Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2021-2022



OUR MISSION.....Is to ensure everyone has access to quality housing opportunities and options throughout the State of Connecticut.

March 1, 2023

I. HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

A. FY 2021-22 Housing/Economic Environment

The Connecticut Department of Housing's (DOH) mission is to ensure everyone has access to quality housing opportunities and options throughout the State of Connecticut. DOH has fully embraced the challenge of providing a variety of housing options for all income levels, tenancy and age groups in our diverse state. DOH has leveraged state, federal and private resources to identify and employ tools to develop and preserve all kinds of housing, from ownership to rental, from conventional rental to supportive housing, and from rapid to long term production. Connecticut's housing environment is both challenging and unique. In order for Connecticut to function at its best, there must be an adequate supply of housing in proximity to employment, public transportation, and community facilities, such as public schools. The housing stock must include affordable and accessible for sale and rental units, not only to meet social equity goals, but in order to ensure community viability. The development of a diverse and affordable housing stock must be carried out without sacrificing sound regulations that are in place to protect the environment and public health. The State of Connecticut is working toward ending chronic and family homelessness, providing opportunities for service-enhanced housing, and has already eliminated chronic veteran homelessness. DOH has worked extensively towards informed decision making that creates housing options for all people including: low-and moderate -income households, seniors, people with special needs, families and children, and the homeless in both rural and urban areas.

Overall, with people living longer, healthier lives and contributing to their communities and to society in general, there will be a call to address a wider range of abilities, needs and disabilities. These needs range from the more typical age-related changes such as those relating to vision, hearing, and mobility, to those of mental health, educational opportunities, and transportation. It will go grow increasingly important for our communities to plan for and address this spectrum of needs.

It will also grow increasingly important for our communities in Connecticut to develop a range of creative and effective programs to address the challenges in providing and encouraging a range of appropriate and affordable housing options for all of our citizens, both young and old, especially in light of the challenges that COVID-19 has brought. Health care provisions are increasingly relying on community-and home-based care rather than institutional care as detailed in the Affordable Care Act and other federal and state legislation and policies. The shift, in conjunction with the financial pressures the health care industry faces, will likely give rise to new community-based housing models that better link human services and health

care with residents (PAS Report 579, Planning Aging-Supportive Communities, Bradley H. Winick, AICP, LEED, and Martin Jaffe. Copyright 2015 by the American Planning Association).

Supportive housing strategies are already beginning to evolve such as housing types that improve linkages between residents' housing, human services, and health care needs. Many communities have developed housing assistance programs that often include one or more programs specifically targeted toward meeting the housing needs of disabled individuals. Housing authorities have increasingly become an important vehicle in the development of creative strategies to support their residents as their needs evolve. Additional strategies include the built environment where design promotes accessibility, visitability, and universal creativity that allow for flexible housing options such as accessory dwelling units and shared housing (PAS Report 579, Planning Aging-Supportive Communities, Bradley H. Winick, AICP, LEED, and Martin Jaffe. Copyright 2015 by the American Planning Association).

Keeping this in mind, the Connecticut Department of Housing together with Regional Plan Association and partners across the state created a guidebook to help municipalities develop their local affordable housing plans, as required by State statute §8-30j. Effective July 24, 2017, Connecticut General Statute, Title 8, Chapter 126a, §8-30j requires every municipality in Connecticut to prepare an affordable housing plan at least once every five years. Under this statute, municipalities had until July 2022 to adopt an affordable housing plan. The guidebook is intended to help communities to better understand what an affordable housing plan is and what should be included. It will help municipalities to know how to coordinate their affordable housing plan with other local planning documents like the Plan of Conservation and Development.

In addressing sales prices and unit production, according to the Redfin database, home sales in Connecticut totaled 59,249 in 2021, from 56,239 in 2020, a 5.4% increase. Single family home sales stayed about the same as 2020, at 42,276. Condominium/Co-op sales increased by 19% to 11,807 in 2021. The same source shows that Connecticut's median sale price for all homes averaged \$337,000 in 2021, an increase of 12% over 20202's average. ("The Connecticut Economic Digest: Connecticut's 2021 Housing Market: Hitting New Highs," July 2022, Vol. 27, No. 7, Nandika Prakash, Ph.D., DECD). Prakash also sites data recently release from the US Census shows that Connecticut cities and towns authorized a total of 4,651 single and multifamily homes with a total valuation of \$1.22 billion in 2021. This level of production represented a 15% decrease from 5,471 in 2020. The decrease was driven by 45% fewer permits for multifamily housing compared to 2020 levels. Permits for single family structures increased by 17% over 2020. Connecticut issued 2,941 single family permits which accounted for 63% of the total number of units authorized, the

highest share in ten years. Only 32% were multifamily (5 units or more), breaking the recent trend of higher production of multifamily housing.

While early data shows persisting demand, there are indications that supply side constraint may lead to a slowdown in the housing market nationwide. Housing inventory will affect the market's performance with low inventory leading to high higher prices. New home construction nationwide has been hindered by labor shortages, supply-chain disruptions, inflation, and land shortages driven by zoning restrictions and land-use regulations. ("The Connecticut Economic Digest: Connecticut's 2021 Housing Market: Hitting New Highs," July 2022, Vol. 27, No. 7, Nandika Prakash, Ph.D., DECD).

Prakash also shares that Millennials now make up the largest share of homebuyers. This population is raising families while paying off student debt, and higher prices along with rising mortgage rates may lead to their postponing homeownership, thereby indicating the need for more affordable housing. Coupled with data on Connecticut's aging population there is an obvious need for both more affordable and supportive housing choices.

B. Housing Development Goals and Objectives/Investment Analysis

The overall goal of the housing and community development programs DOH administers is to develop viable communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment for low-and moderate-income persons. The primary means towards this end is to extend and strengthen partnerships among all levels of government and the private sector, including for-profit and non-profit organizations, in the production and operation of affordable housing. The state works to preserve and increase the supply of quality affordable rental housing available to low- and moderate-income households and improve the ability of low-and moderate-income residents to access homeownership opportunities and, within available resources, assist distressed households in maintaining homeownership. While increasing the supply of low-and moderate income homes available for ownership, the quality of the living environment can be improved by incorporating responsible development strategies such as mixed-use and transit-oriented developments.

Increasing the supply of quality affordable housing can be accomplished in multiple ways including new construction and rehabilitation of existing units. Adaptive re-use of historic structures provides multiple benefits to communities. Redevelopment lowers the ratio of poor quality or unused structures.

Additionally, re-use lessens sprawl in rapidly developing areas by preserving open space/undeveloped land.

Adaptive re-use is very likely to engender community support by preserving structures that have long been part of the community.

DOH focuses its available resources to achieve the creation of new quality affordable rental units; to achieve the creation of new affordable homeownership opportunities; to achieve the preservation of existing affordable rental housing units; and to maintain homeownership opportunities.

Program success is measured through increased rental and homeowner housing opportunities that serve low-and-moderate income households in a variety of geographies.

The state utilizes its federal formula grant funding, as well as its state allocations to address Connecticut's housing and community development needs through the application of six Growth Management Principles by giving funding priority to projects that address multiple needs and leverage existing infrastructure and resources, with a focus on addressing homelessness and expanding supportive housing opportunities. The six Growth Management Principles are:

- Collaborate with other state agencies to affirmatively further fair housing;
- Redevelop and revitalize regional centers and areas with existing or currently planned physical infrastructure;
- Expand housing opportunities and design choices to accommodate a variety of household types and needs;
- Concentrate development around transportation nodes and along major transportation corridors to support the viability of transportation options;
- Conserve and restore the natural environment, cultural and historical resources, and traditional rural lands; and
- Promote integrated planning across all levels of government to address issues on a statewide, regional and local basis.

The Department of Housing in collaboration with the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority is inviting any Developer/Owner that is looking to apply for funding and/or other resources from DOH and/or CHFA for the new construction, substantial rehabilitation, and/or preservation of affordable housing in the next calendar year to participate in a new Developer Engagement Process. This process is intended to identify and to create a pipeline of potential activity for future funding opportunities including but not limited to new construction, substantial rehabilitation and/or preservation of affordable and supportive housing.

Developers/applicants should complete the DOH/CHFA Development Engagement Profile that describes the project, development or concept as it currently stands. There is no requirement to engage a consultant, prepare any formal documentation, obtain any approvals or expend any funds in order to complete and submit the DOH/CHFA Development Engagement Profile.

Upon receipt of a DOH/CHFA Development Engagement Profile, developers/applicants will be contacted by DOH to schedule a face-to-face meeting with DOH and CHFA staff to discuss the proposed activity outlined. When funding is available, including CDBG, HOME, NHTF, and state bond funds, projects in the pipeline will be invited to make an application.

Between July 1, 2021 and June 30, 2022, the Department of Housing ("DOH") completed 42 projects around the state and, in doing so, created or retained and estimated 1,383 total units, of which 552 units will be affordable. This includes a total project investment of \$308,805,977, with \$82,883,573 invested through the Department of Housing. An analysis of DOH's housing development completion portfolio is detailed below. More detailed presentation of the DOH investments can be found at the end of this report as Attachment A.

The information provided includes:

- project name/location;
- the type of project including new construction, rehabilitation, mill conversion, historic conversion, planning and predevelopment projects;
- total project cost with a breakdown of DOH's original investment;
- the funding source and/or funding round (CHAMP "#:), including the Housing Trust Fund ("HTF"),
 the Affordable Housing Program ("FLEX"), and the HOME Investment Partnerships Program ("HOME");
- the type and the amount of federal or state funding;
- a breakdown of the total housing units created ("new") or preserved;
- a breakdown by ownership or rental; and
- whether the units are limited to elderly or open to families.

1. Housing Development Portfolio Analysis

The table below outlines DOH's housing investments. During this fiscal year the total value of DOH's housing development portfolio grew to over \$1 billion.

Table 1					
FY 2021-22 DOH Housing Development Activity					
State Federal Total					
FY 2021-22 Housing Activity	\$ 72,737,815	\$ 10,145,758	\$ 82,883,573		
DOH Housing Portfolio Value	\$ 988,220,913	\$262,107,127	\$1,250,328,330		

The following table outlines DOH's average rate of participation in its housing development projects. In an era of "doing more with less" DOH has worked hard over the past several years to increase its leverage ratio for housing development projects by partnering with other development and financing organizations.

