

Criminal Justice Policy Committee

Co-Chairs

Sarah Russell Professor of Law, Quinnipiac Law School, Member, CT

Sentencing Commission

First Lady Cathy Malloy First Lady of CT

James Forman, Jr., JD Professor of Law, Yale University

Rep. Brandon McGee Jr. State Representative

Brett Davidson Co-Director, Connecticut Bail Fund

Hon. Brian Preleski** State's Attorney, Judicial District of New Britain

Charlie Duffy Consultant/Resource Counselor, Connecticut Chief State's

Attorney's Office

David McGuire Executive Director, American Civil Liberties Union of Connecticut

Erick Russell Associate, Pullman & Comley

Professor Fiona Doherty Clinical Professor of Law, Yale Law School

Hector Glynn COO, The Village

James Jeter Tow Foundation Fellow, Yale Prison Education Initiative

Hon. Omar Williams* Judge

Hon. Patrick Carroll* Chief Chief Court Administrator

Gary Roberge* Executive Director of Court Support Services Division, State of

CT Judicial Branch



Maura Crossin Program Director and Senior Attorney, Victim's Rights Center of

Connecticut

Michael Barry Juvenile Probation Officer

Rep. Toni Walker State Representative

Scot X. Esdaile President, Connecticut NAACP

Stanley A. Twardy, Jr. Partner, Day Pitney

Tiheba Williams-Bain Founder, Women Against Mass Incarceration

Robert Hebert Vice President of Business and Community Relations, Career

Resources Inc.; Chair, Bridgeport ReEntry Collaborative

Because the Code of Judicial Conduct prohibits them from doing so, the Judicial Branch representatives serving on this Committee have not participated in making any of the policy recommendations contained in the Committee Report. The Judicial Branch is mindful of the fact that the policy making role is the exclusive function of the Executive and Legislative branches of government.

In participating in this Committee, the Judicial Branch representatives attempted to provide meaningful and important input on the pivotal role that the courts play in both the criminal justice system and the whole of government. A further goal was to offer information on the role of the Judicial Branch in the criminal justice process and to provide guidance as to how any proposed policies would impact the courts and the Judicial Branch.

In response to an inquiry from the Judicial Branch representatives on the Committee, the Judges Ethics Advisory Committee advised, "The propriety of a judicial official's participation on a governmental committee such as the Criminal Justice Sub-Committee depends on the answers to two questions: (1) does the work of the sub-committee concern 'the improvement of the law, the legal system, or administration of justice'? and, if so, (2) would participation on the organization undermine a judge's independence, integrity, or impartiality?" It was based upon this guidance that the Judicial Branch and its representatives participated in this process.

** State's Attorney Preleski provided the following statement with respect to his work on the Committee:

As a result of my position as State's Attorney it would be inappropriate for me to make policy recommendations absent consultation with the Chief State's Attorney and other State's Attorneys and, as such, I neither endorse nor oppose the recommendations made.

^{*}Members of the Judicial Branch have provided the following statement with respect to their work on the Committee: