CERTIFIED COPY

1 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 2 OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY 3 4 5 DOCKET NO. 21-32445-CON 6 A HEARING REGARDING THE TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP OF 51% OF THE MEMBERSHIP INTEREST IN 7 LITCHFIELD HILLS SURGERY CENTER, LLC TO HARTFORD HEALTHCARE CORPORATION d/b/a HHC SURGERY 8 CENTER HOLDINGS, LLC. 9 10 VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE 11 12 Public Hearing held on Wednesday, January 11, 2023, beginning at 9:30 a.m., 13 via remote access. 14 15 Held Before: 16 ALICIA J. NOVI, ESQ., Hearing Officer 17 18 Administrative Staff: 19 STEVEN W. LAZARUS, CON Program Supervisor 2.0 JESSICA RIVAL, Healthcare Analyst 21 MAYDA CAPOZZI, Administrator 22 23 24 25 Reporter: Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061

1	Appearances:
2	
3	For the Applicants:
4	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN LLP
5	One Constitution Plaza
6	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
7	Phone: 860.251.5104 Fax: 860.251.5211
8	BY: JOAN W. FELDMAN, ESQ.
9	jfeldman@goodwin.com
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	**All participants were present via remote access.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 (Whereupon, the hearing commenced at 9:30 a.m.) 2 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Good morning, 3 It's now 9:31 a.m. on January 11, everybody. 4 This will be the CON hearing for Hartford 5 HealthCare Corporation d/b/a Hartford HealthCare 6 or HHC Surgery Center Holdings, LLC and Litchfield 7 Hills Surgery Center, LLC, Docket No. 8 21-32445-CON. Let me just adjust this so you can 9 see me when I look down. Hartford HealthCare 10 Corporation d/b/a HHC Surgery Center Holdings, 11 LLC, the Litchfield Hills Surgery Center, LLC, the 12 applicants in this matter, seek a certificate of 13 need for the transfer of ownership of a health 14 care facility under Connecticut General Statutes, 15 Section 19a-638(a)(2) -- specifically, I will 16 refer to Hartford HealthCare Corporation as 17 Hartford Hospital just for brevity sake or HHC 18 throughout this -- proposes to purchase a 51 19 percent interest in Litchfield Hills Surgery 20 Center, LLC. Throughout this proceeding, I will 21 use those two I just mentioned interchangeably 22 when referring to Hartford HealthCare Corporation 23 d/b/a HHC Surgery Center Holdings, LLC. 24 Today is January 11, 2023. My name is

Alicia Novi. Deidre Gifford, the new incoming

25

executive director of OHS, designated me to serve as -- well, previous acting director, Kimberly Martone, and new incoming director, Deidre Gifford, designated me to serve as hearing officer for this matter to rule on all motions and recommended filings of fact and conclusions of law upon completion of the hearing.

Section 149 of Public Act No. 21-2, as amended by Public Act No. 22-3, authorizes the agency to hold a public hearing by means of electronic equipment. In accordance with this legislation, any person who participates orally in an electronic meeting shall make a good faith effort to state your name and title at the onset of each occasion that such person participates orally during an uninterrupted dialogue or series of questions and answers. We ask that all members of the public mute their device they are using to access the hearing and silence any additional devices around them.

This public hearing is held pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section

19a-639a(f)(2) of the general statutes, and it provides that HSP may hold a public hearing with respect to any CON application submitted under

Chapter 368z. This notice of hearing may be issued pursuant to that statute -- or, sorry, this notice of hearing was issued pursuant to that statute. Although this will be a discretionary hearing that is not governed by the contested cases provision found under 54 -- or found in Chapter 54 of the general statutes, also known as the UAPA, and the regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, Section 19a-9-24, the manner in which OHS will conduct this proceeding will be guided by those statutes and regulations.

The Office of Health Strategy staff is here to assist me in gathering facts related to this application and will be asking the applicants' witnesses questions. I'm going to ask each staff person assisting with questions today to identify themselves with their name, spelling of their last name, and OHS title.

MR. LAZARUS: Good morning. Steven Lazarus, L-a-z-a-r-u-s. I am the certificate of need program supervisor.

MS. RIVAL: Jessica Rival, R-i-v-a-l, and I am a healthcare analyst with the Office of Health Strategy.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. Also

present today is Mayda Capozzi, a staff member for our agency who is assisting with the hearing logistics and will gather the names for public comment.

The certificate of need process is a regulatory process, and as such, the highest level of respect will be accorded to the applicant, members of the public and our staff. Our priority is the integrity and transparency of the process. Accordingly, decorum must be maintained by all present during these proceedings.

This hearing is being transcribed and recorded, and the video will be made available on the OHS website at its YouTube account. All documents related to this hearing have been or will be submitted to the Office of Health Strategy -- sorry, all dockets related to this hearing that have been or will be submitted to the Office of Health Strategy are available for review through our certificate of need, CON, portal which is accessible on the Office of Health Strategy CON webpage.

In making my decision, I will consider and make written findings in accordance with Section 19a-639 of the Connecticut General

Statutes.

Lastly, as Zoom hopefully notified you in the course of entering this hearing -- oh, sorry, I have to apologize. The recording is not on. We are going to start recording shortly.

Okay. I don't know if we have to go back and repeat my entire introduction. Attorney for the applicant, are you okay without me repeating the entire introduction?

MS. FELDMAN: Absolutely.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you. All right. As you have just been notified, and I have too by our host, Zoom, this hearing is being recorded. I wish to point out that by appearing on camera in this virtual hearing, you are consenting to being filmed. If you wish to revoke your consent, please do so at this time.

The CON portal contains the prehearing table of record in this case. At the time of its filing, Monday, exhibits were identified in the table from A to U. The applicant is hereby noticed that I am taking administrative notice of the following documents, the Statewide Health Facilities and Services Plan, the Facilities and Services Inventory, the OHS acute care hospital

discharge database, and the All-Payer Claims Database claims data.

I also wish to take notice of two pending CON applications from the same applicant, the first being 20-32411-CON, HHC Surgery Center Holdings, LLC and Southwest Connecticut Surgery Center, LLC; and two, the second hearing being 21-32423-CON, Hartford HealthCare Corporation d/b/a HHC Surgery Center Holdings and Surgery Center of Fairfield County, LLC and SCA, the Connecticut Partners LLC. I know that the hearing officer in that hearing also took administrative notice of our hearing as well in his order.

I will also be taking administrative notice of the hospital system's HRS data or financial and utilization data, and also prior OHS decisions, agreed settlements and determinations that may be relevant to this matter.

Counsel for the applicants, can you please identify yourself for the record.

MS. FELDMAN: Surely. My name is Joan Feldman, and I am an attorney with Shipman & Goodwin. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you very much.

All right. In addition to those exhibits listed in the table of record, a public comment file may be added which will be updated from time to time.

Attorney Feldman, do you have any additional exhibits you wish to enter at this time?

MS. FELDMAN: No, I do not, but I would like to reserve the right to comment on some of the utilization data, including but not limited to the APCD, All-Payer Claims Database information that you'll be reviewing.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. All right. It will be entered and used, as needed, if needed, by me.

MS. FELDMAN: Okay.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. So we will proceed in the order established by the agenda for today's hearing. I'd like to advise the applicants that we may ask questions related to your application that you feel have already been addressed. We will do this for the purpose of ensuring that the public has knowledge of your proposal and for the purpose of clarification. I want to reassure you that we have reviewed your

application, the completeness responses, the prefiled testimony, and I will do so many times before issuing a decision.

As this hearing is being held virtually, we ask that all participants, to the extent possible, should enable use of video cameras when testifying or commenting during proceedings. All participants should mute their devices and should disable their cameras when they go off record or take a break. Please be advised that we will try to shut off the hearing recording during breaks, but it may continue. If the recording is on, any audio or video not disabled will be accessible to all participants in the hearing.

Public comment taken during the hearing will likely go in the order established by OHS during the registration process. However, I may allow public officials to testify out of order. I or OHS staff will call each individual by name when it is his or her turn to speak. Registration for public comment will take place at 2 p.m. and is scheduled to start at 3 p.m. If the technical portion of this hearing is not completed by 3 p.m., public comment may be postponed until the

1 technical portion is complete. The applicants' witnesses must be available after public comment 2 3 as OHS may have follow-up questions based on 4 public comment. 5 Are there any housekeeping matters or 6 procedural issues you would like to address before 7 we start, Ms. Feldman, or Attorney Feldman? 8 MS. FELDMAN: Just, yes, one. In all 9 due respect, I think it would be incorrect to 10 refer interchangeably to Hartford HealthCare 11 Surgical Holdings as Hartford Hospital. 12 not. Hartford HealthCare, as you may know, is a 13 very -- has more than one hospital in the State of 14 Connecticut so --15 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Is it okay if I 16 refer to it as HHC then? 17 MS. FELDMAN: Perfect. Perfect. 18 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. ₩e 19 will refer to you as HHC. 20 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. Is 22 there an opening statement from the applicant? 23 MS. FELDMAN: Yes, there is. 24 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Go ahead. 25 MS. FELDMAN: First of all, good

morning, Hearing Officer Novi. It's so nice to meet you. And it's lovely to see again OHS staff, and we appreciate your efforts and time this morning.

Today we're before you with an application that was first submitted to OHS approximately two years ago. Specifically, it's a proposal for Litchfield Hills ASC to transfer 51 percent of its equity interest to HHC Surgical Holdings. Given the amount of time that has passed, we realize that some of the data will need updating by way of Late-Files. However, none of the underlying rationale and assumptions relating to this application have changed.

As you know, this is an application for approval for HHC Surgical Holdings to become the equity owner in Litchfield ASC. This is not an application for a new ASC and whether or not there's a need for an ASC because that has previously been established. The applicants understand what OHS must consider in its review of this application, enhance patient access, lower cost and improved quality and outcomes; therefore, my opening comments, Dr. Spero's and Ms. Sassi's testimony will focus on addressing these key

points.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, I just want to provide some essential background. I can't state this enough, but commercial and governmental payers are requiring hospitals to provide lower-cost alternatives to hospital-based care. How are they doing this? How are they requiring this? They are doing this through their plan design. Specifically, if you were to look at any local coverage determination guidelines or policies on the websites of payers, the plans no longer cover hospital-based surgeries if the same procedure can be safely performed in a lower-cost ASC. I will say that's to the exception with respect to Medicaid, and I will discuss that later, if you like.

This means that many health plans are no longer covering many surgeries that historically had been provided in inpatient settings only. Hartford HealthCare as a system, health care system, has been committed to providing lower-cost alternatives to hospital-based medical care prior to these coverage evolution by plans. Specifically, HHC through HHC Surgical Holdings has been

endeavoring, as you may know, to provide its patients access to a high-quality ambulatory care network. As you also know, the Litchfield ASC has served the Torrington and surrounding communities for 17 years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HHC shares a similar commitment to this very same community through its ownership of Charlotte Hungerford Hospital. You will hear this morning from Dr. Spero all of the reasons why Litchfield Hills ASC desires to partner with HHC. Based upon the three rounds of completeness questions, the focus is evidently on cost and But I must say that any cost increases access. that may be projected are a byproduct of comparing an ASC with less complex procedures with an ASC with very complex procedures. While the applicants have not shared rate data as prohibited by law and contractual obligations, the cost of providing a modern technologically advanced and fully integrated ASC will still be significantly lower than the cost of providing hospital-based services.

Should the proposal be approved, the ASC is expected to recruit three new orthopedic surgeons who will transfer their cases from

Charlotte Hungerford Hospital to the ASC. One of those surgeons is a spine surgeon, one is a hand surgeon, and one is a sports medicine surgeon. All surgeons will comply with Hartford HealthCare's financial assistance policy, also known as its charity care policy. partnership is in pursuit of the triple aim, improving the patient experience, improving the health of the population served, and reducing the cost of care. There are so many benefits to discuss, so I will now turn to Dr. Spero. Thank

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you. I just want to clear up, I am taking notes down to the right. So if you see me looking off to the right, I'm just taking notes. I am still paying attention.

you.

MS. FELDMAN: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. At this point, I would like to ask, Attorney Feldman, if you could please identify all individuals by name and title who are going to testify on behalf of the application, and they should each -- are they in the room with you or would they need to turn their cameras on?

1	MS. FELDMAN: There are two individuals
2	who will be providing testimony today, Dr. Lane
3	Spero from Litchfield Hills Ambulatory Surgery
4	Center and Ms. Donna Sassi, vice president of
5	integration for Hartford HealthCare.
6	HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. Are
7	these the individuals sitting to your left and
8	your right?
9	MS. FELDMAN: Dr. Spero is to my
LO	right here. I don't want to mess up left to
L1	right.
L2	HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I understand.
L3	MS. FELDMAN: And Donna Sassi is right
L4	here.
L5	HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Perfect. So I
L6	don't need to ask them to turn on their cameras.
L7	All right. Thank you very much.
L8	If I could have you both raise your
L9	right hands, I will swear you in right now.
20	LANE D. SPERO,
21	DONNA SASSI,
22	having been first duly sworn (remotely) by
23	Hearing Officer Novi, testified on their
24	oaths as follows:
25	HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right.

Thank you. Go ahead and put your hands down. All right. When giving your testimony, please make sure you say your full name and adopt any written testimony that you have submitted on record prior to testifying today. The applicants may now proceed with their testimony. And I ask that you define any acronyms that you use for the benefit of the public and clarity of the record, okay? All right. You may proceed.

THE WITNESS (Spero): Good morning,
Attorney Novi and the rest of the OHS staff. I
would like to adopt my prefile testimony.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Spero): Thank you for the opportunity to present this CON application for Hartford HealthCare and my surgery center, Litchfield Hills Ambulatory Surgery Center. And we're in the Torrington area, and we are the only surgery center in Torrington. As Attorney Feldman stated, there's also Charlotte Hungerford Hospital. And my focus today will be on the change of ownership from Hartford and Litchfield Hills Surgery Center.

Right now we provide care, very high-quality care in the surgery center, but as

health care progresses, there's more and more systems that need to be included in order to be able to provide that high care. Hartford HealthCare has a lot of experience in this area, and that is why we've chosen to partner with them.

Presently, we take both commercial and governmental payers, so we will continue to do that even with this partnership. The idea is to decrease the overall cost of the care from the hospital to the ambulatory surgery center and at the same time provide a high quality of care.

We've had a lot of success over the last 17 years, along with multiple partners that are in the surgery center and other physicians, and we want to continue that success. And we understand that there are more procedures that need to be followed in order to continue that success.

As with health care, procedures have progressed, equipment has progressed. And we're physicians. We need help in order to be able to continue that high quality of care for all of our patients and expand that care to the rest of Torrington. As Attorney Feldman stated, there are other physicians in the community that would also

like to bring their patients to an ambulatory
setting. There are protocols for infectious
control and other regulatory protocols that we are
not experts in but Hartford HealthCare has
expertise in and would be very insistent in
maintaining those protocols.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Dr. Spero, I apologize, I'm going to interrupt you for a second. If you could please state your name and then spell your last name. That has not been stated for the record.

THE WITNESS (Spero): I apologize.

Lane Spero. My last name is S-p-e-r-o.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Continue with your testimony. I do apologize.

THE WITNESS (Spero): Okay. There are many other choices in the environment in which to partner with, but because of the expertise that Hartford HealthCare brings to the table, that is why we've chosen to partner with Hartford HealthCare.

Presently, we provide orthopedic and related orthopedic procedures, pain management procedures, and we will continue to provide that care in the ambulatory surgery center with

Hartford HealthCare.

There is also other procedures within Hartford HealthCare that we wouldn't have access to because they're a larger health care system that from their hospital system, from their other ambulatory surgery systems that we would have access to by partnering with Hartford HealthCare. Like their medical record, Epic, which really helps in the overall health care of the patient to be comprehensive in tests, in reports, procedures and such that they have access to right on their phone.

We presently participate in both

Medicare and Medicaid services and will continue
to participate in those services even after the
merger.

I just want to stress that the point of this merger is to increase the quality of care, increase it to more people within the community, and bring them another choice, potentially, or a cheaper or more cost-effective choice within the Torrington area.

Thank you very much for accepting my testimony.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. Thank you

very much.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): Hello. My name is Donna Sassi, S-a-s-s-i. Good morning, Attorney Novi, and members of the OHS staff. Again, my name is Donna Sassi. My role is vice president of partnership integration for Hartford HealthCare Corporation. At this time, I'd like to adopt my prefile testimony, please.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): Thank you for the opportunity to speak this morning in support of the Certificate of Need application for HHC Surgery Center Holdings to acquire 51 percent membership interest in Litchfield Hills Ambulatory Surgery Center. My focus today is going to be on the value contributions that HHC Surgery Center Holdings will make and deliver to the Litchfield Hills Surgical Center and community that they serve. I also want to share that this proposal is very critical to Hartford HealthCare's mission of providing the highest quality equitable and most cost-effective care to the patients in the communities that we serve.

With the goal of highest quality and most cost-effective care for our patients,

Hartford HealthCare over the last five years has been very proactive in disrupting our historically hospital-based services and driving care to lower-cost settings. So what that means is everyone who had ambulatory services from radiology to urgent care to endoscopy services and ambulatory surgeries, they would have to go to an acute care hospital. And so what we have done is created a network of care within the communities that the patients live and work in.

And we have been successful in doing so in creating all these joint ventures and ambulatory services, as I listed, because of our partnerships with the community providers or experts in radiology. So we have been successful, and that's evidenced by our patient experience scores being in the top decile, as well as we were recognized this year in 2020 by Leapfrog for having two of our centers be in the top 12 in the nation for quality and safety. And, you know, during these last few years as we built this network of ambulatory care, what we realize today is nearly 40 percent of all the surgeries being done in Hartford HealthCare are now being done in a footprint that's outside of the hospitals.

б

When we look at the cost of lowering the cost of care, what we're talking about is that we are lowering costs for surgery and endoscopies between 25 and 40 percent.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Can I pause you, because I would like to just make sure I got that. You said 25 and what?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): 25 to 40 percent lower cost in the ambulatory setting as opposed to the acute care hospital-based outpatient departments.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): And, you know, the payers and the patients, because of the lower cost, benefit from this, but overall our health care system in the communities at large benefit from it too. And the reason being is that the hospitals are congested, and we are decanting cases and increasing capacity for the acute care hospitals to take care of the more acute patients.

So as I had mentioned, we've had very good success, but what I'm most proud of is that we are able to build superior care, high-quality clinical care by partnering with our ambulatory surgery centers. We're doing this by

standardizing care, so any patient that goes into any Hartford HealthCare facility is going to get the same level of care. We're doing this by standardizing policies and procedures, whether they be infection control or they be charitable care policies or, you know, medical office standardization of, you know, credentialing and how we manage new procedures. In other words, the experts at the acute care hospital have already credentialed and know the criteria for physicians to have in order to be credentialed for new procedures in the ambulatory settings. So we use that historical and evidence-based knowledge to create the same environment in our ambulatory surgery centers.

Through this partnership we have offered exceptional and modern clinically advanced surgery centers, and we've done that because we have the capital to be able to invest in the new technology that is required to do these more acute cases. Again, like Dr. Spero mentioned, care coordination is better because we have a commitment from our partners to go live with Epic in our centers. They might not have been able to go live with Epic if it had not been for this

partnership because we are able to financially support that endeavor.

You know, through Hartford HealthCare's partnership, the centers are run efficiently and provide patients high quality, lower-cost care. As we look forward in the future, we know that the payers and government are going to increase the number of cases that move from the acute care setting to the ambulatory centers. And without this partnership with LHO, they might not have been able to be as prepared as we will make them to receive and manage these patients at a higher level of quality and safety.

I had mentioned a couple of things that we bring to the table as far as benefits to our partners. I just would like to go over a few other things that we do provide for our partners. We have evidence-based performance benchmarks and data that we track and trend the performance of our centers. We work with them for improvement processes. We provide them access to education and simulation, which the importance of that is so great. When we have new technology, we prepare the teams in a safe setting. Physicians can go up and, you know, test new technology. And so that

is a good benefit that we bring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As well as our group purchasing organization. We are able, because of our scale, to be able to shift our supplies to help support our ambulatory surgery centers. Every day we're faced with national shortages and backorders. And for example, the most recent thing that we were able to help our ambulatory surgery centers with is there is a testing machine that all Autoplates have to be tested for biologics, and their machine to process the test tube was broken. They had put an order in for a new machine. It was going to be at least three months. So Hartford Healthcare was able to provide them with a loaner so it didn't disrupt the schedule for the patients. We could continue to provide patients in the community what they needed, and we were able to have operations as normal. So it was a true testament to how our partnerships can enhance and support our ambulatory surgery centers.

Going live with Epic, as Dr. Spero mentioned, helps the physicians and providers at their fingertips and at the site of care they'll have access to critical information about a patient's allergies, medications. Have you ever

gone to the doctor and forgot to give them the med that you're on? This is the quality and safety that it ensures for our patients. So we are on that Epic journey and implementation for our centers and will be with our partnership with LHO.

We talked a little bit about preparing patients for surgery. We are able to have dedicated experts in our preadmission centers be able to sit with patients, be able to get them ready from education to testing, and also have anesthesia, be able to put a plan together that's appropriate for that patient.

We talked about capital being able to enhance what we need at our centers because of our capital contributions. And, you know, although this is representative of a small number of things that we benefit, we provide benefits for the ambulatory surgery centers, you know, in the end it is the most cost-effective highest quality of care that we can provide.

So we talked about that our centers will all participate in our Financial Assistance Policy, and we also shared today and are committed to, you know, continuing to care for the under and uninsured patients, the Medicare and Medicaid

population specifically, as well as we will make sure it's equitable care. We will do that through our standardization of the policy for CLAS, Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate

Services, we will make sure everybody is treated and understands what kind of care they're getting no matter what language or culture they come from.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So in summary, both our commercial and governmental payers are leading the national initiative for our hospitals to move care from the hospital to an ambulatory setting. Hartford HealthCare is committed to establishing a network of ambulatory settings that offer lower-cost alternatives to hospital-based care consistent with the high level of care patients receive from the acute care hospitals within Hartford HealthCare. The transfer of care, you know, we are creating an environment that really patients have access, that's equitable, and in the end is cost effective, and we will provide that for the Litchfield Hills Ambulatory Surgery Center patients if this partnership is approved.

I want to thank you for this time to testify, and I'm available for any questions that you need.

1 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 2 Attorney Feldman, do you have any follow-up you'd 3 like from either of your witnesses? 4 MS. FELDMAN: Well, based on Ms. 5 Sassi's testimony, it sounds to me like the FAA 6 needs to partner with HHC also. 7 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I think they may 8 want to look into that. 9 All right. So at this point, we would 10 now go to questions from our department, but I 11 would like to see, Mr. Lazarus, would you like to 12 take a quick break before we head into our round 13 of questioning? 14 MR. LAZARUS: If we can take a 15 five-minute break, that will be great. 16 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. Let's 17 give ten minutes. Are we okay with meeting back 18 here at 10:20, is that okay with you, Attorney 19 Feldman? 20 MS. FELDMAN: That's great. Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: We will now 22 break for ten minutes, and I will see everybody 23 back here at 10:20. Thank you. 24 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 25 10:09 a.m. until 10:19 a.m.)

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. As you were just informed by the beautiful Zoom voice, we are now recording again. It is 10:20 a.m. We are back from our break. At this time, we will continue with the evidence portion, and OHS will begin with their questions. And I see that both witnesses for the applicant are present.

MS. RIVAL: Hello. Again, I'm Jessica Rival from the Office of Health Strategy. I have a few questions for you today. I will leave it up to you as to who is the best person to answer each question. First, given the long history of operating at the current location in Torrington, why is now the appropriate time for Hartford HealthCare Surgery Center Holdings to acquire 51 percent of the Litchfield Hills Surgery Center?

THE WITNESS (Spero): That's a very good question. We've had a lot of success with our surgery center, but as health care has become more complex with the regulatory environment, with the technology, we are expert physicians, but we're not administrators. And so we take care of patients, and we need help with the other sorts of things that go on with running a health care facility. And Hartford HealthCare has that

expertise already in the making. They already do it for their hospitals, and that will just expand to our surgical center.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. So there was no particular event that triggered the CON proposal?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Well, I think

during COVID that was an event that sort of made us sit back and say, look, this is, we need help in order to manage the health care in general.

And, in fact, had we been part of Hartford HealthCare, we would have been able to offer assistance for patients needing ventilators, needing the treatments during COVID, but because we're an independent center, we weren't able to perform those services.

MS. RIVAL: What are the applicants' long-term strategic plans for the provision of health care services in the proposed service area, including any plans to reduce, eliminate or expand services?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): We have no plans to reduce or to eliminate services. Our strategic plan is based on lowering the cost of care and providing access, again, in the communities in which the patients live away from the acute care

hospital.

MS. RIVAL: What about expanding services, are there any current plans?

MS. FELDMAN: Can you, this is Attorney Feldman. Can you, Ms. Rival, just specify what you mean? Do you mean by increasing the number of ORs or the specific services performed at the surgery center?

MS. RIVAL: The specific surgeries at the surgery center.

MS. FELDMAN: I think earlier I may have misspoke when I said that the third surgeon is -- I think I said a spine surgeon, hand surgeon and sports medicine. Actually, it's not a hand surgeon that's coming but a foot and ankle orthopedic surgeon. So that will be a new procedure that will be offered.

MS. RIVAL: Okay.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I have a follow-up, actually. Ms. Sassi, you mentioned that you are looking to move more services out of the acute care setting and into the ambulatory setting. What does that process look like, and what would it entail for an ambulatory surgery center like Litchfield?