Table 2						
DOH Housing Development Leveraging						
All Funding Sources Leverage Ratio Total Development Cost Non-DOH Funds DOH Investmen						
FY 2021-22 Leverage Ratio	3.72	\$ 308,805,977	\$ 225,922,404	\$ 82,883,573		
Portfolio Leverage Ratio	3.89	\$4,848,098,004	\$3,615,140,777	\$1,245,361,670		

Source: DOH

The following table provides DOH's cost per unit for the affordable housing units committed by DOH's housing development investments.

Table 3 DOH's Housing Development Per Unit Cost						
FY 2020-2021 Funding DOH Investment Units DOH Per Unit Cost						
Total Average FY 2020-21 Cost Per Unit	\$ 82,883,573	552	\$150,151			
Total Average Portfolio Cost Per Unit	\$1,245,361,670	21,018	\$59,252			

Source: DOH

2. State Funded Housing Production and Preservation Analysis

The table below outlines the number of units committed by household type. For the purposes of this section, "elderly units" are defined as units for which occupancy is restricted by age and "family units" are units for which occupancy is not restricted by age.

Table 4					
Household Type Analysis					
FY 2021-2022					
	Elderly Units Family Units				
Units Completed	377	1006			

3. State Sponsored Housing Portfolio Preservation Initiative

Two (2) properties received nearly \$5,173,500 in funding to support the capital improvement of 150 units of affordable housing.

DOH and CHFA continue to identify properties that have critical healthy and safety needs. A total of twelve (12) properties received \$1,633,226 in funding to support the critical needs of 390 units of affordable housing.

Through various forums, panels and conferences, CHFA and DOH staff continued their outreach to SSHP stakeholders to provide important information about the State's SSHP-related resources. DOH and CHFA participated in the CONN-NAHRO annual convention. This event provides staff the opportunity to connect with affordable housing owners, residents, and technical assistance providers to discuss upcoming funding rounds and other statewide initiatives.

The following tables represent Critical/Priority Needs and SSHP properties, the units being preserved, and the award to be provided.

Table 5 A							
Critical/Priority Needs Projects Contracted During FY 21-22							
Property Name	Municipality	Units Preserved	\$ Awarded				
Rehoboth Place	Hartford	15	\$148,956.39				
Cobbs Mill Crossing	Glastonbury	32	\$46,300.00				
Brooks Quarry	Brookfield	35	\$166,913.00				
MJ Caruso	Hartford	36	\$255,565.18				
Millbrook Village	Windsor	60	\$82,000.00				
Brace Dale	W. Hartford	4	\$15,000.00				
Pleasant Street Cooperative	Enfield	12	\$34,301.00				
Riverside School Cooperative	Torrington	12	\$98,950.00				
Tannery Brook	Litchfield	16	\$148,200.00				
Kings Corner Manor	Ledyard	30	\$90,800.00				
G.W.Carver	New London	130	\$459,438.00				
4-6 Arch Street	Norwalk	8	\$86,803.00				
Subtotal		390	\$1,633,226.57				

Table 5 B SSHP Preservation Projects Contracted During FY 21-22					
Property Name	Units Preserved	\$ Awarded			
Hevrin Terrace	Willimantic	90	\$2,663,775.00		
Gosinski Park Plymouth		60	\$2,509,725.00		
Subtotal		150	\$5,173,500.00		

4. Housing Development Impact

In FY 2021-22 DOH was the lead state agency for all matters relating to housing in Connecticut. As part of the agency's overall mission, DOH worked to increase opportunities for Connecticut's citizens to live in safe, quality housing at affordable prices. To fulfill its mission, DOH monitored and analyzed the Connecticut housing environment and developed policies, strategies, programs and services that maximize success in expanding affordable housing opportunities in Connecticut.

It is difficult to capture the socio-economic benefits that flow from the provision of housing or improved housing to those who may not otherwise be able to afford it. These benefits include building a strong community tax base, encouraging safe streets, and empowering neighborhoods and communities to stabilize and flourish.

5. Small Cities Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") Program Portfolio

The Department of Housing is the designated principal state agency for the allocation and administration of the federal CDBG program for non-entitlement areas within the state. Non-entitlement areas include those units of general local government that do not receive CDBG funds directly from HUD as part of the entitlement program.

Funding for the Connecticut CDBG program is provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the guidelines of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. Eligible Activities must meet one of the following CDBG program National Objectives: benefiting low and moderate-income persons, eliminating slum and blight or addressing an urgent need.

The primary focus of the CDBG program is to benefit low-and moderate-income persons. The Connecticut CDBG program receives and distributes over thirteen million dollars each year.

Communities participate in a competitive application process annually for CDBG funds to implement their proposed community and economic development projects.

For more information on the Small Cities CDBG program please visit the Department of Housing's website at Small Cities Community Development Block Grant Program - CT Department of Housing.

The following table offers a summary of the types of activities that were awarded funding during FY 21-22.

Table 6						
SC/CDBG Program Projects Awarded During FY 2021-22						
Activity Total Funding Number of Project						
Homeowner Rehabilitation	\$	0	0			
Public Housing Rehabilitation	\$	9,000,000	6			
Total Housing	\$	9,000,000	6			
Public Service	\$	1,465,200	4			
Public Infrastructure	\$	725,000	1			
Total Public	\$	2,190,200	5			
TOTAL	\$	11,190,200	11			

Source: DOH

The following table outlines DOH's Small Cities CDBG program activity during SFY 2021-22.

		Table 7			
SC/CDBG Projects Awarded During FY 21-22					
	CDBG				
Municipality	Covid	Project Description		Investment	
	Funds				
Ansonia		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
Essex		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
North Haven		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
Shelton		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
Wallingford		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
Westbrook		Public Housing Modernization	\$	1,500,000	
Diaper Bank of CT	Y	Public Service	\$	500,000	
Community Health Resources	Y	Public Service	\$	316,200	
Portland	\mathbf{Y}	Public Facilities	\$	725,000	
Stonington	Y	Public Service	\$	350,000	
Westport	Y	Public Service	\$	299,000	
	Total		\$	11,190,200	

Source: DOH

6. Supportive Housing

For more than twenty years, various agencies, both public and quasi-public, along with private organizations have joined in a collaborative effort to identify and develop long-term solutions to end chronic and long-term homelessness. Our partners include the Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services ("DMHAS"), the Department of Children and Families ("DCF"), the Department of Corrections ("DOC"), the Department of Veteran Affairs ("VA"), Court Support Services Division – Judicial ("CSSD"), the Department of Developmental Services ("DDS"), the Department of Social Services ("DSS"), the Office of Policy and Management ("OPM"), CHFA, and the Corporation for Supportive Housing ("CSH"). Connecticut is the only state in the nation consistently investing in the development of supportive housing on a statewide basis.

Connecticut has a long history of providing permanent supportive housing to the most vulnerable homeless individuals and families in our State. Resources have been leveraged at the federal, state, local and philanthropic levels to permanently house over 5000 formerly homeless individuals and families. Connecticut currently is implementing a Social Innovation Fund ("SIF") grant, funded through CSH, in which we created a data match between our Homeless Management Information System ("HMIS") and our Medicaid data, to locate and provide permanent supportive housing to the high cost users of Medicaid who are also homeless.

Connecticut also created a Connecticut Collaborative on Re-Entry ("CCR"), formerly known as the Frequent User Service Engagement ("FUSE") program in which we matched data from the HMIS and our criminal justice system to permanently house individuals that cycle between jails and homeless shelters. Both programs are successful in reducing high cost institutional care. Services are designed to address the individual needs of the residents, and included the help of a case manager, connections to community treatment and employment services, and rehabilitation services that help the client achieve and retain permanent housing.

The State of Connecticut is planning to build on these successes to bring these programs to full scale. Although our State has been generous in appropriating over 100 million dollars for capital work, support services and rental assistance for permanent supportive housing, more resources are needed especially in light of the COVID Pandemic, to meet the goal of ending chronic homelessness, and youth and family homelessness. Connecticut has been successful in creating over 50 single site supportive housing properties that have resulted in over 1200 units of permanent supportive housing. In the past five years, Connecticut has been able to develop over 632 additional units of permanent supportive housing by prioritizing efforts through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program ("LIHTC") program, the Qualified Allocation Plan ("QAP"), and the Competitive Housing Assistance for Multifamily Properties ("CHAMP").

II. Housing Support Programs

A. Congregate Facilities Operating Cost Subsidies

Through the Congregate Facilities Operating Cost Subsidies DOH provided grants to housing authorities and nonprofit corporations that own/operate state-financed congregate rental housing for the elderly. These funds are distributed in four categories: rental assistance, core services, expanded core services, and assisted living services. Core services include one main meal a day, housekeeping services, and a 24-hour emergency service to enable semi-independent living in a residential setting for frail elderly. The program also provides rental assistance for those tenants who pay no more than 30% of their adjusted gross income toward rent. Expanded core includes the cost of hiring a resident service coordinator, emergency transportation service, and a wellness program. An assisted living service component is also available, on a voluntary basis, and currently 10 of the 25 projects participate, where residents needing assisted living services can receive a subsidy of up to \$865 per month to offset the cost of these services.

Program participation, and the availability of the DOH grants allow the elderly residents to age comfortably in their apartment, and prevents many of them from premature placement in a nursing home or other higher level of care facility. At an average cost of assistance per month of approximately \$719.84, the State of Connecticut has already seen a significant savings in the cost of providing the necessary care to these individuals, when compared to the cost to the State of providing nursing home care, on average of \$12,167 per month. Although the minimum age of occupancy for these facilities is 62 years of age, the current average age of a resident of these facilities is 81 years young.

In FY 2021-22 DOH assisted 25 congregate facilities with \$9,630,804 in assistance.

