

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

In the Matter of a Complaint by

FINAL DECISION

Matthew Hardin and  
Energy Policy Advocates,

Complainants

against

Docket #FIC 2020-0224

Attorney General, State of Connecticut,  
Office of the Attorney General; and State of  
Connecticut, Office of the Attorney General,

Respondents

June 26, 2024

The above-captioned matter was heard as a contested case on September 16, 2021, at which time the complainants and the respondents appeared, stipulated to certain facts, and presented testimony, exhibits and argument on the complaint. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the state's response to it, the hearing was conducted through the use of electronic equipment (remotely) pursuant to §149 of Public Act 21-2 (June Special Session).<sup>1</sup>

By email dated and filed May 21, 2024, the complainants informed the Commission that they wished to withdraw their complaint. The Commission takes administrative notice of such email.


The following order by the Commission is hereby recommended on the basis of the record concerning the above-captioned complaint:

1. Based on the withdrawal of the complaint, the case is hereby dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> On March 14, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order 7B, which suspended the requirement to conduct public meetings in person. In addition, on March 25, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order 7M, thereby suspending the provisions of Conn. Gen. Stat. §1-206(b)(1), which requires the Freedom of Information Commission to hear and decide an appeal within one year after the filing of such appeal. Executive Order 7M is applicable to any appeal pending with the Commission on the issuance date and to any appeal filed on or after such date, through June 30, 2021. Consequently, the Commission retains jurisdiction over this matter.

Approved by Order of the Freedom of Information Commission at its regular meeting of June 26, 2024.



---


Jennifer M. Mayo  
Acting Clerk of the Commission

PURSUANT TO SECTION 4-180(c), G.S., THE FOLLOWING ARE THE NAMES OF EACH PARTY AND THE MOST RECENT MAILING ADDRESS, PROVIDED TO THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COMMISSION, OF THE PARTIES OR THEIR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE.

THE PARTIES TO THIS CONTESTED CASE ARE:

**MATTHEW HARDIN AND ENERGY POLICY ADVOCATES**, c/o Attorney Margaret A. Little, New Civil Liberties Alliance, 1225 19th Street NW, Suite 450, Washington DC 20036 and Attorney Matthew D. Hardin, 1725 I Street NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20006

**ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF CONNECTICUT, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL; AND STATE OF CONNECTICUT, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL** c/o Assistant Attorney General Matthew F. Fitzsimmons and Assistant Deputy Attorney General Antoria Howard, Office of the Attorney General, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106



\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer M. Mayo  
Acting Clerk of the Commission