PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Renaissance Central Facility Type: Community Confinement Date Interim Report Submitted: NA Date Final Report Submitted: 07/01/2022

| Auditor Certification | | |
|---|--|---|
| The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge. | | V |
| No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review. | | V |
| I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template. | | V |
| Auditor Full Name as Signed: Latera Davis Date of Signature: 07/01/2022 | | |

| AUDITOR INFORMATION | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Auditor name: | Davis, Latera |
| Email: | lateradavis@djj.state.ga.us |
| Start Date of On-Site Audit: | 05/11/2022 |
| End Date of On-Site Audit: | 05/12/2022 |

| FACILITY INFORMATION | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Facility name: | Renaissance Central |
| Facility physical address: | 70 Central Avenue, Waterbury, Connecticut - 06702 |
| Facility mailing address: | |

| Primary Contact | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Name: | Katie Seto |
| Email Address: | kseto@ctrenaissance.org |
| Telephone Number: | 475-225-9089 |

| Facility Director | |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Name: | Luis Rodriguez |
| Email Address: | lrodriguez@ctrenaissance.org |
| Telephone Number: | 203-596-7303 |

| Facility PREA Compliance Manager | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Name: | | |
| Email Address: | | |
| Telephone Number: | | |

| Facility Characteristics | |
|---|---------|
| Designed facility capacity: | 45 |
| Current population of facility: | 27 |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 28 |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | No |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | Males |
| Age range of population: | 18+ |
| Facility security levels/resident custody levels: | Level 1 |
| Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents: | 8 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 0 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 0 |

| AGENCY INFORMATION | |
|---|--|
| Name of agency: | Connecticut Renaissance, Inc. Headquarters |
| Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable): | |
| Physical Address: | One Waterview Drive, Suite 202, Shelton, Connecticut - 06484 |
| Mailing Address: | |
| Telephone number: | 203-336-5225 |

| Agency Chief Executive Officer Information: | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Kathleen Deschenes | |
| Email Address: | kdeschenes@ctrenaissance.org | |
| Telephone Number: | 203-336-5225 ext 222 | |

| Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Name: | Katie Seto | Email Address: | kseto@ctrenaissance.org |

| Name: | Katie Seto | Email Address: | kseto@ctrenaissance.org |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | |
| SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDIN | NGS | | |
| The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met. | | | |
| Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited. | | | |
| | Number of stand | dards exceeded: | |
| 0 | | | |
| Number of standards met: | | | |
| 41 | | | |
| Number of standards not met: | | | |
| | 0 | | |

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION **On-site Audit Dates** 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit: 2022-05-11 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: 2022-05-12 Outreach 10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based Yes organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant O No conditions in the facility? a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim Justice Detention (4/8//22) advocates with whom you communicated: Local Victim Advocacy (5/13, 6/10)-No response AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION 14. Designated facility capacity: 45 28 15. Average daily population for the past 12 months: 16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: 10 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or Yes youthful/juvenile detainees? No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility) Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the **Audit** Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit 36. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in 18 the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit: 38. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 39. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with 0 a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: 40. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:

| 41. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
|---|-------------------|--|
| 42. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 43. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 1 | |
| 44. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 45. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 46. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 47. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 0 | |
| 48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations): | No text provided. | |
| Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit | | |
| 49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | 7 | |
| 50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 0 | |
| 51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees: | 0 | |
| 52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit: | No text provided. | |
| INTERVIEWS | | |
| Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | | |
| Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | | |

| 53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 10 |
|---|---|
| 54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply) | ✓ Age ✓ Race ✓ Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) ✓ Length of time in the facility |
| | ✓ Housing assignment ☐ Gender ☐ Other ☐ None |
| 55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse? | The auditor was provided a copy of the resident list prior to the onsite portion of the audit and during the onsite portion of the audit. The auditor reviewed the current population and randomly selected based on age, race, and length of stay. |
| 56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews? | ⊙ Yes ⊙ No |
| 57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | The interviewed residents were randomly selected, and the auditor identified residents of various races and ages. |
| Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews | |
| 58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: | 1 |
| As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted is cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulneral questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are a simmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interview housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/mot applicable in the audited facility, enter "0". | able to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing w, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted ws an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview |
| 60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| | |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|---|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |

| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ✓ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
|--|---|
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 1 |
| 66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol: | 1 |
| 67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | ✓ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| | |

| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
|---|---|
| 68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. |
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol: | 0 |
| a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: | Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. |
| | ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed. |
| b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). | Based upon documentation review, discussion with staff, and interviews with residents there was no further determination that there was a resident identified in the targeted category. |
| 70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews): | No text provided. |
| Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews | |
| Random Staff Interviews | |
| 71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed: | 7 |

| 72. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply) | ✓ Length of tenure in the facility ✓ Shift assignment ✓ Work assignment ☐ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken) ☐ None |
|---|---|
| 73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews? | ○ Yes⊙ No |
| a. Select the reason(s) why you were unable to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews: (select all that apply) | ☐ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews. ☑ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ☑ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ☐ Other |
| 74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation): | All staff who were working on the onsite portion of the audit were interviewed. |
| Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews | |
| Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the sp apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information w | ecialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may rould satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements. |
| 75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors): | 17 |
| 76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head? | • Yes • No |
| 77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee? | ⊙ Yes○ No |
| 78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator? | • Yes • No |

| 79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager? | Yes No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards) |
|--|---|
| 80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply) | ☐ Agency contract administrator ☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☐ Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) ☐ Medical staff ☐ Mental health staff ☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches ☑ Administrative (human resources) staff ☐ Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff ☑ Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations ☐ Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations ☑ Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness ☐ Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation ☑ Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team ☑ Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation ☑ Intake staff ☐ Other |
| 81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | ○ Yes○ No |

| 82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility? | ○ Yes⊙ No |
|---|--|
| 83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff. | The community confinement site does not have to do unannounced rounds (intermediate or higher) and the agency does not have a contract administrator. |
| SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTA | TION SAMPLING |
| Site Review | |
| PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring properties, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate review, you must document your tests of critical functions, implicated with facility practices. The information you collect through the your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your access to the information of the one of the properties. | audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The rocess that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine a national strate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting ortant information gathered through observations, and any issues a site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of |
| 84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility? | ⊙ Yes |
| | C No |
| Was the site review an active, inquiring process that inclu | uded the following: |
| 85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)? | ⊙ Yes ⊙ No |
| 86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)? | ⊙ Yes○ No |
| 87. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | ♥ Yes♥ No |
| 88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)? | ♥ Yes♥ No |
| 89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations). | No text provided. |
| Documentation Sampling | |
| NAVI | |

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

| 90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? | • Yes • No |
|---|---|
| 91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). | Randomly selected prior residents and requested all documents on interviewed residents. |

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detained sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual abuse allegations | # of criminal investigations | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

| | # of sexual harassment allegations | # of criminal | # of administrative investigations | # of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|---|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | | Indicted/Court Case Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|-------------------------------|---------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Referred for Prosecution | Indicted/Court Case Filed | Convicted/Adjudicated | Acquitted |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

| | Ongoing | Unfounded | Unsubstantiated | Substantiated |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

| Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review | | |
|--|---|--|
| 98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 | |
| a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: | There were zero reported allegations of sexual abuse. | |

| 99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files) |
|---|--|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files | |
| 100. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? | C Yes C No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| 102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | C Yes C No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| | |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files | |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL | O Yes O No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE | C Yes C No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |
| 103. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled: 104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations? 105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files) |

| 107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files) |
|---|--|
| Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | |
| 108. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations? | Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | C Yes C No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files | |
| 111. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled: | 0 |
| 112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations? | C Yes C No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations? | C Yes C No No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) |
| 114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files. | There were zero reported allegations. |
| SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION | |
| DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff | |

| 115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | ○ Yes○ No |
|---|---|
| Non-certified Support Staff | |
| 116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly. | ○ Yes○ No |
| AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AN | D COMPENSATION |
| 121. Who paid you to conduct this audit? | The audited facility or its parent agency My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) Other |
| Identify the name of the third-party auditing entity | Diversified Correctional Services |

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.211 Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Connecticut Renaissance

Our Community Release Programs serve clients referred by the State of Connecticut Department of Correction. In these programs, clients are afforded the opportunity to work on overcoming barriers to successful reentry into the community.

The Community Release Programs are provided at three locations located in Waterbury and Bridgeport, serving male clients referred by the Connecticut Department of Correction. Goals of the programs are to offer residents the opportunity to learn how to overcome barriers to reentry, establish vocational and educational skills, support systems and independent living skills

The program begins with an orientation phase which will assist residents with adjusting to the facility, staff members, other residents, structure of the program and to establish a personalized re entry plan that includes measurable criteria of accomplishments and a specific time table for achieving them. It is the philosophy of the program that discharge begins at admission. During this time, residents will meet with the Unit Job Developer for assessment and support in obtaining and retaining employment. Residents will also be introduced to the Evidence Based Model Life after Incarceration. The program is geared toward assisting clients in recognizing and addressing barriers to successful reintegration. Through one on one Case Management residents are encouraged to address their mental health, medical and substance abuse issues. Case Managers aid residents in accessing resources for vocational/educational opportunities, individual and group therapy, 12 step self-help programs, family reunification and legal issues.

Length of Stay is approximately 90-120 days.

Once a resident is employed, the Case Manager will work with him to learn budgeting and financial literacy. It is the goal of this phase to focus on discharge planning. Completion of the program is based on the client's participation level, employment, securing of appropriate residence, aftercare planning and legal status.

Residents are under the supervision of a house Parole Officer. The Parole Officer works closely with the resident and staff to ensure self sufficiency and success in re entry.

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

Organization Chart

Interviews:

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.211(a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a written policy that mandates zero tolerance in all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "Connecticut Renaissance has zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All Connecticut Renaissance employees, volunteers, or contractors who may have contact with individuals in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction are responsible for helping to keep CT Renaissance facilities free of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment will be reported and investigated thoroughly. Any CT Renaissance employee, volunteer or intern who engages in acts of sexual abuse or harassment of an individual serviced by CT Renaissance programs, or who is found to be negligent in pursuing these responsibilities, will be subject to disciplinary and/or corrective action. Arrest and prosecution may also be pursued when conduct requires such a response. Any contractor engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of a CT Renaissance client/resident may be subject contract cancellation" (p. 1).

The policy further defines sexual abuse and sexual harassment as:

Sexual Abuse: Sexual Abuse involving an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction by

another individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction may include any of the following acts, if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse: · Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight. • Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva or anus. • Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object or other instrument. • Any other intentional touching, either directly or through clothing, of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks of any individual, excluding contact incidental to a physical altercation. Sexual Abuse involving an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction by an employee, contractor, intern or volunteer includes the following regardless of the consent of the individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction; • Contact between the penis and the vulva or the penis and the anus, including penetration, however slight; • Contact between the mouth and the penis, vulva or anus; • Contact between the mouth and any body part where the employee, contractor, intern or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire; • Penetration of the anal or genital opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object or other instrument, that is unrelated to official duties or where the employee, contractor, intern or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse or gratify sexual desire; • Any other intentional act, either directly or through the clothing, of or with the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh or the buttocks, that is unrelated to official duties or where the employee, contractor, intern or volunteer has the intent to abuse arouse or gratify sexual desire; • Any attempt, threat or request by an employee, contractor intern or volunteer to engage in the activities as listed above; • Any display by an employee, contractor, intern or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks or breasts in the presence of an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction; • Any other conduct that is prohibited under Connecticut General Statutes 53a-70, 53a-70a, 53a-70b, 53-70c, 53a-71, 53a-72a, 53a-72b, or 53a-73a; and • Voyeurism by an employee, contractor, intern or volunteer. Voyeurism means an invasion of privacy of an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction by an employee, contractor, intern or volunteer for reasons unrelated to official duties, such as peering at an individual who is performing bodily functions; requiring an individual to Reviewed 12/29/21 DP Revised 5/31/19 DP expose his/her buttocks, genitals, or breasts; or taking images of all or part of an individual's naked body or of an individual performing bodily functions.

Sexual Harassment includes: • Repeated and unwelcomed sexual advances, requests for sexual favors or verbal comments, gestures or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction toward another individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction; • Verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction by an employee, contractor, intern or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures; • The display of sexually suggestive pictures or objects in a confinement facility; • Any other undesirable conduct of a sexual nature (pp. 3-4).

Documentation Reviewed

Organization Chart

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that they have sufficient time to manage all of the PREA related responsibilities. As the PREA Coordinator, I oversee the agency's PREA response and I am the agency's main point of contact for PREA. My efforts include, but are not limited to: taking PREA reports, monitoring and updating PREA policies and written materials, providing PREA training and guidance, handling administrative investigations, answering questions about PREA and CT (Connecticut) Renaissance's PREA response, and generally reinforcing the agency's zero tolerance policy and client safety. I provide PREA training monthly to new hires, monitor PREA documentation in our programs, and ensure PREA compliance. If an issue is identified with complying with a PREA standard, I first seek more information by reviewing the standard. I then consider what agency policies and practices are in place to meet the standard and what is at the root of the compliance issue. I consider if there is a need to change policy or practice or if there are any program- or facility-specific issues that may be contributing factors (physical premises, staffing, technology, etc.). Once determining the issue, I take appropriate action, such as modifying policy, providing education, and collaborating with staff at all levels. If this involves incidents of abuse or sexual harassment, I would follow my duty to investigate and report.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.211(b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency employs or designates an upper-level, agency-side PREA coordinator. It was further reported that the PREA Coordinator has sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities. The position of the PREA Coordinator is the Clinical Performance and Outcomes Director.

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "CT Renaissance shall employ or designate an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator will be responsible for implementing and overseeing the agency's efforts to comply with policies and procedures related to PREA standards. The PREA Coordinator is also responsible for

initiating internal administrative investigations for allegations of sexual harassment, administrative reviews of all reported incidents, maintaining documentation, writing reports, presenting findings and ensuring appropriate referrals are made" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Organization Chart

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that they have sufficient time to manage all of the PREA related responsibilities. As the PREA Coordinator, I oversee the agency's PREA response and am the agency's main point of contact for PREA. My efforts include, but are not limited to: taking PREA reports, monitoring and updating PREA policies and written materials, providing PREA training and guidance, handling administrative investigations, answering questions about PREA and CT Renaissance's PREA response, and generally reinforcing the agency's zero tolerance policy and client safety. I provide PREA training monthly to new hires, monitor PREA documentation in our programs, and ensure PREA compliance. If an issue is identified with complying with a PREA standard, I first seek more information by reviewing the standard. I then consider what agency policies and practices are in place to meet the standard and what is at the root of the compliance issue. I consider if there is a need to change policy or practice or if there are any program- or facility-specific issues that may be contributing factors (physical premises, staffing, technology, etc.). Once determining the issue, I take appropriate action, such as modifying policy, providing education, and collaborating with staff at all levels. If this involves incidents of abuse or sexual harassment, I would follow my duty to investigate and report.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.212 | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.212 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has not entered or renewed any contract for the confinement of residents. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.212 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has not entered or renewed any contract for the confinement of residents. The site does not have a contract administrator. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.212(c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has not entered or renewed any contract for the confinement of residents. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

115.213 Supervision and monitoring Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Supervision and Monitoring-Staff

2022 Staffing Plan

Staff Plan Assessment 2022

Interviews:

Director or Designee

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.213(a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency requires each facility it operates to develop, document and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan. Since August 20, 2012, or last PREA audit, whichever is later, the average daily number of residents: 28. Since August 20, 2012, or last PREA audit, whichever is later, the average daily number of residents on which the staffing plan was predicated: 45.

Policy: The Supervision and Monitoring-Staff Policy states that "Each Connecticut Renaissance Residential Drug Treatment and Community Work Release facility contracted with CSSD or Dept. of Correction, shall maintain adequate staffing and supervision to ensure the safety and well being of the residents. Each Program Director will develop a staffing plan. The staffing plan will be reviewed and assessed for resident sexual safety at least annually by the PREA Coordinator (or designee) and the Program Director. The staffing plan will be kept in the PREA binder at each site in the COD office and a copy will be submitted to the PREA Coordinator. (p. 1).

The policy further states that the "Staffing shall take into consideration the following: • The physical layout of the facility. • The composition of the resident population • The use of the pop-sheet to identify and monitor any residents identified as vulnerable victims (VV) or sexually aggressive (SA) • Prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse and/or harassment" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

2022 Staffing Plan

Staff Plan Assessment 2022

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that the facility has a staffing plan. It was reported that they maintain a 10:1 ratio of clients to staff. Staff conduct headcounts and tours hourly. Video monitoring is a part of the plan. The staffing plan is reviewed and updated annually and maintained both in the program PREA binder and by the PREA Coordinator. Staff schedules are documented and posted in common staff areas. The staff plan assesses adequate staffing levels and the need for video monitoring. Staffing plan levels are maintained on all shifts and all facility logs and cameras are reviewed monthly to ensure compliance.

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed PREA Coordinator reported that staffing plans are assessed for resident sexual safety at least annually and reviewed by the Director of Work Release, the Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator. Each plan considers and specifies the physical layout of the facility, the resident population, prevalence of incidents and other factors that impact client safety and monitoring. Findings from any incident reviews are incorporated into the plan with respect to addressing factors that may contribute to PREA incidents including accounting for staff's ability to appropriately monitor residents throughout the facility. The staffing plan is kept in a binder on site. In addition, by contract, our Maple Street Work Release facility must ensure certain staffing levels, so there are no deviations of the staffing plan reported. Our location is adequately monitored by video surveillance which has the ability to play back videos. At least once a year, assessments of video monitoring needs are conducted including analyzing the number of cameras, the placement, and

monitoring/dependability of systems. In addition to the annual update of the facility staffing plan, any changes that may be needed throughout the year are overseen by the PREA Coordinator, in consultation with the Director of Work Release and Facility Director.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.213(b). N/A-As reported in the PAQ, there were no deviations in the staffing plan.

Policy: The Supervision and Monitoring-Staff Policy states that "each facility shall maintain a staffing plan based upon the determined staffing needs required to ensure a safe environment that is properly monitored and supervised. The plan will be maintained by the Program Director. Any deviations from the staff schedule or staffing plan shall be documented. This includes documentation of changes in personnel coverage, changes in assigned time frames and/changes in the required staffing pattern. Communication of staff changes will be made via email, in the staff communication log as well as posting in the "counselor-on-duty" office." (p. 1).

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed director reported that while there has been no incidents of non-compliance, such incidents would be documented in the facility log book and would be addressed by the program director.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.213(c). As reported in the PAQ, at least once every year the facility, reviews the staffing plan to see whether adjustments are needed in (1) the staffing plan, (2) prevailing staffing patterns, (3) the deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies, or (4) the allocation of facility/agency resources to commit to the staffing plan to ensure compliance with the staffing plan.

Policy: The Supervision and Monitoring-Staff Policy states that "whenever necessary, but no less frequently than once each year, Connecticut Renaissance shall assess for each Residential Drug Treatment and Community Work Release facility staffing patterns and determine if any adjustments need to be made. The assessment of the staffing plan will be documented. The assessment will be used to identify adjustments that need to be made to ensure sexual safety of residents and protection from retaliation if reports are received or an investigation conducted" (p. 1).

The policy further states that "the use of Video Monitoring Systems may be utilized to enhance supervision and monitoring of the residents and the facilities. Assessment of video monitoring needs shall also take place at least annually or more frequently as needs arise. Assessment shall include, analyzing the number of cameras, the placement of cameras, monitoring and dependability of monitoring systems" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

2022 Staffing Plan

Staff Plan Assessment 2022

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that all staffing plan updates are made in consultation with the PREA Coordinator and reviewed at least once per year.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.215 Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Search Facility and Person Cross-Gender Pat-Down Search Documentation Report Pat Search Curriculum Copy of Pat Search Steps Cross Gender Searches Log (173) **Shower Curtain Pictures** Cross Gender Pat Down Searches Training Roster (8) Interviews: Resident Interview Questionnaire (10) Random Sample of Staff (7) Findings (By Provision): 115.215 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents. Policy: The Searches Facility and Person policy states that "when, in exigent circumstances, a cross gender pat down search occurs, documentation shall be completed and submitted to DOC and the Clinical Performance and Outcomes Department" (p.3).In the past 12 months, the number of cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents: 0 In the past 12 months, the number of cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches of residents that did not involve exigent circumstances or were performed by non-medical staff: 0 However, while conducting interviews, the auditor was informed that staff do conduct cross gender pat down searches. The auditor requested a copy of the last 12 months of cross gender searches for review. It appears that the searches were conducted due to the following: "only person at COD at time of client return to the facility". **Documentation Reviewed** Cross Gender Searches Log (173) Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. 115.215 (b). NA-the facility houses male residents. 115.215 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the facility policy requires that all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches be documented. The facility does not house female residents.

Policy: The Searches Facility and Person Policy states that "when, in exigent circumstances, a cross gender pat down search occurs, documentation shall be completed and submitted to DOC and the Clinical Performance and Outcomes Department"

While conducting interviews, the auditor was informed that staff do conduct cross gender pat down searches. The auditor requested a copy of the last 12 months of cross gender searches for review. It appears that the searches were conducted due to the following: "only person at COD at time of client return to the facility".

Documentation Reviewed

Cross Gender Searches Log (173)

Interviews

Resident Interview Questionnaire – The interviewed residents reported that female staff announce their presence when entering the housing area. One resident further stated that they make announcements a majority of the time and one resident stated that sometimes they walk in to fast after the announcements. All of the interviewed residents reported that they are never naked in full view of opposite gender staff.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.215 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the facility has implemented policies and procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks (this includes viewing via video camera). Policies and procedures require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing.

Policy: The Searches Facility and Person Policy states that "all agency staff is prohibited from viewing residents while dressing, showering or performing bodily functions. Searches of a client's belongings shall be conducted upon admission, discharge, upon return to facility after a community activity and when additional personal belongings enter the facility" (p. 1).

The policy further states that "The following guidelines shall be adhered to when searching a particular client's room: a. Respect the client's property rights, taking care not to break or otherwise harm their property. b. Do not disrupt the room any more than necessary. Avoid unnecessarily embarrassing the client or ridiculing them in the process of the search. c. Do not use any force. d. Opposite gender staff will announce themselves prior to entering a resident's room or bathroom" (p. 2).

Corrective Action: During the site inspection, the auditor observed that the shower curtain did not allow for the facility staff to observe if more than one person was in the shower. The auditor recommended installing PREA shower curtains. The curtains were installed, and pictures were provided. No further action is needed.

Documentation Reviewed

Cross Gender Searches Log (173)

Shower Curtain Pictures

Interviews

Resident Interview Questionnaire – The interviewed residents reported that female staff announce their presence when entering the housing area. One resident further stated that they make announcements a majority of the time and one resident stated that sometimes they walk in to fast after the announcements. All of the interviewed residents reported that they are never naked in full view of opposite gender staff.

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that opposite gender staff announce themselves when entering the housing area. It was further reported that residents are able to dress, shower, and toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.215 (e). As reported in the PAQ, the facility has a policy prohibiting staff from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status. There were zero reported searches that occurred in the last 12 months.

Policy: The Searches Facility and Person states that "all staff is prohibited from searching a transgender or intersex client for

the purpose of determining genital status" (p. 3).

While conducting interviews, the auditor was informed that staff do conduct cross gender searches. The auditor requested a copy of the last 12 months of cross gender searches for review. It appears that the searches were conducted due to the following: "only person at COD at time of client return to the facility".

Documentation Reviewed

Cross Gender Searches Log (173)

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that they are prohibited from searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex resident for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.215 (f). As reported in the PAQ, 100% of staff who have received training on conducting cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional manner with security needs. The facility has a form (Cross-Gender Pat-Down Search) to document any pat down searches.

Documents Reviewed

Cross-Gender Pat-Down Search Documentation Report

Pat Search Curriculum

Copy of Pat Search Steps

Cross Gender Pat Down Searches Training Roster (8)

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – All but one of the interviewed staff reported that they have received training on how to conduct cross gender pat down searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner. Cross gender searches are documented in a note book.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

Corrective Action: During the site inspection, the auditor observed that the shower curtain did not allow for the facility staff to observe if more than one person was in the shower. The auditor recommended installing PREA shower curtains. The curtains were installed, and pictures were provided. No further action is needed.

115.216 Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

Language Line

Interviews:

Agency Head

Random Sample of Staff (7)

Findings (By Provision):

115.216 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has established procedures to provide disabled residents equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the Agency will provide materials related to the zero-tolerance policy in the language of current limited English proficient residents. The agency will create a system for staff to access alternative language lines for additional interpretive services. Information regarding access to the Language Line is available in the PREA Binder available through the program Director or in the COD office. In the case of a LEP client (limited English proficiency) or disabled person unable to read and/or understand the written PREA policy, a staff member will read the PREA policy and elicit responses to confirm that the person understands the policy. Someone who is severely disabled may meet our exclusionary criteria for admission" (p. 1).

The agency/facility does not have a contract for interpretation services however utilizes language line over-the-phone interpreting services.

Documentation Reviewed

Language Line (handout)

Interviews

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that residents with disabilities such as behavioral health issues are provided with information about how the organization works to prevent sexual abuse and/or harassment. PREA guidelines are reviewed with each resident upon admission. Those who are Spanish speaking will receive PREA guidelines in Spanish. CT Renaissance has access to interpreters if translation in other languages is needed.

PREA Audit Site Review: During the onsite inspection the auditor observed written material available for residents in Spanish and English; along with information for interpreter services.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.216 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has established procedures to provide residents with limited English proficiency equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the Agency will provide materials related to the zero-tolerance policy in the language of current limited English proficient residents. The agency will create a system for staff to access alternative language lines for additional interpretive services. Information regarding access to the Language Line is available in the PREA Binder available through the program Director or in the COD office. In the case of a LEP client (limited English proficiency) or disabled person unable to read and/or understand the written PREA policy, a staff member will read the PREA policy and elicit responses to confirm that the person understands the policy. Someone who is severely disabled may meet our exclusionary criteria for admission" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Language Line (handout)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.216 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policies prohibit other use of resident interpreters, resident readers, or other type of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties, or the investigation of the residents' allegations. Furthermore, , the agency or facility documents the limited circumstances in individual cases where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants are used.

In the past 12 months, the number of instances where resident interpreters, readers, or other types of resident assistants have been used and it was not the case that an extended delay in obtaining another interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under § 115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations: 0.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the agency prohibits the use of residents as interpreters in matters regarding allegation of sexual abuse/harassment during an internal investigation, unless the delay could compromise the resident's safety. The agency has identified a staff member for Spanish speaking individuals who would be able to provide interpreter assistance as needed" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Language Line (handout)

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – All of the interviewed staff reported that they have never seen the agency allow resident to serve as interpreters for each other. Most staff articulated that they would access an interpreter if needed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.217 Hiring and promotion decisions Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Hiring

Policy: Employment Background Checks

Personnel Files (8):

- New Hire Orientation Checklist (3)
- Reference Check Form (8)
- Internal Career Opportunity
- · PREA-Employment Questionnaire (8)
- · Employment Application

5 year background checks (1)

Interviews:

Administrative (Human Resources) Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.217 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy does not prohibit hiring or promoting anyone who may have contact with residents and prohibits enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who:

- 1. Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution.
- 2. Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- 3. Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in paragraph (a) (2).

Policy: Employment Background Checks states that "to ensure that individuals who join CT Renaissance are well qualified and have a strong potential to be productive and successful, it is the policy of CT Renaissance to make inquiries into a candidate's background in the following areas including, but not limited to, the following examples: 1. Criminal History 2. Employment Reference Checks 3. Verification of Education, Licenses and/or Certifications 4. Driver Record Check 5. Drug Screen – Urine Test 6. Medical Condition, including Tuberculosis Certification 7. Citizenship / Valid Work Permit Status Check 8. Consumer Credit History (if applicable) Notification of any prior criminal acts (including sexual abuse or sexual harassment) or illegal substance use history is requested and a criminal records check shall be conducted prior to making a job offer" (p. 1). The policy further states that "CT Renaissance shall not hire, appoint or promote anyone who has engaged in, or has attempted to engage in sexual abuse or sexual harassment" (p. 1).

Policy: The Hiring Policy states that "Renaissance relies upon the accuracy of information contained in the employment application, as well as the accuracy of other data presented throughout the hiring process and while employed. Any misrepresentations, falsifications, or material omissions in any of this information or data may result in Renaissance's exclusion of the individual from further consideration for employment or, if the person has been hired, termination of employment shall be considered" (p. 1). The policy further states that "CT Renaissance will not knowingly hire, appoint, or promote anyone who may have contact with individuals in the custody of the Judicial Branch or the Department of Correction, and has been convicted of, has engaged in, or has attempted to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity describe above. CT Renaissance will consider any prior reported incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire, appoint, or promote an individual who may have contact with a person in the custody of the Judicial Branch or the Department of Correction" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Personnel Files (8 total (3 new hires)

115.217 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires the consideration of any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents.

Policy: The Hiring Policy states that "Renaissance relies upon the accuracy of information contained in the employment application, as well as the accuracy of other data presented throughout the hiring process and while employed. Any misrepresentations, falsifications, or material omissions in any of this information or data may result in Renaissance's exclusion of the individual from further consideration for employment or, if the person has been hired, termination of employment shall be considered" (p. 1). The policy further states that "CT Renaissance will not knowingly hire, appoint, or promote anyone who may have contact with individuals in the custody of the Judicial Branch or the Department of Correction, and has been convicted of, has engaged in, or has attempted to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent; or has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity describe above. CT Renaissance will consider any prior reported incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire, appoint, or promote an individual who may have contact with a person in the custody of the Judicial Branch or the Department of Correction" (p. 2).

Interviews

Administrative (Human Resources) Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the agency does consider prior incidences of sexual harassment. We have a very strict policy and will not consider someone for employment if there are claims of sexual harassment. For existing staff, if there is a sexual harassment complaint, the HR department conducts a thorough investigation that can lead to immediate termination.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires that before it hires any new employees who may have contact with residents, it (a) conducts criminal background record checks, and (b) consistent with federal, state, and local law, makes its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

In the past 12 months, the number of persons hired who may have contact with residents who have had criminal background record checks: 2.

Policy: The Hiring policy states that "CT Renaissance's procedures in obtaining and reviewing a candidate's criminal history. Criminal Record Checks shall be completed prior to hire and every 5 years thereafter for all potential employees, volunteers, interns and contractors. Policy reviewed Oct 2020 DP Policy updated 5/31/19 DP The Reference Check will utilize the signed Reference Check Authorization and Release of Information Form and consist of the following: 1. Assessing the accuracy of information provided on the application/resume; 2. Personal or professional character references; 3. Educational History; 4. Prior Employers; 5. Other Relevant Sources. 6. Will include an inquiry as to whether the candidate engaged in any substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resigned during the pendency of an investigation of alleged sexual abuse" (pp. 3-4).

Policy: The Employment Background Checks states that "to ensure that individuals who join CT Renaissance are well qualified and have a strong potential to be productive and successful, it is the policy of CT Renaissance to make inquiries into a candidate's background in the following areas including, but not limited to, the following examples: 1. Criminal History 2. Employment Reference Checks 3. Verification of Education, Licenses and/or Certifications 4. Driver Record Check 5. Drug Screen – Urine Test 6. Medical Condition, including Tuberculosis Certification 7. Citizenship / Valid Work Permit Status Check 8. Consumer Credit History (if applicable)" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Personnel Files (8 total (3 new hires)

Interviews

Administration (Human Resources Staff): The interviewed staff reported that the agency conducts criminal background checks as well as motor vehicle checks (depending on the role). In addition, we also conduct drug screens for all new hires. It is rare for CTR to have contractors on site that works in residential areas. However, if there is a case where will need to hire a contractor from another agency/organization, we will ensure that the contractor has a recent background check that complies with CTR and State policy.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires that a criminal background record check be completed before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents. In the past 12 months, the number of contracts for services where criminal background record checks were conducted on all staff covered in the contract who might have contact with residents: 0.

Policy: The Hiring policy states that "criminal Record Checks shall be completed prior to hire and every 5 years thereafter for all potential employees, volunteers, interns and contractors" (p. 3).

Interviews

Administration (Human Resources Staff):

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (e). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires that either criminal background record checks be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents, or who may have contact with residents, or that a system is in place for otherwise capturing such information for current employees.

Policy: The Hiring policy states that "criminal Record Checks shall be completed prior to hire and every 5 years thereafter for all potential employees, volunteers, interns and contractors" (p. 3).

Policy: The Employment Background Checks policy states that "criminal records checks shall also be performed on all Renaissance employees every five years following their hiring. If the checks reveal any criminal charges or cases not previously reported in writing by the employee to Renaissance, the employee may be subject to termination. Any employee who is applying for an internal transfer or promotion shall also undergo a criminal background check prior to the agency offering the employee the requested transfer or promotion" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Five Year Background Checks (1)

Interviews

Administrative (Human Resources) Staff – The interviewed staff stated that All employees must complete a successful background check prior to employment. Prior to a new hire's start date, we schedule the candidate to arrive at our Administrative office to complete preliminary documentation for the background check as well as to submit a urine sample for the drug screens. We use a vendor, Employee Reference Source (ERS) to submit all requests for criminal background checks. It was further reported that background checks are done at least once every five years. If recent checks reveal criminal history, the employee may be subject to termination.

Discussion: The facility had one staff eligible for a five-year background check. The background check was conducted during the post onsite audit phase. A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (f). The agency shall also ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees. The agency shall also impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct.

Interviews

Administrative (Human Resources) Staff – The interviewed staff reported that new hires and staff considered for promotion in our residential areas must complete a PREA Employment Questionnaire. It was further reported that the facility does impose a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such previous misconduct.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (g). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy states that material omission regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination.

Policy: The Hiring Policy states that "Omissions on the part of the employee, volunteer, intern or contractor or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.217 (h). Unless prohibited by law, the agency shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.

Interviews

Administrative (Human Resources) Staff – The interviewed staff stated that the agency does disclose sexual abuse or sexual harassment information to other institutional employers about former employees.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.218 | Upgrades to facilities and technology |
|---------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Interviews: |
| | Agency Head |
| | Director |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.218 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion or modification to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. |
| | Interviews |
| | Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that CT Renaissance seeks to provide a safe residential environment that protects its residents by providing adequate interior and exterior lighting and video surveillance. Tours of the buildings are conducted on regular intervals and bed checks are conducted hourly throughout the night. Bathrooms are equipped with shower curtains that are clear on the upper portion. |
| | Director or Designee – The interviewed director reported that the installation of additional interior and exterior cameras have contributed to the protection of residents. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.218 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since the last PREA audit. |
| | Interviews: |
| | Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that interior and external video surveillance is used at all locations. The counselor on duty monitors the cameras of multiple areas within and outside of each residence. The cameras have the ability to record; if there is a report of abuse, staff have the ability to review the recording. |
| | Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that camera reviews are conducted in order to ensure that residents are protected from sexual abuse. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

115.221 Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment

Policy: Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse

Email Correspondence with Waterbury Hospital

Safe Haven Information Sheet

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Interviews:

Random Sample of Staff (7)

Findings (By Provision):

115.221 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative sexual abuse investigations (including resident-on-resident sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct). The agency/facility is not responsible for conducting criminal sexual abuse investigations (including resident-on-resident sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct). The Connecticut State Policy is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. When conducting a sexual abuse investigation, the agency investigators follow a uniform evidence protocol.

Policy: Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment states that:

Investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be done so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations including third-party and anonymous reports. Investigations shall be conducted by law enforcement for sexual abuse reports, internal reviews and investigations of reports of sexual harassment incidents will be reviewed and coordinated by the PREA Coordinator. • PREA Coordinator, Program Director or designee shall contact the State Police Department to initiate a criminal investigation when appropriate. • Law enforcement will take the lead role in investigations for sexual abuse and CTR staff will cooperate with such investigations and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. • CT Renaissance Administrative Review shall include: o An effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse o Shall be documented in written reports of the review and the findings" (p. 1).

The policy provides the following staff first responder guidance to address uniform evidence protocol:

"Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first staff person to receive the report must notify the Program Director. • Arrangements will immediately be made to separate the alleged victim and abuser • Law enforcement will immediately be called in the case of alleged sexual abuse • The crime scene will be closed off until the arrival of law enforcement. • The alleged victim will be asked not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. • The alleged abuser will be asked to not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. • The Program Director or first responder if Director is not available shall make immediate notification to the PREA Coordinator and the referral source. • The PREA Coordinator will take the lead, provide direction and coordinate the activities necessary to ensure care to the victim. Law enforcement will be called immediately to investigate the allegations" (p. 1).