Table 8					
Congregat	te Program Awards FY 2021-	2022			
Entity	Project Name	Subsidized Units	Amount Awarded		
Augustana Homes, Inc.	Augustana Homes	44	\$391,621		
Women's Institute Reality of CT,	Eleanor Congregate Apts.	35	\$644,920		
Bristol Housing Authority	Komanetsky Estates	44	\$320,084		
Enfield Housing Authority	Mark Twain I and II	82	\$193,135		
Glastonbury Housing Authority	Herbert T. Clark	45	\$439,092		
Hill House, Inc.	Hill House	61	\$375,200		
Mystic River Homes, Inc.	Mystic River Homes	50	\$494,955		
Hamden Housing Authority	Mount Carmel	30	\$522,546		
Sheldon Oak Central, Inc.	Bacon Congregate	23	\$462,999		
Killingly Housing Authority	Maple Court	43	\$160,568		
Manchester Housing Authority	Westhill Gardens	37	\$368,709		
Lutheran Social Services, Inc.	Luther Manor	45	\$261,294		
Naugatuck Housing Authority	Robert E. Hutt	36	\$319,848		
Hannah Gray Development Corporation	E.B. Scantlebury	20	\$377,652		
Norwalk Housing Authority	Ludlow Commons	44	\$593,611		
Under One Roof, Inc.	The Marvin	50	\$612,477		
St. Jude Housing Corporation	St. Jude Commons	51	\$312,336		
Town of Orange	Silverbrook Estates	45	\$416,610		
Pomfret Community Housing Corporation	Seely Brown Village	30	\$431,294		
Ridgefield Housing Authority	Prospect Ridge	34	\$315,868		
Simsbury Housing Authority	Virginia Connolly	40	\$319,853		
Stamford Housing Authority	Margot J. Wormser	41	\$526,548		
Trumbull Housing Authority	Stern Village	36	\$202,436		
Vernon Housing Authority	F.J. Pitkat	44	\$235,082		
Wilton Commons 2 LLC	Wilton Commons II	23	\$332,066		
TOTAL		1,033	\$9,630,804		

B. Elderly Rental Assistance Program (ERAP)

The ERAP Program provided rental assistance to low-income elderly persons residing in DOH-assisted rental housing for the elderly. DOH contracted with nonprofit organizations as well as local housing authorities that provide rental subsidies in accordance with an approved contract.

The following table outlines the FY 2021-22 awards.

Table 9					
Elderly Rental Assista	<u> 1ce Program Awa</u>			A -	
Entity	Units	Tenants on RAP	Disabled/ under 62	Amount Awarded	
Ashford Housing Authority	32	12	2	\$ 31,888	
Branford Housing Authority	90	21	14	\$ 24,564	
Brookfield Housing Authority	35	11	1	\$ 17,688	
Colchester Housing Authority	70	31	6	\$ 44,128	
Coventry Housing Authority	40	4	0	\$ 3,234	
Enfield Housing Authority	200	77	35	\$ 131,754	
Essex Housing Authority	36	6	0	\$ 8,652	
Guilford Housing Authority	90	27	5	\$ 22,740	
Hamden Housing Authority	80	28	6	\$ 30,681	
Hebron Housing Authority Hebron Housing Authority	00 25	7	1	\$ 10,412	
Carabetta (Faith Manor)	40	16	1	\$ 99,696	
	120	9	1	\$ 4,752	
Killingly Housing Authority	80	41	22	" /	
Manchester Housing Authority				" /	
Mansfield Housing Authority	40	4	2	\$ 11,682	
Marlborough Association for Senior Housing	24	14	2	\$ 64,464	
Monroe Housing Authority	30	7	1	\$ 8,469	
North Branford Housing Authority	60	17	6	\$ 23,136	
Norwich Housing Authority	183	46	18	\$ 86,904	
Old Lyme (Ryefield)	39	22	1	\$ 80,052	
Oxford Housing Authority	34	4	0	\$ 8,868	
Preston Housing Authority	40	5	1	\$ 6,252	
Putnam Housing Authority	40	6	1	\$ 11,760	
Ridgefield Housing Authority	60	28	2	\$ 72,624	
Simsbury Housing Authority	70	9	3	\$ 21,816	
South/Southwest Housing Corporation	36	7	0	\$ 23,022	
Tolland Housing Authority	Off Program			\$	
Wallingford Housing Authority	155	38	15	\$ 42,240	
Wethersfield Housing Authority	112	26	13	\$ 42,516	
Willimantic Housing Authority	50	20	7	\$ 45,576	
Windsor Locks Housing Authority	40	4	2	\$ 3,120	
TOTAL	1951	547	168	1,069,738	

Source: DOH

1. Elderly/Congregate Rental Assistance Program - ERAP Assessment

In accordance with § 8-119 $\ell\ell$ of the Connecticut General Statutes, the Department of Housing is required to prepare a comprehensive analysis of the current and future needs for rental assistance under the Elderly/Congregate Rental Assistance Payments program (ERAP). In order to do this, DOH collected detailed information from the current owners of the participating properties through the submission of Tenant Certification and Rent Roll forms. These forms broke down actual tenant contributions toward rent, as well as the subsidy portion to be paid through ERAP. The analysis of these subsidy costs included taking into consideration the effect of anticipated rent increases projected both during the current year and in the coming year, allowing accurate estimates of the impacts of these necessary rent increases on the cost of the program.

All of this information was used to estimate the annualized needs of the current residents at participating properties, and to estimate the program wide need should all of the eligible properties be brought into the program.

The table below summarizes this analysis, and identifies both the current subsidy levels, as well as those projected funding levels necessary to maintain the current roster of eligible residents, and an estimate of the funding necessary to include all of those eligible elderly and young disabled residents who pay more than 30% of their income for rent and utilities living in these participating facilities.

	Elderly/Congregate Rental Assistance Payments Needs Table 10						
Report Year FY 2021-22 Allocation	Current Year FY 2022-23 Allocation	FY 2022-23 Current Participants Annualized	FY 2023-24 Projected Need – Current Participation	FY 2023-24 Projected Need – Full Participation			
\$1,935,626	\$1,935,626	\$ 1,978,210	\$ 3,849,707	\$ 6,978,414			
538 Elderly residents	538 Elderly residents	538 Elderly residents	910 Elderly residents	1,850 Elderly residents			
325 Congregate residents	212 Congregate residents	212 Congregate Residents	212 Congregate Residents	212 Congregate Residents			

Source: DOH

Current policy of the department has been that new participants may be added to the Elderly portion of the program as existing participants come off, maintaining the current number of participants in the program. This will allow those properties that have residents with a current unmet to participate in the program without substantially increasing the subsidy cost to the program.

The FY 2023-24 Projected Need – Current Participation reflects the FY 2022-23 Current Participants Annualized plus approved or anticipated rent increases at these participating facilities. There are no anticipated savings due to tenant turnover, as properties are being allowed to maintain the current number of participants at each facility.

The FY 2023-24 Projected Need – Full Participation reflects the projected need for rental assistance at all of the participating facilities if new unsubsidized residents were allowed to be added to the program, as well as maintaining all of the current Congregate residents rental assistance costs remaining under ERAP. It is anticipated that up to an additional 940 residents in participating Elderly facilities may be in need of rental assistance. This would extrapolate to a total of \$6,978,414 in new ERAP funding being necessary to assist these individuals. This would bring total participation to approximately 2,062 elderly/disabled residents receiving assistance.

C. Resident Service Coordinator ("RSC") Program

The RSC Program (also known as the Elderly Rental Registry and Counselor Program) provided grant funds to sponsors of DOH-assisted rental housing for the elderly to hire a resident services coordinator to perform an evaluation of all tenants and to provide other services related to housing when necessary. FY 21-22 DOH awarded the total grant amount of \$967,970 to provide for a total of 4,595housing units.

Table 11						
Resident	Services C	oordinator Amount	Program Awards FY 21-22	Total	Amount	
Entity	Units	Amount	Entity	Units	Amount Awarded	
Ansonia Housing Authority	40	\$7,400	Monroe Housing Authority	30	\$7,000	
Ashford Housing Authority	32	\$7,460	Morris Housing Authority	20	\$6,450	
Berlin Housing Authority	70	\$14,920	Naugatuck Housing Authority	194	\$38,000	
Bethel Housing Authority - E166	40	\$8,420	Newington Housing Authority	106	\$22,600	
Bethel Housing Authority - E133	40	\$8,420	New London Housing Authority	210	\$39,500	
Branford Housing Authority	90	\$19,200	New Neighborhoods	28	\$7,400	
Canton Housing Authority	40	\$7,600	North Branford Housing Auth.	60	\$14,000	
Cheshire Housing Authority	48	\$12,000	North Haven Housing Authority	70	\$14,822	
Colchester Housing Auth.	70	\$14,920	Norwich Housing Authority	183	\$38,500	
Coventry Housing Authority	80	\$17,120	Oxford Housing Authority	34	\$7,600	
Danbury Housing Authority	100	\$21,120	Plainfield Housing Authority	0	\$0	
Deep River Housing Authority	40	\$7,000	Plainville Housing Authority	120	\$25,680	
Derby Housing Authority	106	\$20,000	Preston Housing Authority	40	\$8,562	
East Hampton Housing Authority	70	\$14,920	Putnam Housing Authority	0	\$0	
East Windsor Housing Authority	84	\$17,920	Ridgefield Housing Authority	60	\$14,600	
Ellington Housing Authority	42	\$8,940	Simsbury Housing Authority	70	\$15,000	
Enfield Housing Authority	240	\$38,500	Southington Housing Authority	180	\$38,400	
Essex Housing Authority	36	\$7,400	South/Southwest Housing Corp.	36	\$7,600	
Farmington Housing Authority	40	\$7,600	So. Windsor Housing Authority	70	\$15,000	
Glastonbury Housing Authority	162	\$32,000	Stafford Housing Authority	110	\$23,448	
Greenwich Housing Authority	51	\$12,240	Tolland Housing Authority	30	\$6,676	
Groton Housing Authority	175	\$32,000	Vernon Housing Authority	54	\$14,000	
Guilford Housing Authority	122	\$30,100	Wallingford Housing Authority	185	\$38,500	
Hamden Housing Authority	190	\$35,000	Watertown Housing Authority	120	\$25,600	
Hebron Housing Authority	25	\$7,400	Westbrook Housing Authority	32	\$7,400	
Killingly Housing Authority	120	\$25,680	Westport Housing Authority	50	\$14,000	
Manchester Housing Authority	80	\$17,120	Willimantic Housing Authority	50	\$14,000	
Mansfield Housing Authority	40	\$8,426	Winchester Housing Authority	14	\$5,000	
Marlborough Association for Senior Housing, Inc.	24	\$7,400	Windsor Housing Authority	112	\$23,206	
Middlefield Housing Authority	30	\$7,200	Woodstock Housing Authority	0	\$0	
SUBTOTAL	2,327	\$475,426	SUBTOTAL	2,268	\$492,544	

D. Assisted Living Demonstration Program

The Assisted Living Demonstration Program provided subsidized assisted living to persons who reside in four specific assisted living demonstration sites. Assisted living was designated for people who want to live in a community setting and who need help with activities of daily living, but who do not need nursing home care. Demonstration participants received assisted living services through an assisted living services agency, which is licensed by the Department of Public Health and is under contract with the housing community. These funds are intended to supplement the revenue generated by the property by providing a rental subsidy which is used primarily to cover the cost of debt service on the state bond funds originally used to develop these four properties.

