

TO: Freedom of Information Commission

FROM: Russell Blair

RE: Minutes of the Commission's regular meeting of January 14, 2026

A regular meeting of the Freedom of Information Commission was held on January 14, 2026. The Commission meeting of January 14, 2026 was conducted in person. The meeting convened at 2:03 p.m. with the following Commissioners present:

Commissioner Owen P. Eagan, presiding
Commissioner Kate Farrish
Commissioner Stephen Fuzesi Jr. (participated via speakerphone)
Commissioner Judy Ganswindt (participated via speakerphone)
Commissioner Aigné Goldsby Wells
Commissioner Thomas A. Hennick
Commissioner Christopher P. Hankins
Commissioner Matthew Streeter

Also present were staff members Colleen M. Murphy, Paula S. Pearlman, Valicia D. Harmon, Danielle L. McGee, C. Zack Hyde, Nicholas Smarra, Marybeth G. Sullivan, Jonathan McCann, Jennifer Mayo and Russell Blair.

The Commissioners unanimously voted to approve the Commission's regular meeting minutes of December 17, 2025.

Those in attendance were informed that the January 14, 2026 regular meeting of the Commission was being recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0106](#) Raymond Cerilli v. Chief, Police Department, Town of Branford; Police Department, Town of Branford; and Town of Branford

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0042](#) Nathaniel Clark v. William Tong, Attorney General, State of Connecticut, Office of the Attorney General; and State of Connecticut, Office of the Attorney General

Nathaniel Clark appeared on his own behalf. Assistant Attorney General John Langmaid appeared on behalf of the respondents. The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0043](#)

Linda T. Wihbey v. Commissioner, State of Connecticut,
Department of Social Services; and State of Connecticut,
Department of Social Services

Attorney Linda T. Wihbey appeared on her own behalf. Attorney Adam Prizio appeared on behalf of the respondents. The Commissioners unanimously voted to amend the Hearing Officer's Report. The Commissioners unanimously voted to amend the Hearing Officer's Report a second time. The Commissioners unanimously voted to amend the Hearing Officer's Report a third time. The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report as amended*. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0054](#)

Michael Mourning v. Chief Executive Officer, Housatonic Area
Regional Transit District; and Housatonic Area Regional Transit
District

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0059](#)

Natalie Levitt v. Chief, Police Department, City of Stamford;
Police Department, City of Stamford; and City of Stamford

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0061](#)

Jacob Simkovitz v. Chief, Fire Department, Town of Easton;
Fire Department, Town of Easton; and Town of Easton

Jacob Simkovitz appeared on his own behalf. The Commissioners unanimously voted to amend the Hearing Officer's Report. The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report as amended*. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0064](#)

Scott Smith v. Town Clerk, Town of Wallingford; and Town of
Wallingford

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0066](#)

David Wold v. Director, Park and Recreation Department,
Town of Greenwich; Park and Recreation Department, Town of
Greenwich; and Town of Greenwich

David Wold appeared on his own behalf. Attorney Aamina Ahmad appeared on behalf of the respondents. The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0069](#)

Roger Coddington v. Tanya Hughes, Executive Director, State of Connecticut, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities; and State of Connecticut, Commission on Human Rights and Opportunities

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0075](#)

Cassidy Long Williams and WFSB TV Channel 3 v. Chairman, State of Connecticut, Board of Regents, Connecticut State Colleges and Universities; and State of Connecticut, Board of Regents, Connecticut State Colleges and Universities

Attorney Eric Parker and Cassidy Long Williams appeared on behalf of the complainants. The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0123](#)

Ryan Salvas v. Commissioner, State of Connecticut, Department of Energy & Environmental Protection; and State of Connecticut, Department of Energy & Environmental Protection

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0144](#)

Alissa Gatto v. Commissioner, State of Connecticut, Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services; and State of Connecticut, Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services

Attorney Alissa Gatto appeared on her own behalf. Attorney Noelle Miano appeared on behalf of the respondents. The Commissioners unanimously voted 7-0 to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. Commissioner Ganswindt was not present for the vote. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0406](#)

Karen Moulton v. Deputy Town Manager, Town of Simsbury; and Town of Simsbury

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

[Docket #FIC 2025-0414](#)

Bryant Abbott v. City Attorney, Office of the City Attorney, City of Bridgeport; Office of the City Attorney, City of Bridgeport; and City of Bridgeport

The Commissioners unanimously voted to adopt the Hearing Officer's Report. The proceedings were digitally recorded.

The Commissioners unanimously voted to add to the agenda consideration of the Commission's special meeting minutes of December 17, 2025. The Commissioners unanimously voted to approve the Commission's special meeting minutes of December 17, 2025.

The Commissioners unanimously voted to deny the Motion for Stay of Enforcement of Part of the Final Order Pending Appeal dated December 17, 2025 and received by the Commission on December 18, 2025, filed by Respondents in *Michael Gyetvan v. Director of Public Records, State of Connecticut, University of Connecticut; and State of Connecticut, University of Connecticut*, Docket #FIC 2024-0855.

Executive Director Colleen M. Murphy reported that a new appeal had been filed in Superior Court dated December 19, 2025, Mark Wozar v. Freedom of Information Commission et al., HHB-CV25-5039727-S, regarding the Commission's Final Decisions in Docket #FIC 2024-0820, #FIC 2024-0839 and #FIC 2024-0841.

Executive Director Colleen M. Murphy reported that the Commission received a record 1,250 complaints in calendar year 2025.

Executive Director Colleen M. Murphy reported that interviews were being conducted for a Staff Attorney position with the Commission and that the position of Executive Director and General Counsel had been posted and would close on January 26, 2026.

Executive Director Colleen M. Murphy reported that the Commission's legislative package would be submitted for approval at the next Commission meeting.

Commissioner Farrish reported that the Connecticut Foundation for Open Government would be hosting a "Stories Behind the Big Stories of 2025" panel discussion on January 20, 2026 at the Elmwood Community Center in West Hartford.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:39 p.m.

/s/ Russell Blair
Russell Blair

AMENDMENTS*

Docket #FIC 2025-0061 Jacob Simkovitz v. Chief, Fire Department, Town of Easton;
Fire Department, Town of Easton; and Town of Easton

Paragraphs 11 and 22 of the Hearing Officer's Report are amended as follows:

11. It is found that, **ON JUNE 2, 2025, AT THE CONTESTED CASE HEARING ON THIS MATTER**[by email dated June 2, 2025 at 1:40 a.m.], the respondents **PROVIDED** [emailed] the complainant **WITH** the 307 pages of responsive records.

22. **AS FOUND IN PARAGRAPH 11, ABOVE, THE RESPONDENTS PROVIDED THE COMPLAINANT WITH COPIES OF THE REQUESTED RECORDS AT THE HEARING ON THIS MATTER.** The respondents testified, and it is found, that the delay was due, at least in part, to an employee's vacation while the request was pending. It is found that the delay was also attributable to the respondents refusing to release the records until they had received payment from the complainant, despite not providing him with the final cost for copies of such records..

Docket #FIC 2025-0043 Linda T. Wihbey v. Commissioner, State of Connecticut,
Department of Social Services; and State of Connecticut,
Department of Social Services

The Hearing Officer's Report is amended as follows:

2. It is found that, on October 1, 2020, Dr. Abbas Mohammadi and his companies, Columbia Dental, P.C. ("Columbia Dental") and Columbia Oral Maxillofacial Imaging, LLC ("Columbia Oral"), paid \$300,000 to resolve a federal qui tam action¹ alleging violations of both the Federal False Claims Act and the Connecticut False Claims Act for overbilling for dental services and other related matters under the Connecticut Medicaid Assistance Program ("Medicaid"). It is found that, on the same date, Attorney General William Tong (the "Attorney General") and the former Commissioner of the respondent department, Deirdre S. Gifford (the "former Commissioner") issued a press release announcing the settlement, claiming that they had uncovered Medicaid fraud and abuse on a large scale. It is found that, on May 25, 2023, the complainant's **CLIENTS**², [on behalf of her clients,] Dr. Mohammadi, **COLUMBIA DENTAL, AND COLUMBIA ORAL** [and his companies], filed a state

¹ A qui tam action is "[a]n action brought by an informer, under a state statute which establishes a penalty for the commission or omission of a certain act, and provides that the same shall be recoverable in a civil action, [with] part of the penalty to go to any person who... bring[s] such action and the remainder to go to the state or some other institution...." Black's Law Dictionary 1126 (5th ed. 1979).

² The Commission notes that the complainant is a licensed Connecticut attorney.

CIVIL COURT [defamation] action against the Attorney General and the former Commissioner (the “state court action”).³

3. It is found that, on April 13, 2023, the respondent department suspended [both] Dr. Mohammadi’s [and Columbia Dental’s] ability to receive Medicaid payments. It is further found that on January 14, 2025, the respondent department suspended Columbia Oral’s ability to receive Medicaid payments.⁴ It is further found that, on or about September 13, 2024, the complainant, on behalf of Dr. Mohammadi and his companies, filed a federal action against Marcus Campbell, the respondent department’s current Program Administration Manager; the former Commissioner; the current Commissioner of the respondent department, Andrea Barton Reeves (the “current Commissioner”), and the Attorney General, alleging abuse of authority, and violations of constitutional rights and due process (the “federal action”).⁵

20. It is found that the respondents’ Office of Quality Assurance (the “Office of QA”) is responsible for ensuring the fiscal and programmatic integrity of the programs administered by the respondent department, including Medicaid. It further found that the Office of QA oversees both an Audit Division and a Special Investigations Division. It is found that while the Audit Division conducts random samplings of providers receiving Medicaid payments, the Audit Division receives and investigates complaints through various channels, including [whistleblower] complaints from individuals employed by providers receiving Medicaid payments (referred to hereinafter as “**DSS**[whistleblower] complaints”).

21. It is found that, when the respondents’ Special Investigations Division receives a **DSS** [whistleblower] complaint, it logs the complaint and assigns it to a forensic fraud investigator. It is found that the forensic fraud investigator will investigate the complaint and review his or her findings with a special investigations manager, and then make a determination as to whether there is sufficient indicia of Medicaid fraud and/or abuse such that the Special Investigations Division’s investigation should be referred to one or more of

³ Based upon evidence provided by the respondents, it is found that the defendants in the state court action filed a motion to dismiss, which was granted on April 18, 2024. It is further found that on April 25, 2024, the plaintiffs appealed the lower court’s decision to the Appellate Court. *See Abbas Mohammadi, et al. v. William M. Tong, et al.*, AC 47598. To date, a decision by the Appellate Court has yet to issue.

⁴ Based upon the complainant’s testimony, it is found that, in February 2025, the respondent department removed the suspension against Columbia Oral; however, the suspension[s] against Dr. Mohammadi [and Columbia Dental] remain**ED** in place **AS OF THE DATE OF THE AUGUST 25, 2025 HEARING ON THIS MATTER**.

⁵ Based upon evidence provided by the parties, it is found that the federal action was filed against Mr. Campbell, the former and current Commissioners of the respondent department, and the Attorney General in the in their official capacities, and against Mr. Campbell and the former and current Commissioners of the respondent department, in their individual capacities. It is found that on June 18, 2025, the respondent department filed a motion to dismiss the Second Amended in its entirety, which motion remains pending. *See Abbas Mohammadi, et al. v. William Tong, et al.*, Docket No. 3:24-cv-01473-KAD.

the respondents' law enforcement partners for further investigation. It is found that the respondents' Special Investigations Division partners with the following law enforcement partners: (1) the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG"); (2) the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit within the Chief State's Attorney's Office; and (3) the United States Health and Human Services Office of the Inspector General ("HHS").⁶

24. Finally, it is found that if all of the law enforcement partners accept the Special Investigations Division's referral and all partners ultimately determine that such investigations should be closed with no recommended action, the underlying **MATTER** is returned to the respondent department's Special Investigations Division for final action, including whether to take one or more of the final actions set forth in paragraph 22, above.

25. Regarding the underlying matters concerning Dr. Mohammadi and his companies, referenced in paragraphs 2 and 3, above, it is found that the respondents' Special Investigations Division received a **DSS** [whistleblower] complaint concerning Medicaid fraud and abuse. It is further found that a forensic fraud investigator from the respondents' Special Investigations Division investigated the complaint and reviewed his or her findings with a special investigations manager. It is further found that the respondents' Special Investigations Division determined that its investigation should be referred to one or more of the respondents' law enforcement partners. It is found that the investigation was referred to and accepted by one or more of the law enforcement partners and, to date, the investigation has yet to be returned to the respondents. *See* ¶¶ 21-24, above.⁷

34. However, upon careful in camera inspection, it is found that other than the records specifically identified in paragraph 29, above, the remaining records do not constitute preliminary drafts or notes within the meaning of §1-210(b)(1), G.S. In this regard, it is found that the remaining records contain the respondents' Special Investigations Division's investigative findings and determinations regarding a **DSS** [whistleblower] complaint raising allegations of Medicaid fraud and abuse.

42. Moreover, regarding whether disclosure of the remaining records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy, it is found that there is legitimate public interest in how a public agency investigates **DSS** [whistleblower] complaints filed by private citizens pertaining to Medicaid fraud.

⁶ Pursuant to federal law, upon receipt of a complaint alleging Medicaid fraud, the respondent department is required to conduct a preliminary investigation to determine whether there is a sufficient basis to warrant a full investigation. *See* 42 CFR §455.14. Upon finding that there is reason to believe that an incident of Medicaid fraud or abuse has occurred, the respondent department must refer the case to the appropriate law enforcement partner(s) for a full investigation. *See* 42 CFR §455.15.

⁷ For example, based upon evidence submitted by the respondents, it is found that the Special Investigations Division's investigation was referred to and accepted by the OAG for further investigation. *See* Respondents' Ex. 3, letter dated Aug. 18, 2025 (wherein a Deputy Associate Attorney General states that, on February 27, 2023, the respondent department referred its investigation concerning Columbia Oral to the OAG and that "the OAG continues to investigate" such matter); *see also* Audio Trans. at 12:00:00 to 12:02:00 (Aug. 25, 2025 contested case hearing).

62. Upon careful in camera inspection, it is found that the following records, or portions thereof, are communications “between a public official or employee of a public agency acting in the performance of his or her duties or within the scope of his or her employment and a government attorney,” which “relate to legal advice” sought by the public agency client from the attorney, and which were “transmitted in confidence,” within the meaning of §52-146r(a)(2), G.S.:

IC-2025-0043-13 (LINES 1 THROUGH 10, ENDING WITH “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-21 (lines 1 through 29, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-28 (lines 1 through 35, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-36 (all); IC-2025-0043-38 (lines 1 through 36, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-40 (all); IC-2025-0043-41 (lines 1 through 5, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-43 (all); IC-2025-0043-44 (lines 1 through 11, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-46 (lines 1 through 21, ending with “FYI”); IC-2025-0043-78 through IC-2025-0043-82 (all); IC-2025-0043-83 (lines 1 through 28, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-85 (all); IC-2025-0043-86 (lines 1 through 30, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-88 (all); IC-2025-0043-89 (lines 1 through 28, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-91 (all); IC-2025-0043-92 (lines 1 through 28, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-94 through 96 (all); IC-2025-0043-97 (lines 1 through 6, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-98 (all); IC-2025-0043-99 (lines 1 through 38, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-101 and IC-2025-0043-102 (all); IC-2025-0043-103 (lines 1 through 39, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-105 through IC-2025-0043-107 (all); IC-2025-0043-108 (lines 1 through 9, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-109 (line 21 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-110 and IC-2025-0043-111 (all); IC-2025-0043-112 (lines 1 through 28, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-114 (line 35 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-115 through IC-2025-0043-117 (all); IC-2025-0043-120 (line 15 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-121 and IC-2025-0043-122 (all); IC-2025-0043-123 (lines 1 through 20, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-125 (line 21 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-126 (all); IC-2025-0043-127 (lines 1 through 17, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-130 (all); IC-2025-0043-131 (lines 1 through 33, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-133 and IC-2025-0043-134 (all); IC-2025-0043-135 (lines 1 through 11, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-136 (line 11 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-137 (all); IC-2025-0043-138 (lines 1 through 3); IC-2025-0043-139 (line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-140 (all); IC-2025-0043-141 (lines 1 through 25, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-142 (line 21 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-143 (all); IC-2025-0043-144 (lines 1 through 15, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-145 (line 17 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-146 (all); IC-2025-0043-147 (lines 1 through 23, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-148 (line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-149 (all); IC-2025-0043-150 (lines 1 through 13, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-151 (last two lines); IC-2025-0043-152 and IC-2025-0043-153 (all); IC-2025-0043-154 (lines 1 through 9, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-155 (line 3 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-156 (lines 1 through 36, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-158 (lines 1 through 27, ending with “LD”); IC-2025-0043-159 and IC-2025-0043-160 (all); IC-2025-0043-161 (lines 1 through 9, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-162 (line 3 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-163 (lines 1 through 36, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-165 (line 17 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-166 (line 15 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-167 (all); IC-2025-0043-168 (lines 1 through 19, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-169 (line 16 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-170 (all); IC-2025-0043-171

(lines 1 through 7, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-172 (line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-173 and IC-2025-0043-174 (all); IC-2025-0043-175 (lines 1 through 23, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-176 (line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-177 (all); IC-2025-0043-178 (lines 1 through 12, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-179 (lines 1 through 25, ending with “LD”); IC-2025-0043-180 and IC-2025-0043-181 (all); IC-2025-0043-182 (lines 1 through 9, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-183 (line 3 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-184 (lines 1 through 36, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-186 (line 21 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-187 (lines 1 through 4, ending with “LD” and line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-188 (all); IC-2025-0043-189 (lines 1 through 23, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-190 (line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-191 (all); IC-2025-0043-192 (lines 1 through 13, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-193 (line 25 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-194 (lines 1 through 9, ending with “LD” and line 24 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-195 (all); IC-2025-0043-196 (lines 1 through 28, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-197 (line 24 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-198 (all); IC-2025-0043-199 (lines 1 through 18, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-202 (lines 1 through 25, ending with “LD” and line 40 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-203 and IC-2025-0043-204 (all); IC-2025-0043-205 (lines 1 through 5, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-206 (all); IC-2025-0043-207 (lines 1 through 33, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-210 (lines 1 through 25, ending with “LD” and line 40 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-211 and IC-2025-0043-212 (all); IC-2025-0043-213 (lines 1 through 5); IC-2025-0043-214 (all); IC-2025-0043-215 (lines 1 through 33, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-217 (line 27 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-218 (lines 1 through 11, ending with “LD” and line 26 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-219 (all); IC-2025-0043-220 (lines 1 through 29, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-221 (line 26 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-222 (all); IC-2025-0043-223 (lines 1 through 19, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-225 (line 11 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-226 (lines 1 through 32, ending with “LD”); IC-2025-0043-227 (line 5 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-228 (all); IC-2025-0043-229 (lines 1 through 11, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-230 (line 11 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-231 (all); IC-2025-0043-232 (lines 1 through 3, ending with “/ag/”); **IC-2025-0043-233 (LINE 17 THROUGH END OF PAGE)**; IC-2025-0043-233 (line 17 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-234 (lines 1 through 37, ending with “LD”); IC-2025-0043-235 (line 10 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-236 (all); IC-2025-0043-237 (lines 1 through 14, ending with “rectified”); IC-2025-0043-238 (line 12 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-239 (all); IC-2025-0043-240 (lines 1 through 5, ending with “/ag/”); IC-2025-0043-241 and IC-2025-0043-242 (all); IC-2025-0043-243 (line 20 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-244 through IC-2025-0043-247 (all); IC-2025-0043-248 (line 15 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-249 (all); IC-2025-0043-250 (lines 10 through 27, ending with “iOS”); IC-2025-0043-251 (line 9 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-252 (line 18 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-253 (lines 1 through 3, ending with “iOS” and line 19 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-254 (all); IC-2025-0043-255 (line 22 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-256 (all); IC-2025-0043-258 (line 10 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-259 (all); IC-2025-0043-260 (line 22 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-261 (all); IC-2025-0043-262 (line 30 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-263 (all); IC-2025-0043-265 (line 12 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-266 and IC-2025-0043-267 (all); IC-2025-0043-275 through IC-2025-0043-290 (all); IC-2025-0043-291 (line 23 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-292 through IC-2025-

0043-294 (all); IC-2025-0043-296 through IC-2025-0043-298 (all); IC-2025-0043-300 (line 15 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-301 and IC-2025-0043-302 (all); IC-2025-0043-304 (line 24 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-305 through IC-2025-0043-307 (all); IC-2025-0043-308 (lines 1 through 20, ending with "LD"); IC-2025-0043-309 (line 17 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-310 and IC-2025-0043-311 (all); IC-2025-0043-315 (all); IC-2025-0043-316 (lines 1 through 14, ending with "LD"); IC-2025-0043-317 (line 11 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-318 and IC-2025-0043-319 (all); IC-2025-0043-320 (line 13 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-321 (line 29 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-322 through IC-2025-0043-324 (all); IC-2025-0043-325 (lines 27 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-326 (line 1 through 15, ending with "LD"); IC-2025-0043-327 (line 11 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-328 and IC-2025-0043-329 (all); IC-2025-0043-330 (entire email dated October 1, 2023, sent at 18:26:04); IC-2025-0043-331 (entire email dated October 1, 2024, sent at 11:28 am); IC-2025-0043-332 (line 9 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-333 (all); IC-2025-0043-334 (entire email dated October 1, 2024, sent 2:26 pm); IC-2025-0043-335 (entire email dated October 1, 2024, sent at 11:28 am); IC-2025-0043-336 (line 16 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-337 (all); IC-2025-0043-338 (last four lines) IC-2025-0043-339 (top email carried over from previous page); IC-2025-0043-340 (entire email dated October 1, 2024, sent 11:28 am); IC-2025-0043-341 (line 3 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-342 and IC-2025-0043-343 (all); IC-2025-0043-344 (second email dated October 1, 2024, sent 4:36 pm); IC-2025-0043-345 through IC-2025-0043-350 (all); IC-2025-0043-351 (line 10 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-352 (all); IC-2025-0043-353 (last email on page dated October 3, 2024, sent 7:09 am); IC-2025-0043-354 through IC-2025-0043-364 (all); IC-2025-0043-365 (second email dated October 8, 2024, sent 1:16 pm through end of page); IC-2025-0043-366 (all); IC-2025-0043-367 (first email on page dated October 15, 2025); IC-2025-0043-368 (final email on page dated September 19, 2024); IC-2025-0043-369 (all); IC-2025-0043-370 (last email on page dated October 15, 2024, sent 4:53 pm); IC-2025-0043-372 (second email dated September 19, 2024); IC-2025-0043-374 (first two emails on page both dated October 21, 2024); IC-2025-0043-375 and IC-2025-0043-376 (all); IC-2025-0043-377 (first two emails on page both dated October 21, 2024); IC-2025-0043-378 (entire page except for line 1); IC-2025-0043-379 (all); IC-2025-0043-380 (second email dated October 21, 2024, sent 1:50 pm through end of page); IC-2025-0043-381 (second email dated October 15, 2024 through end of page); IC-2025-0043-382 (all); and IC-2025-0043-1188 (all).