

All-Payer Claims Database

Data Submission Guide Workgroup Regular Meeting

DRAFT Meeting Minutes

June 2, 2022 | 9:00 – 10:00 a.m. | Virtual (Zoom Recording)

		Workgroup Members			
Olga Armah, OHS	R	Sandra Czunas, OSC	R	Sheryl Turney	R
Laurel Buchanan	R	Bernie Inskeep	R		
		Supporting Leadership			
Adrian Texidor, OHS	R	Amy Tibor, OHS	R	Jesse Drummond, OnPoint	R
Sumit Sajnani, OHS	R	Robert Viens, OnPoint	R	Jacqueline Pellerin	R
1		R = Attended Remot	ely, IP =	Attended in Person, X = Did not A	Attend

Age	enda		
	Торіс	Responsible Party	Time
1.	Welcome and Call to Order	Olga Armah	9:02 am
	The All-Payer Claims Database (APCD) Data Submission Workgrou by webinar. Ms. Armah called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. a was determined by roll call that a quorum was present.		
2.	Public Comment	Attendees	9:03 am
	There was no public comment.		
3.	Action: Review and Approval of Minutes: May 23, 2022 Meeting	Olga Armah	9:04 am
	(Vote) Ms. Armah requested a motion to approve the May 23, 20. The motion passed unanimously.	22 minutes. Bernie Inskeep made	the motion
4.	Denied Claims Discussion	Olga Armah & Robert	9:05 am
	Claims Adjustment Reason Codes (CARCs)	Viens, Jesse Drummond,	
	OnPoint Recommendation	OnPoint	
	Ms. Armah provided a recap of the previous meeting, stating that recommendation to collect all fully denied claims except for those claims. Ms. Armah indicated that a list of CARC codes had been sh for review and to decide whether to exclude additional codes and modifications to the Data Submission Guide (DSG). Ms. Armah op place regarding those codes within the list considered to be non-o which claims make it into the carrier's data warehouse. Ms. Arma accept the recommendation by OnPoint to collect all claims and a warehouse including non-coverage and duplicates. Discussion ensu-	e denied due to non-coverage and pared with the workgroup prior to consider whether to recommend ened the floor for discussion. A di coverage and duplicate, and the pu h inquired if the group is ready fo II CARC codes except for what is n	duplicate this meetin additional scussion too rocess by r a motion to
	 Ms. Armah stated that the data dictionary explains what the grouped and provides instructions to carriers. The diction claims due to non-coverage and duplicates. Ms. Czunas coverage and duplicates to enable exceptions for non-coverage and duplicates to enable exceptions. 	ary will be updated to exclude ful ommented that there will be a ne	ly denied

• It was agreed that carriers would not be given a list of claims *not* to submit as it would be easier for carriers to submit all denied claims. It would then become the responsibility of the vendor to identify and sort the codes that are likely to be associated with duplicates or non-coverage and group the data in such a way that can be used for information.

5.	that the State All Payer Claims Database Advisory Comm submission. It was agreed to continue discussing the de regarding data field thresholds took place. Mr. Viens sta to accommodate what payers have in their systems. The adjustments or variances can apply it to those fields if n vote on the dental claims layout.	nittee (SAPDAC) recommended the C ntal CDL in the next meeting. A brief ited that the threshold can be custom e CDL will include fields suggested by	DL for data discussion nized for every fiel OnPoint and e discussion and					
	that the State All Payer Claims Database Advisory Comm submission. It was agreed to continue discussing the de regarding data field thresholds took place. Mr. Viens sta to accommodate what payers have in their systems. The adjustments or variances can apply it to those fields if n	nittee (SAPDAC) recommended the C ntal CDL in the next meeting. A brief ited that the threshold can be custom e CDL will include fields suggested by	DL for data discussion nized for every fiel OnPoint and					
			•					
	submitters and analysts. Ms. Armah commented on the	e desire for simplicity and ease. Ms. T	urney commenter					
	(CDL) for the APCD Council and asked to review and determine which data fields to include in the DSG or eliminate. A discussion took place regarding whether the CDL, as written, is in a format consumable by both							
	Ms. Armah stated that in the previous meeting OnPoint presented the different types of dental claim layouts from other states. The group was provided with the layout for Washington State and the Common Data Layou (CDL) for the APCD Council and asked to review and determine which data fields to include in the DSC or							
	through <i>dental coverage class</i> which would be seen as a separate record in the eligibility table.							
	part of a medical plan will be differentiated. Mr. Drummond stated that the coverage would be submitted							
	dental claims, it will have to be determined how to reach other carriers. Ms. Armah also shared that another follow-up item from the meeting was for OnPoint to verify whether standalone dental plans and those that are							
	previous meeting. It was determined that the list is not	exhaustive and when it is decided to	begin collecting					
5.	Continued Dental Claims DiscussionMs. Armah shared a list of carriers registered with OnPo	oint to report dental claims as a follow	9:35 am					
_								
	anannio asiy.							
	unanimously.							

Upcoming Meeting Date: June 9, 2022 at 9 a.m.

All meeting information, materials, and audio/visual recordings are published on the OHS website: https://portal.ct.gov/OHS/HIT-Work-Groups/Data-Submission-Guide-Workgroup

DRAFT Minutes: Jun 2, 2022 | All-Payer Claims Database Data Submission Workgroup Meeting | Page 3