

Healthcare Benchmark Initiative Steering Committee

"We collaborate, out of a shared concern and responsibility for all Connecticut residents, to develop consensus models that advance equity and consumer affordability of healthcare in our state."

Mee	ting Date	Meeting Ti	me	Location								
	mber 18,	3:00 pm – 5	:00 pm	Zoom Meeting <u>https://protect-</u>								
2023				us.mimecast.com/s/sy7NCzpY6PsMr74F4zh1T?domain=us02web.zoom.us								
Parti	cipant Nam	e and Attend	dance	Steering Committee Mem	pers							
Timothy Archer X			Paul Grady	ly R Cassandra Murphy			R					
oanne Borduas R		R	Angela Harris	R	Lori Pasqualini		F					
			Sean King	R	Kathy Silard		F					
			Gail Kosyla	R	Marie Smith		F					
Francois de Brantes R			Paul Lombardo	R	Stephen Traub)					
Tiffany Donelson R			Andy Markowski	Х	Chris Ulbrich)					
udy I	Dowd		R	Chris Marsh	R	Kristen Whitney-Daniels		F				
im C	ardon (for Je	ff Flaks)	R	Mark Meador	Х	Josh Wojcik		F				
ou G	Bianquinto		R	Susan Millerick	R	Gui Woolston)				
Deidr	e Gifford (Ch	nair)	R									
Cindy Dubuque-Gallo, OHS		R	Olga Armah, OHS	R	Michael Bailit, Bailit Health		R					
Krista Moore, OHS R			R	Jeannina Thompson, OHS	R	Matt Reynolds, Bailit Health		R				
				R = Attended Remotely; IP = I	n Pers	on; X = Did Not Attend						
Ager	nda											
	Торіс				Responsible Party		Time	:				
1.	Welcome	and Roll Call			Deidre Gifford		3:00	pm				
	Deidre Gifford welcomed everyone to the December Steering Committee meeting. Deidre in						Matt	-				
	Reynolds to conduct a roll call. There was a quorum present. Deidre introduced Francois de Brantes as the											
	newest member of the Steering Committee. Deidre then reviewed the agenda for the meeting.											
2.	Public Comment		Members of Public		bers of Public	3:05	pm					
	Deidre Giff	ford offered th	ne oppo	rtunity for public comment. Th	nity for public comment. There were no public comments.							
3.	Committee Minutes	Action: Approval of September 28, 2023			Steering Committee Members		3:10	pm				
		h motioned to		ve the minutes. Paul Grady sec	andod	I the motion. There was no a	nnocitic					
				y Silard, and Francois de Brante				л т .				
4.	Trends in Commercial Hospital P			-	yment per Service Unit Michael Bailit		3:15	pm				
	Deidre Gifford noted that the Steering Committee would be looking at deidentified hospital data dur											
	meeting, with each hospital represented by an alphabetical letter of which they were informed in advance of											
	the meeting. Michael Bailit then reviewed 2016-2021 commercial hospital payment trends for inpatient,											
	emergency department, outpatient surgery, and radiology services for state residents ages 18-64.											
	• Francois de Brantes noted it would be helpful to incorporate the initial payment levels and volume for											
	ea	each hospital. Michael Bailit expressed agreement that such information would add value, while notir										
	tha	that it would be difficult to do so while keeping the data deidentified.										
	• Ka	• Kathy Silard asked if observation discharges were included in the inpatient payment trends. Michael										
	Ва	Bailit replied that he did not think so, but would confirm after the meeting. Gail Kosyla said that they										
	1			use they are not associated witl		_						

- Gail Kosyla noted that efforts to move lower acuity inpatient cases to observation and/or outpatient, could be contributing to the observed increases in inpatient payments.
 - Jim Cardon noted that a similar phenomenon was likely occurring for ED, as the health system has collectively been trying to move lower-level ED visits to urgent care in recent years.
 - Deidre Gifford noted that OHS was not observing inpatient spending decreases that offset the observed increases in outpatient spending. Jim Cardon replied that he did not think this was a reasonable expectation due to an aging population.
- Francois de Brantes noted that OHS could run a hierarchical condition coding (HCC) analysis on the 18-65 commercial population in the state all-payer claims database (APCD) to assess changes in the burden of disease over time. Francois also noted that it would be helpful if Steering Committee members could more easily compare where each hospital's trends are for each service category, noting, for example, that hospital A had the lowest inpatient trends but one of the highest trends for outpatient surgery, which may be the result of a deliberate pricing strategy. Francois also recommended looking at commercial hospital rates as a percentage of Medicare, noting how high they tend to be.
 - Kathy Silard replied that hospital pricing strategies do not exist in isolation for commercial payers, but take into account the uninsured as well as payment levels from Medicaid and Medicare.
 - Francois de Brantes stated that he considered there to be an implicit, hidden tax on employers on which they have no say.
 - Michael Bailit noted that recent work he performed for the state of California found that the impact of the aging population on spending in any given year is about 0.1 percentage points. Jim Cardon noted that the aging population also leads to a shrinking commercial population every year.
- Gail Kosyla noted that physicians often send sicker patients to hospital outpatient departments, as opposed to other outpatient settings.
 - Francois de Brantes replied that many physicians now work for hospitals and are required to send patients to their affiliated hospitals. Jim Cardon, Kathy Silard, and Gail Kosyla replied that their hospitals / health systems had no such requirements.
- Gail Kosyla asked how the radiology analysis accounted for some hospitals adopting or dropping
 agreements to receive global payments for imaging services. Michael Bailit replied that he would have
 to look into Gail's question.
- Tiffany Donelson stated she was concerned about letting "the perfect be the enemy of the good" with regards to data and wondered how the Steering Committee could move past the constant back-and-forth about data validity to focus on solutions.