Table 12 Assisted Living Demonstration Program Awards FY 2021-22								
Entity	Qualified Units	Amount Awarded						
Herbert T. Clark, Glastonbury	25	\$ 236,000						
Smithfield Gardens, Seymour	56	\$ 553,000						
Luther Ridge	45	\$ 471,000						
The Retreat	100	\$1,311,000						
TOTAL	226	\$2,571,000						

Source: DOH

E. Section 8 New Construction/ Substantial Rehabilitation

The Section 8 New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation Program ("Section 8 NC/SR") is a federal project-based rental subsidy program administered by DOH under C.G.S. Section 8-37r, Section 8-37u and Section 8-37x, as well as the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended.

DOH acted as contract administrator for 15 projects throughout Connecticut to ensure HUD-subsidized properties were serving eligible families at the correct level of assistance. DOH also provided asset management functions to ensure the physical and financial health of these HUD properties. DOH's contract administrator fee for FY 2021-22 was \$37,069. The following table provides detailed information on DOH's HUD Section 8 projects across the state.

	Table 13 HUD Section 8 Portfolio								
Town	Project Name	# Elderly	# Family						
Danbury	Fairfield Ridge Mill Ridge	0	30						
Norwich	Hillside Apartments	0	26						
TOTAL		0	56						

Source: DOH/HUD

III. Summary of Efforts to Promote Fair Housing

All information on activities or requirements provided in this section were carried out by DOH during FY 21-22.

Civil Rights Compliance

Recipients of HOME and SC/CDBG funds were required to undertake activities to demonstrate their compliance with applicable anti-discrimination laws and regulations. Because of the various activities eligible under these programs some or all of the following may apply:

- For housing projects with 5 or more units with one owner in common, affirmatively market housing units
 to persons identified as least likely to apply;
- Utilize newspapers and community resources targeted to members of minority groups to advertise the availability of housing, employment and contracting opportunities;
- Develop and implement a Tenant Selection Plan and Tenant Grievance Procedure;
- Include the Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer Statement and/or Fair Housing Statement and disability logo in all advertisements/notices;
- Provide employment and training opportunities to Section 3 residents and businesses and if required, have in place and implement a Section 3 Plan;
- Utilize the Connecticut Department of Administrative Services web site Directory of Small, Minorityand Women-Owned Businesses to solicit bids and to outreach to these firms. Points were given in the SC/CDBG application process to those Small Cites who could document utilization of these firms;
- Develop and implement a Fair Housing Action Plan;
- Provide a certification to affirmatively further Fair Housing;
- Develop and post a Fair Housing Policy Statement;
- Develop, post and implement an Affirmative Action Plan or Affirmative Action Policy Statement;
- Recipients are required to include in any sub-contracts the necessary affirmative action and equal
 employment opportunity provisions to demonstrate the subcontractor's compliance with applicable
 state and federal laws and regulations;
- Develop and post an American with Disabilities Act (ADA) Notice and Grievance Procedure;
- Post at their offices fair housing and anti-discrimination posters and equal opportunity in employment postings in English and in Spanish;
- Applicants are strongly encouraged to develop and implement or update a Section 504 Self-Evaluation and Transition Plan every 3 years. Points are given in the application process for those CDBG applicants who update and implement their plans; and

 Recipients are monitored to ensure that they implement the Fair Housing Action Steps as identified in their Fair Housing Action Plan. Points are given in the CDBG application process based on the number of documented action steps that were undertaken in the past three years.

Employment Outreach

To ensure that the DOH recipients of HOME and SC/CDBG funds provide equal opportunities in employment, contracting and the provision of services and benefits, DOH has incorporated requirements and guidelines pertaining to affirmative action, racial and economic integration and economic development opportunities for small, minority- and women-owned businesses in either the application or in the contract for financial assistance.

For the HOME Program, the dollar value of contracts reported for MBE, WBE and Section 3 is calculated based on HOME projects completed during the program year and may include financing from other than the HOME Program. There were no (0) HOME contracts completed during the program year. One (1) Home contract was signed in the 21-22 Program Year, with several projects approaching Attorney General signature and several predevelopment loans that were awarded.

For the SC/CDBG Program, the dollar amount of contracts reported for MBE, WBE and Section 3 is calculated based on contracts awarded during the program year and may include financing from other than the SC/CDBG Program. The dollar amount of contracts that included SC/CDBG funding awarded to Minority-Owned Business Enterprises (MBE), was \$132,053 of which \$31,447 was awarded to firms owned by persons who are Black Americans, \$9,600 was awarded to firms owned by persons who are Native, and \$91,006 was awarded to firms owned by persons who are Hispanic Americans. The dollar amount of contracts that included SC/CDBG funding awarded to Women-Owned Business Enterprises (WBE) was \$713,465. In addition, a total of \$544,368 was awarded in contracts for Section 3 firms.

In addition, DOH contracted with the Fair Housing Center to provide training opportunities for homeless shelter staff and grantees/contractors to address their Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing obligations. These included:

- Trained 163 staff members at shelters for the homeless on the fair housing laws to ensure that they are not denying shelter for illegal discriminatory reasons.
- Fair Housing Training at the Small Cities Application Workshop on March 15, 2022.

Nondiscrimination/Fair Housing

DOH continued to administer the HOME and SC/CDBG programs in a nondiscriminatory manner, in accordance with equal opportunity, affirmative action and fair housing requirements. Recipients of HOME and SC/CDBG funds for housing related activities were required to comply with the following civil rights laws and regulations:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended;
- The Americans with Disabilities Act;
- Executive Orders 11063, 11246, and 12892;
- Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended;
- Minority Small Business Enterprises good faith effort,24CFR 85.36(e);
- The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended;
- Section 104(b) of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended;
- Section 109 of Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended;
- Section 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;
- Sections 92.202 and 92.252, 24 CFR Part 92; and
- 24 CFR Part 85.36(e).

Continuing Efforts to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing

DOH provides the most recent statewide <u>Analysis of Impediments ("AI") to Fair Housing Choice</u> on our website. The following is a review of progress made on the previous year's goals as outlined in the State AI:

Objective 1 – Increasing housing access for protected classes

- DOH entered into a contract from 1/1/18 to 1/1/23 with the Corporation for Independent Living for a total of \$1,500,000 from the Affordable Housing (Flex) Fund to finance the "Loans and Grants Program" to undertake the rehabilitation and accessibility upgrades to at least twenty (20) units of owner-occupied housing for the purposes of keeping families in their principal residence and avoiding potential moves to a long term care facility. There were two (2) Money Follows the Person (MFP) jobs completed and nine (9) Loans for Accessibility (LFA) jobs completed.
- DOH awarded \$5,173,500 in Critical Needs and SSHP funding to rehabilitate a total of fourteen (14) state public housing projects, preserving 150 units of family, elderly, congregate and limited equity cooperative housing. As a part of the contracting process, submission of an up-to-date Affirmative Fair

Housing Marketing Plan (AFHMP) and Tenant Selection Plan (TSP) that are in conformance with state regulations was mandatory. DOH has spent a considerable amount of time providing technical assistance to owners and managers of this housing in order to achieve compliance. Individual and group training was offered to applicants. More group training sessions will be offered to these housing providers by DOH in the next fiscal year and beyond.

- DOH is on the Board of Directors for the "Money Follows the Person" Medicaid Rebalancing Program and is active on its Housing Committee and others as required.
- DOH is on the Board of Directors for the Long Term Care Planning Committee, Supportive Housing Preservation Committee; Interagency Council on Supportive Housing and Homelessness; and CCEH Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Task Force.

Objective 2 – Increasing supply of affordable housing.

- DOH awarded \$26,543,737 to support affordable housing development in diverse communities, including
 ten (10) housing projects during SFY 2021-22, which will result in 444 total units of affordable housing,
 with 325 of those units DOH supported. Funding will support multifamily development in higher
 opportunity areas, revitalize senior and family housing.
- 1,383 housing units were completed during SFY 2021-22, of which 552 were DOH supported units.

Objective 3 – Begin systematic data collection on fair housing issues.

- DOH collects data on a quarterly basis from its grantees relative to Section 3 practices, affirmative fair housing action steps and activities.
- DOH is implementing a "Performance Report on Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing Results" which
 must be submitted to DOH on an annual basis detailing the percentage of "least likely to apply" (LLA)
 in residence and currently on the project's waiting list.

Objective 4 – Increase training of state employees in the area of fair housing.

- The Connecticut State Legislature reaffirmed its commitment to civil rights and fair housing by authorizing \$670,000 for the SFY 2021-22 to the CT Fair Housing Center (FHC) to continue its work. As part of its duties the FHC provided training and technical assistance on an on-going basis to state employees from DOH, DSS, CHFA and DMHAS who work on fair housing issues and compliance.
- FHC worked with DOH staff to update the SC/CDBG application, process, and training materials for the SC/CDBG Application Workshop.

Objective 5 – Fair Housing outreach, education, and enforcement activities.

The CFHC, with financial assistance from DOH, carried out the following:

- Performed intakes and gave fair housing advice to 854 Connecticut households;
- Investigated 544 complaints of discrimination;
- Assisted 72 Connecticut residents with disabilities in requesting reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications;
- Obtained reasonable accommodations and reasonable modifications for 28 Connecticut households without litigation or court action;
- Performed 33 tests designed to investigate any claims of housing discrimination;
- Provided 2,666 hours of legal assistance to the victims of housing discrimination;
- Provided information on the fair housing laws to 4,479 people who are at risk of eviction to ensure that they understand their rights and responsibilities under the fair housing laws;
- Provided 4 translation services for outreach materials into languages other than English and Spanish as needed for 3 state programs that assist tenants and/or homeowners.
- Reached out to 531 Connecticut residents who have foreclosure actions filed against them by inviting
 them to make an appointment with the Fair Housing Center's foreclosure prevention staff and sending
 copies of the Fair Housing Center's foreclosure manual;
- Assisted homeowners in danger of losing their homes to foreclosure by offering virtual office hours each
 week reaching approximately 88 Connecticut residents;
- Updated the CFHC "Representing Yourself in foreclosure: A Guide for Connecticut Homeowners" by adding information regarding the Housing Assistance Fund (HAF) being administered by CHFA
- Distributed the CFHC "Representing Yourself in Foreclosure: A Guide for Connecticut Homeowners" to
 97 Connecticut residents;
- Spent 125.1 hours accepting calls and emails and offering assistance on how to obtain mortgage
 modifications and/or get homeowners approved for HAF to members of the private bar representing
 homeowners in foreclosure;
- Completed 258 intakes for homeowners in foreclosure and provided 1,872 hours of legal advice;
- Represented 31 homeowners in foreclosure in an effort to save their home, prevent homelessness and ensure their transition to alternative housing, and /or obtain a mortgage modification;
- Worked with tenant groups, tenants living in large buildings, or other groups of tenants to ensure that
 69 tenants knew and understood their rights under the landlord/tenant laws and to help them stay in their homes if they wanted;
- Responded to 403 calls from Connecticut residents who are in danger of eviction because of the economic impact of COVID-19;

- Provided 205.5 hours of legal assistance to people who are in danger of being evicted due to the economic downturn as the result of COVID-19;
- Assisted tenants in applying for rental assistance programs by reviewing notices of waiting list openings, ensuring that the notices comply with the applicable regulations and fair housing laws, and notifying them about how and when to apply;
- Worked with 90 homeowners applying to HAF or for a mortgage modification to ensure that their requests were processed correctly;
- Advocated with banks, regulators, and others to preserve access to banking services for low-income people and people of color;
- Provided fair housing information to 48 new homebuyers by presenting at first homebuyer classes sponsored by housing counseling agencies;
- Participated in 15 meetings of the Long Term Recovery Group to assist in addressing fair housing issues;
- Participated in 18 meetings of the Right to Counsel (RTC) program to ensure that it incorporated equity
 principles and that BIPOC received the help they needed.

The following education and training opportunities were provided:

- Trained 163 staff members at shelters for the homeless on fair housing laws to ensure that they are not denying shelter for illegal discriminating reasons;
- Provided training at the Small Cities Application Workshop on March 15, 2022.

Objective 6 - Monitoring and enforcement of fair housing laws and policies.

The CFHC, with financial assistance from DOH, carried out the following:

- Performed 17 rental tests to determine if subsidized landlords were evaluating eviction records on a caseby-case basis.
- Monitored and reviewed appellate matters where it was necessary to protect homeowner's rights and
 access to justice, particularly when they were facing foreclosure as a self-represented party;
- Spent 41 hours monitoring bank mergers and branch closings to determine how they affect access to banking services for low-income people and people of color;
- 4 new discrimination cases were filed as a result of CFHC's monitoring activities to ensure compliance with the anti-discrimination laws.

If discrimination is found as the result of any of the CFHC's monitoring activities, CFHC will determine and impellent next steps to ensure compliance with the ant-discrimination laws – CFHC's attorneys are currently making decisions about which cases to file in court.

IV. Consumer Loan Programs

A. Energy Conservation Loan Program ("ECL")

1. Program Summary

The ECL and the Multifamily Energy Conservation Loan Program ("MEL") provided financing at below market rates to single family and multi-family residential property owners for the purchase and installation of cost-saving energy conservation improvements. The program was administered by the Capital for Change with funding from DOH. Single family (1-4 units) homeowners borrowed up to \$25,000 and multi-family property owners borrowed up to \$2,000 per unit (a maximum of \$60,000 per building) for a period of 10 years for eligible improvements.

The following are some of the improvements eligible under the ECL/MEL programs:

- Automatic Set-Back Thermostats
- Siding
- Caulking and Weather-Stripping
- Insulation
- Heat Pumps
- Replacement Heating Systems
- Replacement Roofs
- Replacement Windows
- Solar Systems and Passive Solar Additions

2. Application Review Process

Capital for Change receives the majority of applications electronically via the online application. A manual application can also be taken over the phone, mailed to Capital for Change or completed in person. Capital for Change's address is 121 Tremont Street, Hartford 06105. The web site is: capitalforchange.org.

These steps outline how a Capital for Change Program Administrator processes ECL applications:

Application is received and reviewed to make sure application meets requirements. Staff
confirms the applicant meets income requirements and confirms property taxes are current.

- If the applicant income qualifies and property taxes are current a credit report is pulled and reviewed and the applicant's debt to income (DTI) ratio is calculated.
- If applicant meets the requirements of steps 1 and 2 above the applicant is pre-approved and is sent affidavits that describe all the required documentation that is needed to issue final loan approval. If the applicant does not meet the debt to income ratio requirements AND the application is a Health & Safety Issue the application is reviewed for a Program Waiver or Deferred Loan; this is done on a case by case basis.
- Upon receipt of signed affidavits and all supporting requested documentation, the file
 undergoes final underwriting to verify all supporting documentation and to verify the
 information provided on the application is correct as well as that the planned improvements
 meet the program guidelines.
- Final approval and loan closing documents are sent to the applicant.
- After the original signed loan documents have been received by Capital for Change along with the Loan Agreement recording fee, the loan will be processed for disbursement of funds directly to the borrow.
- Work Completion forms must be submitted to Capital for Change within 90 days of the loan closing, unless otherwise approved.

3. FY 2021-22 Activity

Table 14 ECL Program Activity FY 2021-22									
Loan Type Number Investment									
ECL	11	\$135,748							
MEL	0	\$ 0							
Deferred	5	\$52,967							
TOTAL	17	\$194,715							

Table 15 Fee Type FY 2021-22								
Admin	\$ 2,942.00							
Loan Servicing	\$ 90,162.00							
Recovered Late Fees	\$ 3,126.52							
TOTAL	\$ 96,230.52							
Average Days App to Close	54 days							
Average Days App to Fund	102 days							

Source: DOH

V. Resiliency Programs

A. Community Development Block Grant - Disaster Recovery Program

The Disaster Relief Appropriations Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113-2) allocated Community Development Block Grant disaster recovery ("CDBG-DR") funds for the purpose of assisting recovery in the most impacted and distressed areas declared a major disaster due to Superstorm Sandy. DOH has been designated as the principal state agency for the allocation and administration of the CDBG-DR program and all associated funding.

DOH received 3 allocations of these federal block grant funds, Tranche 1 - \$71, 820,000 & Tranche 2 - \$66,000,000, Tranche 3 - \$21,459,000 totaling \$159,259,000. Tranche 3 consists of \$11,459,000 in CDBG-DR funds and \$10,000,000 in Rebuild by Design ("RBD") funds for Resilient Bridgeport Initiative.

The state's housing recovery programs are designed to meet the unmet housing needs of communities most impacted by Superstorm Sandy including the costs of repairs, reconstruction and new construction that insurance, FEMA and other sources of funding do not cover. The general objectives of the State's multifamily housing programs include assisting people directly affected by Superstorm Sandy through:

- Replacing and rehabilitating homes, including identifying opportunities for mitigation enhancement measures;
- Improving the resilience of homes while restoring buildings/residences;
- Assisting owners in completing applications for funding; and
- Directing owners to additional potential sources of funding.

DOH allocated CDBG-DR funds to eight (8) major program areas: Owner Occupied Housing, Multifamily Housing, Economic Revitalization, Infrastructure, Planning, Rebuild by Design, Homeless Prevention Demonstration Project and Public Facilities. DOH has expended more than \$138 million under these program areas with \$394,863.29 being expended in the last fiscal year.

The CDBG-DR program also funded the successful completion of 32 municipal mitigation and resiliency planning projects in 12 towns, ten (10) infrastructure hardening projects, and three (3) multifamily redevelopment projects comprising over 400 publicly-assisted housing units. In response to the State's request for unmet needs data, local governments identified at least twelve (12) structural repair projects for businesses totaling \$5.3 million and an additional \$5 million of repair or replacement of equipment.

For the list and description of all funds awarded in the Planning program and for greater details on total investments made in the active portfolio, please see the CDBG-DR Quarterly Report ending June 30, 2022. CDBG-DR Quarterly Report Ending June 30, 2022.

VI. Individual and Family Support Programs

A. Emergency Solutions Grant ("ESG") Program

Through competitive procurement, DOH awarded six (6) ESG funded shelters: two (2) shelters that support families, three (3) shelters for both families and individuals, and one (1) shelter that supports individuals. These shelters used the ESG funds for shelter operations, rapid re-housing and/or prevention services. These shelters were previously established due to the documented need to support homeless individuals and families. In addition to ESG funded shelters, DOH also funded an additional 32 shelters utilizing state and federal funds, which brought the total funded shelters to 37. DOH utilized ESG monies for rapid re-housing for this fiscal year. DOH awarded a non-profit, Advancing Connecticut Together (ACT), the right to act as the ESG financial assistance fiduciary agency. At a minimum 40% of the annual ESG allocation went to ACT to administer financial assistance requested by housing relocation and stabilization agencies. DOH leveraged existing resources to provide Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services to ensure that literally homeless households would have a better chance of remaining stably housed. Through competitive procurement, seven (7) agencies were awarded assistance to aid our homeless population with housing relocation and up to 12 months of stabilization services and in-home case management. These eight agencies receive referrals for services and financial assistance through their local Coordinated Access Network (CAN).

DOH regularly consulted with the Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness, Inc. for the provision of technical assistance, programmatic training needs, program development service model recommendations and program evaluation.

DOH works collaboratively with the Connecticut Coalition to End Homelessness (CCEH) and Nutmeg Consulting, LLC. Through a DOH contract with CCEH, Technical Assistance and Training (TA & T) is provided to emergency shelter programs and rapid rehousing programs. DOH is able to meet its goal of providing quality supportive housing to homeless people and their families through its partnership with CCEH, and the local service providers. DOH contractually requires its providers to utilize a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) for data entry and reporting compliance purposes. CCEH subcontracts with Nutmeg Consulting for HMIS support, training and technical assistance and user support services.

DOH allocated Federal and State funds for a combined total of \$45,154,785 for the provision of housing assistance and supportive services to homeless people.

Types of services that were provided include the following:

- Intake, needs assessment and case management services;
- Educational & vocational services
- Health/mental health services
- Shelter and housing assistance;
- Substance abuse counseling;
- Rapid rehousing;
- Transportation/provision of bus tokens;
- Outreach; and
- $\bullet \quad \text{Workshops on life skills, budgeting, parenting skills, nutrition, etc.} \\$

Other related services provided by certain service providers include health care, consumable supplies, food and meal services, employment assistance, client support and child care.