1 THE WITNESS (Sassi): What we know is, 2 is that more and more case types, different case 3 types that are traditionally today done in a 4 hospital will be moving out to the ambulatory 5 surgery center driven by government payers' 6 request. And so when we talk about service, when 7 I was talking about services, it included all of 8 the ambulatory services, urgent care and surgery, 9 as well as radiology services. And so, but today 10 being focused on the ASC itself and Litchfield 11 Hills, we would expand access to providers or 12 cases that are currently not being done but now 13 need to be done in that setting. 14 MR. LAZARUS: This is Steve Lazarus. 15 Just to follow up on something you mentioned 16 earlier. You mentioned as part of your strategic 17 plan, when you talk about a strategic plan, are you talking about Hartford HealthCare's strategic 18 19 plan for the service area or are you talking about 20 just for the facility? 21 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Is this a 22 question? 23 MR. LAZARUS: Yes. 24 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Yeah, it's really

about, when I talked about the ambulatory

25

1 footprint, it was Hartford HealthCare's overall 2 strategic ambulatory strategy which is part of our 3 network of care, and we're adding an ambulatory 4 surgery center to that. Today we're focused on 5 adding the ambulatory surgery center piece to that 6 network that they built. 7 MR. LAZARUS: Okay. Thank you. 8 MS. RIVAL: Is Litchfield Hills 9 Surgical Center at risk of closure due to 10 financial or any other reasons at this time? 11 THE WITNESS (Spero): No. 12 MS. RIVAL: Does the center currently 13 charge any facility fees? 14 THE WITNESS (Spero): Yes, we charge a 15 facility fee. The performance of surgery, the 16 nurses that work there, the implants that we --17 we're an orthopedic surgery center, okay, so we 18 perform surgeries that involve a lot of implants. 19 The costs of those medical supplies, those 20 implants, the nurses, all of those are involved in 21 the facility fee. 22 MS. RIVAL: Is the facility fee 23 expected to be increased with the proposal? 24 THE WITNESS (Spero): No. 25 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I'm sorry, was

1 that a "No"? 2 THE WITNESS (Spero): "No." 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. 4 MS. FELDMAN: Yes, it was a "No." 5 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. Thank 6 Little semantics. And it never moved off 7 Jessica, so I had to just hear this voice 8 without a -- all right. 9 MS. RIVAL: Did the applicants 10 anticipate initiating the imposition of facility 11 fees under the new entity? 12 MS. FELDMAN: Ms. Rival, so just to 13 clarify, currently ASCs charge a fee for the 14 overhead component or technical component of the 15 surgery, just like a hospital charges its fee, and 16 then the physicians charge a separate fee. 17 that's not going to change. Is that --18 MS. RIVAL: Yes. I just wanted to 19 ensure the continuity that yes there's a fee and 20 yes it's not going to change with the acquisition. 21 MS. FELDMAN: Correct. 22 THE WITNESS (Spero): Right. 23 MS. RIVAL: Transfers of ownership of a 24 standalone facility to a larger entity result in 25 fewer standalone unaffiliated entities. Can you

1 please describe how this will not have a negative 2 impact on the diversity of ASC entities in the 3 geographic region? 4 THE WITNESS (Spero): There are no 5 other ambulatory surgery centers in our region. 6 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: So you are the 7 only one? 8 THE WITNESS (Spero): We are the only 9 one. 10 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Do you know 11 where the next closest one is? 12 THE WITNESS (Spero): Waterbury? 13 Naugatuck, Naugatuck. 14 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 15 MS. RIVAL: Page 28 of Exhibit S reads, 16 In order to facilitate the shift of appropriate 17 surgical cases to the ambulatory setting, the 18 applicants' plan to recruit three new orthopedic 19 surgeons to join the medical staff once the 20 application is approved, along with a podiatrist 21 and two pain management physicians, which my 22 understanding --23 MS. FELDMAN: Excuse me, Ms. Rival. 24 Can you please restate where you're looking? 25 MS. RIVAL: Page 28 of Exhibit S. And

21 22 23

24

25

it starts, In order to facilitate the shift of appropriate surgical cases to the ambulatory setting.

MS. FELDMAN: I'm sorry, we're just trying to catch up with you.

MS. RIVAL: Sure. Take your time, please.

If your question is just MS. FELDMAN: a question and you're not referring to a table, we are having some trouble catching up with you, but I think if it's not a specific table, we can try to answer.

MS. RIVAL: Okay. I don't think you even need to really refer to the exhibit at this point.

> MS. FELDMAN: Okay.

MS. RIVAL: In the hearing testimony you had stated that the makeup of the doctors that will be joining is different than what was originally presented in the original application. My question was, because of the makeup of the new doctors that will be acquired by the practice, won't this result in a change in referral patterns for the center?

MS. FELDMAN: I think there might be

some confusion from a statement I made. At the time that the application was submitted, there was a plan to recruit new surgeons. Specific surgeons and their subspecialties had not yet been identified. Today, as we look forward, we have in fact identified, you know, these three subspecialties in the field of orthopedic surgery. So there's not really been a change and it will -- basically, these are Charlotte Hungerford Hospital affiliated surgeons who would be decanting from the hospital to the ASC. Is that responsive to your question?

MS. RIVAL: Yes, that answers my question.

MR. LAZARUS: So just to follow up, just to kind of clarify. So how many physicians are currently practicing at the ASC, and does that include the three additional in that number now, yeah, for now. And then if we can -- and perhaps you may want to give as a Late-File a list of all their specialties so we can correct that. So if we can have the numbers first.

THE WITNESS (Spero): There are five orthopedic surgeons that practice at the surgery center now. There are three additional orthopedic

surgeons that practice at Charlotte Hungerford
Hospital, which is about a five mile drive from
our office at the ambulatory surgery center.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LAZARUS: Are they going to start performing procedures there or would they be practicing there full-time?

THE WITNESS (Spero): So they each have their own medical practice. And so the way an orthopaedic surgery practice works is we have a medical practice where we see patients from the community. And then if that patient needs to undergo some sort of surgery, wherever it would be appropriate for that patient to have surgery, we'd bring the patient either to a hospital setting, an ambulatory surgery setting or some procedures get done in the office, if that's appropriate. three other physicians, the foot and ankle, the spine surgeon and the sports medicine surgeon, which are also, they're orthopedic surgeons with a subspecialty focus, those three practice only in the hospital. And so by this, by Hartford being involved, they would now bring those cases from the hospital to the ambulatory surgery center.

MR. LAZARUS: Okay.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Do -- I'm sorry.

Go ahead.

MR. LAZARUS: Go ahead.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I was just wondering, were these three doctors the only ones you are planning to bring into the surgery center or would there be additional as well?

THE WITNESS (Spero): There might be additional surgeons. Right now those three surgeons presently practice in Torrington.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay.

MS. FELDMAN: Yes, there, you know, based on need, there would be additional recruitment by the jointly-owned ASC based on the demand and need and the type of surgeon and succession planning and all of those factors that go into recruitment decisions.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: That was my only question, Steve. Go ahead.

MR. LAZARUS: I was just going to suggest that perhaps, and this might be a little bit later on, we may want to get a revised utilization, projected utilization because now those might require updating with the three additional physicians. I think we'll do that separately. Thank you.

Ms. Rival.

MS. RIVAL: How will access to -- be improved, excuse me, for Medicaid patients in this service area as a result of the proposal?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Well, presently we accept both Medicare and Medicaid patients, and that won't change.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Can I ask a follow-up on that? How would Hartford HealthCare or how would HHC's charity care or what is HHC's charity care policy currently?

MS. FELDMAN: I believe it's in the main application, the charity care, financial assistance policy. As you may know, this is something that a tax exempt hospital is required to have. This policy that we've provided to you is quite comprehensive, and it focuses on eligibility criteria and the process of determining financial need based on the federal poverty guidelines. So it's quite expansive. And, you know, regularly it's going to be posted and patients are going to be informed about this as soon as they have any kind of interaction or thought of going to the ASC.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: And how does

this policy differ from the current charity care policy in place at the Litchfield Hills Surgery Center?

THE WITNESS (Spero): We don't have a specific policy. It's on a case-by-case basis.

MS. FELDMAN: You know, I think that just to distinguish between the two, like some private physician practices -- most private physician practices do not have charity care policies. And they may on an individual basis work out a payment plan with patients, but it's not typically charity care as set forth in the Financial Assistance Policy which focuses on free care, reduced care, and things of that sort.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. All right. Thank you. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. RIVAL: Throughout the application and the prefile it has been asserted that there would be no changes or little change to any existing services, relocation, termination or addition at the proposed location. How will HHC's 2022 Community Health Needs Assessment and corresponding implementation strategy plan impact future health services in the PSA?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): So what we know

about the community is, is that the population of 65 and older is going to grow pretty high, one of the highest rates in Connecticut. So we want to be prepared to be able to manage that influx of patient needs related to orthopedic services.

MS. FELDMAN: Just to clarify, in terms of the Community Health Needs Assessment, that is in fact performed by Charlotte Hungerford Hospital. As you know, they identify the needs in the community and implement approaches to addressing those needs. And to the extent that there is something identified that would be compatible with patients moving or shifting to the ASC, of course that would be incorporated.

MS. RIVAL: Thank you. Have you developed or is there an existing transfer agreement with another health care provider, for example, Hartford HealthCare -- I mean Hartford Hospital, Charlotte Hungerford; and if so, can you provide us with a copy?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Presently there is a transfer agreement as required by the State of Connecticut to Charlotte Hungerford Hospital.

MS. RIVAL: And will that change at all with the --

THE WITNESS (Spero): That will not change.

MS. FELDMAN: I believe also,
Ms. Rival, that it's part of the application, the
transfer agreement, and that remains in place.

MS. RIVAL: Great. Is there any plan to shift or transfer volumes or share equipment and staff between any HHC facilities in the PSA?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): No.

MS. RIVAL: Can you please discuss how the proposal will enhance quality of care for patients?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): Yes. We discussed a few of the details in standardizing care. So that means every patient going into the Litchfield Hills Ambulatory Surgery Center will get the same level of quality and safe care that they would have gotten at any acute care hospital within HHC. We are committed to make sure that all of our centers are going to go live with Epic, and that's one medical record that will allow our providers to have critical information about patients at the site of care where the patient is.

We also mentioned Leapfrog. All of our centers will participate in Leapfrog. And I did

mention that two of our centers were recognized this year and designated as top 12 in the country for quality and safety. So we will continue that journey with Litchfield Hills as it onboards with Hartford HealthCare, if this is approved.

We track specific quality data elements. We share best practices. We share, our system has what we call institutes, and what that is, is it's people dedicated to a service, cardiology, cancer care, and every day they come in and really are focused only on that service and how they can provide and create policies, procedures and protocols that are evidenced based. All of those are shared by the ASCs.

We have infection control policies that are immediately adopted by the centers. They adopt all our policies, but we prioritize the charitable care policies, the financial assistance policy, which is the same thing, and, you know, all of our care and all the expertise that we provide is all evidenced based, so it's best practice.

When we track and trend those data elements, they're all based on national standards, so what's the best in the country. And we want

to -- that's our goal. That's our low point of our goal. We want to be better, the best. And, you know, we focus on this every day. Every day as part of my role as the vice president for partnership integration, you know, my job is to assure that our partnerships really develop and deliver sustainable clinical services to our patients that are the highest quality, equitable and at the lowest cost possible.

MS. RIVAL: Can you just tell me approximately how long it takes to fully implement all these policies?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): You know, it really is, it takes time because what we do when we first get into the centers, we work together as a team, we prioritize, we do an assessment of the environment and compare it to what our standards are at acute care, and then we would map out a plan my goal would be one day, but, you know, we do work on that and partner with our newest partners to plan and to make the most appropriate priorities first.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I have some follow-up questions. Does LHSC currently have a paperless medical system?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Are you using Epic right now?

THE WITNESS (Spero): No.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. What would this change, how would this change affect the Litchfield Hills Surgery?

THE WITNESS (Spero): So Epic is much more comprehensive than the medical record that we're using. One of the key components of Epic is that the patient has their full medical record at They don't have that with most other electronic medical records. And the medical record that we give patients is the medical record from our orthopedic practice. The medical records you get from Epic is the medical record from every practice that they go to and every physician that they see and every lab value that they obtain. They can even get information from outside of Hartford HealthCare to other systems. If they've been out of state and they sought medical care out of state, they can get that health information on their same Epic medical record that's on their smartphone.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: How will Epic,

the move to Epic in Litchfield Hills Surgical Center improve patient care?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Because we will have more of the information at hand from their other providers in order to see red flags for different types of surgeries or procedures or problems that they have.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): Just in addition, when we have a standalone facility, it's really fragmented care. The reason it is, it's not connected to any care prior to. We don't know what that patient had for services or clinical needs that they might have had. And then post-op if there's any type of follow-up, if that patient meets with an expert, we can then send a message to a specialist within the computer and they will be connected once they leave with that expert and that expertise that they need. You know, care coordination is so important for safety and quality of our patients.

Have you ever gone to the doctor's with your -- or left the doctor's, you lost your paperwork, you're not really sure, or you got some instructions for a future procedure and you don't know what you did with it. Epic can store that

type of information, patient education as well as appointment schedules. It's much more efficient and, like I said, safe, more safe for the patient.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: You also mentioned Leapfrog and participation in that, but I'm not -- you didn't actually give us a good understanding of what Leapfrog is. If you could just tell us.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): It's a consumer platform. It's designed for the consumer of health care. And what they do is they have quality metrics that are national metrics, and they follow them. And their database, actually Epic reads the data in and compares you to your peers. Leapfrog is voluntary. And what this is, is really about if you want to hold yourself accountable through transparency of your quality and safety data, you submit your data. And all of our centers are on that journey, our older centers, our legacy ones, once again, have submitted and two out of their centers were named and recognized by Leapfrog as having high-quality and safety measures in place.

So the consumer will go online. And Leapfrog is for the acute care hospital. And it's

really in a language that the consumer understands, like for the acute care hospitals it's A, B, C or D. So if your hospital is a D, you know that that's not a high-performing center. But for the ambulatory surgery centers it's new since 2017, and they are still on a rating scale, they have not gone to the A, B, C, D yet, but you can visually see, it's very clear and easy to understand for the consumer.

And, you know, once again, we hold our centers accountable to participate. We want to be transparent. We want to understand where we can improve. And so that will be part of the integration into Hartford HealthCare that they'll be required to participate in.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you very much.

Ms. Rival.

MS. RIVAL: That concludes my questions.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: I think I have,
I have a few more questions, or actually, Steve,
do you have any questions? I'm sorry.

MR. LAZARUS: Yes, actually I do have just a couple follow-up.

So going back to the cost, traditionally when any service is provided by a freestanding facility, it tends to be lower cost than providing it in a hospital. With Hartford HealthCare acquiring this facility, how would this impact the -- or how would this proposal impact the cost to the consumers in the primary service area?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): It should not affect the cost.

MS. FELDMAN: I just want to clarify that the applicants have not shared respective data regarding costs so they are completely blind with respect to what each party's costs are. We do expect, if the application is approved, that the center will be doing higher acuity cases, which typically are reimbursed at a higher level. But we have no expectation that it will negatively impact the consumer. If anything, transferring or decanting more cases from Charlotte Hungerford Hospital to the surgery center will result, as Ms. Sassi testified, in significant reductions in the overall cost for the surgical procedures.

MR. LAZARUS: Okay. So based -- for Hartford HealthCare, so based on your experience

of acquiring other similar facilities, ambulatory surgery centers, what have you experienced -- what have those facilities experienced in costs pre and post-acquisition, have the costs traditionally stayed the same, have they increased, or have you seen the costs go down?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): So when we look at the traditional cases that are done in ambulatory surgery centers, it's not comparing apples to apples because, once again, we're getting a new migration of more acute cases. So if we were going to remove a skin cyst, not as high acuity as a total joint. And just the nature of that case requires implants which is a higher cost than my traditional case that has nothing to do with a total joint. So it's really about understanding like what that case, in particular, requires for surgery, and it really depends on each ASC that we have.

MR. LAZARUS: So based on your previous experience, Hartford Healthcare has not tracked costs at any of the ambulatory surgical facilities to see if the costs have increased or lowered or stayed the same for the same acuity level procedures?

MS. FELDMAN: I think to try to answer your question more precisely, I think what Donna Sassi is stating is that when Hartford integrates with a freestanding ASC, they each come to the table with a different cost structure based on their historic negotiations with payers. We do know that that particular area of Torrington is very, a depressed area. And in terms of, if you look at some of the data that's in the application, you'll see that it is a somewhat depressed area with a large percentage of people who are in need which makes Hartford HealthCare's integration here even more important.

You know, do the costs, is there a difference and do the costs go up? Well, first of all, we just heard significant testimony about the innovations and the enhancements that will be made, and those do have a cost. So there might be some increase in -- I assume you're talking about what the reimbursement might be, and we can't globally address that nor are we prepared to respond to that. But what we're talking about is making a more efficient cost-effective surgery center that is handling more complex cases.

For instance, at the ASC right now they

have a sprinkling of total joint cases performed there. We would expect the volume of those cases to go up significantly which is going to be reimbursed at a much higher rate. So there will be changes there in terms of revenue. But if you're asking us to generally say, we can't say that because we don't know their rates, we don't know whether the costs will go up. You're asking us whether based on our prior experience. I can't answer that question. And I think it's a little bit of an unfair question in that we're talking about going from, no offense, Dr. Spero, a Hyundai to a Porsche model of care. And so, yeah.

MR. LAZARUS: So --

THE WITNESS (Sassi): One thing we do know --

MR. LAZARUS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): One thing we do know is that the overhead in a hospital is much higher than an ambulatory surgery center. So all of the programs that they have at the acute care center, transplants, cancer care, all of those cost money and is part of the overhead and the higher cost that we see in the acute care side.

surgery center, so in general it's lower, once again, it's at least 25 to 40 percent.

MR. LAZARUS: Right. Has that been

We don't have that overhead in the ambulatory

MR. LAZARUS: Right. Has that been Hartford HealthCare's experience with its recent or past acquisitions of ambulatory surgery centers where the center's costs have dropped 25 to 40 percent, as you mentioned?