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse policy states that "CT Renaissance shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If the area hospitals do not have available SAFE or SANEs then the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners" (p. 1).

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the agency's protocol for obtaining usable physical evidence if a resident alleges sexual abuse include; remove the person from the area and get them to a safe location, secure the scene, and make sure no one contaminates the evidence. When probed, some of the ways it was described that the evidence would not get contaminated includes no showering, brushing teeth or changing clothes. When asked who conducts the interviews the residents reported the cops, the "PREA person" or the supervisor.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.221 (b). NA-there are no youth housed at the placement.

115.221 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the facility offers all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations. Forensic medical examinations are offered without financial cost to the victim. Where possible, examinations are conducted by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs). When SANEs or SAFEs are not available, a qualified medical practitioner performs forensic medical examinations.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse policy states that "CT Renaissance shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If the area hospitals do not have available SAFE or SANEs then the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners" (p. 1).

The number of forensic medical exams conducted during the past 12 months: 0

The number of exams performed by SANEs/SAFEs during the past 12 months: 0

The number of exams performed by a qualified medical practitioner during the past 12 months: 0

Documentation Reviewed

Email Correspondence with Waterbury Hospital

Safe Haven Information Sheet

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.221 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the facility attempts to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center, either in person or by other mean. If and when a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, the facility provides a qualified staff member from a community-based organization or a qualified agency staff member.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse policy states that "the agency shall obtain and maintain Memorandum of Understanding with local crisis centers and the hospitals to ensure a portal for services. Documentation of the MOU will be maintained by the PREA Coordinator. • As requested by the victim, the victim advocate, CT Renaissance staff and/or other requested support may accompany the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide crisis intervention, information and referrals" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Safe Haven Information Sheet

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that the facility has a Coordinated Response Plan that specifically includes the directive to offer contact to victim advocacy services. The agency maintains a Memorandum of Understanding with The Center for Family Justice, which is an established service for providers.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.221 (e). As reported in the PAQ, if requested by the victim, a victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompanies and supports the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and provides emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and

referrals.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse policy states that "the agency shall obtain and maintain Memorandum of Understanding with local crisis centers and the hospitals to ensure a portal for services. Documentation of the MOU will be maintained by the PREA Coordinator. • As requested by the victim, the victim advocate, CT Renaissance staff and/or other requested support may accompany the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews and shall provide crisis intervention, information and referrals" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Safe Haven Information Sheet

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Upon receiving a report, CT Renaissance will promptly connect the victim to emotional support services, appropriate treatment planning, recommended services and referrals for continued care. CT Renaissance offers all victims access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost where evidentiary or medically appropriate which are performed by SAFE (Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners) where possible or other qualified medical practitioners. Victims will be referred to a victim advocate at a rape crisis center. As requested by the victim, the victim advocate, CT Renaissance staff or other requested support may accompany the victim through the forensic exam process, investigatory interviews, crisis intervention, information and referral process. The agency doesn't provide specialized treatment for sexual assault but victims will be referred outside for medical and mental health

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.221 (f). As reported in the PAQ, if the agency is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse and relies on another agency to conduct these investigations, the agency has requested that the responsible agency follow the requirements of paragraphs §115.221 (a) through (e) of the standards.

Documentation Reviewed

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.221 (g). Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Corrective Action and Conclusion

115.222 Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Responding to Victims of Sexual Abuse

Interviews:

Agency Head

Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.222 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (including resident-on-resident sexual abuse or staff sexual misconduct).

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment that were received: 0.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations resulting in an administrative investigation: 0.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations referred for criminal investigation: 0.

Policy: The Responding to Sexual Abuse and Harassment Policy provides guidance on the administrative and criminal response to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. The policy states that: Investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be done so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations including third-party and anonymous reports. Investigations shall be conducted by law enforcement for sexual abuse reports, internal reviews and investigations of reports of sexual harassment incidents will be reviewed and coordinated by the PREA Coordinator. • PREA Coordinator, Program Director or designee shall contact the State Police Department to initiate a criminal investigation when appropriate. • Law enforcement will take the lead role in investigations for sexual abuse and CTR staff will cooperate with such investigations and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. • CT Renaissance Administrative Review shall include o An effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse o Shall be documented in written reports of the review and the findings (p. 1).

Interviews

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that an administrative investigation is conducted for all allegations. If there is evidence that abuse or harassment occurred, the DOC PREA Unit and the State Police are notified, and the investigation is turned over to them. The PREA Coordinator is notified of any allegation and conducts the administrative investigation. If there is substantiating evidence of sexual abuse or harassment, the DOC PREA unit is notified, and the investigation is turned over to the State Police.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.222 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy that requires that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment be referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, including the agency if it conducts its own investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior. The agency's policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation is published on the agency website or made publicly available via other means. The agency documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation.

Policy: The Responding to Sexual Abuse and Harassment Policy provides guidance on the administrative and criminal response to a sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegation. The policy states that: Investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be done so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations including third-party and anonymous reports. Investigations shall be conducted by law enforcement for sexual abuse reports, internal reviews and investigations of reports of sexual harassment incidents will be reviewed and coordinated by the PREA Coordinator. • PREA Coordinator, Program Director or designee shall contact the State Police Department to initiate a criminal investigation when appropriate. • Law enforcement will take the lead role in investigations for sexual abuse and CTR staff will cooperate with

such investigations and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. • CT Renaissance Administrative Review shall include: o An effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse o Shall be documented in written reports of the review and the findings (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred to the CT State Police for criminal investigations. Administrative investigations are handled internally.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.222 (c). If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, such publication shall describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity.

Documentation Reviewed

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.222 (d). Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e). Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Corrective Action and Conclusion

115.231 **Employee training** Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Training Requirements Policy: Outline of Training Requirements Memo: PREA Training Requirements Prevention and Responding to Corrections-Based Sexual Abuse & Harassment **NEW Hire PREA Training (PPT)** PREA Training PPT Employee PREA Training Acknowledgement (8) PREA Training Pamphlet (Preventing and Responding to Correctives-Based Sexual Abuse: A Guide for Community Corrections Professionals Mandated Reporter Training PPT Training Record (8) Interviews: Random Sample of Staff (7) Findings (By Provision): 115.231 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the agency's zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the right of residents to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on how to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender-nonconforming residents. The agency trains all employees who may have contact with residents on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities. Policy: The Training Requirements policy states that "sexual Abuse and Harassment - agency policies and procedures in conjunction with PREA must be attended annually by all staff and administration. The agency PREA coordinator and any staff conducting PREA investigations will complete training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings (NIC PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting or equivalent)" (p. 1).

During the onsite phase the auditor reviewed the PREA Training Curriculum, and it was determined that the curriculum covers all of the required elements of staff PREA training. The auditor reviewed a sample of training records (PREA Acknowledgement Statements).

Documentation Reviewed

Training Records (8)

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – All of the interviewed staff reported that they received training on the above-mentioned elements. The staff reported that they received as a new hire and those supervisors go over it every 30 days. When probed the staff was able to describe various components of the training such as, signs to look out for if someone is being victimized, and some of the common reactions of sexual abuse victims.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.231 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the training is tailored to the gender of the residents at the facility.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act policy states that "CT Renaissance shall provide training tailored to the gender of the residents at the facility. While we do not have residential facilities for females, should an employee be reassigned from a facility that houses only female residents to a facility that houses only male residents or vice versa, additional training would be provided to that employee" (p. 4).

Documentation Reviewed

Employee PREA Training Acknowledgment (8)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.231 (c). As reported in the PAQ, in between trainings the agency provides employees who may have contact with residents with refresher information about current policies regarding sexual abuse and harassment. The frequency with which employees who may have contact with residents receive refresher training on PREA requirements is annually.

Documentation Reviewed

Refresher: Employee PREA Training Acknowledgment (4)

Discussion: The auditor reviewed all of the staff training records. Four of the staff who were eligible for refresher training completed refresher training in the last 12 months. A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.231 (d). The agency documents that employees who may have contact with residents understand the training they have received through employee signature or electronic verification.

Policy: The Training Requirements Policy states that "Each employee shall sign a signature form to verify attendance and complete an instructor evaluation and post-test if applicable" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Employee PREA Training Acknowledgment (8)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.232 Volunteer and contractor training Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Volunteers and Interns Policy: Independent Contractors PREA Visitor Acknowledgement Findings (By Provision): 115.232 (a). As reported in the PAQ, all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response. The number of volunteers and individual contractors who have contact with residents who have been trained in agency policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response: 0. Policy: The Volunteers and Interns policy states that "all applicants accepted as Volunteers or Interns shall have a complete orientation and training period that includes at a minimum client rights, security and confidentiality regulations, emergency procedures, lines of communication and authority, information regarding insurance coverage, information about personal risks and liability, and all agency policies and procedures. Volunteers / Interns are given the opportunity to attend internal workshop and seminars" (p. 1). Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. 115.232 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors is based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents. It was further reported that all volunteers and contracts receive the same training as employees. Policy: The Volunteers and Interns policy states that "all applicants accepted as Volunteers or Interns shall have a complete orientation and training period that includes at a minimum client rights, security and confidentiality regulations, emergency procedures, lines of communication and authority, information regarding insurance coverage, information about personal risks and liability, and all agency policies and procedures. Volunteers / Interns are given the opportunity to attend internal workshop and seminars" (p. 1). 115.232 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency maintains documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents understand the training they have received. Documentation Reviewed: Blank Contractor Signed PREA Acknowledgement Statement Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.233 Resident education Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature) Policy: Admission and Orientation Westbury West Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish) CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish) Intake Records of Residents (63) Posters (English/Spanish) 12-month population report Interviews Intake Staff Random Sample of Residents (10) Findings (By Provision): 115.233 (a). Residents receive information at time of intake about the zero-tolerance policy, how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or harassment, their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents, and regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents. The number of residents admitted during past 12 months who were given this information at intake: 78 Policy: Admission and Orientation, Waterbury West states that "all clients who are approved for admission shall complete an intake process upon arrival at the facility. Under staff supervision, the clients shall complete case record paperwork, PREA Screening and a drug screening. Furthermore, they shall be oriented to the facility, assigned a primary counselor, have an opportunity to review and discuss program rules and regulations, services available, program goals, rules governing conduct, possible disciplinary actions, and any limitations of available services. Clients in residential programs under the PREA standards will receive a brochure which will explain PREA and provide emergency and reporting procedures. Clients shall agree to abide by the rules, regulations, and general programming standards, and acknowledge such understanding by

signing the Client Handbook Acknowledgement Form" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed:

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

Intake Records/Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature) (63)

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

12-month population report

Interviews:

Intake Staff – The interviewed staff reported that during the intake process they go through the PREA packet and explain the agency's zero tolerance policy, what would be considered a PREA incident and how to report if they feel violated. I also have PREA information posted in my office wall and throughout the facility. Prea policy is reviewed during orientation and again during the 30-day screening. During the PREA screening the data collection will alert me to who is at risk of being victimized, and/or who may have sexually aggressive behavior, it will be in the client's charts for those working closely with the clients. I also build a report with the client and let them know everything they tell me is confidential so that they are comfortable during the screening.

Resident Interview Questionnaire - All of the interviewed residents reported that when they first arrived at the facility there

were given the rules against sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When probed the residents stated that the staff went over paperwork with them, and this occurred within the same day of placement. The residents further confirmed that they were informed of their right to not be sexually abused or sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and their right to not be punished for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.233 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the facility provides residents who are transferred from a different community confinement facility with refresher information referenced in 115.233(a)-1. The number of residents transferred from a different community confinement facility during the past 12 months: 6. The number of residents transferred from a different community confinement facility, during the past 12 months, who received refresher information: 6.

Policy: Policy: Admission and Orientation, Waterbury West states that "PREA Acknowledgement - Residents shall receive information explaining CT Renaissance's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents and the review process. Such information shall be provided as a refresher whenever a resident is transferred to another facility. Client will receive a brochure upon entrance to the facility and will sign receipt of brochure" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish) (63)

Intake Records/Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature)

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Interviews

Intake Staff – The interviewed staff reported that Prea policy is reviewed during orientation and again during the 30-day screening. During the PREA screening the data collection will alert me to who is at risk of being victimized, and/or who may have sexually aggressive behavior, it will be in the client's charts for those working closely with the clients. I also build a report with the client and let them know everything they tell me is confidential so that they are comfortable during the screening. The clients are given a PREA packet, and the screening is conducted upon admission.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – The interviewed residents reported that they arrived at the facility within the last nine months. Most of the interviewed had arrived within the last three months. All of the residents transferred from another facility.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.233 (c). As reported in the PAQ, resident PREA education is available in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited English proficient. Resident PREA education is available in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are deaf. Resident PREA education is available in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are visually impaired. Resident PREA education is available in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are otherwise disabled. Resident PREA education is available in formats accessible to all residents, including those who are limited in their reading skills.