Michael Bailit then shared statewide hospital payment trends for specific high-spending inpatient DRGs and outpatient CPT codes.

• Jim Cardon noted that payments made to hospitals varied for a number of reasons and did not entirely correlate to changes in price. Jim also noted that because insurers and hospitals often index their payment rates to Medicare rates, changes in Medicare DRG weights produce changes in commercial rates.

Michael Bailit asked members for additional reactions and recommended next steps.

- Paul Grady said he could not remember when fully-insured plans experienced premium increases below 7%. Paul added that a recent National Alliance of Healthcare Purchaser Coalitions <u>survey</u> found that 9 out of 10 plan sponsors believed hospital prices were "unreasonable and indefensible". Michael Bailit asked what Paul what he thought OHS should do next. Paul replied that he thought more needed to be done around primary care and added that he was interested in the CMS AHEAD model, which involved the use of hospital global budgets.
- Susan Millerick said she wanted to know who was responsible for solving the shortage of primary care doctors. Susan added that Connecticut working families were being "ground to dust" by healthcare expenses.
 - Deidre Gifford noted that this was an issue nationwide, and the State did not have a say in the specialties that medical students choose. Deidre stated that there unfortunately was not one

Deidre Gifford stated that the next Steering Committee meeting would be held on January 22 nd from 3-5							
 pharmacy expenses. Chris Marsh expressed support for OHS' recommendations to increase PBM price transparen supported continuing to learn from other states. As a public comment, Sue Halpin expressed concern about incorporating the Cost Growth Be the review of annual insurer rate filings by CID, as well as the recommendation to adopt affo standards. Sue said she was worried that rates intended to be determined actuarily would b suppressed. Wrap-up and Next Steps 							
	 Address provider payment growth. Institute out-of-network price caps. Improve the utility of cost and market impact review (CMIR). Increase transparency of group practice consolidation. Address insurers' role in healthcare cost growth by adopting affordability standards. Slow pharmacy price growth by increasing pharmacy benefit manager (PBM) price transparency. Kristen Whitney Daniels stated she was disappointed that OHS did not include the majority of the Pharmacy Cost Mitigation Strategy Work Group's recommendations in OHS' report to the General Assembly. Kristen added that this made her wonder whether input from the Steering Committee and its associated work groups was truly valued. Deidre Gifford replied that OHS planned to continue pulling from the policy recommendations of the Pharmacy Cost Mitigation Strategies Work Group in future years. Deidre added that pharmacy strategies were difficult for states (especially small states) to take on individually, and that Connecticut had thus far been unable to agree with other states on a coordinated pharmacy strategy. 						
	 Deidre Gifford shared that OHS made the following reconstate's cost growth benchmark statute: 1. Institute enforcement mechanisms for the Cost of a. Adopt a requirement for Performance In Growth Benchmark. b. Consider formal incorporation of the Cost of rate filings by the Connecticut Insurance 	Growth Benchmark. nprovement Plans for entities st Growth Benchmark into the	that exceed the Cost				
5.	Legislative Report Recommendations	Deidre Gifford	4:35 pm				
	 collaborative effort. Francois de Brantes noted that as of April 1, 202 files is going to improve due to changes in CMS r those data. Angela Harris expressed concern about access to the role that plays in contributing to healthcare growth issue is particularly acute for the comme focused its analyses on commercial trends. Mich focus of this meeting, they were being addressed benchmarks. Lori Pasqualini noted that as a non-profit, her or the pandemic, as affording a fully insured plan w switch, her organization has not seen great spend insured. Lori wondered how a similar approach to make use of the app while in a fully-insured plan. 	egulations. Francois suggeste o quality, affordable care for pe- cost growth. Michael Bailit no rcial market, which is why the nael added that while quality a d through other workstreams, ganization had to move to a se vas no longer tenable. Lori add hat compares providers, includ ding increases in the three yea could be expanded statewide,	d that OHS make use of eople on Medicaid and ted that the spending Steering Committee ha nd equity were not the such as OHS' quality elf-insured model during led that since making th ding by costs, and as a rs it has been self- noting she was unable				

7.	Committee Action: Adjournment	Steering Committee Members	5:00 pm
	Chris Marsh motioned to adjourn the meeting. Angela Harris 5:00 pm.	seconded the motion. The meeting a	djourned at

All meeting information and materials are published on the OHS website located at:

https://portal.ct.gov/OHS/Pages/Healthcare-Benchmark-Initiative-Steering-Committee/Meeting-Agendas