of their excess weight within one to two years after surgery.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And that is, so going back, just to step back, when you are talking about what

the surgery entails, just give me a little more detail as far as, you bring in an anesthesiologist, the patient is -- I mean, I, you know, just, I need to be spoon fed, you know, what is the procedure so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GARBER: No problem at all. So the patients undergo their extensive workup in our office prior to surgery where they see all these different specialists, with I mentioned earlier. They come in, they get preadmission testing. We do preop blood work on them. They come in, they have, undergo extensive education require to surgery. We document all the risks, benefits, alternatives, obtain specific consents specific for the procedure. They come in, they will meet with an anesthesiologist that will evaluate the patient. The patient would be brought into the operating room. They will undergo general anesthesia, so they do get a breathing tube inserted. The nice thing about some of the newer technology in, many years ago, 20 years ago when I first started doing bariatric surgery, sometimes it would be difficult to put a breathing tube in an obese patient because they have very thick necks and it would be hard to get the breathing tube in. Now there is great technology called the Glide Scope, which is actually a camera where they put in the back of the throat, and under direct

visualization they can easily put those tubes in nowadays, and obviously we would have those at the center. So really the risk of putting patients, obese patients to sleep is very, very minimal nowadays with the new technology that we have. Once they are asleep, we prep and drape their abdomens, and then we make five little tiny holes, like I said, a quarter to a half inch each. We put little tubes inside the belly and we put camera in the belly, and we have TV screens that we are watching what we do. And then through those other four tubes, we insert instruments where we staple and divide the stomach. We have a special device that does three rows of staples on each side of the stomach and then cuts the middle. And we staple and divide the stomach after placing a tube in the mouth, so we know it is the proper size.

We then take that piece of stomach and we pull it out through the bellybutton. And that is pretty much the end of the procedure. And usually it takes, I have done these in 20, 25 minutes. Some surgeons are 35, 40 minutes, but it is a relativity quick procedure. After the procedure they are, the anesthesiologist wakes them up, they take the breathing tube out, they go to the recovery room. Usually within, within an hour we get them out of bed, get them to start walking, and they

should be able to go home within about two hours, would be the average length of stay of how long they would be in the center. You are muted.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Is it moderate or deep sedation?

MR. GARBER: It is general anesthesia, so it is deep sedation. It is general anesthesia, so it's deep, it's completely general anesthesia, so that's as deep as anesthesia can be.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GARBER: So why do we think that we should approve laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in a surgery center? We think it is a much more cost effective option, as opposed to right now all of the surgeries that we do in the State of Connecticut are done in the hospital as an inpatient basis. The amount of reimbursement that the hospital are getting paid by payers is much higher than what we are going to be able to do it for in the surgery center.

so like mentioned earlier, we have already established a surgery center in New Jersey, in Paramus New Jersey. We took ownership of it. It was an existing ASC that we took over the license, and we took over about four months ago. I have been aggressively negotiating with all of the major payers in New Jersey.

And as of last week, we have completed 100 percent of the payers. We do have agreements with, to perform laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in the surgery center and approximately a 30 to 40 percent reduction of what they were paying the hospitals. So the insurance companies are extremely excited about being able to move the patients from the inpatient to the ASC setting.

I have begun discussions with, in Connecticut, with Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield. We have a meeting set up next week to start discussing rates, being hopeful that we get approval for ASC. The other payers are all national payers, like United, Cigna, Aetna, which we have agreements with, which also are big payers within Connecticut, so we are pretty confident that we would get the same exact agreements that we have in those, with those major payers in Connecticut, as well.

As far as Medicaid, which I know is big part of the application, so currently, CMS, which is Centers for, I am not sure what CMS stands for, it is Medicare. So CMS does not have a reimbursement rate for sleeve gastrectomy in an ASC setting. Therefore Medicaid, and specifically Husky Medicaid does not have any rates set to perform sleeve gastrectomy in an ASC setting. So right off the bat, we are not able to do Medicaid patients in the surgery center. But I have reached out

to Bradley Richards many times. I have sent a lot of documentation that we sent to your office, about the benefits and safety of sleeve gastrectomy in the ASC, and he is generally supportive of the concept, but he is in the process of doing a fiscal analysis for that. So I have had many communications with him on that, and the hope is that they will approve it with the rate and will start doing it in the ASC.

We also are committed to doing five percent charity care for patients. So patients that have no insurance, can't afford it, we are either going to do very reduced rates based on financial need or even offer free surgery to patients in the community, because we do believe that this is an epidemic and there is many, most private practices do not take Medicaid parents into their, into their office. But we have, and we continue to.

We think that, like I said earlier, there is about a 30 percent savings over inpatient. Another example of why this is needed is self-pay rates. So we see a small percentage of patients that self-pay for surgery. The rates for the hospitals in Connecticut are higher than New York and New Jersey. So most, currently most of our patients that do self-pay, they end up having their surgery done in New York or New Jersey because it is lower self-pay rates. So the prices in Connecticut are

much higher. And by offering an ASC where we control
the rates, we are going to able to offer a much more
affordable solution for patients without insurance to be
able to have the procedure done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was an article that we submitted with our application of in SOARD, which is the Surgery for Obesity And Related Diseases, that was published in 2018, where they looked at 3,162 patients from nine different ambulatory surgery centers and they showed that laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in an ASC setting is safe with low complication rates. When thinking about what is this equivalent to, to proceed as you already approve in a surgery center, it is very similar to approval for a gallbladder. So laparoscopic cholecystectomy, or removal of a gallbladder, which is the organ attached to the liver, is now routinely being done at ambulatory surgery centers. And the risk of that is very similar to the risk of the sleeve gastrectomy. So we don't think there is any really added risk.

We think we can bring 80 percent of our patients that currently are done in the hospital to the ambulatory surgery center. There will still be about 20 percent of the patients that would be too high risk with severe sleep apnea or heart disease, that will still

need to be done in the hospital setting. So we are not going to be moving 100 percent of our cases to the ambulatory surgery center, but we are estimating, and it is a little bit of a guess, but looking at our data we think it's pretty accurate, about 80 percent of our patients into the surgery center.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So like I had mentioned earlier, and one of our other exhibits is the MBSAQIP, which we mentioned earlier, which is the organization for the American College of Surgeons and American Society of Metabolic and Bariatric Surgeons, they do have a set criteria which we have submitted with our application, the MBSAQIP criteria to achieve Center of Excellence. there is a Section 1.2, which talks about low acuity patient and procedure selection. So in there has very specific defined criteria of which patients should be done safely, that can be done safely in a surgery center, and it is based on BMI. BMI is body mass index. It is your ratio of height to weight. So if you are taller, you need to weigh more to have a higher BMI. So the criteria we use in general for surgery, is a body mass index of 35, which is, or with any medical problem related to obesity, like diabetes, hypertension or sleep apnea, or a BMI, or body mass index of 40 or greater with no medical problems qualifies for surgery.

those are the criteria that has been around forever.

That is the criteria that all the insurance companies
use to approve bariatric surgery, and those are the

criteria that we follow, as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The MBSAQIP 1.2 low acuity patient procedure selection does limit the BMI's and age criteria of who can be done safely in the surgery center, and they recommend ages of eight to 65, males with a BMI less than 55 and females with a BMI less than 60. And the reason the difference between males and females are, a lot of people probably aren't aware, but males and females carry their fat very differently. Males typically carry most of their fat in the central part of their abdomen and body. Men are more typically have more medical problems like diabetes. It is a much more unhealthy way to carry weight. Where females, we call them as pear shaped, more often carry a lot of the fat and weight in their buttocks and thighs and lower body. And their abdomens are smaller. They usually have less medical problems and easier to do the surgery on a female, than a male because there is less fat around the organs, believe it not. So the BMI criteria is definitely a little bit higher for females versus males. And then they can't have any organ failure, they can't have an organ transplant and no significant cardiac or

pulmonary disease. And like I said, all of our patients are undergoing cardiac and pulmonary workups prior to surgery. So we plan on following this criteria exactly because we want, we want to apply to achieve MBSAQIP Center of Excellence at our center.

There is a section that, I think it is page, I'll tell you what section, Section 8.1 in the MBSAQIP is where they -- sorry, I think it is actually 8.2, is where they define the criteria for performing bariatric surgery, specifically staple procedures, which is laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy, in an ambulatory surgery center. So you can reference that for these criteria. And like I said, these criteria are set up by the American College of Surgeons and the American Society of Metabolic and Bariatric Surgeons.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And what document was that again, please?

MR. GARBER: It is the MBSAQIP Centers -- it is MBSAQIP Criteria Handbook.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Is that --

MR. GARBER: Is the --

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Is that attached to the application, or is that --

MR. GARBER: It is within the application. It might have been submitted in one of the follow-up

questionnaires, but it definitely was submitted to you.

And if not, we can get you another copy of it. But I am

pretty confident that you have a copy of that somewhere.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. We will certainly look at the whole record.

MR. GARBER: Okay. So like, like earlier was, it was said, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy is currently in 14 different states in the U.S. It is in Ohio,
Nevada, California, Texas, Georgia, Washington State,
Arizona, Kansas, Indiana, Illinois, Delaware, Oregon, as well as New Jersey. The New Jersey ASC we plan on starting January 1st, if we are going to start doing first sleeve gastrectomy in the ASC. We have not done it yet and we have been waiting to negotiate the payer contracts, which took a little long than expected. But like I said, they are all completed and we are starting January 1.

So a little bit about the target population, which was mentioned earlier. Obesity is on the rise. It is a major epidemic in the U.S. In Connecticut 29 percent of the population is obese, and in Fairfield County 27 percent are obese. Another point, which we think is important, which everyone, has been on everyone's radars lately is COVID. There is a much higher risk of death from COVID and being hospitalized for the morbidly obese

patients. We saw it on the news when COVID epidemic There was good data published by NYU that a good hit. percentage of the patients that get hospitalized are morbidly obese and there is much higher risk. So, we think that bringing patients to a lower acuity place, like an ASC, patients will feel a lot more comfortable. So we got a lot of pushback after, once COVID hit, we were all shutdown for a couple months. Havoc everywhere. I am sure you guys had plenty of havoc yourselves. And we, since then, we have patients that sometimes are scared, they don't want to go to the hospital for surgery. They're like, there is COVID there, I don't want to go there. And we know that has affected lots of different things. People with cancer are dying. We are seeing epidemics everywhere in all different types of medicine, that people aren't going for their routine colonoscopies and breast imaging. So we know that because of COVID, everyone put their health on hold, and we think that offering this lower acuity place, where patients can come and not be part of a hospital, they will be much more apt to have surgery. Right now in the United States, we only operate on one percent of the patient population that qualify for bariatric surgery. So a lot of it is awareness. A lot of people are scared of surgery, but we at the New York

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bariatric Group do a really good job on educating patients. We do offer free seminars to patient's regularly at all different hospitals. We do online seminars, now, like we are doing right here. So we really believe in educating the community about this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obesity leads to all these medical problems and costs the government and insurance companies hundreds of millions of dollars a year related to all the comorbidities. Type two diabetes, which is adult onset diabetes, is almost always related to obesity. Sleep apnea, almost always related to obesity. Those medical problems go away when we do surgery on these patients. So we cure that Type 2 Diabetes, we cure sleep apnea. We cure high blood pressure. We cure all these different medical problems by doing this procedure. So it really is a life changing procedures for patients to reduce their comorbidities and increase their life spans. We know that morbidly obese patients die maybe seven, eight years earlier than non-morbidly obese patients.