Table 16 FY 2021-22 ESG Allocation Chart									
State Recipient	HMIS	Admin	Total						
Prudence Crandall – New Britain (DV –No HMIS entry)	\$23,563				\$1,899	\$25,462			
Community Renewal Team – East Hartford Shelter	\$417,820				\$33,877	\$451,697			
Saint Vincent de Paul-Bristol	\$186,042				\$15,084	\$201,126			
Columbus House – Middletown Family Shelter	\$226,200				\$18,237	\$244,437			
Beth-El	\$71,478				\$5,795	\$77,273			
Pacific House	\$73,845				\$5,795	\$79,640			
AIDS CT – Statewide Rental Assistance Fiduciary			\$777,282		\$63,022	\$840,304			
CT Coalition to End Homelessness - HMIS				\$167,958	\$13,618	\$181,576			
CHR			\$122,544		\$9,936	\$132,480			
TOTAL	\$998,948	\$0	\$899,826	\$167,958	\$167,263	\$2,233,995			

^{*}Total funds for rapid rehousing = \$899,826, 40% of total ESG allocation

B. Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS ("HOPWA")

As a lead agency for the provision of housing assistance and supportive services to persons with HIV/AIDS and their families, DOH administers Connecticut's HOPWA formula grant for the Balance of State, which includes Middlesex and New London counties. DOH worked collaboratively with AIDS Connecticut ("ACT") who received a DOH contract to provide technical assistance to all service providers and to perform an annual "Standards of Care" Review, a coordinated effort between DOH staff representatives and the staff of ACT. With the partnership of ACT and the local providers, DOH was able to meet its goal of providing quality supportive housing to persons with HIV/AIDS in the State of Connecticut.

HOPWA funds were pooled with approximately \$4,149,176 in annual funding from Connecticut's general operating budget.

In FY 2021-22 DOH expended \$127,910 in Federal Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds for the program year, which covered the time period from July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022. This "Balance of State" program served twenty-three (23) unduplicated persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through agreement between DOH and two ("2") not-for-profit organizations located in the Middlesex and New London Counties, Connecticut. Funds were allocated to the project sponsors for tenant based rental assistance, Short Term Rent Mortgage and Utility assistance, case management, life management, operation costs, administration cost and daily support services.

DOH and ACT carried out the following activities during the FY 2021-22:

- DOH awarded contracts through a competitive procurement process. The Sub-recipients provided scattered-site apartments, STRMU and a range of support services to clients in Middlesex and New London counties during this period.
- During the reporting period, DOH and its Project Sponsors provided tenant-based rental
 assistance to 15 households. Twenty-three (23) unduplicated households received supportive
 services which included the following: case management/client advocacy/access to benefits &
 services.
- Of the households serviced during this reporting period, 4 households obtained an income producing job.
- The Department provided training and technical assistance for CTHMIS utilization to HOPWA funded agencies, utilizing non-HOPWA funds.
- The Department and project sponsors participated in quarterly HOPWA grantee meetings convened by HUD-local.

• The Department staff (programmatic and fiscal) participated and completed HOPWA on-Line Financial Management Training.

C. Rental Assistance Program and Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

1. Connecticut Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program

The Housing Choice Voucher Program ("HCV") is the federal government's largest program for assisting very low income families to afford decent, safe and sanitary housing in the private market. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") contracted with Public Housing Authorities (PHA) to administer the program. DOH was one of 44 PHA's in Connecticut that administers the HCV program and the only PHA that is allowed to administer the program statewide. DOH funds four main categories: HCV Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program, Family Unification Program, Veteran's Affairs Supportive Housing and Project Based Vouchers; as well as the Disability Voucher Main Stream Program. The total amount of Section 8 vouchers awarded in FY 21-22 was 7,843 totaling \$94,229,543.91.

a) Housing Choice Voucher Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program HCV Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program provides a portable rental assistance subsidy, which allows a tenant to move from one unit to another provided the unit meets program requirements.

b) Family Unification Program

The Family Unification Program ("FUP") is a partnership between DOH and the Department of Children and Families ("DCF") that provides a voucher from DOH and a comprehensive array of services from DCF to individuals and families involved in the child welfare system.

c) Veteran's Affairs Supportive Housing

The HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing ("HUD-VASH") program combined HCV rental assistance for homeless Veterans with case management and clinical services provided by the Department of Veterans.

d) Project Based Vouchers

In contrast to a tenant based rental subsidy, in which a tenant can move from one eligible unit to another, the project based voucher program had the rental subsidy connected to a specific unit in a property. e) Disability Voucher Main Stream Program ("DV Main Stream")
The Disability Voucher Main Stream Program ("DV Main Stream") provides vouchers for low-income households that include a person (s) with disabilities. The program is designed to help tenants with disabilities live independently in the community.

f) Security Deposit Guarantee Program

The Security Deposit Guarantee Program provides a guarantee to landlords of up to two month's rent instead of an actual payment. DOH will guarantee payment of the signed agreed-upon, security deposit, in part or in whole, if the tenant moves out of the apartment and there is any damage caused by the tenant which requires repair, or if the tenant owes back rent. The landlord must submit a claim for payment within 45 days after the tenant moves out of the unit. This program is currently available only to individuals and families that are chronically homeless and meet program criteria.

2. Connecticut Rental Assistance Programs ("RAP")

The State of Connecticut Rental Assistance Program ("RAP") is the primary state-supported program for assisting very-low-income families to afford decent, safe, and sanitary housing in the private market. Much like the federal HCV, RAP provides a portable rental assistance subsidy, which allows tenants to move from one rental unit to another provided the unit meets program requirements. Participants that are issued a housing voucher are responsible for finding a suitable housing unit of the participant's choice where the owner agrees to rent under the program. Participants are able to select their own housing, including apartment, townhouses, and single-family homes. Rental units meet minimum standards of quality and safety as defined by the State of Connecticut, which were the Federal Housing Quality Standards ("HQS") as established by HUD. Participants pay 40% of their adjusted gross income (or 30% of adjusted gross income if the participant is elderly or disabled) toward the rent and RAP pays the remainder directly to the landlord. The total amount of RAP certificates awarded in FY 21-22 was 6,397 totaling \$63,786,585.12.

- a) Department of Housing and Department of Children and Families Housing Collaborative
 - The Family Unification Program (State)
 The Family Unification Program ("FUP") built off the success of the federally funded FUP program. FUP is a collaboration between DOH and DCF designed to reduce the number of children in foster care by providing affordable housing through a rental subsidy and the necessary support services, including intensive case management and behavioral health services, to vulnerable and homeless families.

- b) Department of Housing and Department of Developmental Disabilities Housing Collaborative
 - 1) Department of Developmental Disabilities Supportive Housing In FY 21-22, DDS received 15 RAP certificates for use in covering the rental costs associated with transitioning individuals from 24 hour privately operated group homes to community based living settings. DDS currently supports over 1300 individuals in apartment-type settings throughout the state. Typically DDS has funded rental costs for individuals (in excess of their contributions) through a rental subsidy program managed internally. Starting in 2013 DDS has had the opportunity to utilize the RAP as a new resources alternative to prior practices.
- c) Department of Housing and Department of Mental Health Addiction Services Housing Collaborative
 - 1) Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative
 The Permanent Supportive Housing Initiative was a collaborative effort between DOH and
 DMHAS to foster the development of long-term solutions to the housing and service needs of
 families and individuals, coping with psychiatric disabilities and/or chemical dependency that are
 facing homelessness.
 - Department of Mental Health and Addiction Service Rental Assistance Program

 The Department of Mental Health and Addiction Service Rental Assistance Program provided 110

 rental certificates to assist clients in obtaining supportive housing. Specifically, 60 rental

 assistance certificates were allocated to the DMHAS forensics unit to allow individuals in the

 criminal justice system with a mental health diagnosis and who would be homeless upon release

 from prison live independently in the community. An additional 50 rental assistance certificates

 were allocated to the Enhancing Housing Opportunities Program, which allowed stable tenants

 living in supportive housing to move into housing with less support services.
 - 3) Housing First
 - DOH and DMHAS launched the Housing First Program in 2009 to offer permanent supportive housing through RAP certificates and supportive services. In FY 21-22 this program helped 20 individuals with serious mental illness who were being discharged from psychiatric hospitals, or who were homeless and at risk of hospitalization.
 - 4) Connecticut Collaborative on Re-Entry

 The Connecticut Collaborative on Re-Entry ("CCR") Program was a 190 unit permanent
 supportive housing program that identified and assisted individuals who cycled through homeless
 service and corrections systems in the state's largest urban centers.

- d) Department of Housing and Department of Social Services Housing Collaborative
 - Money Follows the Person ("MFP") was a Federal Demonstration program funded by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services designed to help states rebalance their long-term care systems by assisting individuals to transition from living in institutional settings to community living. The program provided service funding for elderly and disabled individuals, including those with mental health disorders or developmental disabilities to live independently in the community.
 - 2) Social Innovation Fund The Social Innovation Fund ("SIF"), a program of the Corporation for National and Community Service ("CNCS"), combined public and private resources to grow promising community-based solutions that have evidence of results in any of three priority areas: economic opportunity, healthy futures, and youth development.

VII. Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals - Exempt Municipalities/Non-Exempt Municipalities

Under Chapter 126a of the Connecticut General Statutes ("CGS"), the department is required to annually promulgate a list of municipalities which satisfy the criteria contained in subsection 8-30g (k). Attached is the 2021-22 Affordable Housing Land Use Appeals List that identifies exempt municipalities.

Exempt municipalities are municipalities in which at least ten per cent of all dwelling units in the municipality are: (1) assisted housing; or (2) currently financed by Connecticut Housing Finance Authority mortgages; or (3) subject to binding recorded deeds containing covenants or restrictions which require that such dwelling units be sold or rented at, or below, prices which will preserve the units as housing for which persons and families pay thirty per cent or less of income, where such income is less than or equal to eighty per cent of the median income, or (4) mobile manufactured homes located in mobile manufactured home parks or legally-approved accessory apartments, which homes or apartments are subject to binding recorded deeds containing covenants or restrictions which require that such dwelling units be sold or rented at, or below, prices which will preserve the units as housing for which, for a period of not less than ten years, persons and families pay thirty per cent or less of income, where such income is less than or equal to eighty per cent of the median income.

Changes in the number of units counted toward the ten per cent threshold are caused by several factors: (1) the relocation of households using Section 8 vouchers or RAP certificates; (2) the expiration of deed restrictions or refinancing of mortgages; (3) the demolition of buildings; or (4) the addition of units completed during the 2021-22 fiscal year.

The data for the list comes from a variety of different sources on the federal, state, and local level. Local administrative review of and input on the street addresses of units and projects and information on deed-restricted units are of particular importance to data accuracy. The response to the department for the list varies widely from community to community.

