## 2022 Initial Review Form Citizen Participation

Citizen Participation

NB. To Locate project info click mailing/find recipient, type Project# eg.SC2006901

Name of City/Town: XXX	<b>Project#:</b> SC0000000	Amount:	
Project Name:			
Reviewer/Project Manager:			
Date of Review: M/D/YYYY			
Does the Applicant have a Citizen	Participation Plan?	Yes	No 🗌
In the Citizen Participation Plan, are English speaking persons, and persons			
Did the town follow its own Citizer If no, describe events	n Participation Plan?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Was the public hearing held with $\underline{t}$ hearing and the second at least $\underline{3}$		at least <u>14 days</u> in Yes 🗌	advance of the public No 🗌
Date of the Public Hearing: $\mathrm{M}/\mathrm{D}/\mathrm{N}$	YYYY Time of Day Hearing Held	d:	
Date of First Notice: M/D/YYYY	Date of Second Notice: M	I/D/YYYY	
Published in the Following Newspa	aper(s):		
Was the required Public Hearing No	tice in the Application Handbook	used?	Yes  No
Were the notices published in a dail	y newspaper of general circulation	n in the municipalit	y? Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Was the public hearing held on a v	weekday during the evening or r	night?	Yes No No
Did the Town include the Approve	d and Certified minutes from the	e public hearing?	Yes No No
During the public hearing, did the to	own seek the input of residents or	n the proposed use	for the grant funds? Yes  No
Does the minutes reflect open and allowed time for discussion on the b			s of available funds and Yes  No
If the answer to any of the qu	estions above is <u>No</u> , the app	lication is not el	igible.
Does the notices include a referengenerate PI; e.g., res rehab, ED)?		ned from the gran Yes 🗌	t (if activity will No  NA
Does the Applicant have a locally a	approved Program Income Plan	for this grant? Yes 🗌	No 🗌 NA 🗌
This application has been reviewed and determined to be:  (Check One)			

### **2022 ELIGIBILITY REVIEW**

Municipality: XXX				
Project Name:	Amount:			
Reviewer Name:	Date: M/D/YYYY			
Eligible Category:	<ul><li>☐ Community Facility</li><li>☐ Economic Development</li></ul>	☐ Public Service ☐ Housing		
Eligible Activities:				
Clearance activities Public Services 5: Interim assistance Payment of non-fe Urban renewal co Relocation 570.2: Loss of rental inco Housing services Privately owned us Construction of he Homeownership as Economic develop Technical assistance Assistance to inst Rehab of privately Rehab of low-inco Rehab of manufact Code enforcement Historic preservate Renovation of clost Lead-based paint	nd Improvements 570.201(c) es 570.201 (d) 70.201 (e) e 570.201 (f) ederal share 570.201(g) mpletion 570.201(h) 01(i) ome 570.201 (j) 570.201 (k) utilities 570.201 (l) ousing 570.201 (m) essistance 570.201 (n) oment 570.201 (o) once 570.201(p) itutions of higher education 570 y owned residential buildings 57 ome public housing 570.202(a) 5 t 570.202(c) cion 570.202(d) sed buildings 570.202(e) hazard evaluation and reduction	70.202(a) 1 2 570.202(f)		
This application has been reviewed and determined to be:				
☐ ELIGIBLE ☐ NOT ELIGIBLE				
If determined to be ineli	gible, state exact reason here:			

# 2022 SMALL CITIES ELIGIBILITY REVIEW – NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Municipality: XXX
Grant Amount Requested:
Reviewer Name:
Date: M/D/YYYY
Proposed Activity:
Activity is Eligible under 24 CFR 570.
Project Eligible Yes  No
National Objectives  A. Low/moderate income benefit  How will the benefit be claimed: (check one)  Direct Benefit 100%% served are LMI
(Activity targeted specifically to eligible income group)
☐ Area Wide Benefit 51%% served are LMI  (Activity linked to specific census tract, need to verify income from Census information; activity supported by surveys)
COMPLETE QUESTIONS 1, 2, and 3 IF A SURVEY WAS USED TO DETERMINE AREAWIDE BENEFIT. IN ADDITION TO THIS SECTION, ALSO COMPLETE "INCOME SURVEY METHODOLOGY REVIEW CHECKLIST" AND ATTACH.
<ol> <li>Did the applicant identify the data sources and detail the methodologies?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☐, proposal ineligible</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Does this information support benefit claims?</li> <li>Yes □ No □, proposal ineligible</li> </ol>
3. Is the benefit chart (1.5 in Application) complete and accurate? Yes $\square$ No $\square$

## ELIGIBILITY REVIEW - NATIONAL OBJECTIVES Page 2

	☐ <b>Limited Clientele</b> 51% % are <b>LMI</b> (Automatic eligibility if serving: abused children, battered spouses, elderly persons, adults meeting the Bureau of the Census' Current Populations' reports definition of "severely disabled", homeless persons, illiterate adults, persons living with AIDS and migrant farm workers. ADA improvements are also <b>automatically</b> eligible).	
	ANSWER THE FOLLOWING FOR ALL APPLICATIONS:  1. Do at least 51% of the funds benefit the LMI?  Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq, \text{proposal ineligible} \)	
	<ol> <li>Does this activity meet the National Objectives of benefiting the LMI?</li> <li>Yes □ No □, proposal ineligible</li> </ol>	
B.	Slum and Blight: CFR 570.208(b) Complete review form "The Prevention or Elimination of Slum and Blight" and attach.	
C.	Activity Meeting a Particular Urgency: 24 CFR 570.483(d)	
This application has been reviewed and determined to be:		
(Please check one)   ELIGIBLE   NOT ELIGIBLE		
If determined to be ineligible, state exact reason here:		

**Comments:** 

# 2022 Initial Review Form ADDITIONAL APPLICATION REVIEW INFO

### **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

To	wn/Applicant Name: XXX		Amount:
Re	viewer:		
Da	te of Review: M/D/YYYY		
Pro	oject Category:		
RE	VIEWER PLEASE CHECK THE APP	ROPRIATE	ВОХ
	Exempt 58.34 & 50.19		
	Categorically Excluded not Subject t Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.34(a) and 5		NST)
	Categorically Excluded Subject to Se Pursuant to 24 CFR Part 58.35(a)	ection 58.5(	CEST)/Tier 1 (Broad-level)
	Environmental Assessment – EA (5	8.36)	
	Environmental Impact Statement -	EIS (58.37	&50.41)
Wa	s ERR received with application?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌
Wa	s ERR received electronically?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌

Type of Environmental Review Submitted:			
Exempt 58.34 & 50.19	Yes  No		
Exempt/CENST (58.34)  Exempt and Categorically Excluded not subject	Yes No C		
Tier 1 (Broadview)/CEST Categorically Excluded subject to Section 58.	Yes No C		
Ph. I ESA Included?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
Ph. II ESA Included, if applicable?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌		
Hazardous Building Materials Survey	Yes No C		
EA	Yes  No		
EIS	Yes  No		
RROF	Yes  No		
Comments:			
This ERR has been reviewed and determined to be:			
☐ ELIGIBLE ☐ NOT ELIGIBLE			
If determined to be ineligible, state exact reason here:			
ERR Approved: Signature (Manager/Designe	Date: M/D/YYYY		