During the onsite inspection the auditor observed that the facility had signage for translation services. In addition, the facility had several bi-lingual staff to provide interpretation services for the most common secondary language (Spanish).

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

Intake Records/Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature) (63)

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.233 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the agency maintains documentation of resident participation in PREA education sessions.

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish) (63)

Intake Records/Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature)

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.233 (e). As reported in the PAQ, the agency ensures that key information about the agency's PREA policies is continuously and readily available or visible through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats.

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

Intake Records/Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy (Client Signature) (63)

Posters (English/Spanish)

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

PREA Audit Site Review: During the onsite inspection the auditor observed PREA posters, resident handbooks, PREA brochures and information regarding the advocacy services throughout the common areas of all sites.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.234 Specialized training: Investigations

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Training Requirements

Training Certificate of Completion (PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting)

Training Certificate (PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities)

Interviews:

Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.234 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires that investigators are trained in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

Policy: The Training Requirements policy states that "sexual Abuse and Harassment - agency policies and procedures in conjunction with PREA must be attended annually by all staff and administration. The agency PREA coordinator and any staff conducting PREA investigations will complete training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings (NIC PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting or equivalent)" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Training Certificate of Completion (PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting)

Training Certificate (PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities)

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that they have completed the NIC training courses called PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting and PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities. The topics included in the training were: PREA Investigative Standards, Criteria and Evidence for Administrative Action and Prosecution, the Role of Medical and Mental Health in the Investigative Process, Roles of the Victim Advocate, Working with Victims, Proper use of Miranda and Garrity Warnings, Sexual Abuse Evidence Collection in Confinement Settings, Interviewing Techniques, and Institutional Culture and Investigations.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.234 (b). Specialized training shall include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.

Documentation Reviewed

Training Certificate of Completion (PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting)

Training Certificate (PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities)

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that the topics included in the training were: PREA Investigative Standards, Criteria and Evidence for Administrative Action and Prosecution, the Role of Medical and Mental Health in the Investigative Process, Roles of the Victim Advocate, Working with Victims, Proper use of Miranda and Garrity Warnings, Sexual Abuse Evidence Collection in Confinement Settings, Interviewing Techniques, and Institutional Culture and Investigations.

115.234 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency agency maintains documentation showing that investigators have completed the required training. The number of investigators currently employed who have completed the required training:

1

Documentation Reviewed

Training Certificate of Completion (PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting)

Training Certificate (PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.234 (d). Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.235 | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Policy: Training Requirements |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.235 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy related to the training of medical and mental health practitioners who work regularly in its facilities. |
| | The number of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility and have received the training required by agency policy: 0. |
| | The percent of all medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly at this facility and have received the training required by agency policy: 0 |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.235 (b). NA- the agency does not have onsite medical and mental health services. |
| | 115.235 (c). NA- the agency does not have onsite medical and mental health services. |
| | 115.235 (d). NA- the agency does not have onsite medical and mental health services. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

115.241 Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Admission and Orientation Waterbury West

Policy: Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness

12-month population report

PREA Screening Risk Assessment (41)

PREA Screening Risk Assessment Tool (23)

Rescreening (11)

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews:

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

Resident Interview Questions (10)

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.241 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy that requires screening (upon admission to a facility or transfer to another facility) for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents.

Policy: The Admission and Orientation stated that "All clients who are approved for admission shall complete an intake process upon arrival at the facility. Under staff supervision, the clients shall complete case record paperwork, PREA Screening and a drug screening. Furthermore, they shall be oriented to the facility, assigned a primary counselor, have an opportunity to review and discuss program rules and regulations, services available, program goals, rules governing conduct, possible disciplinary actions, and any limitations of available services" (p. 1).

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization & Abusiveness policy states that "all residents will be assessed during and the intake and evaluation process for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents. CT Renaissance programs will utilize a screening tool to determine a level of risk for abusiveness and/or victimization" (p. 1).

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that all residents are screened upon admission for risk of sexual abuse victimization or sexual abusiveness toward other residents.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – All of the interviewed residents reported that on the first day at the facility they were asked questions like whether or not they had been in jail or prison before, whether they have been sexually abused, whether they identify as gay, lesbian, bisexual, or whether they may be in danger of sexual abuse.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (b). According to the PAQ, the policy requires that residents are screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexual abusing other residents within 72 hours of their intake. The number of residents entering the facility (either through intake or transfer) within the past 12 months (whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more) who were screened for risk of sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of their entry into the facility: 78.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization & Abusiveness policy states that "the PREA Screening Assessment shall be

conducted with the client within 72 hours of admission. • The PREA Screening assessment shall consider prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known to the agency, in assessing residents, for risk of being sexually abusive" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

12-month population report

Risk Screening (41)

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that all residents are screened for sexual victimization or risk of sexually abusing other residents within 72 hours of admission.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – All of the interviewed residents reported that on the first day at the facility they were asked questions like whether or not they had been in jail or prison before, whether they have been sexually abused, whether they identify as gay, lesbian, bisexual, or whether they may be in danger of sexual abuse.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the facility uses a risk assessment is conducted using an objective screening instrument.

Documentation Reviewed

12-month population report

Risk Screening-prior/updated (41)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (d). The intake screening shall consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (2) The age of the resident; (3) The physical build of the resident; (4) Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated; (5) Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; (6) Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; (7) Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming; (8) Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (9) The resident's own perception of vulnerability

During the pre-audit phase it was determined that the facility had a PREA screening tool (PREA Screening Checklist) did not cover all of the required elements to assess for risk of sexual victimization. The agency/facility updated the tool to cover all of the required elements.

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Screening Checklist-prior/updated

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that the screening accesses age, build, criminal history (including non-violent, sex offenses), whether the residents have any disability, previous incarceration, or if their perceived sexual orientation and perception of vulnerability. The resident is initially assessed upon admission during intake.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (e). The intake screening shall consider prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known to the agency, in assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive.

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Screening Checklist -prior/updated (41)

Use of new tool (2)

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening - The interviewed staff reported that the screening accesses age, build, criminal history (including non-violent, sex offenses), whether the residents have any disability, previous incarceration, or if their perceived sexual orientation and perception of vulnerability. The resident is initially assessed upon admission during intake.

Corrective Action: During the pre-audit phase it was determined that the facility had a PREA screening tool (PREA Screening Checklist) did not cover all of the required elements to assess for risk of sexual victimization. The agency/facility updated the tool to cover all of the required elements. The site provided documentation of the use of the new tool. No further action is needed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (f). As reported in the PAQ, the policy requires that the facility reassess each resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness within a set time period, not to exceed 30 days after the resident's arrival at the facility, based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening. The number of residents entering the facility (either through intake or transfer) within the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more who were reassessed for their risk of sexual victimization or of being sexually abusive within 30 days after their arrival at the facility based upon any additional, relevant information received since intake: 78.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness Policy states that "within 30 days of admission, the program will reassess the resident's risk of victimization for abusiveness based upon any additional relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening" (p. 3).

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Screening Checklist-prior/updated (41)

Rescreening (30 Day Follow Up Review) (23)

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that the resident is initially assessed upon admission and again within the 30 days for rescreening.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – Only two of the interviewed residents could recall whether or not the staff have asked them the screening intake questions again since they have been here. It should also be noted that half of the interviewed residents had not been at the facility for 30 days for the reassessment.

Corrective Action: During the audit process it was determined that there was a period of time in the last 12 months where either the risk screenings were not completed within the 30 days or not completed at all. The agency reported that the problem was identified earlier this year and addressed with the staff. The auditor was able to review the prior and current residents to see the improvement in documentation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (g). As reported in the PAQ, the policy requires that a resident's risk level be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness Policy states that "a resident's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse or receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness." (p. 3).

Documentation Reviewed

Rescreening (30 Day Follow Up Review) (23)

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening -The interviewed staff reported that resident risk levels are reassessed as needed and again within 30 days of initial screening.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – Only two of the interviewed residents could recall whether or not the staff have asked them the screening intake questions again since they have been here. It should also be noted that half of the interviewed residents had not been at the facility for 30 days for the reassessment.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.241 (h). As reported in the PAQ, the policy prohibits disciplining residents for refusing to answer (or for not disclosing complete information related to) the questions regarding: (a) whether or not the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (b) whether or not the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender non-conforming; (c) Whether or not the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (d) the resident's own perception of vulnerability.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness Policy states that "Residents may not be disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information" (p. 3).

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Screening Checklist-prior/updated (41)

Rescreening (30 Day Follow Up Review) (23)

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that residents are not disciplined for refusing to respond to the assessment.

115.241 (i). The agency shall implement appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness Policy states that the "information received during the screening / evaluation process shall uphold all of CT Renaissance's standards of confidentiality. Information received shall be used from a programmatic and treatment perspective in determining service needs and ensuring the safety of the resident. Employees, volunteers, interns or contractors found to be using sensitive information to the detriment of the resident shall be the subject of corrective action up to and including termination" (p. 3).

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that information is accessible only to those tasked with monitoring client safety. Information received is used from a programmatic perspective in determining service needs and ensuring the safety of the resident. We have controls in place to ensure that information is appropriately used and ensure that sensitive information is not exploited by staff or other residents. Employees, volunteers, interns or contractors found to be using sensitive information to the detriment of the resident will be subject to corrective action including termination.

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that the agency has determined who can have access to a resident's risk assessment.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.241. (e). Corrective Action: During the pre-audit phase it was determined that the facility had a PREA screening tool (PREA Screening Checklist) did not cover all of the required elements to assess for risk of sexual victimization. The agency/facility updated the tool to cover all of the required elements. The site provided documentation of the use of the new tool. No further action is needed.

115.241 (f). During the audit process it was determined that there was a period of time in the last 12 months where either the risk screenings were not completed within the 30 days or not completed at all. The agency reported that the problem was identified earlier this year and addressed with the staff. The auditor was able to review the prior and current residents to see the improvement in documentation.

115.242 Use of screening information Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Evaluation and Intake Interview, Waterbury West Residential Treatment Program

Policy: Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews:

PREA Coordinator

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

Transgender/Intersex/Gay/Lesbian Residents (1)

Findings (By Provision):

115.242 (a). The agency/facility uses information from the risk screening required by §115.241 to inform housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Policy: The Evaluation and Intake Interview, Waterbury West Residential Treatment Program

Policy states that "a comprehensive assessment is then formulated, which includes a summary of the above information, diagnosis, client strengths and limitations, clinical impression, stage of change, recommendations for treatment and initial treatment plan. This assessment is conducted within specific time frames and is used in the development of the individual treatment plan. This assessment will identify any co-occurring disabilities/disorders that should be addressed when developing the individual plan including preliminary discharge plans. This assessment is due within 5 business days from the evaluation appointment, unless otherwise noted by grant contracts" (p. 1).

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness states that "each program shall develop a plan for making bed decisions when a determination has been made that a resident may be at risk for victimization or that a potential abuser is being housed" (p. 3).

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that all residents are assessed during the intake and evaluation process for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abused towards. CT Renaissance uses the Screening Assessment for Vulnerability to Victimization and Sexually Aggressive Behavior (VSAB) tool and clients receive this screening within 72 hours of admission. The screening tool is scored and utilized to make housing, monitoring and treatment or service decisions/recommendations. In addition, if the resident is identified as a vulnerable victim or sexually aggressive, it will be noted in the POP sheet to assist staff in monitoring them. Within 30 days, the program will reassess.

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening - The interviewed staff reported that the agency considers everything on the risk assessment when dorm and bed locations.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.242 (b). As reported in the PAO, the agency/facility makes individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident.

Policy: The Evaluation and Intake Interview, Waterbury West Residential Treatment Program

Policy states that "all individuals referred to Connecticut Renaissance will undergo an evaluation interview on the premises to assess eligibility for admission. A qualified staff or supervisor who is knowledgeable to assess the needs of person served, trained in the use of assessment instruments, and able to communicate with the client performs the evaluation. The purpose

of the evaluation is to assess for the appropriateness of available services" (p. 1).