	2022	Affordable H	ousing Appeal	s List - Exemp	t Municipalitie	es	
Town	2010 Census	2022 Gov Assisted	2022 Tenant Rental Assistance	2022 Single Family CHFA/USDA Mortgages	2022 Deed Restricted Units	2022 Total Assisted Units	2022 Percent Affordable
Ansonia	8,148	230	816	132	0	1,178	14.46%
Bloomfield	9,019	612	115	277	0	1,004	11.13%
Bridgeport	57,012	7,020	4,321	744	15	12,100	21.22%
Bristol	27,011	2,006	965	963	0	3,934	14.56%
Danbury	31,154	1,652	1,292	390	210	3,544	11.38%
Derby	5,849	275	316	89	0	680	11.63%
East Hartford	21,328	1,593	826	919	0	3,338	15.65%
East Windsor	5,045	559	43	102	0	704	13.95%
Enfield	17,558	1,360	231	544	7	2,142	12.20%
Groton	17,978	3,727	100	312	10	4,149	23.08%
Hartford	51,822	10,620	9,132	1370	0	21,122	40.76%
Killingly	7,592	467	160	141	0	768	10.12%
Manchester	25,996	1,864	1,011	821	32	3,728	14.34%
Meriden	25,892	2,057	1,426	905	11	4,399	16.99%
Middletown	21,223	3,118	1,167	443	25	4,753	22.40%
New Britain	31,226	3,017	1,692	1048	91	5,848	18.73%
New Haven	54,967	9,621	7,498	833	391	18,343	33.37%
New London	11,840	1,620	501	448	131	2,700	22.80%
Norwalk	35,415	2,423	1,619	345	698	5,085	14.36%
Norwich	18,659	2,350	767	499	0	3,616	19.38%
Plainfield	6,229	429	192	169	4	794	12.75%
Putnam	4,299	413	69	61	0	543	12.63%
Stamford	50,573	4,217	2,033	345	1268	7,863	15.55%
Torrington	16,761	912	322	482	17	1,733	10.34%
Vernon	13,896	1,509	464	322	12	2,307	16.60%
Waterbury	47,991	5,385	3,205	1,478	39	10,107	21.06%
West Haven	22,446	1,024	2,112	350	0	3,486	15.53%
Windham	9,570	1,776	586	310	0	2,672	27.92%
Windsor Locks	5,429	297	166	206	0	669	12.32%

	2022 A	ffordable Ho	ousing Appeals	List - Non-Exe	mpt Municipal	ities	
Town	2010 Census	2022 Gov Assisted	2022 Tenant Rental Assistance	2022 Single Family CHFA/USDA Mortgages	2022 Deed Restricted Units	2022 Total Assisted Units	2022 Percent Affordable
Andover	1,317	24	1	27	0	52	3.95%
Ashford	1,903	32	0	30	0	62	3.26%
Avon	7,389	244	24	29	2	299	4.05%
Barkhamsted	1,589	0	5	21	0	26	1.64%
Beacon Falls	2,509	0	6	33	0	39	1.55%
Berlin	8,140	556	48	108	4	716	8.80%
Bethany	2,044	0	2	8	0	10	0.49%
Bethel	7,310	192	34	119	84	429	5.87%
Bethlehem	1,575	24	0	4	0	28	1.78%
Bolton	2,015	0	0	28	0	28	1.39%
Bozrah	1,059	0	3	24	0	27	2.55%
Branford	13,972	243	65	129	9	446	3.19%
Bridgewater	881	0	0	1	0	1	0.11%
Brookfield	6,562	155	23	83	112	373	5.68%
Brooklyn	3,235	205	13	59	0	277	8.56%
Burlington	3,389	27	0	44	0	71	2.10%
Canaan	779	1	2	4	3	10	1.28%
Canterbury	2,043	76	0	51	0	127	6.22%
Canton	4,339	251	32	45	32	360	8.30%
Chaplin	988	0	3	30	0	33	3.34%
Cheshire	10,424	258	21	73	17	369	3.54%
Chester	1,923	23	4	12	0	39	2.03%
Clinton	6,065	105	8	57	0	170	2.80%
Colchester	6,182	364	38	116	4	522	8.44%
Colebrook	722	0	0	7	1	8	1.11%
Columbia	2,308	24	2	42	0	68	2.95%
Cornwall	1,007	28	2	6	0	36	3.57%
Coventry	5,099	103	3	113	20	239	4.69%
Cromwell	6,001	212	9	151	0	372	6.20%
Darien	7,074	161	17	1	117	296	4.18%
Deep River	2,096	44	7	28	0	79	3.77%
Durham	2,694	36	1	22	0	59	2.19%
East Granby	2,152	72	2	35	0	109	5.07%
East Haddam	4,508	73	1	47	0	121	2.68%
East Hampton	5,485	70	8	76	25	179	3.26%
East Haven	12,533	612	166	259	0	1,037	8.27%
East Lyme	8,458	452	24	75	19	570	6.74%
Eastford	793	0	0	9	0	9	1.13%
Easton	2,715	0	0	3	14	17	0.63%
Ellington	6,665	260	6	89	0	355	5.33%
Essex	3,261	75	2021 22	Annual Panant	16	107	3.28%

2022 Affordable	Housing Ap	ppeals List -	Non-Exempt M	unicipalities			
Town	2010 Census	2022 Gov Assisted	2022 Tenant Rental Assistance	2022 Single Family CHFA/USDA Mortgages	2022 Deed Restricted Units	2022 Total Assisted Units	2022 Percent Affordable
Fairfield	21,648	231	138	57	199	625	2.89%
Farmington	11,106	538	122	108	155	923	8.31%
Franklin	771	27	1	18	0	46	5.97%
Glastonbury	13,656	604	55	97	2	758	5.55%
Goshen	1,664	1	1	3	0	5	0.30%
Granby	4,360	85	3	39	5	132	3.03%
Greenwich	25,631	921	473	11	47	1,452	5.67%
Griswold	5,118	222	61	119	0	402	7.85%
Guilford	9,596	177	11	30	1	219	2.28%
Haddam	3,504	22	1	24	0	47	1.34%
Hamden	25,114	1,048	826	429	4	2,307	9.19%
Hampton	793	0	1	9	0	10	1.26%
Hartland	856	2	0	3	0	5	0.58%
Harwinton	2,282	22	6	31	5	64	2.80%
Hebron	3,567	58	2	42	0	102	2.86%
Kent	1,665	58	3	3	0	64	3.84%
Killingworth	2,598	0	1	16	2	19	0.73%
Lebanon	3,125	26	3	69	0	98	3.14%
Ledyard	5,987	32	10	191	6	239	3.99%
Lisbon	1,730	2	0	52	0	54	3.12%
Litchfield	3,975	140	3	26	19	188	4.73%
Lyme	1,223	0	0	3	8	11	0.90%
Madison	8,049	90	3	8	29	130	1.62%
Mansfield	6,017	175	130	72	2	379	6.30%
Marlborough	2,389	24	0	18	0	42	1.76%
Middlebury	2,892	77	4	14	20	115	3.98%
Middlefield	1,863	30	5	19	1	55	2.95%
Milford	23,074	728	235	138	74	1,175	5.09%
Monroe	6,918	35	5	36	8	84	1.21%
Montville	7,407	81	54	233	0	368	4.97%
Morris	1,314	20	1	5	0	26	1.98%
Naugatuck	13,061	493	312	312	0	1,117	8.55%
New Canaan	7,551	175	19	6	0	200	2.65%
New Fairfield	5,593	0	4	45	17	66	1.18%
New Hartford	2,923	12	4	40	15	71	2.43%
New Milford	11,731	319	42	139	20	520	4.43%
Newington	13,011	531	122	418	36	1,107	8.51%
Newtown	10,061	134	6	74	59	273	2.71%
Norfolk	967	21	2	5	0	28	2.90%
North Branford	5,629	62	15	45	0	122	2.17%

Town Census Assisted Rental Assistance Property CHFA/USDA CHFA	2022 Affordable	Housing A	ppeals List -	Non-Exempt M	unicipalities			
North Aven 9,491 393 53 77 23 546 5.75%		Census	Assisted	Rental	Family CHFA/USDA Mortgages	Restricted Units	Assisted Units	Affordable
Note								
Stonington Signature Sig		,	393	53				
Old Saybrook 5,602 52 15 21 73 161 2.87% Orange 5,345 46 10 10 6 72 1.35% Oxford 4,746 36 3 24 0 63 1.33% Plamouth 5,109 178 19 164 0 361 7.07% Pomfret 1,684 32 2 11 0 45 2.67% Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0		2,306	0	1	18	6	25	1.08%
Orange 5,345 46 10 10 6 72 1.35% Oxford 4,746 36 3 24 0 63 1.33% Plainville 8,063 205 61 262 22 550 6.82% Plymouth 5,109 178 19 164 0 361 7.07% Porfland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Robury 1,167 19 0 4 0	•							
Oxford 4,746 36 3 24 0 63 1.33% Plainville 8,063 205 61 262 22 550 6.82% Plymouth 5,109 178 19 164 0 361 7.07% Pomfret 1,684 32 2 111 0 45 2.67% Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Robury 1,167 19 0 4 0		,						
Plainville 8,063 205 61 262 22 550 6.82% Plymouth 5,109 178 19 164 0 361 7.07% Pomfret 1,684 32 2 111 0 45 2.67% Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4,93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1,97% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		-						
Plymouth 5,109 178 19 164 0 361 7.07% Portland 1,684 32 2 11 0 45 2.67% Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 4 40 1.5% Southur 6,968 262 31 94 0 38		-						
Pomfret 1,684 32 2 111 0 45 2.67% Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rockp Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 1 4 40 1.54% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94		,						
Portland 4,077 185 87 54 0 326 8.00% Presston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 4 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 38		· ·						
Preston 2,019 40 6 36 0 82 4.06% Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 2355 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 <th></th> <th>,</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		,						
Prospect 3,474 0 4 40 55 99 2.85% Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 55		-						
Redding 3,811 0 3 14 0 17 0.45% Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,616 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.4% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		-						
Ridgefield 9,420 175 6 23 79 283 3.00% Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4.93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28	•	,						
Rocky Hill 8,843 235 63 138 0 436 4,93% Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1,97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1,77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1,54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12								
Roxbury 1,167 19 0 4 0 23 1.97% Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 <t< th=""><th>•</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	•							
Salem 1,635 0 2 27 0 29 1.77% Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.0% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 <	-	,						
Salisbury 2,593 24 1 1 14 40 1.54% Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% SouthWindsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1	•	-			-			
Scotland 680 0 1 25 0 26 3.82% Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0		,						
Seymour 6,968 262 31 94 0 387 5.55% Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103		,						
Sharon 1,775 32 1 2 0 35 1.97% Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Statford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>								
Shelton 16,146 322 42 104 82 550 3.41% Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66		·						
Sherman 1,831 0 1 5 0 6 0.33% Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310		•						
Simsbury 9,123 289 60 86 28 463 5.08% Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6								
Somers 3,479 146 7 31 0 184 5.29% South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 9		· ·		60			_	
South Windsor 10,243 443 53 155 12 663 6.47% Southbury 9,091 90 6 27 0 123 1.35% Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 <t< th=""><th>•</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	•							
Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	South Windsor	·	443	53	155	12	663	
Southington 17,447 499 61 299 53 912 5.23% Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Southbury	9.091	90	6	27	0	123	1.35%
Sprague 1,248 20 10 21 1 52 4.17% Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	•					53		
Stafford 5,124 257 18 103 0 378 7.38% Sterling 1,511 0 6 20 0 26 1.72% Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Sprague	1,248	20	10	21	1	52	4.17%
Stonington 9,467 484 23 66 2 575 6.07% Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	• •	5,124	257	18	103	0	378	7.38%
Stratford 21,091 524 436 310 33 1,303 6.18% Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Sterling	1,511	0	6	20	0	26	1.72%
Suffield 5,469 296 6 45 6 353 6.45% Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Stonington	9,467	484	23	66	2	575	6.07%
Thomaston 3,276 104 6 95 0 205 6.26% Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Stratford	21,091	524	436	310	33	1,303	6.18%
Thompson 4,171 151 15 36 0 202 4.84% Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Suffield	5,469	296	6	45	6	353	6.45%
Tolland 5,451 127 12 94 3 236 4.33%	Thomaston	3,276	104	6	95	0	205	6.26%
,	Thompson	4,171	151	15	36		202	4.84%
Trumbull 13.157 315 17 68 316 716 5.44%					94			4.33%
	Trumbull	13,157	315	17	68	316	716	5.44%
Union 388 0 0 6 0 6 1.55%				0		0		
Voluntown 1,127 20 1 19 0 40 3.55%		· ·		-				
Wallingford 18,945 354 149 264 35 802 4.23%	Wallingford	18,945	354	149	264	35	802	4.23%