MS. FELDMAN: Compared to the hospital cost, yes, yes. And, in fact, I think there was literature that was provided as part of the submission with respect to CMS Medicare that the differential between a hospital-based surgical procedure and an ASC procedure as much as 50 percent. So it's known that and proven and data supported that the costs are less, significantly less.

MR. LAZARUS: And if the witness can sort of help explain Hartford HealthCare's experience with its previous acquisitions of an ASC, if that particular ASC's costs have gone up or down.

MS. FELDMAN: Costs? Can you please --

MR. LAZARUS: Costs of procedures.

MS. FELDMAN: Can you please define

1 what you mean by "costs" so that Donna Sassi can 2 respond? 3 MR. LAZARUS: Well, if you can, you 4 know, if you look at a procedure that was 5 performed at the center prior to the hospital's --6 or not hospital but Hartford HealthCare's 7 acquisition, would that procedure's cost stay the 8 same or has stayed the same, has increased or gone 9 down? 10 MS. FELDMAN: Well, the fee schedules, 11 as I think was previously testified, are not going 12 to change. 13 MR. LAZARUS: Okay. So the fee 14 schedules that are currently charged at Litchfield 15 Hills, that would continue to remain the same 16 moving forward? 17 MS. FELDMAN: Correct. 18 THE WITNESS (Spero): Correct. 19 MR. LAZARUS: Okay. Currently the --20 and moving forward, who would negotiate the 21 contracts that get renewed for the ASC, would that 22 be Hartford HealthCare or would that be the center 23 itself? 24 THE WITNESS (Sassi): The --25 (Simultaneous voices.)

1 MS. FELDMAN: Yeah, there's no 2 immediate plan, first of all, to change contracts. 3 These contracts with the ASC are existing, so 4 there's no plans, you know, those contracts will 5 run their course. 6 MR. LAZARUS: When they do expire, 7 would they be negotiated by the center? 8 MS. FELDMAN: Yeah. So just so you 9 know for background, Hartford HealthCare --10 Donna, do you want to talk about 11 Integrated Care Partners? 12 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Yes. 13 MS. FELDMAN: They have a clinically 14 integrated network of providers where the 15 providers share risk and are clinically integrated 16 as that term is defined by the Federal Government, 17 and that clinically integrated network, when those 18 contracts expire will be --19 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Right. 20 MS. FELDMAN: -- negotiated. Go ahead. 21 THE WITNESS (Sassi): No, that's 22 exactly it. We, ICP does, you know, have that 23 structure and that they do provide care through 24 their contracts with a risk piece to make sure 25

that the outcomes and the quality are there.

1 they commit to that during contracting. 2 MR. LAZARUS: And --3 MS. FELDMAN: Doctor -- I'm sorry. 4 MR. LAZARUS: I was just going to say, 5 if we can just have the witness provide the 6 testimony, it would be easier, we can actually use 7 it. 8 MS. FELDMAN: Sure. 9 MR. LAZARUS: Thank you. 10 MS. FELDMAN: Sure. 11 THE WITNESS (Sassi): And just so you 12 know, right now Litchfield Hills has contracts in 13 existence. When they come up for renegotiations, 14 ICP will contract -- will negotiate those 15 contracts. And ICP is what you call an 16 accountable care organization where they're going 17 to be responsible for those outcomes of the 18 patients, and they utilize that to be able to 19 negotiate their contracts. 20 MR. LAZARUS: And when do the contracts 21 come up for Litchfield Hills? 22 THE WITNESS (Sassi): I have no 23 knowledge of that. 24 MR. LAZARUS: Perhaps the doctor does. 25 THE WITNESS (Spero): I don't know.

1 They come up yearly. 2 MR. LAZARUS: On an annual basis. 3 Thank you very much. That's helpful to right. 4 have. 5 Attorney Novi, I would like to request 6 a couple of updates through Late-Files. 7 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Of course. Go 8 ahead. 9 MR. LAZARUS: If we can get the 10 financial attachment updated through the most 11 recently completed year and also include 12 projections through -- so we're just completing 13 2021, so probably through '24 or '25, whichever 14 would apply. If we can have that as Late-File 1. 15 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Uh-huh. 16 MR. LAZARUS: Can we also have as 17 Late-File 2, the revised and updated payer mix 18 table? 19 And as Late-File 3, any of the volume 20 projections that were submitted in the 21 application, if those could be revised to provide 22 either through December of, the most recently 23 completed fiscal year as it was submitted, or the 24

And lastly, I know we -- well,

December 2022.

25

59

1 actually, we have -- no, I think that should be 2 good for now. Thank you. 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 4 MS. RIVAL: Actually, I'd like to 5 request an additional Late-File. 6 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Go ahead. 7 MS. RIVAL: Of the average facility 8 fees assessed by Litchfield Hills Surgery Center 9 for patients for each of the past five years, 10 including the year to date. And also, a 11 definition of facility fees that the applicants 12 are charging and are proposed to charge at the 13 surgery center and a narrative explanation as to 14 how those differ from hospital facility fees. 15 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: And that will be 16 Late-File 4. All right. 17 Okay. Now, I have a few follow-up questions that I would like to ask. Does HHC plan 18 19 any capital improvements or renovations to the 20 Litchfield Hills Surgical Center? 21 THE WITNESS (Sassi): I think that will 22 be determined, you know, depending on the need. 23 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: But do you have 24 any planned upgrades scheduled at this moment? 25 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Yeah. I mean, we

1 would probably refresh and make sure it met the 2 standards that we talked about on that, you know, 3 we have the technology that we need, that it is 4 user friendly for our patients, that it's, you 5 know, meets all the standards from a physical 6 perspective, environment of care. So we'll, once 7 again, do that assessment to update it and 8 appropriately prioritize what we need to do. 9 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. 10 Previously, financial aid was on a case-by-case 11 basis. Can you explain why the Hartford 12 HealthCare policy is an improvement? 13 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Because they have 14 a choice to not take Medicaid and/or other 15 patients to that center. We're going to require 16 them to bring the underinsured and uninsured to 17 the center which our charitable policy speaks to. 18 So, you know, our journey to equity will continue 19 in that service area. 20 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: And that's it 21 for the questions that I have. 22 MR. LAZARUS: One other question, if I 23 can just go back and follow up. 24 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Go ahead, sure.

MR. LAZARUS: This is Steve Lazarus.

25

1 So I know you said the center does not have a charity care policy. Can you provide as a 2 3 Late-File, I believe 6 it is --4 MS. FELDMAN: We're on 5. 5 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: 5. 6 MR. LAZARUS: -- Late-File 5, the 7 number of, for the past five years the number of, 8 you said it was on a per case basis that you 9 provided financial assistance or charity care. 10 Could you provide for each of the five years how 11 many cases you did that for, how many individuals 12 or cases received financial assistance or some 13 sort of charity care? 14 MS. FELDMAN: At the center? 15 MR. LAZARUS: At the center. 16 And the last question that I have, it's 17 more of a general question, if this proposal was 18 not to move forward, what effect would that have 19 on the center itself? 20 THE WITNESS (Spero): We would continue 21 to do surgery as we do now. 22 THE WITNESS (Sassi): Can I add to 23 that? That would impact Hartford HealthCare 24 greatly as we've talked about a congested OR where 25 there are cases that really don't belong in an

acute care setting. You know, those patients in the literature they talk about ambulatory surgery patients have a higher risk at an acute care hospital for infections, for poor outcomes. So we would impact our community's health tremendously in a negative way if we don't have that, as well as we would not be moving forward on a mission to provide our patients with access to equitable lower-cost options for care.

MR. LAZARUS: Would the patients still not be able -- why would the patients not be able to be referred to the center if as needed?

THE WITNESS (Sassi): They can, but it's where the physicians are comfortable taking the patients. We don't drive where the surgeries are done. The physicians make choices.

MR. LAZARUS: So the physicians would refer the patients to --

THE WITNESS (Sassi): The physicians probably wouldn't change their practice as they currently --

THE WITNESS (Spero): Presently there are three physicians that do not practice at the ambulatory surgery center because this surgery center is an extension of my private practice.

1 And so by bringing in Hartford HealthCare, it now 2 becomes sort of like a hospital-determined 3 facility where other providers would be more 4 likely to bring cases. Right now they don't bring 5 any cases to my surgery center. 6 MR. LAZARUS: Right. 7 THE WITNESS (Sassi): And I've had 8 physicians tell me with some of our acquisitions 9 in the ambulatory surgery world that they feel 10 more comfortable now bringing the cases, their 11 voice can be heard for concerns or needs in an 12 equitable way, you know. So we give them a 13 platform, we listen to them, and we try to meet 14 everyone's needs. We don't prioritize based on 15 ownership or, you know, location. 16 MR. LAZARUS: But there's no legal 17 reason that somebody can't be referred to the 18 center for a procedure if it's not? 19 THE WITNESS (Sassi): No. 20 THE WITNESS (Spero): There is no legal 21 reason. 22 MR. LAZARUS: It's more of a physician 23 preference or the referral pattern you're talking 24 about?