Interviews

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening - The interviewed staff reported that the agency considers everything on the risk assessment when making dorm and bed locations.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.242 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency/facility makes housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex residents in the facility on a case-by-case basis.

Policy: The Screening for Risk of Victimization & Abusiveness states that "bed placements for transgender or intersex residents shall be based on concerns for the resident's health and safety. The transgender or intersex resident's own view of safety needs shall be a serious consideration in making bed placements. However, the program shall not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex residents in dedicated areas solely on the basis of such identification or status, unless such placement is in a dedicated area established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such residents. Documentation of placement considerations shall be maintained in the client's record" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis, based on information from the PREA Screening and the client at intake. A variety of housing configurations are available based on need and preference. The agency prioritizes resident health and safety when making placement decisions and utilizes a client-centered approach across all services. The agency accepts referrals to Central Work Release within our admission criteria and as per our contract with DOC. Any management or security problems would be addressed on an individual basis, in coordination with DOC, and handled accordingly, with the joint goals of ensuring safety while facilitating client access to the program.

Discussion: There was one identified resident who identified as transgender at the program in the last 12 months. The site completed the appropriate assessments and follow up to support the program, safety and security needs of the resident. A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.242 (d). A transgender or intersex resident's own view with respect to his or her own safety shall be given serious consideration.

Documentation Reviewed

Transgender Resident Intake Assessment and Orientation

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that a transgender or intersex resident's own view with respect to his or her own safety would be given the highest consideration in placement and programming assignments.

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that all information regarding reported safety concerns is considered when determining program placement and bedroom assignments.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.242 (e). Transgender and intersex residents shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents.

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that Central Work Release facility has a configuration that allows for private showering for a transgender or intersex resident.

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening – The interviewed staff reported that all information regarding reported safety concerns is considered when determining program placement and bedroom assignments.

PREA Audit Site Review: During the site inspection the auditor did not observe any special housing for residents who may identify as being lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.242 (f). The agency shall not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status, unless such placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such residents.

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that the agency is not subject to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment requiring that it establish a dedicated facility, unit, or wing for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents.

Gay/Lesbian/Bisexual Resident-There was one identified gay resident. The resident reported that the facility does not have a housing area only for gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.251 Resident reporting Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment Orientation Checklist with Program Director/Supervisor Grievance From **PREA Brochure PREA Posters** Resident Handbook Interviews: Random Sample of Staff (7) Resident Interview Questionnaire (10) Findings (By Provision): 115.251 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has established procedures allowing for multiple internal ways for residents to report privately to agency officials about: (a) sexual abuse or sexual harassment; (b) retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and (c) staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that "clients / Residents may make verbal or written reports of sexual abuse or harassment to their Clinician, Program Director, PREA Coordinator, Director of Quality Improvement or any other employee they feel comfortable in reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and, staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Policy reviewed 12/30/21 DP Policy created 1/11/16 GG • Staff must allow the client / resident a private area to report their concerns and make their report. Staff must accept both verbal and/or written incident reports. If the client / resident is willing to make a written report, the PREA incident report form should be utilized. If not, the staff person taking the report can write the report The resident handbook provides multiple internal and external reporting methods (pp. 1-2). **Documentation Reviewed** Resident Handbook Grievance Form Interviews Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported various methods in which residents can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, or staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The various methods include to tell staff, call the hotline, notify police, notify the parole officer, or write a grievance. Resident Interview Questionnaire - The interviewed residents reported that they are aware of multiple methods to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The various ways reported include tell staff, notify police, notify the parole officer, complete a grievance or call the hotline. PREA Audit Site Review: The auditor observed posters and an area for grievance box during the onsite inspection. The grievance box was in the process of being replaced, however the replacement occurred on the 2nd day of the site visit. In

Corrective Action: The resident handbook was missing some key information to inform residents of their rights on the

addition, the auditor observed a resident board that contained various information on how to report sexual abuse along with

the advocacy information.

agencies zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. During the post onsite audit phase, the handbook was updated. There is no further action needed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.251 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency shall also inform residents of at least one way to report abuse or harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency, and that is able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials, allowing the resident to remain anonymous upon request. Staff are required to document verbal reports.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that:

- · Clients / Residents may make verbal or written reports of sexual abuse or harassment to their Clinician, Program Director, PREA Coordinator, Director of Quality Improvement or any other employee they feel comfortable in reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and, staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Policy reviewed 12/30/21 DP Policy created 1/11/16 GG
- Staff must allow the client / resident a private area to report their concerns and make their report. Staff must accept both verbal and/or written incident reports. If the client / resident is willing to make a written report, the PREA incident report form should be utilized. If not, the staff person taking the report can write the report.
- The PREA incident report form shall be forwarded to the agency's PREA Coordinator & designated Program Director who will then contact the client's Parole Officer or other referral source.
- · Clients / Residents may report concerns of sexual abuse or harassment to their Parole Officers or referral sources, who will then contact the agency's PREA Coordinator to corroborate and investigation.
- · Clients / Residents may contact Safe Haven, 29 Central Ave in Waterbury, CT at (203) 753-3613 (for sexual assault services) or The Center for Family Justice located at 753 Fairfield Ave., Bridgeport, 203-334-6154. The crisis centers shall forward reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials. Clients may remain anonymous if they desire. Client/residents also may call 911 for an immediate report to local and CT State Police.
- · CT Renaissance will provide victims with access to external victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and phone numbers of such community resources. The programs shall enable communication between residents and such community resources in as confidential manner as possible.
- · Clients will be informed that when third party services are used for reporting purposes, the agency will be made aware of reports of sexual abuse and harassment. The agency will pursue a coordinated response accordingly and as necessary.
- Employees shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties. Any report received shall be promptly documented. Reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be thoroughly reviewed by the PREA Coordinator, who will do so in conjunction with the Clinical Director, Chief Executive Officer and other parties as appropriate.
- · Residents upon admission will be oriented to CT Renaissance's PREA policies and procedures.
- · In the orientation process, residents will obtain a clear understanding of reporting and review procedures including being provided the PREA incident reporting form and other options for privately and anonymously making reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. (pp. 1-2).

Documentation Review

Resident Handbook

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that if a client wishes to report abuse or harassment to an entity outside of the agency, they can contact the Sexual Assault Hotline, or the police department. The agency provides clients with the Sexual Assault Hotline number at orientation, as well as information about their options for making such reports. The number is also posted in English and Spanish at the facilities. The procedures enable receipt and immediate transmission of resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to the agency, while the resident may choose to remain anonymous upon request. The crisis centers will forward reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials. Staff will accept anonymous and third-party reports, in addition to verbal or written reports.

Resident Interview Questionnaire - The interviewed residents reported that they are aware of multiple methods to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The various ways reported include tell staff, notify policy, notify the parole officer,

complete a grievance or call the hotline. All but one of the interviewed residents reported that they could make a report without having to give their name. When probed the residents stated that they could call the hotline or write a grievance. It should also be noted that the residents have access to their own personal cell phones.

PREA Audit Site Review: The auditor observed PREA posters/signage throughout the facility.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.251 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy mandating that staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties. Staff are required to document verbal reports within 24 hours.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that "CT Renaissance requires all staff to report immediately and initiate a coordinated response to any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment that may have taken place against a client by another client, employee, volunteer, intern or contractor. Residents / Clients shall be encouraged and provided a safe means of reporting such abuse. Anyone who reports an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment may do so without fear of reprisal" (p. 1).

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that a resident who alleges sexual abuse, can do so verbally, in writing, anonymously and from a third party. When asked do you document the report, all of the staff stated yes. It was further reported that they would document immediately.

Resident Interview Questionnaire – All of the interviewed residents reported that they could make a report either in person or in writing. They further stated that family and friends could make a report for them if needed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.251 (d). The agency has established procedures for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents. Staff can privately report by anonymous phone call, in writing to the PREA Coordinator, Department of Corrections or the State PREA Coordinator.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that the staff reporting procedures include:

- Staff shall report to their next level Supervisor and the agency's PREA Coordinator any knowledge or suspicion of sexual abuse and/or harassment against a client / resident by another client/resident, employee, volunteer, intern or contractor. Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment; and, staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents should also be reported.
- \cdot Staff shall utilize the PREA Incident report form on behalf of the client / resident to initiate a response by the PREA Coordinator.
- Staff may make such reports in a private manner of which they are comfortable. Such reports of sexual abuse, harassment, known retaliation or concerns of neglect on the part of another staff, volunteer, intern or contractor may be submitted in writing or verbally to the PREA Coordinator and may be done so anonymously.
- · Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or the PREA Coordinator staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, review and other security and management decisions.
- · Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State or local law, agency staff shall be required to report sexual abuse and must inform client / residents of their duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality at the initiation of services.
- · If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, CT Renaissance shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws.
- · Upon hire, new employees will receive training on the agency's policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment. A signed understanding of CT Renaissance's PREA policies shall be maintained in the employee's personnel file.
- \cdot Staff shall attend an annual re-training on PREA policies and procedures. The annual training will be competency based.

Documentation Reviewed

Orientation Checklist with Program Director/Supervisor

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that they could privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents by calling the PREA hotline, notifying chain of command, or notify the PREA coordinator.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.251 (a) The resident handbook was missing some key information to inform residents of their rights on the agencies zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment. During the post onsite audit phase, the handbook was updated.

A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. There is no further action needed.

115.252 **Exhaustion of administrative remedies** Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Grievance Form Grievances Policy: Grievances Findings (By Provision): 115.252 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in Policy: The Grievances policy provides guidance on how the agency will respond to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported through the grievance process. The agency shall not impose a time limit on when a resident may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits on any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse. The agency shall not require a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse. Nothing in this section shall restrict the agency's ability to defend against a lawsuit filed by a resident on the ground that the applicable statute of limitations has expired. The agency shall ensure that -

- (1) A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint, and
- (2) Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint.
- (1) The agency shall issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance.
- (2) Computation of the 90-day time period shall not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.
- (3) The agency may claim an extension of time to respond, of up to 70 days, if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The agency shall notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made.
- (4) At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, the resident may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level.

(e)

- (1) Third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents.
- (2) If a third party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.

(3) If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, the agency shall document the resident's decision.

(f)

- (1) The agency shall establish procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse.
- (2) After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the agency shall immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken, shall provide an initial response within 48 hours, and shall issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days. The initial response and final agency decision shall document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency grievance.
- (g) The agency may discipline a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith.

Documentation Reviewed

Grievance Form

Grievances

- 115.252 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.
- 115.252 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.
- 115.252 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.
- 115.252 (e). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.
- 115.252 (f). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.
- 115.252 (g). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not have an administrative procedure for dealing with resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. However, upon further review it was identified that the site has a grievance system in place.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

During the course of the audit process, it was determined that the agency has a method for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment through the grievance process. The facility updated its policy to ensure all elements were covered when responding to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. No further action is needed.

A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. There is no further action needed.

115.253 Resident access to outside confidential support services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse

Policy: Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Harassment

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury-Sexual Assault Services

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Interviews:

Resident Interview Questionnaire - (10)

Findings (By Provision):

115.253 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the facility provides residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility provides residents with access to such services by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers (including toll-free hotline numbers where available) for local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations. The facility provides residents with access to such services by enabling reasonable communication between residents and these organizations in as confidential a manner as possible.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse policy states that "upon receiving a report of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment, CT Renaissance shall promptly connect the victim with emotional support services including a mental health evaluation and, as appropriate treatment planning, recommended treatment services and referrals for continued care following discharge. • CT Renaissance shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If the area hospitals do not have available SAFE or SANEs then the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners. • Victims shall be referred to a victim advocate at a rape crisis center" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury-Sexual Assault Services

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Interviews

Resident Interview Questionnaire – Seven of the interviewed residents reported that they were aware of outside services that deal with sexual abuse if needed. Only three of them could name a specific place. One stated victim advocacy, one stated a group across the street from the program, and the other reported that the staff provided the information however they could not recall the specifics. Those several residents could articulate that the facility provided them with mailing addresses and toll-free telephone numbers. It was further reported that if they wanted to talk to the outside services they could on their own as they had their own cell phones. The residents felt that they could have a private conversation and felt that the entire conversation could remain private.