2022 Affordable	Housing Ap	peals List -	Non-Exempt M	unicipalities			
Town	2010 Census	2022 Gov Assisted	2022 Tenant Rental Assistance	2022 Single Family CHFA/USDA Mortgages	2022 Deed Restricted Units	2022 Total Assisted Units	2022 Percent Affordable
Warren	811	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Washington	2,124	17	2	2	28	49	2.31%
Waterford	8,634	213	37	224	0	474	5.49%
Watertown	9,096	205	33	200	0	438	4.82%
West Hartford	26,396	643	798	276	245	1,962	7.43%
Westbrook	3,937	140	6	25	29	200	5.08%
Weston	3,674	0	1	5	0	6	0.16%
Westport	10,399	265	54	2	72	393	3.78%
Wethersfield	11,677	705	102	243	0	1,050	8.99%
Willington	2,637	160	7	34	0	201	7.62%
Wilton	6,475	158	10	12	51	231	3.57%
Winchester	5,613	269	157	83	0	509	9.07%
Windsor	11,767	154	261	401	26	842	7.16%
Wolcott	6,276	313	11	157	0	481	7.66%
Woodbridge	3,478	30	6	4	0	40	1.15%
Woodbury	4,564	60	6	26	0	92	2.02%
Woodstock	3,582	24	0	24	0	48	1.34%
	1,479,743	94,034	48,387	24,678	5,477	172,576	

VIII. COVID-19 Specific Activities and Accomplishments

With the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic at its height, the Department of Housing took extraordinary steps to prepare for, prevent and respond to the issues created. A significant amount of federal and state resources were deployed.

A. Non-Congregate Sheltering

The need for social distancing, particularly within a shelter environment, was seen as a significant obstacle to limiting the spread of the pandemic, and the Department, in coordination with its nonprofit social service network of providers, moved more than 1,000 people from emergency shelters into fourteen (14) hotels across the State within a two week period. Sheltering relocated into these hotels operated 24 hours per day, provided 24-hour staffing, meals, COVID-19 testing, physical and mental health services, job placement services, case

management and permanent housing placement services. Through June 30, 2022, the Department had expended nearly \$10,000,000 in funding to support this effort. The Department continues to provide hoteling to some homeless individuals and families, and will do so until the health emergency ends.

B. Emergency Homelessness Prevention

While this shelter deconcentration was underway, the Department took a variety of additional steps to prevent homelessness and reduce the pressure on the homeless shelter system. An additional \$1,000,000 was dedicated to homeless outreach, which was used to identify homeless or near homeless individuals and households. These individuals and households were then connected directly to services in an effort to keep them from having to enter into the shelter system. This was combined with a revamped rapid rehousing response system and leveraged approximately \$8,000,000 in assistance. This allowed for rapid placement of individuals into permanent housing, including permanent supportive housing, further contributing to the reduction in the spread of the disease.

C. Rental Assistance and Eviction Prevention

Early on in the pandemic, the Department was charged with providing temporary emergency rental housing support, in conjunction the eviction moratorium, in an effort to stabilize the housing market for low and moderate income households. The State expended nearly \$27 million in assistance under the Temporary Rental Housing Assistance Program (TRHAP), providing help to more than 6,600 landlords on behalf of eligible renters. This was the precursor to the \$400+ million in federal Emergency Rental Assistance Funding that came under the American Rescue Plan Act.

D. Homeowner Assistance and Foreclosure Prevention

Homeowners were not left unaffected as well, and the Department, in collaboration with the Connecticut Housing Finance Authority, took steps to address the growing housing crisis amongst our homeowners. More than \$10 million in existing resources available under the Emergency Mortgage Assistance Program were redirected to better assist households negatively affected by the pandemic who were facing a foreclosure action by their primary lender or municipal taxing entity. These emergency funds, in conjunction with loan forbearance activities of the majority of our community-based lenders, prevented hundreds of homeowners from being foreclosed out of their homes.

Attachment A

Project Name	Municipality	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment	Source of DOH Funds	Total Project Units	Supportive Housing Units	New Units	Rehab Units	Family or Elderly
	Hartford, East								
Affordable Homeownership HFH	Hartford	1,049,645	-	-	4	-	2	2	Family
Brooks Quarry	Brookfield	165,485	134,638	CN	35	-	-	35	Elderly
Cobbs Mill Crossing Cooperative	Glastonbury	377,123	324,453	SSHP/CN	32	-	-	32	Family
Courtland Arms	New Britain	7,898,559	2,575,000	FLEX	24	4	24	-	Family
East End Community Building Initiative - Phase II	Bridgeport	3,975,968	2,164,834	FLEX	25	-	25	-	Family
Market Square Apartments	Newington	13,342,456	-	-	75	-	-	75	Elderly
New Horizons	Middleburry	221,405	119,685	SSHP	5	-	-	5	Family
Norwich Multifamily Rehabilitation	Norwich	707,250	-	-	37	-	-	37	Family
Oak Tree Village	Griswold	24,835,544	5,000,000	FLEX	72	15	72	-	Family
Pine Grover Manor	Enfield	222,944	119,079	CN	8	-	-	8	Family
Pleasant Street Cooperative	Enfield	1,508,203	-	SSHP	12	-	-	12	Family
Promise House	Manchester	4,000,000	3,500,000	HTF	13	12	13	-	-
Saint Mary Place	New London	8,172,479	2,912,500	FLEX	20	5	20	-	Family
Spruce Ridge	Pawcatuck	16,083,018	7,626,814	FLEX/ HOME/ NHTF	42	_	42	_	Family
The Old Marvin School	Norwalk	785,001	-	_	49	_	· <u>-</u>	49	Elderly
Wapping Mews	South Windsor	3,345,433	_	SSHP	30	_	_	30	Elderly
WYSH House	Meriden	4,600,000	3,500,000	FLEX	12	12	12	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant1	Hartford	80,000	80,000	HOME		-	-	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant2	New Britain	80,000	80,000	HOME	-	_	_	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant3	New Haven	100,000	100,000	HOME	-	-	-	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant4	Trumbull	100,000	100,000	HOME	-	-	-	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant5	Bridgeport	100,000	100,000	HOME	-	-	-	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant6	Hartford	80,000	80,000	HOME	-	-	-	-	-
CHDO Operating Expense Grant7	New Haven	80,000	80,000	HOME	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment A

Project Name	Municipality	Total Project Cost	DOH Investment	Source of DOH Funds	Total Project Units	Supportive Housing Units	New Units	Rehab Units	Family or Elderly
Cityscape Homes Phase VI	Hartford	5,092,274	2,525,674	FLEX	11	-	11	-	Family
Habitat for Humanity Eastern CT Affordable Homeownership Program	New London and Windham	998,815	250,000	FLEX	4	-	-	4	Family
Hill House Rehab	Riverside	2,224,794	1,966,452	SSHP	62	-	-	62	Elderly
Millbrook Village	Windsor	4,622,855	3,885,257	SSHP	60	-	-	60	Family
Mystic River Homes Elderly Housing	Noank	670,300	-	-	46	-	-	46	Elderly
Neighborhood Renewal Program	New Haven	1,500,000	1,500,000	FLEX	-	1	-	-	-
New Horizons Village Rehab	Farmington	7,648,625	3,537,725	FLEX	68	1	-	68	-
Spencer Village and Spencer Village Extension	Manchester	6,729,609	1,898,944	NHTF	80	ı	-	80	Elderly
The Hub on Park	Hartford	16,348,322	5,359,000	FLEX	45	ı	36	9	Family
The Lawrence Crest Cooperative	Waterbury	70,341	70,341	SSHP	13	1	-	13	Family
The Lofts at Ponemah Mills Phase III	Norwich	24,372,667	3,000,000	FLEX	64	ı	1	64	Family
The Lofts at Spencers Corner	Centerbrook	6,133,732	5,138,177	-	17	1	17	-	Family
The Townhomes at Dutch Point	Hartford	605,000	605,000	HTF	27	•	27	-	-
Washington Park Apartments	Bridgeport	1,500,000	1,500,000	Urban Act	36	•	ı	36	Family
Washington Village Phase III - 4%	Norwalk	35,026,099	5,850,000	FLEX	58	12	58	-	Family
Westbrook Village I	Hartford	27,194,287	6,000,000	FLEX	75	15	75	-	Family
Westbrook Village II	Hartford	22,089,632	5,500,000	FLEX	60	12	60	-	Family
Yale Acres	Meriden	54,068,112	5,700,000	FLEX	162	162	8	154	Family
TOTAL		308,805,977	82,883,573		1,383	249	502	881	