MOLST Advisory Council

July 2, 2024 | 9:39-10:30am

Meeting Minutes

Members present: Amelia Breyre, Barbara Cass, Rebecca Henderson, Mary Horan, Barbara Jacobs, Richard Kamin, Cathy Ludlum, James McGaughey, Carl Schiessl, Scott Sussman, Tracy Wodatch

Members absent: Matt Barrett, Kadesha Collins, Judge Darby, Mag Morelli, Sherry Ng, Cynthia O'Sullivan, Yuliya Riat, John Spencer, Jonathan Weber, Gary Wiemokly

DPH: Melia Allan, Dante Costa

Introduction

- Barbara Cass called the meeting to order at 9:32.
- Scott Sussman motioned to approve the minutes; James McGaughey seconded.
- The minutes passed without revisions.

Update on MOLST Form – Barbara Cass

- Barbara Cass recapped that there was consensus to move toward an electronic form, but there would be a paper/printed option.
- Today, Barbara Cass would like to discuss how to manage or operationalize the electronic/paper form in the event of an EMT interaction.
- Dante Costa updated the group on the state of the MOLST form and the relevant Policies & Procedures these will be reviewed by the Commissioner and leadership side by side.

Policies and Procedures Revision – Training Piece

- James McGaughey suggested that the group should consider broader training strategies.
- Dante clarified the language "Department approved training module" would need to be clarified
 in Policies & Procedures for external trainings that are independently made available from
 providers (instead of a DPH version).
 - Rebecca Henderson shared that DPH having exclusive control over the training has been a barrier to the MOLST and supported the proliferation of independent training programs.
- Barbara Jacobs shared that her organization has the DPH-approved training slides on her organization's website, and that she has trained many people using this training.
- Dante summarized that as long as the content is from DPH or DPH-approved, the group seems to be flexible on the training platform used for training.
- Mary Horan clarified how DPH keeps track of the providers who are trained and thus eligible to complete a MOLST.
 - Barbara Cass clarified that when providers complete the training at CT Train, they are automatically input into a list of providers. With outside training platforms such as the

method that Barbara Jacobs uses, providers submit the list to Danny White at DPH, and get entered into the list.

General Discussion

- Barbara Cass brought up the idea of a creating registry for individuals that have a MOLST as a future goal for the group.
- Dante asked the group about the electronic form is there a paper requirement (to have the MOLST printed so that an EMS provider could see it) or is there a way for a patient with a MOLST to go fully paperless.
 - After discussion, it seems that there is agreement that electronic is good, but for accessibility and connectivity purposes, the state may not be quite ready to go fully paperless.
- Dr. Amelia Breyre offered to connect the group to Dr. Abby Dotson, the Executive Director for the National POLST Collaborative and Director of the Oregon POLST Registry (OPR).
 - o In Oregon, the data is electronically uploaded, not just scanned in.
 - Amelia also brought up that Oregon links their registry to the death registry it is very robust.
 - o The group is interested in having Abby Dotson present for the group.
- James asked if EMS personnel out in the field would be able to access an electronic registry and brought up that it may make sense to require a paper form still.
 - He also brought up the question of how someone who revokes their MOLST notifies the registry.
- The group is interested in contacting the national POLST and working with other states to get a better sense of how they handle a digital MOLST.
- Dr. Kamin added that the ability to interact with an electronic registry at first may be difficult, even though it may be best in the long run to have an up-to-date electronic record that EMS personnel could interact with.
 - He would rather have an electronic process, but only if the group is confident that there is consistent access to this registry.
 - He brought up the idea of a bracelet (like the DNR bracelet) that could be an indication of an active MOLST.
- Dr. Breyre shared information from other states, where connectivity was an issue especially in more rural places. In these cases, poison control was the back-up phone number used to confirm the MOLST/POLST form in the registry if there was not internet.
 - Cathy and Dr. Kamin liked the idea of relying on poison control as a back-up option, although EMS access to the registry/data would be ideal.
- Cathy Ludlum brought up that although she liked the idea of a bracelet, or having another
 method to check if a patient has a MOLST, she cautioned the group since a MOLST is more
 complicated than a yes or no (like it is with a DNR), since a MOLST describes specific
 preferences.
 - Dr. Kamin suggested that the bracelet could alert providers to check on a patient's MOLST.
- Dr. Kamin clarified that although EMS providers may use computers in the field to chart, they are creating a patient record, and they do not have access to hospital patient data. Potential

access to the state health information exchange could be a pathway to more connectivity among systems.

Closing

- Rebecca motioned to adjourn; Richard seconded the motion
- The meeting adjourned at 10:20