As far as cost savings, like I said, from the rates that we have negotiated from what, we don't know definitively what hospitals get. I kind of have a general understanding of what hospitals get from the facility fees, that our rates are about 30 to 40 percent

less than what the hospitals are getting paid.

In conclusion, I would like to say, I thank you all for considering the application. We really think that this is a great service that we can provide to the community for patients in need that can't attain this necessary surgery that is life changing, decreases medical issues, decrease the general cost of medical care overall to the State, as well as to the insurance companies. And we think that by offering this lower cost alternative, we will increase access to care and we will just help make the community healthier.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. I know OHS staff has some questions for you, but I have some first. And you will, I may chime in with some of their questions. But sort of on the top of my head, and I think you have gone through the 19(a)-639 criteria, because I see in your written testimony here you, sort of, tried to break it down in some of the pieces. And one of the the parts of the law that we have to look at is whether there is a clear public need for the health care facility or service processed by the Applicant. So I know you have given me a lot of general information, so I would like to focus it on Connecticut. I mean, how, and I'll follow-up with some questions, but let me just, sort of, put this out and let me -- I am

interested, how many Connecticut patients do you work, do you deal with, now? Why do you think if you do this you are going to get some patients in the, in this center? I know you talked about the COVID and, but I don't know what kind of numbers we are talking about. mean, how many patients do you now do this procedure on in hospitals? What hospitals do you do them in? Why doing this, why can't, is the change just about saving money or is, can you not get to all your patients because you can't get, you know, time in the hospitals? I don't know. I don't know what the need is specific to Connecticut. So if you could, sort of, explain a little bit more about how, what the clear need is in Connecticut and the area that you are looking to, you know, to start this facility.

MR. GARBER: So currently we perform all of our surgeries at Saint Vincent's Hospital in Bridgeport, as well as Saint Francis Hospital in Hartford, are the two hospitals where we perform all of our surgeries. In 2020, we took care of 439 Connecticut Medicaid patients. Overall in the practice, we are doing approximately about 4,500 bariatric surgeries a year. In Connecticut, separately, we have to, I have to get that data, I don't have that offhand, to be honest with you.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Those are numbers

we are going to need. We are going to need a breakdown.

So if we could have a late filed exhibit, and I'll

certainly let Jessica or Brian certainly with their -
MS. FELDMAN: I just want to -- they are all in the

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Those numbers, the breakdown by state?

application, those numbers.

MS. FELDMAN: In the State of Connecticut with respect to the need and Fairfield County, all of that information is in the application. We did not provide data on other states.

MR. GARBER: So somewhere, if I could read a little bit from the application. In, based, this is based on 2019 data as far as what qualifies. In Fairfield County alone, there is about 198,000 patients that are obese, about 27 percent of the population. Of those, one percent will choose bariatric surgery, which is about 1,982 patients. So we estimated in, about 2,542 prospective patients. That is what is in our application. In that area.

As far as what we are currently doing, Vijay or Alan, if you don't mind, if you can pull up quickly that number of patients in Connecticut, we can get you the actual number of cases that we have done. But we are doing, probably, I am averaging, I am guessing -- we

1 will go back to the actual data, we will get you right now. About -- Alan or Vijay, do you have that? 2 3 Probably about 40 cases a month. 4 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And I am going, is it Mr. 5 Bachani -- am I pronouncing it correctly? Is it 6 Bachani, Bachani. 7 MR. BACHANI: Yes, correct. My name is Vijay 8 Bachani. I am the Chief Operating Officer at Premier. 9 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Mr. Bachani, could 10 I have you raise your right hand, please. 11 12 (Whereupon Mr. Vijay Bachani was duly sworn in by 13 Hearing Officer Yandow.) 14 15 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And please state 16 and spell your name for the record. And if you could 17 also state your title. 18 MR. BACHANI: First name is Vijay, V-i-j-a-y last 19 name is Bachani, B-a-c-h-a-n-i. And my title is Chief 20 Operating Officer. 21 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So do you have any 22 information that Dr. Garber was just addressing? 23 MR. BACHANI: Yes, if you give me a minute, I am 24 actually looking it up right now. 25 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And while you are doing

1 that, Mr. Benson, could I have you unmute yourself for a 2 second. Thank you. 3 MR. BENSON: Sure. 4 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Mr. Benson, can you raise 5 your right hand, please. 6 7 (Whereupon Mr. Alan Bachani was duly sworn by 8 Hearing Officer Yandow.) 9 10 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you. 11 you please spell your name and give your title for the 12 record, please. 13 MR. BENSON: Sure. My name is Alan Benson, that is 14 A-l-a-n B, as in boy-e-n-s-o-n. I am the Chief 15 Financial Officer. 16 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Thank you. 17 there is no pending question to you right now, Mr. 18 Benson, but just in case one comes, I just thought we 19 would get that out of the way. 20 MR. BENSON: Sure. 21 MR. GARBER: In the meantime I could talk about as 22 far as what I think the advantages of why moving it to 23 the ASC -- I think that, a couple of things, I think one 24 is, I think patients will be more apt to have surgery

based that they can go home the same day and have it

25

done in the surgery center.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I just, I have a question.

I am sorry. Leslie, am I the only one, somehow Mr.

Garber's testimony sometimes gets a little static --

MR. GARBER: I think it is Ms. Feldman's, when she is off mute is when it happens.

MS. GREER: Yes, that is correct.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. I just didn't know if it is my speaker or if it is, so that is okay. So you are getting that also. So Dr. Garber, we will certainly stop you if your testimony isn't clear. I just didn't know if that was on my end or your end.

MR. GARBER: Sure. So a few things is, one is I think that by offering an alternative setting versus the hospital, I think number one, a lot of patients are scared to be in the hospital. They are scared to spend a night in the hospital. They increasingly scared because of COVID, of what is going on in the world right now. So I think those are reasons why patients will prefer and probably be more apt to have surgery in an ambulatory setting. Patients didn't like to spend the night in the hospital. Patients are scared of hospitals. We know that in general.

Second is, I think that the lower cost alternative is a big part of it. So right now, if it is done in a

hospital setting, the costs are much, much higher. So if they have co-pays and coinsurances and have to pay a portion of that bill, it might be unaffordable for patients, then. By bringing it to a lower cost setting, they will have lower coinsurances, lower deductibles. I think also, the fact that we are going to offer five percent charity care. So there is no hospital, I can bring, if someone has no insurance and that were to be done at any of these hospitals that I work at, they are not letting me do it for free. But we are willing to do that to serve the community.

So I think a combination of those factors will increase the availability that more patients will choose to have surgery because of the more cost effective, as well as they feel more comfortable being in an ambulatory center, with less infection, less risk for COVID, as well as not being in a hospital.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Mr. Bachani, you look like have some information.

MR. BACHANI: Yes, I have some updated numbers for you. So in the State of Connecticut, in the trailing 12 months, we did, with the two hospitals of Saint Francis and Saint Vincent's, I have 540 bariatric surgeries that we did.

MR. GARBER: And of note is out volume has been

growing by approximately 20 to 30 percent year over year. We have just hired a fourth full-time bariatric surgeon for the State of Connecticut, so we had three. We have a fourth one that is going to be starting very shortly. So we are growing by 20 to 30 percent year over year.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And as I said, I will have more questions as we go along, but I know that OHS staff has some prepared questions for you. So Mr. Carney, do you want to go ahead and start?

MR. CARNEY: Sure, Attorney Yandow. Let me just pull up my questions.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay.

MR. CARNEY: Brian Carney from OHS. So first couple of questions sort of relate to, sort of, generally clear public need and volumes. So I am looking at page 21 of the prefiled, and it provides a volumes broken down by service type. In addition to bariatric LSG, there will be general surgery, endoscopies and plastic surgery. Can you please discuss these other services that you plan to provide and how they relate to the bariatric LSG, are they directly related or --

MR. GARBER: Sure. So as far as endoscopies, so all of our patients are required to have a preoperative

endoscopy prior to undergoing a sleeve gastrectomy. The reason being is we need to know their stomach anatomy so we can see if they have a hiatal hernia where the stomach has slid up into the chest, if they have any tumors in the stomach, any ulcers in the stomach. So we do a full evaluation of everyone with a preoperative endoscopy.

Also intraoperatively, so at the end of the sleeve gastrectomy, during the actual surgery, we always do an endoscopy at the end of the surgery, where we put a scope down, we look inside the sleeve. We make sure it looks good, as well as we inflate air and we put water around the stomach, we look for air bubbles, kind of like a tire leak, to make sure everything looks good before we leave the operating room.

And the postoperatively some patients postoperatively might get reflux or they might have issues, and we might do a postoperative endoscopy on the patient. So we do a very large number of endoscopies. I think Vijay can maybe pull up some data on how many endoscopies we do a year, but we do thousands and thousands of endoscopies every year.

As far as general surgery, so there will be patient population postoperatively they could develop gallbladder issues. So there is a connection with rapid

weight loss to develop gallstones, and some of those patients might need their gallbladder removed laparoscopically. So laparoscopic cholecystectomy would be one of the most common general surgery cases we might do, as well as hernia repairs. So people that are morbidly obese, we make these little wounds, there is a lot more tension on those wounds, and the risk for developing a postoperative hernia on one of those wounds is higher than the general population that is not obese. So we will also probably be doing hernia operations.

Those are probably the two most common type of general surgery cases, which are commonly done in ASC's now, that we would be doing in our current patient population.

As far as plastic surgery. We had one plastic surgeon. We just hired three more plastic surgeons. One that is full time for Connecticut that just started recently. So patients that lose a large amount of excess weight commonly develop intertrigo, which is a rash underneath the hanging skin folds of the abdomen. And insurance companies, believe it or not, they do approve it as a medically necessary procedure, a panniculectomy, which is removing the excess skin of the abdomen. So that is very commonly done in our patient population. As well as patients want cosmetic things,

patients will have droopy breasts and want breast lifts. Patients will want arms, they have hanging skin of the arms, or they want thigh lifts. So these are, it is very, very common that our patient population will end up with plastic surgery, probably a minimum of 50 percent of our patient population after rapid weight loss will want some kind of plastic surgery to remove their excess skin. Some of those procedures are medically necessary procedures that are covered by insurance, like the panniculectomy, and that is the plastic surgery portion that we are talking about. So these are all related to the same patient population we are talking about.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Thank you Dr. Garber. Moving on. Page 21 of the prefiled states that the projected outpatient volume is based on the Applicant's actual inpatient LSG volumes within the state, within the target service area, appropriate for shifting to an ASC setting. I think it mentioned something like 17 percent. So I believe you said that the hospitals, you only right now are currently performing bariatric surgery at two hospitals, would be at Saint Vincent's and Saint Francis?

