

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In the Matter of a Complaint by

FINAL DECISION

Yvonne Perkins,

Complainant

against

Docket # FIC 2018-0555

Chief, Police Department, City of Danbury;
Police Department, City of Danbury; and
City of Danbury,

Respondents


March 27, 2019

The above-captioned matter was heard as a contested case on March 7, 2019, at which time the respondents appeared and presented evidence and argument on the complaint, but the complainant failed to appear. For purposes of hearing, this matter was consolidated with Docket #FIC 2018-0412; Yvonne Perkins v. Chief, Police Department, City of Danbury; Police Department, City of Danbury; and City of Danbury.

The following order is hereby recommended on the basis of the record concerning the above-captioned complaint:

1. The complaint is dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute.
2. The complainant is admonished for her waste of the time and resources of the respondents, who arrived with three witnesses and 33 exhibits, and the Commission.

Approved by Order of the Freedom of Information Commission at its regular meeting of March 27, 2019.



Cynthia A. Cannata
Acting Clerk of the Commission

PURSUANT TO SECTION 4-180(c), G.S., THE FOLLOWING ARE THE NAMES OF EACH PARTY AND THE MOST RECENT MAILING ADDRESS, PROVIDED TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION, OF THE PARTIES OR THEIR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE.

THE PARTIES TO THIS CONTESTED CASE ARE:

YVONNE PERKINS, 4 Moss Avenue, Danbury, CT 06810

CHIEF, POLICE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF DANBURY; POLICE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF DANBURY; AND CITY OF DANBURY, c/o Attorney D. Randall DiBella, Cramer & Anderson LLP, 51 Main Street, New Milford, CT 06776



Cynthia A. Cannata
Acting Clerk of the Commission