THE WITNESS (Spero): It's sort of like

25

1 those physicians are not part of my practice 2 because they don't choose to be part of my 3 practice. This ambulatory surgery center is in 4 the immediate vicinity of my practice, and they 5 won't bring, just as they are not part of my 6 medical practice, they will not bring their 7 patients to the ambulatory surgery center at my 8 practice. MR. LAZARUS: Right. And you don't 9 10 allow anybody else, any other physician to 11 perform procedures? 12 THE WITNESS (Spero): No, we do allow. 13 MR. LAZARUS: You do? 14 THE WITNESS (Spero): Yes. 15 MR. LAZARUS: Okay. So if they wanted 16 to they could, they just choose not to? 17 THE WITNESS (Spero): That's correct. 18 That's right. 19 THE WITNESS (Sassi): And, you know, if 20 we as a Hartford HealthCare system can't control 21 the quality, we're not going to, you know, suggest 22 that patients move to that site. We also, you 23 know, they today don't have to go live with Epic, 24 they don't have to participate in Leapfrog. We

want to elevate and validate the quality of care

25

and maintain and monitor it, and we'll be focused on that. Without us, once again, you know, they're operating a well-run facility, but with the change in environment with the acute care cases moving out there, you know, they might not be as prepared as we will have them be prepared if we were not involved with the center. THE WITNESS (Spero): And the idea is for the entire community, the entire community wants to use an ambulatory surgery center. It's a

for the entire community, the entire community wants to use an ambulatory surgery center. It's a very nice setting to undergo orthopedic surgery. And so these other three physicians, even though they are able to use the facility, they will not use the facility because the hospital is their home, whereas the ambulatory surgery center is my home. They're welcome to come, but they won't come.

MR. LAZARUS: I understand. Thank you.

One last question regarding the center.

In the past five years, have there been any

Medicaid patients that have been denied services?

THE WITNESS (Spero): No.

MR. LAZARUS: All right. Thank you, Attorney Novi. I think I'm all set.

THE WITNESS (Spero): One thing that I

do want to add is part of -- I know Medicaid is your question, but there's a lot of orthopedic surgery and procedures that are not able to be done in an ambulatory surgery center just because of the government regulations of where they must be done. And so -- and that environment hasn't changed very much.

MR. LAZARUS: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you. At this point, I will ask Attorney Feldman if she has any follow-up on the questions posed to the applicants.

MS. FELDMAN: Yeah. Thank you, Hearing Officer. I just want to follow up with Dr. Spero with respect to his last statement regarding governmental payers and their coverage of ambulatory surgery cases in the orthopedic subspecialty. Have you reviewed the Medicaid fee schedule for ASCs in Connecticut?

THE WITNESS (Spero): Yes.

MS. FELDMAN: And based on your review, did you find that spine surgeries were covered by a fee schedule?

THE WITNESS (Spero): There are no spine surgeries that are covered by the Medicaid

1 fee schedule in an ambulatory setting. 2 MS. FELDMAN: And did you find that 3 there was sports therapy or sports medicine 4 procedures covered? 5 THE WITNESS (Spero): There are 6 procedures, but they are much limited than in the 7 commercial and Medicare populations. 8 MS. FELDMAN: So just generally overall 9 looking at the fee schedule as you stated, did you 10 see the Medicaid fee schedule for ASCs to be as 11 comprehensive as the commercial plans are --12 THE WITNESS (Spero): No. 13 MS. FELDMAN: -- for Medicare? 14 THE WITNESS (Spero): No. 15 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you. No further 16 questions. 17 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 18 at this point, I would like to go ahead and take a 19 break. We will allow for public comment sign-up 20 to begin from 2 to 3 p.m. Afterwards, we'll have 21 public comment at 3 p.m., and then we will close 22 the hearing after public comment. So I would 23 like, if it's possible, to take a break until 3 24 p.m. 25 MS. FELDMAN: Hearing Officer Novi,

1 will I be able to make closing remarks at that 2 point? 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Yes. After 4 public comment, closing remarks, and then we will 5 end the day. You can speak after public comment. 6 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you. 7 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. Thank you very much. I would just like to 8 9 actually before we adjourn until after -- until we 10 adjourn for public comment, I just want to remind 11 everybody that we are transcribing today's 12 hearing, so the transcription will also include 13 the full hearing, including the opening which was 14 not captured on Zoom. So that will be available 15 in the transcript that will be posted to the CON 16 portal once it is completed. 17 All right. It is now 11:17 a.m., and 18 we will adjourn this hearing until 3 p.m. for 19 public comment. Thank you, everybody. 20 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 21 11:17 a.m. until 3 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

AFTERNOON SESSION

2

1

3 P.M.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. As we were all just notified by the Zoom voice, it is 3 p.m., and we are recording this hearing. Good This is the continued hearing for afternoon. Docket No. 21-32445-CON, Hartford HealthCare Corporation d/b/a HHC Surgery Center Holdings, LLC and Litchfield Hills Surgery Center, LLC.

All right. I was informed by Ms. Capozzi that we did not have anybody who wants to make public comment. So at this point, we will go ahead. And if anybody does come on who wants to make a public comment, we will allow them some time, but we will move right into the Late-Filing portion since we have nobody who wants to speak.

Steve or Jessica, would one of you like to read a list of the documents that will be submitted as Late-Files.

MR. LAZARUS: Let me start with the first three since I read them out and then, Jessica, you can just add the next ones.

So I have Late-File 1, which will be the financial attachment that was produced provided as part of the application, if we can get a revised copy of that to include the most recently completed year as well as financial projections through 2025.

(Late-File Exhibit 1, noted in index.)

MR. LAZARUS: Next, Late-File 2 is the payer mix, an updated payer mix to include the most recent data.

(Late-File Exhibit 2, noted in index.)

MR. LAZARUS: And Late-File 3 is any volumes or utilization that were provided in the application, if those tables can be updated and provided with the most recently completed either fiscal year or calendar year, whatever format they were provided in. And if it's calendar year, it would be through December 2022.

(Late-File Exhibit 3, noted in index.)

MR. LAZARUS: And then I'll turn it

over to Ms. Rival for Late-File 4.

MS. RIVAL: Late-File 4 was to provide the average facility fee assessed by LHSC per patient for each of the past five years, including the year to date, and to define facility fees that the applicants are charging and are proposed to charge at the surgery center, and a narrative explaining how those differ from hospital facility

1 fees. 2 (Late-File Exhibit 4, noted in index.) 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. And then 4 there is a Late-File 5 as well that Steve also 5 asked for. 6 MR. LAZARUS: What was that one? 7 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Late-File 5 is 8 the number of charity care cases --MR. LAZARUS: Yes. 10 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: -- for the past 11 five years. My notes are handwritten on the side 12 of my paper. 13 MR. LAZARUS: Yes, for each of the past 14 five years, five-year. 15 (Late-File Exhibit 5, noted in index.) 16 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 17 MR. LAZARUS: That's it. 18 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Attorney 19 Feldman, when do you think you might be able to 20 get those in to us? 21 MS. FELDMAN: Sure, I'm happy to answer 22 I just want to get a clarification on 23 Late-File 3, which is updated volumes since the 24 last filing. So that's through December 2022. 25 You're not looking for new projections, this is

just an update?

MR. LAZARUS: Yeah, this is just an update. If you have any revised projections you would like to -- you think have changed since anything you've submitted, then include those as well.

MS. FELDMAN: Okay. All right. So I think that obviously some of this will depend upon the work of others in terms of preparation. So I'm thinking two weeks would be sufficient time to get you everything in one fell swoop, if that's workable.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Of course. Do you want to go to the end of business on January 27th which will give you a little longer than two weeks?

MS. FELDMAN: Sure, that would be great.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. So I am going to issue an order that all items listed by the OHS staff, that those be produced as Late-Files by the applicants, as the case may be, and that they be produced by the close of business on Friday, January 27, 2023. All right.

And Ms. Rival, can you memorialize that

1 order in a letter? 2 MS. RIVAL: Yes. 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 4 Thank you. 5 All right. Thank you, Ms. Feldman, for 6 your help with picking the date for that. I'm 7 sorry, Attorney Feldman, thank you. 8 All right. So at this point, 9 Ms. Capozzi, do we have any public comments? 10 MS. CAPOZZI: (Shaking head in the 11 negative.) 12 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: All right. 13 we will now move on to the closing arguments or 14 statements from the applicant's attorney. 15 Attorney Feldman, would you like to go 16 ahead and make your closing statement? 17 MS. FELDMAN: I would love to. This is 18 a little bit slightly out of the ordinary. Right 19 before we went to break, Mr. Lazarus asked us a 20 question that I think we had some trouble 21 understanding with respect to what was meant by 22 "cost." And we want to be as responsive as 23 possible, so we're going to, if it would be okay 24 with the Hearing Officer, I would, rather than 25 have me answer that, I would request that

2

1

4

3

5 6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

Ms. Sassi be able to provide her best explanation to be most responsive to Mr. Lazarus's question. Is that okay?

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Of course, yes. So before we move on to closing statements, you could go ahead and revive that line of questioning and have your witness go ahead and give some more testimony.

MS. FELDMAN: So I don't know whether Mr. Lazarus would like to restate the question for us, but based on our understanding of the question, we have a response. But if you would prefer to have him restate it or try to respond.

MR. LAZARUS: No, you can just go ahead and respond. We'll just continue at that point.

> MS. FELDMAN: Okay. Sure.

MR. LAZARUS: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Sassi): Thank you for the opportunity to respond again. We had a discussion, and we really want to communicate that we want to remind OHS that our prior two filings we did submit the answer to your questions as far as whether the cost was impacted. So I just wanted to refer you to them. I know you didn't reference them in your question, and you do have

that information available to you from our previous filings.

In addition, I wanted to emphasize that the higher acuity case mix will come out of the hospitals and be moved if Litchfield Hills and Hartford HealthCare are approved to partner together. And as a result of that movement of cases out of the acute care side, the average rate is really likely to increase, but it's really due to the payer mix and the acuity -- the case mix, I'm sorry, not the payer mix but the case mix and the acuity of those cases.

And as far as our contracts, as we move forward in Hartford HealthCare at the term of the current contracts that Litchfield Hills has, we'll negotiate those contracts through our ICP, which is our accountable care organization. You know, they are a clinically integrated network where all the providers share the risk and are incentivized to have the costs as low and the quality as high as possible. So I wanted you to have that information about ICP as they move forward, again, at the term of the contracts that are currently in place that they're incentivized to make sure that whatever the contract, it's going to help improve

1 the quality of care as well as lower the costs. 2 MR. LAZARUS: All right. Thank you. 3 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Mr. Lazarus, any 4 follow-up questions? 5 MR. LAZARUS: No, not at this time. 6 Thank you. 7 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Okay. All 8 right. Attorney Feldman, any follow-up questions? 9 MS. FELDMAN: I don't have any 10 follow-up questions, but I would like to just 11 proceed to closing comments, remarks. 12 HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Now we can 13 proceed to that. Go ahead. 14 MS. FELDMAN: Thank you. Just to 15 reiterate what we discussed this morning or what 16 the witnesses spoke to in their prefile testimony 17 and both oral testimony today, I think we heard 18 that with Hartford HealthCare as a partner in this 19 ASC the care will be, the service will be, the 20 technology will be, everything about it will be advanced and enhanced. There will be quality 21 22 analytics, access to data that currently is not 23 present. There will be benchmarking for performance. There will be feedback with 24 25 performance improvement. There will be regular

focus on patients and measuring patient satisfaction. There will be transparency and criteria by the patients for evaluating their experience, infection control, the whole process through participation in Leapfrog.

We heard that we expect to see approximately a 40 percent shift of orthopedic cases from Charlotte Hungerford Hospital to a lower-cost, equally safe surgical center with anywhere from 25 percent to 40 percent, and in the case of Medicare 50 percent, cost savings.

Obviously, this all sounds wonderful, and many of our Hartford HealthCare patients very much want to stay affiliated with Hartford HealthCare. I think some of the questions that we heard earlier is why does Hartford HealthCare have to be part of this. Our patients want, we have a brand, and we've earned that brand because of the experience that patients have, what their expectations and their outcomes are, and patients receive a lot of comfort in knowing they're going to a Hartford HealthCare affiliated imprimatur health care center versus, let's say, another partner who doesn't bring all that expertise.

We also know from the State Health Care

Facilities Plan that OHS has focused over the years with the hospitals not increasing their inpatient bed capacity. This movement towards the development of a comprehensive ambulatory network, and we're not just talking about surgery centers, we're talking about whole array of ambulatory services, is essential. And that became very obvious to us all during COVID when the hospitals were at or above capacity and we were using other facilities, mobile tents, you know, setting up all sorts of alternative sites for decanting inpatient volume. This is really what we need to be doing to be prepared for the next pandemic.

so we believe that OHS supports this notion, this concept that is nationally being rolled out for hospitals to develop ambulatory networks simply because patients want that, hospitals of course need to continue to have revenue, and there is great value in having continuity of care and communication between hospital ambulatory networks and all the physicians who care for the patients. This is entirely patient focused, and it's all about the patient experience.

And I don't know whether some of the

folks at OHS have had surgery on an inpatient basis and also had surgery on an ambulatory basis. It's a very different experience. And it's for one, certainly equal in terms of quality, lower in terms of cost, less intimidating for the patient and easily accessible for a patient.

So I think that the time has come, whether it's the payers that are demanding that their patients who are insured by them receive care in lower-cost alternative centers, but it's very important for Hartford HealthCare to have a solid and comprehensive ambulatory network where there is going to be a significant savings for the entire state health care system, not only the payers.

But just from a practical standpoint, this will decompress the hospitals so that elective cases, and this is the reality that we have learned from our surgeons, don't have to perform elective cases at 9 p.m. in the evening at the end of the day simply because they're just too busy in the hospital to take those elective cases earlier in the day.

We know for a fact that this particular ASC is not operating at full capacity. It's

operating at about 30 percent capacity. We believe that it can be more efficiently run with the recruitment of additional surgeons who are more willing to do surgery at the ASC with Hartford HealthCare as a partner versus going to the ASC of a competitor. And I'm being very transparent and frank with you about sort of what are the roadblocks sometimes in getting surgeons to shift their care. So we fully expect that this ASC will reach a greater capacity and level of efficiency with the recruitment of more surgeons and the continued shifting of cases by the payers to ASC centers.

The goal, as stated in the application, is to enhance the ASC and widen the scope and population that is currently served. And most importantly, with HHC as a partner, we will be able to open the doors to more patients because there will be inclusion of the financial assistance policy or the charity care policy. So the decanting of surgical volumes from the hospital to ambulatory settings should be fully consistent with the state's health care plan, including its commitment to serving the underinsured and uninsured patient population in

this area.

So in closing, I want to thank you for your questions. I want to thank you for the opportunity that we had to explain our reasoning and rationale behind this application. And I'm hopeful that the testimony that you've heard today was as responsive as necessary. And we're very eager to hopefully get approval so that we could, given that this application has been pending for for some time, get going. So thank you.

HEARING OFFICER NOVI: Thank you,
Attorney Feldman, and thank you to your witnesses
that you brought today. I just want to again
remind everybody that the transcript will include
the full hearing, including the opening which was
not captured on Zoom.

The Late-File exhibits will be due by the end of the day on January 27, 2023, by 4:30 p.m.

Thank you, everybody, who attended today. It is now 3:19 p.m., and we will be adjourning. This hearing will be adjourned. And the record will stay open until closed by OHS. Have a good afternoon, everybody, and thank you.

MS. FELDMAN: Thank you.

```
1
                  (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at
2
    3:19 p.m.)
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING 2 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 3 4 I, Lisa L. Warner, L.S.R. 061, a Notary Public duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby 5 certify that on January 11, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., the foregoing REMOTE HEARING before the CONNECTICUT OFFICE OF HEALTH STRATEGY IN RE: 6 DOCKET NO. 21-32445-CON, A HEARING REGARDING THE 7 TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP OF 51% OF THE MEMBERSHIP INTEREST IN LITCHFIELD HILLS SURGERY CENTER, LLC TO HARTFORD HEALTHCARE CORPORATION d/b/a HHC 8 SURGERY CENTER HOLDINGS, LLC, was reduced to 9 writing under my direction by computer-aided transcription. 10 I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any 11 of the parties to the action in which these 12 proceedings were taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel 13 employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action. 14 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my 15 hand this 16th day of January, 2023. 16 17 18 Yes Warrell 19 2.0 Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Notary Public 21 My commission expires: May 31, 2023 22 23 24

25

1		INDEX	
2	ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE ITEMS: Page 7-9		
3 4	WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 16)		
5	LANE D. SPERO, M.D.		
6	DONNA SASSI		
7		LATE-FILE EXHIBITS	
8	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	Exhibit 1	Financial attachment, revised copy to include most recently	59,71
10		completed year, as well as financial projections through 202	
11	Exhibit 2	Updated payer mix to include	59,71
12	LAHILDIC Z	the most recent data	33,71
13 14	Exhibit 3	Volumes or utilization that were provided in the application, update tables, and provide most	59,71
15 16		recently completed either fiscal year or calendar year (calendar year through December 2022) and provide revised projections	
17	Exhibit 4		60,72
18	HAIIIDIC 1	assessed by LHSC per patient for each of the past five years,	00,72
19		including year to date, and to define facility fees that the	
20		applicants are charging and are proposed to charge at the surgery	
21		center, and narrative explaining how those differ from hospital	
22		facility fees	
23	Exhibit 5	Provide number of charity care cases for the past five years	62,72
24			
25			