MR. GARBER: Yes.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. All right. Which hospital was

used to determine the outpatient volume at the proposed ASC in Stamford?

MR. GARBER: I think we used both hospitals volumes combined to determine that, the volume. So we took the total volume we are currently doing, and we are assuming about 80 percent of it could be done in an ASC setting and 20 percent would stay in the hospitals.

MR. CARNEY: All right. So basically, 80 percent, you are calculating 80 percent of the volume at Saint Vincent's and Saint Francis could be performed at the proposed ASC. Okay.

MR. GARBER: Correct.

MR. CARNEY: What is the 17 percent you were talking about, how did you determine that 17 threshold for inclusion? Is that based on, of the population -- you mentioned, the 17 percent.

MR. GARBER: 27 percent of the patient population are in Fairfield is considered obese. I don't know if that is what you are referring to, the 27 percent.

MR. CARNEY: I think -- it is on page 21 of the prefiled. Page 21 on the prefiled says, outpatient volume is based on actual inpatient LSG volumes within the target service area, appropriate for shifting to an ASC. Approximately 17 percent of the population of the target service area eligible for LSG, plus anticipated

growth of 20 percent. So two paragraphs below your volume table on page 21.

MS. FELDMAN: Brian, would you please let us know what the table is that you are referring to?

MR. CARNEY: Yeah, the 17 percent comes from a paragraph below the table. The table is the utilization projections.

MR. GARBER: I found it.

MR. CARNEY: Page 21. It is in the issues.

MR. GARBER: So 17 percent of the primary service area, so we are saying that the 2,542 prospective patients in Westchester and Fairfield?

MR. CARNEY: Yes.

MR. GARBER: And if we operated on 17 percent of them, it is 425 cases, is how we did that calculation.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. And what does, what does the 17 percent represent, Doctor? How did you determine, like 17 percent of the population would be eligible, I guess?

MR. GARBER: Well, I think we used, we took what we, at the time when we did the application, I think we were doing about 425 cases a year. So we took 425 cases a year and divided by the 2,542 to get the 17 percent. So now it is actually probably higher because as Vijay said, in our trailing 12 months, we have been like 580 something cases. So the numbers are actually higher

than what the application was. This was a year ago.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Still looking at that table, so the volumes in the table appear to bill surgical cases and procedures, and it appears that individual patients, you know, would receive, like, the bariatric surgery, plus they would receive at least two endoscopies.

Multiple services. So what I am looking for is, what, what would be the projection of unduplicated patients in that table. So you have totals of 2016, 2419, 2903, same page, what are we talking about as far as actual patient, unduplicated patient volume?

MR. GARBER: Well I would say, not looking at that number, just based on current numbers. I would say 80 percent of the, figure we are close to 600 cases, 80 percent of that, about 480 unique patients, I would estimate. 80 percent of what our current volume is in the trailing 12 months.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. I would like to ask for a late file related to that, Attorney Feldman. I would like to have those projections presented by unduplicated patients. And sort of a description to, as to how they were arrived at.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: There will probably be several late filed exhibits, and we will go over them at the end of the hearing. Attorney Feldman, you will

probably want to keep an ongoing list. I know Ms. Rival will be keeping an ongoing list, and I will issue an order at the end of the hearing about when these are due. So I will ask you, and I am sure on a break you can consult with your client, regarding how long it would take to come up with this information.

MS. FELDMAN: May I just ask for clarification for Mr. Carney, right now?

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FELDMAN: Okay. So Mr. Carney, in the application itself, we projected very conservatively the volume of cases that we would see in Fairfield County based on the statistics of individuals with obesity in Fairfield County, okay. And then in follow-up, I believe there completeness questions, correct, where we are referring to that chart regarding the 17 percent. I believe that was raised in a completeness request -- oh, no, it was in the prehearing. I am sorry. It was in the prehearing issues. Again, that number was a conservative low number. So you're asking for us to determine the volume of unduplicated procedures. have the number of patients that are going to have LSG. All those other procedures are associated with those patients. You are asking us to clarify, what? I am a little confused.

MR. CARNEY: So, you have a volume projected volume table, but the total of 2016, 2419, 2903.

MS. FELDMAN: Right.

MR. CARNEY: Is that the number of patients that will correspond to just bariatric LSG --

MS. FELDMAN: Right. Those are unique patients.

And the other procedures are associated with those 425

patients. There might be some people, perhaps, Dr.

Garber, who are, you know, maybe have endoscopies and

you decide not to do the surgery on them. But for the

most part, those procedures that follow, these are not

people that are coming in off the street for plastic

surgery. These are not people that are coming in to

have an upper GI. These are people that are having

procedures performed at the surgery center in connection

with the LSG procedure that, it is usually postop, post

LSG.

MR. CARNEY: Right. So but that, that is really still not getting the answer. I really want you to give me the unduplicated number of patients, because the 425 is for bariatric, but then you are saying you are doing additional procedures for plastic surgery, which may come after the initial bariatric LSG. That still would be a legitimate unduplicated patient. So I would like to see a better, a better estimate with a total of

unduplicated patients for those three years, and also for you to revise the payer mix to reflect that same total of unduplicated patients.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Because my question with that chart, if I am looking at it, so if it's, as Dr. Garber, and my question goes to Dr. Garber, 420 LSG's, but there is 1,273 endoscopies. So are you saying there is like, you know, 800 -- whatever the math is -- of people that you say, we are not going to do it, is that what you are --

No. So there's patients that get MR. GARBER: No. multiple endoscopies. There are, there is going to be patients that we operated on three years ago that, before we even had an ASC, they are going to come in for endoscopies just having issues postoperatively. people have bariatric endoscopies, we have -- so there is medical reasons why people might need to receive endoscopies yearly after surgery and it could be patients that were never operated on in ASC. We based our endoscopy numbers off, based on the current endoscopy volume that we are doing currently. And being able to move all of that, 100 percent of that to the There is no reason, 100 percent of our endoscopy ASC. volume can be moved to the ASC. So the endoscopy estimates are based on what are we currently doing. We

are looking at 20, 30 percent growth, and that is how we calculated our projected endoscopies. As far as the, if you look at Table E, we estimated that in 2022, we are going to do 743 bariatric cases and in 2023 we are going to do about 900 and, no, 800 -- a little over 900 cases. And in 2024, do a little bit over 1,000 bariatric cases. And that is by taking our current volume and then, and then adding the 20 to 30 percent volume. And you can see based on the way our volume has grown from the initial application to now, we should be able to achieve that 743 by 2022, would be my guess we would probably do that.

So I think, our numbers were based on historical volumes that we were doing, and estimating with our 20, 30 percent growth, which we have historically done over the past five years that we looked at, and that is how we got our numbers.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So in 2021, the current year, and I know we are a couple weeks away from the end, but how many endoscopies in Connecticut? Just give me a ballpark. I don't know if it is in there, because I have a question --

MR. GARBER: Yeah, they would have to -- I wouldn't know that offhand, but Vijay could probably pull that out.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So let's just say, you know, I am just making a hypothetical here. Let's say you do 1,000, you did 1,000 endoscopies, were those all done in either Saint Vincent's or Saint Francis?

MR. GARBER: Yes. Or done in our endoscopy, we have an endoscopy suite in Westchester, as well.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: In New York?

MR. GARBER: Yes.

MR. BENSON: Since July, we have done 313 endoscopies in Connecticut.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: 313 in Connecticut.

MR. GARBER: Since July. So that is just, this July?

MR. BENSON: Yes, July '21.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So say, say that you double it. Let's just do the ballpark of 600, I am not saying that is the number, but say you did 600 in Connecticut. How do you get to doubling that for 2022?

MR. GARBER: I think, because as our patient volume grows by 20, 30 percent, the endoscopy business is going to grow higher than the surgery volume, because every patient needs, every patient is guaranteed to need two endoscopies. They need a preop endoscopy and they need one during the sleeve gastrectomy. And then there is a ceratin percentage that will need postop endoscopies.

If everything is straightforward, they have no history of any issues, we usually have one year postop, we recommend an endoscopy to check the sleeve and see how everything is. So that's three endoscopies on the average patient.

Then you have patients that have real issues that might need it more often. People get a stricture and might need to have it, have endoscopies to check it every month for three months in a row. People might get an ulcer and they might need repeat endoscopies, so, but on the average patient we will probably get about three endoscopies within the year of the surgery. So they will get one preop, they will get one intraop and then a year postop we do a screening endoscopy on all patients. So each patient gets about three endoscopies.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: The ones that go to Westchester, are they the Bridgeport patients? I mean, just going to Saint Francis from Westchester is quite a distance.

MR. GARBER: Yeah, so currently we do a one-day workup process where the patient comes in one day, they see cardiology, pulmonary, nutrition, psychology and have an endoscopy all in one day. So we make the workup process very easy. We currently do not do that and offer that in Connecticut at the moment. So patients

are willing to drive to our Westchester location to do a workup of one day because the convenience of taking one day off from work, and they have the whole workup completed, as opposed to, in Connecticut, having to go to six different doctors on six different days. We do plan on, at the, adjacent to the surgery center in Stamford, we have adjoining office space that we have a lease signed on, we are planning on moving that one-day workup to Stamford right next to the ASC. So they are going to come in one day, do the cardiology, pulmonary, nutrition, psych and then they are going to go in the ASC and have an endoscopy. So all those patients currently going to Westchester, are going to be done in Stamford once we get the ASC.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Brian, I don't know if my questions were helpful or not, or they just, but I am sorry, you can go ahead.

MR. CARNEY: Yes, no, they are fine. And I am not saying, like, these numbers aren't valid, but I really want to take a look at actual patients being served.

And I see endoscopy a little bit different of a procedure compared to the surgeries. It is a little bit more entailed, and I would like to, like to have a look at, tightened up the projections based on unduplicated patients.

MR. GARBER: Yeah, I think we can definitely do that for you. I don't think it will be a problem. We can look at the number of surgeries we did, which are all unique bariatric surgeries, and then we can look at how many patients we estimate will have other procedures that didn't have the bariatric and add those on to get the number of unique patients. I think we can figure that out for you and we will submit something.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. That would be great. And then something related which, you know, you talked about your procedure is done inpatient basis at the two hospitals, and I don't think you probably, well, I would like it in writing anyway, give me a number, but I would like to have you provide the three historical years of volume for each hospital, Saint Vincent's and Saint Francis, where you're performing bariatric surgery on an inpatient basis. So I would, you know, I would give us, you know, the different categories, service categories that you have included there, as well, just so we have idea of volumes, because that is where, you know, we are basing your projected volumes at your proposed facility.

MR. GARBER: Yes. So, sorry to interrupt. But the projected volume is that, plus right now all of our Westchester patients are being done in Westchester, and we think, and being done as inpatient at New York

Presbyterian Hudson Valley Hospital in Westchester. We think it, Stamford is pretty close to Westchester, and we think a good percentage of those patients are going to be wanting to come to the ambulatory surgery center in Stamford. So it is also we are going to be moving a volume of that patient, so we can, if you want, we can give you the volume that we are doing in Westchester, as well. And then I think there will be a small volume from Manhattan also that might come. But that will probably be a small volume that we predict.

MR. CARNEY: So, absolutely, Doctor, yes. So anything used in coming up with your projection that you can provide, you know, as evidence as to where that volume would be coming from, that would be gratefully, helpful, you know, regardless of, you know, the state, the volume is based on, as you said, you know, volume from New York, as well.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Yes. So Dr. Garber, so anything, anything we are asking for, I mean, the burden is yours, you bring the application, so we only have what you give us. So, you know, these are analysts that, you know, work on these numbers. And, you know, need to look at, in projecting, you have to fill the criteria in 19(a)-639. So any information you can give us is certainly going to be to your benefit when we are

analyzing the application. If these questions are being asked, it is because there are questions about the numbers. So will these follow-ups. So, but again, you know, the burden is yours. We are not digging up the information, you are giving us the information.

MR. GARBER: No problem, at all. I think that is very easy data for us to provide. But that is how we got to our number, is basically a certain percentage of Westchester we think that will come there, a certain percentage of Manhattan, a lower percentage, that will come there, we looked at 80 percent of being able to move to outpatient and then, and we factored those numbers, is where we got our numbers. So we will give you the breakdown exactly how we calculated those numbers with actual numbers from each of those hospitals.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Yeah, so you want to do that and you want to back it up with any kind of information. I mean, because with a, you know, it's, we can't just have a, I'll build it and they will come, kind of, you know, that doesn't fit the criteria. We need the evidence, the numbers, the information that is going to support any kind of finding that is going to be recommended to the Executive Director. Okay. So that is why we are asking. So it is not just bringing up the

numbers. How did you get there? What information do you have that got you to those numbers, that is what is helpful for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FELDMAN: Hearing Officer Yandow, I just want to reemphasize, and I know this is not testimony, but in my role as healthcare counsel for many of the health systems in the state, negotiating managed care agreements and the managed care payers do not want procedures performed on an inpatient basis. So what we presented in this application, that theme is running on a parallel track. Our projections are very conservative projections. And you will see, even in our financial pro forma, we did not include Medicare and Medicaid. We believe that Medicare will, by 2023 or 2024 the latest, remove this procedure from inpatient only and recommend that it be performed on an ambulatory basis. We know that that is the direction that the Department of Social Services is considering right now with respect, with respect to its population because it will save them a lot of money. None of that was included in our, in our projections, because it won't be paid for right now. But that is volume that we will have.

What we did was look at the statistics and there are citations to all of this data in the application, including earlier you had mentioned you could not find

the data support for the impact on the minority population. There are three cites in the application that have that. Two in the Executive Summary. It is the CDC cite and the NHI cite. And also, there's a chart in the application itself. It looks like this, and it is from the Connecticut Data Even. And that chart specifically talks about the impact on black and Latino population in Connecticut. So I just wanted to, sort of, I understand that you, I expect these numbers to be much higher. So when we go back and we shift the volumes from the hospital and we shift the volumes from Westchester and I think the other thing about New York bariatric group, so that you can understand, is that they, they are premier. They are it in Fairfield County in terms of doing, they're the go-to surgeons for this type of procedure. They also have a very aggressive marketing arm and they do have, most of their business comes from self-referral. Patients bring themselves to the practice and get evaluated for the procedure. shut up now, but I just wanted to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: No, I mean, Attorney
Feldman, I appreciate your advocacy. You know, I see
you are working hard for your client. But my job as the
Hearing Officer is to make sure any kind of proposed
finding I make is supported by the facts. So, and I

appreciate all you have to say, but my instructions to the analysts, and the way I'll look at it, is anything the attorney says is not evidence. So it is going to have to be supported by the evidence. I certainly take your argument, and I certainly respect that you are giving the lead, you know, I want you to certainly tell your witnesses where they should be going and Dr. Garber is doing a fine job, you know, letting us know the information here, but that is where I want to get my evidence. And I appreciate that you have done this for many years, you and I have, I have not, but my job is to look at the evidence. So that is, that is what I am doing.

MS. FELDMAN: I understand that, absolutely. And nothing I said today is not already in the application. So --

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And I appreciate that.

MR. GARBER: Just one other piece of data that I just got is that, so in Westchester, we, trailing 12 months, target almost 450 bariatric cases there. So you combine that with the 588 that we did in Connecticut, you have over 1,000 cases. And if we did 80 percent of it, it was about 800 is what I would estimate right now based on the trailing 12-month data.

As far as endoscopies, in Westchester our

endoscopies suite there, we do, we are probably going to do about 1,200 endoscopies in that endoscopy suite in the trailing 12 months. So we have that data, plus we have the endoscopies in Connecticut. We will get everything more formally, like you wanted. We will get you the actual hard numbers from each hospital and what percentage we estimate are going to come there of unique patients, like you want. We will get that to you.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Yes. One further thing with that, too, with the inpatient volumes, I would like to also see those volumes broken up by gender, age group, race, ethnicity.

MR. GARBER: Gender.

MR. CARNEY: Gender, age group, race and ethnicity.

MR. GARBER: I assume we have race and ethnicity in our DMR record, but we are going to double check if we have, there is a chance we might not have accurate data on that because I don't know if every patient reports that. But we can do our best to report that the best that we have in our database.

MR. CARNEY: Great. Thank you. All right. Moving onto access to services. Page 20 of the prefiled testimony states that there is strong interest, this is a quote, Connecticut Medicaid to cover LSG in am ambulatory outpatient setting. Prior, or after I

reviewed this letter, Dr. Richards of DSS provided, he states that it is only the initial step of the process, that he cannot predict whether the proposal will ultimately be implemented, that the letter does not constitute the agencies endorsement or support of this proposal. So given the letter's full response, how do you characterize that there is strong interest from Connecticut Medicaid to cover LSG in an outpatient setting?

MR. GARBER: So I have e-mail correspondence from him saying that he, quote unquote, he is generally supportive of the concept, but he needs to do a full fiscal analysis. So I am, we have had multiple correspondences back and forth with me presenting data to him, and he has been very receptive to it and graciously responding right away to all of my e-mail correspondence with him. So that was our perception based on the terminology he used, that he is generally supportive of the concept. We found that as optimistic, but obviously it means nothing unless they do a fiscal analysis of what it means financially to them. So it is an unknown, and we don't know. And like my attorney said, we did not include any Medicaid patients in our projections. We assume, we did very conservative, all of the numbers we forecast was based on non-Medicaid and non-Medicare parents.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Dr. Garber, did DSS provide you with any additional insight on the timing of their review and completing it?

MR. GARBER: They have not. They did say that they planned on reviewing it at their next meeting, but I am not sure when that is.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. And finally on this topic, Dr. Garber, do you know of any other states where Medicaid covers outpatient bariatric surgery?

MR. GARBER: I do not know, offhand, to be honest with you. I think in general, I think, the majority of ASC's around the country are, do not have no interest in even doing Medicaid, so I don't think they even tried to. They are very, they are for-profit, a lot of them are out-of-network businesses. We are a different business model. So I am not, I am not sure offhand to be honest with you.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. All right. Moving onto the subject of, related to quality. So the question was, are you currently performing outpatient bariatric surgery at any outpatient facility. And I guess my understanding from your earlier statements was that you have one in New Jersey, it is close, but you haven't done any yet, is that the case?

MR. GARBER: That is correct. We plan on starting right after the new year. We are gearing up to start. We just completed all the insurance payer contracts. That was holding it up.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. So, so you start the beginning of the year, you are saying, January, you think?

MR. GARBER: Some time in January we are hoping to start, yes.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. All right. So there would be no data or anything to provide from that facility at this point in time. Okay.

MR. GARBER: No.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. All right. On page five of the prefiled testimony, Dr. Garber, you state that the literature is replete with peer-reviewed articles that conclude that ambulatory surgery centers present minimal risk of complications and mortality when performing LSG on appropriately screened candidates. Please elaborate on who, who basically, of your patient population, would be eligible for LSG on an outpatient basis.

MR. GARBER: So we think 80 percent, we estimate 80 percent of our patient population, based on using, like I mentioned earlier, the MBSAQIP Section 1.2 criteria of who should be done in an ASC setting. So we plan on following those criteria exactly, which is age greater

than 18 --

MR. CARNEY: Okay.

MR. GARBER: -- less than 65, we are estimating about 80 percent of our patients fall into that category that would qualify.

MR. CARNEY: And what other comorbid conditions specifically require inpatient care?

MR. GARBER: Sure. So severe sleep apnea would probably be one that we would do in the hospital. Any history of organ failure, patient has kidney failure. Significant cardiac disease, significant pulmonary disease, and then the higher BMI. So a male BMI greater than 55, a female BMI greater than 60. We are not going to do anyone under 18, and anyone has to be less than 65-years-old. So those are pretty much the reasons why we would exclude patients, based on those criteria I just mentioned. Of if anything came up during the workup process.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. So you spoke already about the screening process pretty thoroughly, and typically how you would exclude certain patients. Can you quantify the current complication and mortality rates linked to performing LSG in an outpatient setting? Is there, I think you gave us one study --

MR. GARBER: Yeah, but the larger study was in

surgery for obesity and related diseases, from 2018 where they studied 3,162 outpatient sleeve gastrectomies at nine different centers. And they had, I'll tell you the exact numbers, they had a zero percent mortality, which is what we have on an inpatient basis, as well. And the short-term complication rate was 2.5 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARNEY: And that would include certain things like infection, or --

MR. GARBER: Yes. So the, the different complications that we look for in this patient population would be, they can develop a leak after a sleeve, where that staple line leaks. That is probably one of the more serious things that we worry about, but they are usually easily treated if identified promptly. Of note, most leaks don't happen when they are even in the hospital as the inpatient. They usually happen, three, four, seven days later anyway. So whether it is inpatient or outpatient, it is not going to change how the leak gets handled, at all. Bleeding is probably one of the more immediate things that we worry about, and we do plan on doing hemoglobin hematocrit levels at the center prior to sending them home to make sure there is no bleeding, and obviously follow their vital signs. And then longer term things we worry about, wound infections, wound hernias, things like that. And then

you worry about the general issues with any surgery, a patient could die from any surgery, they could have a heart attack, they can have pulmonary problems, and that is where we are going to thoroughly screen all of our patients preoperatively which we do now even on an inpatient basis.

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Thank you, Doctor. Please discuss any adverse events experienced performing bariatric surgery in any of the Connecticut hospitals that you are, or at your other bariatric surgical centers. And further, like, have you had any disciplinary actions imposed from any regulatory bodies, you know, related to your surgeries?

MR. GARBER: We have not had any disciplinary actions. We have had complications. If you do 4,500 bariatric cases a year, you are going to see complications. Like I said, the most common things would be, a leak would be the most common thing, but it is still pretty rare. It happens in less than one percent of patients, and most of those are even treated nonoperatively. We have a GI, interventional GI doctor that sometimes just put a stent in, where they bypass the leak with, like, a tube, so it heals by itself. So sometimes it doesn't require operations.

MR. CARNEY: So, Doctor, do you track those type of

things?

MR. GARBER: We do.

MR. CARNEY: Is that something you can report on, could you provide us with some information that would show that it's one percent of your patients, something to that effect?

MR. GARBER: So we have, so each hospital, we have data from each hospital separately.

MR. CARNEY: Okay.

MR. GARBER: So, so like I said, we are part of MBSAQIP, so there is an independent data collector that collects all complication data, submits it to the database and then they report off of that, and they benchmark our hospital against other hospitals in the country every quarter. More than happy to get you copies of those reports from the Connecticut hospitals of, it is called a SARS report, S-A-R-S. I am not sure what that stands for, but we can definitely provide, we can get access to that from the Connecticut hospitals, assuming that they will be willing to provide it to us and give you a copy of our SASS reports.

Of note, there are a couple of other surgeons not related to us that do work at those hospitals, so their data would be part of the report. It is not unique to our practice, but we do the vast majority of the cases

at both of those hospitals. So it will would be relatively accurate reflecting our specific complication rates.

MR. CARNEY: All right. Thank you, Doctor. Yes, that would be very appreciated, if you could provide that information for us. And that is the last of my questions. I am going to past it along to my colleague, Ms. Rival.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. I think we are going to take a 10-minute break. So it is 11:37, so if we could come back at, let's say, at 11:50. So if everyone could mute themselves during this and we will all come back on at 11:50. All right. Thank you. We will see you then.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Brian, you just had a few follow-ups?

MR. CARNEY: Yes, thank you, Attorney Yandow.

Yeah, just a couple of follow-up questions. First one
is for Dr. Garber. You talked about maybe opening the
surgery center in New Jersey in January. Doctor, do you
any, like, patients already scheduled for those January
procedures yet, or is it too soon for that, even?

MR. GARBER: It is too soon. We actually had a meeting with my New Jersey surgeons, actually, last evening to start putting in place all the proper procedures and protocols, and they asked me that same exact question, they want to book them. But we need to get equipment and, you know, supply chain issues right So I said before we book, let's make sure we are going to have all the equipment in time. So we are trying to get all the equipment ordered in the next week. So I said, let me see if we get all the equipment If we can, then we are ready. As for as on time. insurance companies, that we are good for by January. It is at matter of, we are not sure about the equipment yet that is going to be in place. We are waiting to hear back from all the manufacturers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CARNEY: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. And the second question is, just a little bit of curiosity, but you guys have a lot of volume in New York, New York State, did you consider, have you tried or considered establishing an outpatient surgical facility in New York, as, you know, why, I guess why Connecticut not New York, per se?

MR. GARBER: So, New York we definitely are interested and we are exploring opportunities in New York. Connecticut, we found this ASC that was fully

built out. The most beautiful ASC you will ever see.

So it was just an opportunity that came upon us that we wanted to take advantage of. And that is why that happened quicker than New York. But we do plan on looking to get one in New York, and Long Island specifically, which is our, where I originally started, which would be home base. We plan on hopefully doing something in the future.

MR. CARNEY: All right. All right. Thank you very much, Dr. Garber. I am going to pass it along to Ms. Rival, so we can continue along with the questions. Thank you very much.

MS. RIVAL: Hello. This is Jessica Rival. Page 21 of the prefiled states that you will seek Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation Quality Program Center Distinction Status within the first year of opening. How does the accreditation process work?

MR. GARBER: Sure. So one of the good things is one of my partners, Dr. Angstadt is a surveyor for MBSAQIP, so he is one of the guys that does the surveys. So we have our in-house expert. But we have done it already at seven different institutions. So you need to set specific procedures and policies that they require must be in place at the center. We need to have the proper equipment and proper training of all the staff,

as well. And then we need to do a certain number of volume of cases. I think for the low acuity, which is what this is, I think you need 25 stapled cases, which is sleeve gastrectomy. You have to do a minimum of 25 cases before we apply. Once you apply, they will give you a provisional approval based on the application, and then at that point you need to start submitting data. So that is when we have to hire an independent data person to collect data and the data is collected from actual surgery center, as well as the follow-up care they actually track with that data, as well, so they have full access to our EMR, Electronic Medical Record. And they then will come to do an onsite survey. temporarily, right now, they might be doing virtual surveys, like this, but traditionally they would do an onsite survey where they would walk around the facility, they would ask questions of the staff to make sure they are trained to know how to take care of all the obese patients, as well as make sure we have the proper equipment, that all the beds and the stretchers, everything is rated for the proper weights, our toilets have the proper toilet supports. You can't have a wall-mounted toilet because there is a danger of that breaking off the wall. So we have to make sure that they have all the proper facilities and all the proper

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

protocols and procedures need to be all be documented.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Are you currently accredited at the New Jersey outpatient facility?

MR. GARBER: Not yet, because we haven't started doing any surgery there yet. So we need to do at least 25 cases, but we do plan on applying for that one, as well, as soon as we have the proper volume in place.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Now after you do the 25 cases necessary to apply, is there a yearly minimum that you need to achieve as far as volume requirements for safety and quality?

MR. GARBER: Yes.

MS. RIVAL: And what is that.

MR. GARBER: I think it is a minimum number. For the hospitals, I think, I am not, the reaccreditation is every three years.

MS. RIVAL: Okay.

MR. GARBER: And the volume, I think is, for the hospitals, I know it is 50 cases per year. But for the ASC's it might be 25 cases per year. I am not sure exactly of that required number. But we should fare exceed either one of those numbers. I am not worried, we will exceed those numbers anyway.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. And the guidelines that you included with the application, I believe they were to

the 2016 standards manual. Are those the current quidelines?

MR. GARBER: Those were, at the time of when we submitted our application, those were the most updated guidelines they that had available on their website. But they do, every so often, will add certain, certain things and remove different guidelines. But as of the time of the application, we, I can look and see if anything has changed since then, but when the application was submitted this was the most updated guideline that we pulled right from their website.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Attorney Yandow, I would like to ask for that as a late file. If there are updates to the standards, that we receive a copy.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: We will add that to the list. Dr. Garber, do you need any more specifics on that request?

MR. GARBER: No, not a problem. I will go on the website and see if anything has changed since this addition of it. And if they are, we will print them out and submit them. That is not a problem.

MS. RIVAL: Great. Thank you. Have you had any preliminary discussions with the Department of Public Health regarding performing LSG in an outpatient surgical facility in Connecticut?

MR. GARBER: We have not.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. And it appears that you have reached out to Greenwich Hospital to establish a transfer agreement for an emergency. Why was this hospital chosen, given that there are other hospitals that are closer in proximity?

MR. GARBER: Our lawyer had a relationship with somebody that is there. We knew somebody to get the agreement. But we are open to other transfer agreements, as well. That shouldn't be a problem.

MR. BACHANI: Can I add to that?

MS. RIVAL: Please.

MR. BACHANI: So the previous owner had a transfer agreement with Greenwich Hospital, and so it was very easy to, you know, just continue that, to have that transfer agreement with Greenwich Hospital.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Is it in the application how many miles from where this, the proposed center is, to each hospital, is that in the application? Can you tell me, Mr. Bachani or Dr. Garber, how far is it. I think what I would like to know, my concern is, if there is an emergency -- so let me start with this first. Have you had occasions, well, I guess you haven't done these in an outpatient, right? This has always be in the hospital, so --

Yes, so we, so as far as immediate MR. GARBER: emergencies in that immediate postoperative period, we probably have seen about a handful over the years of doing over 4,000 cases a year, but it is not significant, no significant numbers. Most of the complications are something like the, probably the most you urgent complication we would see that would need to be addressed immediately would be bleeding postoperatively. And that we would probably bring them right back to our own operating room because we wouldn't want to wait time to transfer them, so we would bring them right back in our own operating room and address it. Other things that were, I mean, if they had some cardiac or pulmonary issues postoperatively and we thought they needed to go to the hospital, but there is nothing I can think of offhand, other than bleeding, that would be, like, immediate transfer right away, and that would most likely be handled at our own facility, just because you wouldn't want to wait with someone bleeding, we would have all the equipment at our own facility in case of an emergency bleeding to address.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. If you could just, because I know you have an agreement there, if you could as a late file, I don't know, if the printouts from Mapquest, I don't know if anyone uses Mapquest, or any

kind of information that would show where your, how many miles and travel time from the facility to each of the surrounding hospitals, which I guess you have the agreement with Greenwich, is that right?

MR. GARBER: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Greenwich. You have Stamford is nearby. What other hospitals are -- is Danbury nearby there?

MR. GARBER: I am not, I am not from Connecticut.

I am from New York, but definitely Stamford Hospital is nearby, Greenwich is nearby. I think those are probably the two closest. But we will, we can get you the mileage on that. It is not a problem.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And maybe, Jessica and Brian, you know the hospitals better than I do, as far as, are there any other hospitals in that area?

MS. RIVAL: Not that I can think of.

MR. CARNEY: No, not that I am aware of. Saint Vincent's is probably somewhere around there, but not that close, I don't think.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: What about Norwalk? Is

Norwalk nearby there? I don't have a Connecticut map in

front of me. I am just, I don't get down to that, down

that way very often. But, so if you can just, if you

could just include the, any hospitals within, say, a 30

1 mile radius. I don't, I think Norwalk would probably be within that. 2 3 MR. CARNEY: Yes, probably Norwalk. 4 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Stamford. 5 MR. CARNEY: Greenwich, Stamford. 6 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And Saint Vincent's is in 7 Bridgeport? 8 MR. CARNEY: Yeah, that's a little farther away. 9 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So I just, I think 10 we just need to know if there were an emergency what are 11 we are talking about travel time and distance wise, you 12 know, on the, with an ambulance. 13 MR. GARBER: Sure. I think Stamford Hospital is 14 super close, is my guess. But I'll check. And we have 15 privileges, our doctors have privileges at Stamford 16 Hospital, as well. We don't do any volume there, but we 17 have privileges there. 18 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. And you may or may 19 not know this, I mean, do you know whether or not the 20 ambulance services around there have bariatric 21 ambulances? 22 MR. GARBER: I do not know, but I will say that it 23 is probably not necessary. Our average patients, so 24 everything thinks morbid obesity you are going to see

like a 600-Pound Life you see on TV, our average patient

25

is a 5'4" female that weighs 250, 260 pounds. Which the ambulance are taking these type of patients all the time. So we are not going to be operating on 500, 600 pound patients. We probably never operate on them in general, and if we did, we would, definitely wouldn't operate on them in the ASC. Those would be done in a hospital anyway. So I think the patient population we would be operating on in the ASC, in general, would fit into any ambulance. I don't think you need any specialized ambulance for the patient population.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you.

MS. RIVAL: The next question I have is in regards to cost to the patient. Page six of the prefiled, Dr. Garber states that Premier, dot, dot, dot, believes that providing LSG in an outpatient setting will be 30 percent less expensive than if performed in an inpatient setting. How did you determine that the cost would be 30 percent less expensive?

MR. GARBER: Sure. So those, 30 percent is, I determined as the facility fee cost savings, not a patient cost savings. So I know, approximately, I don't know accurate numbers, because obviously it is all confidential, it is, hospitals don't share those numbers, but through the grapevine I have a general idea of what hospitals get reimbursed and what I have

negotiated with the payers already, and it is about a 30 percent savings. So obviously none of these payers in New Jersey would have made a contract with me, paying me what they are paying the hospital. They are going to want a savings, and that is why they did the deal. So it is about a 30 percent savings. Some cases it might even be a 40 percent savings over what they are paying the hospitals on the facility side. And then that can trickle down as far as coinsurances, obviously. If it is 30 percent more, their coinsurance would probably be about 30 percent higher, but it depends on the maximum out-of-pocket and stuff.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Do you have any evidence to support this?

MR. GARBER: I have evidence of what my agreements I have negotiated in New Jersey, which I am confident I can get, but we cannot disclose that, because they are, all insurance contracts are confidential. We are not allowed to share that as numbers. So I couldn't, I don't think I can hand those over to you, my contract. But we do have contracts with the payers. On the hospital side, I do not have any direct evidence. All I have is conversations with different administers of hospitals of what they get paid.

MR. CARNEY: Doctor, just to follow-up, this is

Brian Carney, could you give us some kind of a blended rate, as opposed to, like, an average of the commercial payers, as opposed to --

MR. GARBER: Sure. Yes. So I would say the hospitals, on average, are getting between \$15,000 and \$25,000 for the facility fee. I think majority of the pay is between, pay between \$18,000 and \$20,000. And our negotiated contracts on average is probably around \$12,000 is what we negotiated.

MR. CARNEY: So, Doctor, could you provide that to us in writing, something similar to what you said?

MR. GARBER: Sure. I have no problem, but remember the hospital portion is, I don't want to testify to something that is 100 percent accurate. This is all hearsay from other people. So to put it in writing, I'll make it clear from my understanding that is what the rates are, but I don't have any factual data on that part. On our side of what we negotiated in New Jersey, those are facts. And I have no problem to attesting to those numbers.

MR. CARNEY: That is great. Maybe we can use the claims database to support the other side of it potentially, but from our perspective, you know, it is great, you are obviously, you know, you know your stuff, however we need to sort of have some kind of evidence

that the 30 percent is actual, you know, a factual kind of thing, so. Give us what you can provide, that would be great.

MR. GARBER: Okay. Sure. No problem. I mean, just the fact that they negotiated rates with me, you know they are definitely paying us less than they are paying a hospital. They wouldn't do it otherwise.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Pages six and seven of the prefiled testimony of Dr. Garber states, some third-party payers have already begun to require that LSG be performed in an outpatient surgical facility -- I am sorry?

MR. GARBER: Yes -- I am sorry, that is just --

MS. RIVAL: Oh, I thought there was a question.

Have already begun to require that LSG be performed in an outpatient surgical facility because it is significantly less expensive, unless there are documented medical reasons as to why the procedure must be performed in an inpatient setting. Can you identify these payers?

MR. GARBER: So I have one payer that is definitive, High Mark Blue Cross Blue Shield. They are based in Pennsylvania, but they, we do get plenty of patients we see up here in the Tri-state area that have High Mark Blue Cross Blue Shield. So they have an

official policy. It is on their website. I think my counsel maybe provided it with my prefiled testimony from their website showing that they do require all sleeve gastrectomies are only approved as an outpatient And I have called, I have spoken to them, and basis. they are pretty absolute, they will not, unless there is a medical issue that requires them to stay overnight, they want all of them to be done as outpatients. And we are stating to see some other insurance companies, occasional self-funded plans saying that on occasion. But High Mark is one that is official across all High Mark patients only being approved as outpatients, now. And we think that is the trend that is going to move forward probably nationally in the near future. So part of this is staying ahead of that curve to offer that option.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RIVAL: Great. What commercial payers will you establish contracts with at your proposed Connecticut outpatient surgical facility?

MR. GARBER: So like I mentioned earlier, so United Healthcare, Aetna, Cigna, those are, all three of those I already have negotiated rates for New Jersey and most of them deal with, on a state-by-state basis, different people you negotiate the contract with, but actually United just moved their negotiations nationally, so I am

guaranteed that whatever rate I have in New Jersey, will be in Connecticut because it is a national contract for the ASC's. The other ones are local, so I do have to deal with my local Cigna rep and my local Aetna rep, and I am pretty confident I'll get at least what I got in New Jersey. It is hard to get anything less. And then in Connecticut, Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield, which I think I said earlier, I have a, we have a meeting set up next week with their ASC negotiating team to start those negotiations. And those are pretty much all the payers that we really see, besides Husky Medicaid, which we are hopeful that they will approve that eventually in the nearby future.

MS. RIVAL: Great. And it is my understanding in the testimony that you gave previously, that these will all be in-network providers?

MR. GARBER: Yes. So we are a 100 percent in-network model, correct. Which is unique to most bariatric surgeons, definitely in New York, the majority the private practice bariatric surgeons are all out of network. So we are one of the few practices that, we believe in a 100 percent in-network model.

MS. RIVAL: Great. On page 23 of the prefiled, it states that you expect Medicare to cover LSG in an ASC by 2024. Can you just give us a reason why that date

was selected?

MR. GARBER: So there, CMS has plans to slowly, over the next couple of years, to move patients, move different CPT codes, which are the codes that we use for billing, from inpatient to outpatient, and it is a guess. We don't know that for sure. So we are guessing that by then that would happen. But it is kind of irrelevant, because our patient population really don't have Medicare anyway. So we are not operating on people over 65 in our ASC. So it is really not relevant to our application that Medicare population, it is more the Medicaid population that is relevant.

MS. RIVAL: All right. And could you identify what other states LSG in an ASC is covered by Medicaid?

MR. GARBER: That was asked earlier, and I was not aware of that data, at all. So I am not sure of that, if it is or it isn't on any of those states.

MS. RIVAL: Is there any way you could obtain that information?

MS. FELDMAN: I believe it is in the application.

I will find the page.

MR. GARBER: No, we have in the application which states perform bariatric surgery in an ASC, but we don't know which ones actually do it on the Medicaid patients. So I can try, but I couldn't promise. Every state has

different insurance companies, different managed Medicaid, and I know, I know New York, New Jersey and Connecticut climate pretty well, actually, I, we are going to start talks now with NJ health, which is in New Jersey. So they are part of Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield, which we developed a bundled rate with. Horizon Blue Cross Blue shield is paying us one set fee, includes all the postoperative care, nutrition care and the surgery anesthesia, we did a bundle. So they are going to be introducing me now to their NJ Health team to look to negotiate. So they are open to it, definitely, in New Jersey, I know for a fact. But other states, I don't, it will be hard for me really to know because I am not even sure -- every state is very different with Medicaid. Like Connecticut only has Husky. New York we have 10 different Medicaid plans. And New York obviously, no one has tried to do it because no one is doing bariatric surgery in an ASC in New York right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. RIVAL: Okay. Thank you. And my final question has to do with the demonstration of financial feasibility for the proposal. Could you please discuss the overall financial feasibility of the proposal?

MR. GARBER: Yes. So I'll talk briefly on it, and

then Alan, our CFO can maybe jump in.

But we used very conservative numbers when we did our estimates, based on what our costs are, our staffing needs are going to be, as well as what the reimbursement. And then the interesting thing in the model we built, I think we put 12,000 as our estimate, and that was a total guess before we had any contracts, and I achieved that as probably our average, between 12,000, 12,500, is going to be, is what our reimbursement is in New Jersey. So, I think that the financial model we built, is probably super accurate, now, I can say, based on the fact that we negotiated those contracted rates in New Jersey, and I am confident that we should be able to do that same in Connecticut.

I don't know if Alan wanted to add anything to that?

MR. BENSON: Yeah, just from the expense side of things, Dr. Garber mentioned the revenue side should be pretty accurate to slightly conservative. Volumes being the driver there from expense side of things. You know, we know what the rent is, because we have, you know, obviously looked at the space. Other big line items are payroll, which we know because, you know, we have been in business for 20-plus years. Benefits, same sort of thing. Consumables, pretty straightforward. So there is, there aren't any kind of big line items that, you

know, those are the big line items. Other than that, we were kind of very thorough in mimicking, kind of, our experience, you know, running our practice for 20 years.

MR. GARBER: And when those numbers were, a year ago we did the application, we really didn't have hard data. Now we own an ASC, now we have contracts, so we can say with confidence that those are pretty accurate financial models that Alan built.

MS. RIVAL: Great. That concludes my questions.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Attorney Feldman, do you have some follow-up questions on, of any of the witnesses based on what our questions were?

MS. FELDMAN: No, I do not.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. What I would like to do now then is I would like to take another break, and then what we will probably going to have to do is take a larger break, because the sign-up, and this was based on what we, our hearings have mostly been going until 2:00, and then we have continued them before we could do public comment. So that is how this agenda was set up. We can't do the public sign-up before 2:00 because we noticed the public that it would be at 2:00. But what I would like to do right now is take another break, come back at 12:30 to see if we have any other follow-up questions. Maybe in that time, Attorney

Feldman, talk to Dr. Garber and maybe you will be able to come up with some dates. I won't do the order until the end of the hearing, but, for how long you think it will take to get the late files, because I basically will give you what you need. But I will put that in an order at the end of the hearing. So let's take a break and come back at 12:30.

(Whereupon a short recess was taken.)

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I just have one question.

Dr. Garber, in this, you know, the service area, where
else can you get this procedure done, now?

MR. GARBER: Only in hospitals.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So do all hospitals do it?

I don't, it is --

MR. GARBER: Not all hospitals. Most hospitals do offer it. But we really, pretty much, control most of the market in the Fairfield area. We do extensive advertising, I am sure you might have seen my billboards on 95, and we are the premier practice, the largest practice probably in the, in the state, definitely. So, we get patients from everywhere, but there are many other hospitals that do offer the surgery, as well, yes.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay.

MR. GARBER: If you don't mind, I have some follow-up to the, some of the questions earlier.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Yes. No, please do.

MR. GARBER: So as far as the distance we looked up, so Greenwich Hospital 6.2 miles away from the center and 16 minute drive by regular car, not ambulance.