PREA Audit Site Review: The auditor observed victim advocacy and supportive services information throughout the facility.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.253 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored. The facility informs residents, prior to giving them access to outside support services, of the mandatory reporting rules governing privacy, confidentiality, and/or privilege that apply to disclosures of sexual abuse made to outside victim advocates, including any limits to confidentiality under relevant federal, state, or local law.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and Harassment policy states that "clients will be informed that when third party services are used for reporting purposes, the agency will be made aware of reports of sexual abuse and harassment. The agency will pursue a coordinated response accordingly and as necessary" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish)

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury-Sexual Assault Services

CT PREA Brochure (English/Spanish)

Interviews

Resident Interview Questionnaire – Seven of the ten interviewed residents reported being aware of outside services for sexual abuse. When asked if the conversation with the outside services could remain private the residents reported that the conversation would remain private, and they were unaware of a circumstance where privacy would be breached.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.253 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency or facility maintains memorandum of understanding (MOUs) or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with emotional support services related to sexual abuse.

The facility has MOA with The Center for Family Justice. Upon review of the MOA with the Center for Family Justice, it is found that the facility has a written agreement that the Center for Family Justice can provide free, confidential and empowerment based sexual assault crisis and advocacy services including a 24-hour hotline, individual counseling, medical and legal accompaniment and support, and community education and training.

Documentation Reviewed

MOU: Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury

Safe Haven of Greater Waterbury-Sexual Assault Services

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.254 | Third party reporting |
|---------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Policy: Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment |
| | Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish) |
| | Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/ |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.254 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency or facility provides a method to receive third-party reports of resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The agency or facility publicly distributes information on how to report resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of residents. |
| | Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment policy states that "clients will be informed that when third party services are used for reporting purposes, the agency will be made aware of reports of sexual abuse and harassment. The agency will pursue a coordinated response according and as necessary. Employees shall accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties. Any report received shall be promptly documented". |
| | Documentation Reviewed |
| | Client PREA Brochure Acknowledgement (English/Spanish) |
| | Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/ |
| | Conclusion and/or Corrective Action: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

115.261 Staff and agency reporting duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Interviews:

Random Sample of Staff (7)

Director or Designee

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.261 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information they receive regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident. The agency requires all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that "CT Renaissance requires all staff to report immediately and initiate a coordinated response to any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or harassment that may have taken place against a client by another client, employee, volunteer, intern or contractor. Residents / Clients shall be encouraged and provided a safe means of reporting such abuse. Anyone who reports an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment may do so without fear of reprisal" (p. 1).

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the agency requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of retaliation. The reporting procedure includes completing an incident report, notify the chain of command, and immediately report.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.261 (b). As reported in the PAQ, apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials and designated state or local services agencies, agency policy prohibits staff from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse & Harassment states that "apart from reporting to designated supervisors or the PREA Coordinator staff shall not reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, review and other security and management decisions" (p. 1).

Interviews

Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the agency requires all staff to report any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility; retaliation against residents or staff who reported such an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of retaliation. The reporting procedure includes completing an incident report, notify the chain of command, and immediately report.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.261 (c). Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, medical and mental health practitioners shall be required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section and to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services. The facility does not have onsite medical and mental health staff

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.261 (d). If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, the agency shall report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws.

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed director stated that while they do not have clients under the age of 18, any such allegation would follow state mandated reporting protocol and the agency's PREA protocols.

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that while we do not house clients who are 18 or under, if an allegation was made by an individual 18 or under or by someone considered a vulnerable adult, the facility would report to the PREA Coordinator, and the agency would follow the state mandated reporting laws.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.261 (e). The facility shall report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators. There were zero reported allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Interviews

Director or Designee: The interviewed director reported that all allegations would initially be reported to the Director. The Director would follow PREA protocol by informing the PREA Coordinator and Parole.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.262 Agency protection duties Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment Policy: Screening for Risk of Victimization & Abusiveness Interviews: Agency Head Director or Designee Random Sample of Staff (7) Findings (By Provision): 115.262 (a). As reported in the PAQ, when the agency or facility learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident (i.e., it takes some action to assess and implement appropriate protective measures without unreasonable delay). In the past 12 months, the number of times the agency or facility determined that a resident was subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse: 0. If the agency or facility made such determinations in the past 12 months, the average amount of time (in hours) that passed before taking action: 0. The longest amount of time (in hours or days) elapsed before taking action--if not "immediate" (i.e., without unreasonable delay). If not immediate, please explain in the comments section. N/A. Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment, states that "when the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the agency shall take immediate action to protect the resident". Interviews Agency Head - The interviewed agency head reported that if they learn that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse CT Renaissance will move a resident to another room to protect the victim. The program will provide additional monitoring and check-ins of this resident to ensure his safety. If the suspected abuser is a staff member, that employee is put on administrative leave while an investigation is conducted. Director or Designee - The interviewed director reported that the resident would be assigned to single bed living quarters and the client would be asked for feedback on housing assignment and protective measures. The resident would be monitored by staff to ensure protection. Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that if they learn that a resident is of imminent risk of sexual abuse, they will respond immediately. The various response methods include notifying the supervisor, changing rooms if needed, closely monitor and separate from others. Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted.

A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment Interviews: Agency head Director or designee Findings (By Provision):

115.263 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy requiring that, upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the head of the facility must notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency or facility where sexual abuse is alleged to have occurred. During the past 12 months, the number of allegations the facility received that a resident was abused while confined at another facility: 0.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Program Director that received the allegation shall notify the head of the facility or the appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.263 (b). As reported the PAQ, the Agency policy requires the facility head to provide such notification as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

Policy: The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "such notification must be done so as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.263 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency or facility documents that it has provided such notification within 72 hours of receiving the allegation.

Policy The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "such notification must be done so as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation. • The Program Director will apprise the CT Renaissance PREA Coordinator of such allegations and collaborate with the PREA Coordinator in terms of ensuring appropriate notifications. • The PREA Coordinator will maintain documentation of such reports and communication with other organizations" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.263 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the agency or facility policy requires that allegations received from other facilities and agencies are investigated in accordance with the PREA standards. In the past 12 months, the number of allegations of sexual abuse the facility received from other facilities:

0.

Policy The Reporting of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "If CT Renaissance receives a report from another organization of an allegation of sexual abuse that supposedly occurred at a CT Renaissance facility. CT Renaissance shall follow up and initiate a review of the report" (p. 3).

Interviews

Agency head - The interviewed agency head reported that if another agency or facility with another agency refers an

allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the PREA Coordinator is the point person for CT Renaissance for such allegations. The PREA Coordinator would further investigate the allegation.

Director or designee – The interviewed director reported that notification to the PREA Coordinator and agency head occurs as soon as possible along with documentation of notification. PREA protocols would be initiated. The facility has an individualized Coordinated response which outlines all steps to be implemented.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.264 Staff first responder duties

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

Interviews:

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders (7)

Findings (By Provision):

115.264 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a first responder policy for allegations of sexual abuse. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to separate the alleged victim and abuser. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused and the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating. The policy requires that, upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused and the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, the first security staff member to respond to the report shall be required to ensure that the alleged abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations that a resident was sexually abused: 0 $\,$

Of these allegations, the number of times the first security staff member to respond to the report separated the alleged victim and abuser: 0

In the past 12 months, the number of allegations where staff were notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence: N/A

Of these allegations in the past 12 months where staff were notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence, the number of times the first security staff member to respond to the report preserved and protected any crime scene until appropriate steps could be taken to collect any evidence: N/A

Of these allegations in the past 12 months where staff were notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence, the number of times the first security staff member to respond to the report requested that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating: N/A

Of these allegations in the past 12 months where staff were notified within a time period that still allowed for the collection of physical evidence, the number of times the first security staff member to respond to the report ensured that the alleged abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating: N/A

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment

states that:

PROCEDURES – STAFF FIRST RESPONDER DUTIES • Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, the first staff person to receive the report must notify the Program Director. • Arrangements will immediately be made to separate the alleged victim and abuser • Law enforcement will immediately be called in the case of alleged sexual abuse • The crime scene will be closed off until the arrival of law enforcement. • The alleged victim will be asked not to take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating,

defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. • The alleged abuser will be asked to not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. • The Program Director or first responder if Director is not available shall make immediate notification to the PREA Coordinator and the referral source. • The PREA Coordinator will take the lead, provide direction and coordinate the activities necessary to ensure care to the victim. Law enforcement will be called immediately to investigate the allegations (p. 1).

Interviews

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders – All of the interviewed staff are considered first responders. The staff was able to articulate the first responder duties, such as securing the scene, getting the involved parties to a safe location, notifying their supervisor immediately, and ensuring that no one containments evidence.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.264 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence. Agency policy requires that if the first staff responder is not a security staff member, that responder shall be required to notify security staff.

Of the allegations that a resident was sexually abused made in the past 12 months, the number of times a non-security staff member was the first responder: 0.

Of those allegations responded to first by a non-security staff member, the number of times that staff member requested that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence: N/A.

Of those allegations responded to first by a non-security staff member, the number of times that staff member notified security staff: N/A.

Interviews

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders/Random Sample of Staff – The interviewed staff reported that if they are the first person to be alerted that a resident has allegedly been the victim of sexual abuse, their responsibility is to secure the area, take down basic information, take the victim to a safe location, maintain constant supervision of the clients, and complete an incident report. Such actions would be taken immediately. When asked who they would not share the information with the responses varied from other staff and clients.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.265 | Coordinated response |
|---------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Sexual Abuse Incident Coordinated Response Plan |
| | Interviews: |
| | Director |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.265 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the facility has developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership. |
| | Documentation Reviewed |
| | Sexual Abuse Incident Coordinated Response Plan |
| | Interviews |
| | Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that the facility has an individual coordinated response plan which outlines all steps to be implemented. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |
| | |

| 115.266 | Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Interviews: |
| | Agency Head |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.266 (a). The agency, facility, or any other governmental entity is not responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf has entered into or renewed any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later. |
| | Interviews |
| | Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that the agency has not entered into any collective bargaining agreements. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.266 (b). N/A- Auditor is not required to audit this provision. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

115.267 Agency protection against retaliation

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy

Interviews:

Agency Head

Director or Designee

Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation (or Director if non available)

Findings (By Provision):

115.267 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff. The agency designates staff member(s) or charges department(s) with monitoring for possible retaliation.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "Any employee, contractor, intern, volunteer, or individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction who reports an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperates in a sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigation must not be retaliated against. Any complaint of retaliation by an employee, contractor, intern, volunteer, or individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction will be reported and investigated in accordance with the procedures and instruction provided in this policy. Any individual who is found to have been in violation of this policy will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action and/or referred to the State Police for criminal investigation" (p. 7).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.267 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency shall employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations.

Interviews

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that in order to protect residents and staff from retaliation the program staff will increase the monitoring of the resident claiming sexual abuse or harassment. This includes in-person and video surveillance monitoring. The resident may be moved to another room for further protection.

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, the different measures taken to protect residents and staff from retaliation includes transfer to another facility for client safety, removal of abusers, separation of alleged victims and perpetrators, and monitor for retaliation. Trauma and support services are offered through affiliates.

Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation (or Director if none available) – The interviewed staff reported that the role that they plan in preventing retaliation against residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations include having clients periodically to assess for signs of distress and monitor staff treatment of clients during the remaining duration of admittance in the program. Housing changes or transfers, removal of alleged abusers and referrals for emotional support services will take place as appropriate. The different measures that would be taken to protect those residents and staff from retaliation include providing oversight, training and encourage staff and client population to report all instances of retaliation. The monitoring would occur throughout the remaining duration of the clients stay at the program.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.267 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency/facility monitors the conduct or treatment of residents or staff who reported

sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are any changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff. The agency/facility continues such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need.

The number of times an incident of retaliation occurred in the past 12 months: 0.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the agency's PREA Coordinator in cooperation with the appropriate Program Director or designee will develop and document a plan to prevent and/or monitor any acts of retaliation Reviewed 12/29/21 DP Revised 5/31/19 DP against someone who reports an incident or cooperates in an investigation of an allegation of sexual harassment or sexual abuse. For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, CT Renaissance shall monitor the conduct and treatment of clients / residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff and shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation. Monitoring shall continue beyond 90 days if initial monitoring indicates a continued need. Efforts to fulfill monitoring obligations will be documented and controlled by the PREA Coordinator" (p. 8).