Stamford Hospital is 1.4 miles, and six minutes. And Saint Vincent's is 26.3 miles and 34 minutes.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So if you wouldn't mind, in your late files, just put where you got this information, just so we can put it as evidence, you know, so we can cite to it, about the distance and the travel. So that would be helpful. Just tell me where you got the information and cite it so we can mark it.

MR. GARBER: No problem. It is right from Google Maps, but we will document that.

And then one other thing that is follow-up as far as the question as far as, how do you know you are cheaper than the hospitals. So I have in front of me an article from the Ambulatory Surgery Center Association, which I think we may have submitted as an exhibit, but if not we can, where they document that currently Medicare pays ASC's 58 percent of the amount paid of the hospitals outpatient department for performing the same services. For example, Medicare pays hospitals \$16,074

for performing outpatient cataract, while paying ASC's only \$964. So this article documents that CMS, in general, pays 58 percent of what they would pay a hospital for the same procedure. And in general, I think we all know that, maybe we don't know, most commercial payers follow CMS, basically, when they determine rates for most procedures of how they reimburse. So I think that is good documentation to support. I mean, we are saying 30 percent, but it is probably more savings based on this article that I have here that, if you don't have, I would be more than happy to supply.

And then the last thing, as far as the MBSAQIP updated handbook that was requested, there is 2019 version, which I submitted to my attorney, that she will send you in follow-up. And there is a Section 2.3 that now is specifically labeled, ambulatory surgery center patient and procedure selections. The criteria has not changed from 2016, they are the same, but it is even more clearly labelled that this is for ASC. It used to say, low acuity. So we will submit that, as well.

And then in an earlier question as for as adverse events, I think I maybe misunderstood the question a little bit. We really haven't had any adverse events that were reported to the state, reported, any major

1 thing that got reported or anything like that. 2 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And how about outside of 3 Connecticut? 4 MR. GARBER: Nowhere have we had any kind of 5 adverse affects that get reported to the State or 6 anything like that, no. 7 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: And you are in New York, 8 New Jersey and Connecticut, correct? 9 MR. GARBER: Correct. 10 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Jessica and Brian, 11 any follow-up with that? 12 MR. CARNEY: No, Attorney Yandow. I don't have 13 anything further. 14 MS. RIVAL: Neither do I. 15 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Mr. Benson, I just 16 want to ask you, you have been, you know, through here, 17 listening to all the testimony and I, do you have 18 anything you want to add in support to the application. 19 MR. BENSON: No, I don't think so. 20 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Mr. Bachani? 21 MR. BACHANI: No, I do not. Thank you. 22 MR. GARBER: If I could add one more sentence? 23 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Sure. 24 MR. GARBER: Yes. Thanks. I just think, in 25 overall when you consider the application, I think you

really need to consider the way medicine is moving nationally, and there is a big push nationally from CMS, from the commercial payer to move cases from hospitals inpatient setting to ASC's for cost savings. And that is the bottom line is all the costs, you know insurance companies, everyone want to save money. We know that total joints, which was only done in hospitals forever, recently has had a big push, and I think in Connecticut, I think you guys are doing that in ASC's now, as well. And I think that's just the way medicine is moving. I think it is important when considering the application that that is the way medicine is going and I think Connecticut should try to stay on the top of that curve of the way things are moving. And I think that we really appreciate everyone's time. And we really appreciate the consideration of our application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Attorney Feldman, do you have any questions of the witnesses? No? I am sorry, I think you on mute.

MS. FELDMAN: No, I don't. I do not.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So I am going to, you know, ask you for a closing statement. I don't know if you prefer to do that now or after public comment.

I'll let you pick when you want to do that. I mean, we have the time, now or --

MS. FELDMAN: Okay, if it would preferable that I do it now, I think I am just going to echo what Dr. Garber has stated, and I can't tell you enough, I am sure you are forming your own impression, I have been nothing but impressed with Dr. Garber and his team. How knowledgeable he is and how interested in providing a low-cost, high-quality service in the state. It has absolutely been refreshing to work with him and hit all the policy points that I believe this office has held out and emphasized to providers when they have been submitting their CON applications.

Most importantly, this is going to be accessible to all patients, not just those that are commercially insured. As was stated in our application, Dr. Garber's group will be connecting with the federally qualified health centers, which provide primarily primary care for Medicaid parents and uninsured parents. And they have a very difficulty time getting specialists to see their patients. This is, to me, a very indicative of their true commitment and their service model that works, because they are obviously a profitable organization. I think ultimately they are going to add a lot of value and enhance the health of the community that it serves.

They are currently providing inpatient surgery.

They are not new to the state. They are at Trinity,

Saint Francis Medical Center. They are at Saint
Vincent's. Neither one of those hospitals has chosen to
intervene. They are very supportive of this group and
they are very appreciative of the high-quality work that
they provide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do think that this application is advancing exactly what OHS is looking for by introducing these high-quality services and they will be accredited by all the applicable accrediting bodies. The fact that many of the surgeons that work for premier are surveyors for these accrediting bodies demonstrates that it is, you know, integral to their culture, their values and how they perform their surgery. It is a public health It is a good solution. And I think that it problem. will not only be cheaper for Medicaid, from the procedural standpoint, but our hope is that the other healthcare associated costs that result from chronic morbid obesity will also be reduced. So I think the state will, in fact, benefit from having this procedure available to the patients.

So in closing, I just, you know, I can't reemphasize enough that being willing and able, and we are very confident, we cannot represent to you that DSS has said, we are definitely going to do this, but to me, as a healthcare attorney, it is a no-brainer. I

can't understand or, you know, don't expect that DSS won't see this as a very safe option for their, for the beneficiaries. So with that, I thank, I also thank you for your time and we will see you again at 3:00 o'clock.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Just to follow-up,
Attorney Feldman, and this may be in the record, so let
me know. You said how the hospitals support this. Are
there letters of support in the application?

MS. FELDMAN: There is. There is.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: From the hospital?

MS. FELDMAN: From Saint Francis Hospital and Medical Center.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay.

MS. FELDMAN: If you would like one from Saint V's, we are happy to get one. We just didn't think it was necessary. But they are very, they know exactly, and we are happy to produce it, but they know exactly what we are doing and they are very supportive of Dr. Garber and his group.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. I mean, I'll leave that up to you. I won't order that you do, but you certainly would be able to do that. It may factor in, I don't know, we are going to have to take it all in. But I am certainly going to want to take a look at the Saint Francis letter.

1 MS. FELDMAN: Sure. 2 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Jessica and Brian, any 3 follow-up with any of the statements or with what 4 Attorney Feldman said? 5 MS. RIVAL: No. 6 MR. CARNEY: No. 7 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. So again, it's, you 8 know, we are not going to be back on until 3:00, and I, 9 you know, I know that is a long way. So we don't have a 10 crystal ball, we never know how long these hearings are 11 going to last. Our last several hearings have gone 12 until 2:00, and we based it on that. So, what we, 13 everyone needs to do -- and I'll just let you know, no 14 one at this point has signed up for public comment. So 15 there may not be anyone, which means we will just be 16 closing it shortly thereafter 3:00. But so we can close 17 or certainly break this technical piece right now, and 18 there may not be any other evidence we need to ask for. 19 And we will see you all at 3:00 o'clock. 20 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. 22 23 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) 24 25 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Well, good afternoon

everyone. It is 3:00 o'clock, now. Okay. We are back from our break. We had public sign-ups from 2:00 to 3:00. This is for those who just are new tuning in. This is the Certificate of Need public hearing in the matter of Premier Bariatric Group, PLLC. This is Docket Number 21-32425-CON, with the Applicant looking to establish an outpatient surgical facility in Stamford.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So we do have one person that has signed up for public comment. For anyone else that is on on this meeting, we will call the names of the people signed up for public comment, which is, at this point, just the one. And if anybody wants to speak after that, we will certainly give you the opportunity. Speaking time, I am limiting it to five minutes. We usually do three, but there does not seem to be a lot of people signed up to talk. So if we could limit the time to five minutes. You may get stopped at the end of five minutes, and we strongly encourage anyone, anyone who speaks or anyone who doesn't want to speak, they can submit further written comment to the Office of Health Strategy by e-mail or mail no later than one week from today. So we will keep the record open for public comment for one more week, and again, that can be by e-mail or mail. Our contact information is on our website.

So we can go ahead and continue. The one person

1 who was signed up for public comment, we have Mariana Morales. 2 3 MS. MORALES: Yes. Good afternoon guys. 4 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Hi. Can you put your 5 camera on. 6 MS. MORALES: Yes. I am doing the Zoom through my 7 phone, so it is a little bit awkward because our 8 computer doesn't have a camera, but I will. 9 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: If you can do it through 10 your phone, that would be great. We usually, you know, 11 we like everyone who can, who is speaking, to show their 12 face. So you said you are able to do that through your 13 phone. 14 MS. MORALES: Yes, I believe so. 15 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Oh, there you are. In and 16 out. There you are. Hello. 17 MS. MORALES: Hello. 18 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So you heard my 19 instructions. 20 MS. MORALES: Yes. 21 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: So if you can limit it to 22 five minutes. I'll let you know when you are about five 23 minutes. But, you know, please go ahead whenever you 24 are ready. 25 MS. MORALES: All right. I wrote it down only

because I tend to lose my train of thought, so I am going to be reading it off my paper.

So hi. My name is Mariana. I am a patient of New York Bariatric and wanted to share my experience so far. I am a 21-year-old mother of a two-year-old. My son was the biggest reasoning for undergoing the surgery. At my heaviest, I wasn't able to keep up with my mommy duties, such as playing with my son or chasing him around. Something so simple as changing his diaper became a task because of how out of breath I would get just having to bed down to grab wipes and a diaper. My son needed more of me, and I needed better for myself.

I was 21 with chest tightness, chest pains and unbearable joint pain. I wasn't living how I was supposed to. I finally made a decision towards a healthy lifestyle. Although I was going to the gym prior, that wasn't enough. It was a constant battle between my mind and my mouth. That is when I found out I was a candidate for the gastric sleeve through New York Bariatric. I was having issues with other facilities due to my insurance being Medicaid. Once you turn 21 on Medicaid, finding a doctor becomes impossible, and I am speaking from experience on that.

I took a leap of faith and started the process towards the surgery. It was an eight-month process for

me at the time, but it was the best decision I have made so far. The process was tedious, but worth it. The surgery itself was done in a hospital. It was a quick, it was quick and bearable. In fact, I felt so good afterward that I was, that I wish I could have gone home the same day. I went home and had no complications after surgery. I am currently five months out from my surgery, from my surgery date and feeling better than I am down 70 pounds, 60 of those pounds which I lost within the first three and a half months. super excited to say that my joint pain is completely gone and I haven't had chest pain or any chest tightness since surgery. Because of Medicaid, my doctor and New York Bariatrics, I was able to take my life back and give my son the life he deserves. I am now able to run after him, take him to the park and spend more time with him, as opposed to being glued to my bed, and for that I am grateful and decided to become a part of New York Bariatric to help everyone undergo this life changing surgery. And that is all I have for you guys today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Well, I appreciate your comments. Thank you very much. I will certainly give them serious consideration when looking at the record.

I appreciate it. And if there is any more comments, any other comments you want to add, you can certainly put

1 them in writing and send them to us. 2 MS. MORALES: Okay. Sounds good. 3 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: All right. Thank you. 4 You can stay on, or you can sign off, whatever you want to do. 5 6 MS. MORALES: Okay. Perfect. Thank you so much 7 for your time. 8 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. Okay. Leslie, 9 was there anybody else? 10 MS. GREER: No, we did not have anyone else signed 11 up. 12 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. All right. 13 Attorney Feldman, anything else? 14 MS. FELDMAN: Nothing. No further comments. 15 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Great. Thank you. 16 And let me just check, Brian and Jess, was there 17 anything else that you wanted to ask or add? 18 MS. RIVAL: No. 19 MR. CARNEY: No, I don't have any additional 20 questions. Just the late file, that is it. 21 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Well I appreciate 22 everyone's time today, and we will certainly take 23 everything, you know, under consideration. The record 24 is going to stay open -- oh, the late files, Jess. 25 you have a list of those?

1

MS. RIVAL: Yes.

MS. RIVAL:

2

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Do you want to make, could you read us what the list is, please?

The first late file was to

3

you rough what one ribe is, prous

are back on, Jess, go ahead.

Sure.

4 5

review the projected volume tables and the payer mixed

6

table to reflect unduplicated patients. Additionally --

7

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I think, Jess, you just

8

went on mute, Jess. So, but I think if everybody even

9

else could just make sure they are on mute, because I

10

think there was a little bit of feedback. Okay. You

11

12

MS. RIVAL: I am sorry, how far were you able to

13 hear, or do you want me to just start from the

14 beginning?

15

the beginning. Projected volumes, and then you, sort

16

17

18

of, cutoff when you were sort of breaking that down, but

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I think you can start from

go ahead.

19

MS. RIVAL: I apologize. Okay. A revision of the

projected volume table and payer mix table to reflect

20

21

unduplicated patients.

22

Three-year historical year volumes for each

23

hospital where bariatric surgery performed on an

24

25

inpatient basis. We would also like the volumes by

gender, age group, race and ethnicity.

1 MR. CARNEY: And payer type, please. 2 MS. RIVAL: Oh, I am sorry. And payer type. MR. CARNEY: That is okay. I missed that before. 3 4 Thank you. 5 MS. RIVAL: Sure. The volume and demographics and 6 payer type related to safety and complications in 7 adverse events, excuse me. 8 MS. FELDMAN: Can you restate that, please, Ms. 9 Rival? 10 Sure. Of course. The information on MS. RIVAL: 11 the volume and demographics for the payer type safety 12 complications, adverse events. 13 MR. GARBER: So that, just to be clear, I think is 14 that the SARS reports from the hospital, is what you are 15 looking for, that we get from the third party that 16 benchmarks the hospital against other payers? 17 MR. CARNEY: Sorry. I can clarify. Jess, that one 18 was for the outpatient bariatric surgery facility in New 19 Jersey. 20 MS. RIVAL: I am sorry, I am not able to hear you, 21 Brian. 22 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I think could everyone 23 else, while other than Brian and Jess, just mute their 24 mics, because I think we get feedback. Yes. Thank you. 25 MR. CARNEY: Yeah. Sorry. Jess, that was, that

was intended for their outpatient surgical facility in New Jersey, which is not operational yet. So we will skip that one.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. The updated standards manual.

Both the distance and the time from the proposed ASC to local hospitals.

The blended cost of LSG at the ASC.

MR. BENSON: What do you mean by blended cost?

MS. RIVAL: Not specific to one payer, but, excuse

me, a blending of, you know, what someone would pay

out-of-pocket, in addition to, you know, an average

amount of payers, et cetera.

MS. FELDMAN: If I may, Ms. Rival, I think Dr. Garber had offered to provide this sort of a general range of a number.

MS. RIVAL: Yes. We also discussed a late file on the complications and the SARS report from the hospitals.

MR. GARBER: Just on that, we, in the break we did check with the hospitals. We do have Saint Vincent's SARS report. Saint Francis, we are relatively new working there, the SARS report for Saint Vincent's is for the year of 2020, which we have. We do not have a SARS report available for Saint Francis, because we just started there within 2021. So that is, we can only

produce the Saint Vincent's one.

MS. FELDMAN: Is that all right that we are just able to produce Saint V's? It is not available at Saint Francis.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I think if it is not available and you can't get it. But, I mean, the more information that we can get, the more it would, you know --

MS. FELDMAN: I think we could probably, based on the surgeries that were performed by this particular group, might be able to give some anecdotal information as to the extent that they had any sort of adverse outcomes or unanticipated outcomes. Dr. Garber is that present for Saint Francis?

MR. GARBER: So we can get complication data of any complication we had at, not from the third party, but we can get you a list of complications out of that, the hospital documents. But we also, just of note, is Saint Vincent's is where we did the majority of our work, anyway. So you will have a good sample size to look at our complication rates with just the Saint Vincent's report. Saint Francis is a new institution that we opened the office in Hartford a little over a year ago before we were starting to do that. So, but we can get you some data from the hospital that we can produce, as

1 well as the Saint Vincent's SARS report. It gives you a good volume that you can look at our complication rates 2 3 at, which I think would be very helpful to you. 4 MS. RIVAL: And those were the notations that I 5 made for late files. Brian, did I miss anything that 6 you are aware of? 7 MR. CARNEY: Just one additional Jessica, that Dr. 8 Garber said, he had an article from CMS regarding 9 outpatient surgical facility having lower costs that he 10 was going to share with us. 11 MR. GARBER: Yes, it's Ambulatory Surgery Center 12 Association article. Which, if you don't have it, we 13 will get you a copy of it. 14 MR. CARNEY: That would be great. Thank you, 15 That is all I have. Doctor. 16 MS. RIVAL: Thank you. 17 HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Attorney Feldman, how long 18 do you need. 19 MS. FELDMAN: Well, I do have a question about the 20 second late file, which is a three-year historical data on LSG, presumably, performed at Saint V's. And we will 21 22 have one year for Saint Francis. Is that data that we 23 could easily get, Dr. Garber.

Yes. And we are also going to

24

25

MR. GARBER:

Yes.

produce the data from the Westchester Hospital, because

we think a good portion of those will come over. And then we are going to produce from Mount Sinai, in the City, and we are going to estimate what percentages we think will come for each one of these hospitals, and we will break them all out for you. We can get that, we have that readily available and can get that.

MS. FELDMAN: So the answer your question, Hearing Officer Yandow, we would request a week, but to the extent we could submit it sooner, we will.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. Great. And just to follow-up on what Dr. Garber had to say, you know, on what he is projecting. Any other kind of information that could, you know, support that projections, that people, and we do have Dr. Garber's testimony, but any kind of support that this proposed facility would draw those patients in, would be good. We have your testimony, and I understand what you are, you know, what you, you know, that it's easier, people like the ACS, but if there is any kind of statistics or any kind of, you know, letters of support that would agree with that, would certainly help back up the information that you are giving us.

MR. GARBER: I will try our best. I think that might be hard to come by, but --

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Yeah, and I am not, I am

leaving that up to you.

MR. GARBER: Sure. Yeah. I can just tell you from my past experience of doing this 20 years, patients will go to whatever hospital, patients come to our practice for a surgeon, and they will go any hospital that I tell them to go to, to have the surgery, from experience. I used to operate lots of different places, and I would move people from hospital to hospital based on where I can get OR time and people will go where we pretty much tell them. But we will, I'll see if I can come up with anything, but unlikely I will be able to come up with anything else besides that.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay.

MR. CARNEY: This is Brian Carney, just one further thing. Like are there any wait lists, are there any backlogs for scheduling, anything like that, sort of, typically associated with, that would be good to mention if there are.

MR. GARBER: Sure. Yeah. I mean, we usually have a, usually at least a six to eight week wait, most of the time, to get in for surgery, at least. But we will definitely, we can get you, we can look at historical data of wait times. We definitely have access to that data we can get for you.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Well, let me just follow

that up with a couple of questions. I mean, how many procedures on the ambulatory surgery center, how many procedures would you do in a day?

MR. GARBER: So depends if we use one room or two rooms. But typically myself, when I, at one point I just operated at Saint Francis on Long Island, I stopped operating right now, so I haven't operated in a year, but when I, I used to do 10 sleeves in a day. I would have two operating rooms that bounce back so you didn't have to wait for turnover. So I'd do five cases in each room, and I would be done by 4:00 o'clock and start at 7:30 in the morning. So that is the best case scenario. My surgeons aren't as fast as I am, so I would say eight to ten cases in a day, we can easily, do at max capacity in the facility with two rooms.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. All right. And so knowing that information and tying it in with whatever kind of information you give us on a backlog would be helpful.

MR. GARBER: Sure. I am confident when we have the facility, there should be no lack bog, because hospital we have limited OR time. We have lot time, they give us one or two days a week and that is all we have access to an operating room, and sometimes we don't get two rooms, we only get one. So by having the access to an

ambulatory surgery center, we should be able to do a much larger volume quicker, to have unlimited OR time like that.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Brian, any follow-up questions with that?

MR. CARNEY: No, that was it. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Okay. You're welcome. So with the, I am going to issue an order that the documents that are going to be provided, today is the 7th, so right now I am going to issue the order that they be provided by next Wednesday, December 15th.

Attorney Feldman, if you need more time, just file a request and, you know, onto the portal or to Brian and I'll get it. You know, just a motion for more time.

But right now the order is that these are to be provided by December 15th, and we will review those. I believe, Brian, when the record closes is there an official notice that goes out when the record closes?

MR. CARNEY: We will post it to the portal and we can choose to have it be accepted so that, you know, they get an e-mail sent out, generated that it has been posted.

HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: I am sure once these documents are looked at there may or may not be a further, you know, request for document, you know, until

we look at everything. So, but you will get notified when the record closes. All right. Well I appreciate everybody's time. And thank you, everyone. And we will leave the record open and, but adjourn the hearing, okay. MR. GARBER: Thank you, everybody. I really appreciate everyone's time. Have a nice day. HEARING OFFICER YANDOW: Thank you. (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 3:20 p.m.) 

2.0

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

I, THERESA BERGSTRAND, a Licensed Professional Reporter/Commissioner within and for the State of Connecticut, do hereby certify that I took the the foregoing hearing testimony, on December 7, 2021 Via Zoom Videoconferencing Platform.

I further certify that the within testimony was taken by me stenographically and reduced to typewritten form under my direction by means of computer assisted transcription; and I further certify that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

WITNESS my hand and seal the 29TH day of December, 2021.

Theusa Bugotiand

Theresa Bergstrand, CSR.
My commission expires 3/31/2026