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that the various measures taken when retaliation is suspected is the alleged victim and perpetrator are immediately separated; along with reporting to management staff, human resources, and PREA Coordinator for investigations.

Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation (or Director if none available) – The interviewed staff reported that when looking for signs of retaliation, the following things would be assessed, possible retaliation is monitored by observing changes in client/staff behavior and interactions. Additional reviews include client disciplinary records, staff performance and discipline records. Reassignments would be considered to maintain client safety if necessary. Monitoring would occur throughout the duration of the time the client is in the program/no less than 90 days. Clients and staff members involved in PREA investigations are monitored for signs of retaliation throughout the course of time in program and/or employment, for, a minimum of 90 days.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.267 (d). In the case of residents, such monitoring shall also include periodic status checks.

Interviews

Designated Staff Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation (or Director if none available) - The interviewed staff reported that when looking for signs of retaliation, the following things would be assessed, possible retaliation is monitored by observing changes in client/staff behavior and interactions. Additional reviews include client disciplinary records, staff performance and discipline records. Reassignments would be considered to maintain client safety if necessary.

Discussion:

115.267 (e). If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, the agency shall take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation.

Interviews

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head stated that if an individual who cooperates with an allegation expresses a fear of retaliation the program staff will increase the monitoring of the resident claiming sexual abuse or harassment. This includes in-person and video surveillance monitoring. The resident may be moved to another room for further protection.

Director or Designee – The interviewed director reported that the different measures that will be taken to protect residents and staff from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment include transfer to another facility for client safety, removal of abusers, separation of alleged victims and perpetrators, and monitor for retaliation. Trauma and support services are offered through affiliates. If retaliation is suspected the alleged victim and perpetrator are immediately separated. It would also be reported to management staff, human resource department, and PREA Coordinator for Investigation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.267 (f). N/A the auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in

| compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |
|--|
| |
| |

115.271 Criminal and administrative agency investigations Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy

Interviews:

PREA Coordinator

Investigative Staff

Director

Findings (By Provision):

115.271 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency/facility has a policy related to criminal and administrative agency investigations.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that:

Criminal Investigations - Sexual Abuse Allegations

- The Connecticut State Police shall serve as the investigating authority for all allegations of sexual abuse that occur within a CT Renaissance facility. All allegations of sexual abuse that occur within a CT Renaissance facility and/or program must be reported as soon as practical to the Connecticut State Police, the agency's PREA Coordinator and the Chief Executive Officer. If involving another employee, HR personnel would be involved as well. CT Renaissance will assist the CT State Police as needed.
- The PREA Coordinator will work with CSSD and/or the Department of Correction and the CT State Police when an allegation of sexual abuse has been made.

Internal Administrative Investigations - Sexual Harassment Allegations Only

- · All internal administrative investigations of allegations of sexual harassment will be conducted promptly, thoroughly and objectively. The PREA Coordinator shall initiate and coordinate the investigation process. The Human Resources Department shall serve as the reviewing authority for all allegations of, sexual harassment, or retaliation involving a CT Renaissance employee and an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction.
- The internal administrative investigation will include whether the alleged incident of sexual harassment, or retaliation was the result of employee misconduct or negligence.
- · The investigation shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.
- The agency shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual harassment are substantiated. Reviewed 12/29/21 DP Revised 5/31/19 DP
- · The PREA Coordinator must compile a full review / incident report and submit to the affected program's contracted funder.
- The agency shall retain all written reports referenced in this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years (p. 6).

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that investigations are initiated immediately upon report of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and are conducted promptly. All investigations are handled by the same standards. Anonymous or third-party reports follow the same protocols. Responses to all reports include keeping the victim safe from retaliation and reporting on the progress of the investigation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency shall employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that they have completed the NIC training. The National Institute of Corrections courses called PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting and PREA: Coordinators' Roles and Responsibilities.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (c). Investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that when an allegation is made, first responders utilize the Coordinated Response Plan to determine immediate actions including separation of the victim and suspect, immediate first aid and preservation of evidence. Criminal investigations are conducted by the State Police who would be contacted by first responders as part of the coordinated response. Administrative investigations begin when the PREA Coordinator is notified. Once confirming that the investigation is Administrative in nature, the context and details of the allegation are clarified via formal and informal interviews, review of any evidence, and review of records that may have a bearing on the case. The PREA Coordinator initiates and coordinates the investigation process, which takes into account physical, testimonial and documentary evidence gathered from interviews, records, electronic equipment and any relevant source. The internal administrative investigation will include whether the alleged incident of sexual harassment, or retaliation was the result of employee misconduct or negligence. The investigation is documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. The Human Resources Department serves as the reviewing authority for all allegations of, sexual harassment, or retaliation involving a CT Renaissance employee, following the full HR investigative process.

It was further reported that As the PREA Coordinator, I am responsible for gathering testimonial and documentary evidence, which may include but isn't limited to formal and informal interviews, documentation of physical evidence, or electronic data and records that may be pertinent to the situation. Evidence collection in criminal investigations is handled by the State Police, while the agency's coordinated response includes preservation of evidence.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (d). When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the agency shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that CT Renaissance does not conduct criminal investigations. Upon report of any possible criminal conduct or prosecutable crime, State Police are immediately contacted. State Police would confer with prosecutors in the handling of allegations that appears to be criminal.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (e). The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as resident or staff. No agency shall require a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff stated that Credibility will not be assessed based on an individual's status as a client or staff person. Reasoning behind credibility assessments is documented as part of the written investigative report. If a

resident who alleges sexual abuse will never be required to submit to a polygraph examination or truth telling device as a condition for proceeding with an investigation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (f). Administrative investigations: (1) Shall include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and (2) Shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "an administrative investigation shall be documented listing all findings including a determination whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the incident".

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that internal administrative investigation always includes whether the alleged incident of sexual harassment, or retaliation was the result of employee misconduct or negligence. The determination is made based on the available evidence and is subject to a review process by which recommendations are made to prevent future instances of staff misconduct or negligence. The investigation shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (g). Criminal investigations shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the State Police would maintain documentation of their criminal investigations. CT Renaissance would make every effort to remain in communication with the State Police and to obtain a copy of their final report.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (h). As reported in the PAQ, substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal are referred for prosecution. There were zero number of substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal that were referred for prosecution since the last PREA audit. The number of substantiated allegations of conduct that appear to be criminal that were referred for prosecution since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later: 0.

As previously stated, The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that:

Criminal Investigations - Sexual Abuse Allegations

- The Connecticut State Police shall serve as the investigating authority for all allegations of sexual abuse that occur within a CT Renaissance facility. All allegations of sexual abuse that occur within a CT Renaissance facility and/or program must be reported as soon as practical to the Connecticut State Police, the agency's PREA Coordinator and the Chief Executive Officer. If involving another employee, HR personnel would be involved as well. CT Renaissance will assist the CT State Police as needed.
- \cdot The PREA Coordinator will work with CSSD and/or the Department of Correction and the CT State Police when an allegation of sexual abuse has been made.

Internal Administrative Investigations – Sexual Harassment Allegations Only

- · All internal administrative investigations of allegations of sexual harassment will be conducted promptly, thoroughly and objectively. The PREA Coordinator shall initiate and coordinate the investigation process. The Human Resources Department shall serve as the reviewing authority for all allegations of, sexual harassment, or retaliation involving a CT Renaissance employee and an individual in the custody of the Judicial Branch or Department of Correction.
- · The internal administrative investigation will include whether the alleged incident of sexual harassment, or retaliation was the result of employee misconduct or negligence.
- The investigation shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

- The agency shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual harassment are substantiated. Reviewed 12/29/21 DP Revised 5/31/19 DP
- \cdot The PREA Coordinator must compile a full review / incident report and submit to the affected program's contracted funder.
- The agency shall retain all written reports referenced in this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years (p. 6).

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the State Police handles referral of cases for prosecution if there is a substantiated allegation of conduct that appears criminal.

Discussion:

115.271 (i). As reported in the PAQ, the agency retains all written reports pertaining to the administrative or criminal investigation of alleged sexual assault or sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.

Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the agency shall retain all written reports referenced in this section for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years" (p. 7).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (j). The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation.

Interviews

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that Investigations continue through final determination and review regardless of a staff member's employment status. The employment status has no bearing on the status of the investigation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.271 (k). Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (I). When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, the facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation.

Interviews

Director or Designee - The interviewed director reported that if an outside agency investigates allegations of sexual abuse, the facility will remain informed through the designated Parole Officer or direct contact through state police.

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that if an outside agency investigations allegation of sexual abuse, the PREA Coordinator and Facility Director proactively communicate with any outside agency investigation into allegations of sexual abuse. Criminal investigations are handled by the State Police, with whom the agency remains in contact until receipt of a final report.

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the Facility Director and the PREA Coordinator make every effort to remain in communication with the outside agency to get information on the progress of the investigation.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.272 | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: |
| | Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) |
| | Policy: Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy |
| | Interviews: |
| | Investigative Staff |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.272 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency does not impose a standard of a preponderance of evidence or a lower standard of proof when determining whether allegations of sexual abuse of sexual harassment are substantiated. |
| | Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "the agency shall impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual harassment are substantiated" (p. 6). |
| | Interviews |
| | Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that the agency will impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual harassment are substantiated. |
| | Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion: |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Reviewing and Responding to a Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment Interviews: Director Investigative Staff

Findings (By Provision):

115.273 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy requiring that any resident who alleges that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility is informed, verbally or in writing, as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation by the agency.

The number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse that were completed by the agency/facility in the past 12 months: 0.

Of the alleged sexual abuse investigations that were completed in the past 12 months, the number of residents who were notified, verbally or in writing, of the results of the investigation: N/A.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to a Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment states that "Following a review into a client / resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered while receiving services in a CT Renaissance facility, the PREA Coordinator shall inform the client / resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The client shall be informed (unless the alleged sexual abuse was determined to be unfounded) whenever:

- · The staff member is no longer assigned within the resident's unit; o The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
- · CT Renaissance learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility (p. 2).

Discussion:

115.273 (b). As reported in the PAQ if an outside entity conducts the investigation, the agency will request the relevant information from the investigation entity in order to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation.

The number of investigations of alleged resident sexual abuse in the facility that were completed by an outside agency in the past 12 months: 0

Of the outside agency investigations of alleged sexual abuse that were completed in the past 12 months, the number of residents alleging sexual abuse in the facility who were notified verbally or in writing of the results of the investigation: N/A no investigations by the outside agency.

Policy: The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy states that "any action taken against a staff member or any knowledge about convictions or criminal charges against a resident abuser shall be reported to the resident victim. All victim notifications will be documented in an incident report".

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that the facility notifies a resident who makes an allegation of sexual abuse when the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation.

Investigative Staff – The interviewed staff reported that following a review into a client / resident's allegation of sexual abuse in a CT Renaissance facility, the PREA Coordinator informs the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. This notification is to be documented.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.273 (c). As reported in the PAQ, following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, the facility subsequently informs the resident (unless unfounded) whenever:

- § The staff member is no longer posted within the residents unit;
- § The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
- § The agency learns that the staff member has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- § The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to a Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment states that "Following a review into a client / resident's allegation of sexual abuse suffered while receiving services in a CT Renaissance facility, the PREA Coordinator shall inform the client / resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The client shall be informed (unless the alleged sexual abuse was determined to be unfounded) whenever:

- · The staff member is no longer assigned within the resident's unit; o The staff member is no longer employed at the facility;
- \cdot CT Renaissance learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.273 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident in an agency facility, the agency subsequently informs the alleged victim whomever the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or the agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to a Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment states that "following a client's allegation that he/she has been sexually abused by another resident, CT Renaissance shall subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever:

- · CT Renaissance learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility; or
- The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility (p. 2).

Discussion:

115.273 (e). As reported in the PAQ, the agency has a policy that all notifications to residents described under this standard are documented. In the past 12 months, the number of notifications to residents that were provided pursuant to this standard:

0.

Of those notifications made in the past 12 months, the number that were documented: 0.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to a Sexual Abuse and/or Sexual Harassment states that "All notifications or attempted notification shall be documented and maintained in a file by the PREA Coordinator" (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.273 (f). The auditor is not required to audit this provision of the standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. | A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

115.276 Disciplinary sanctions for staff Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

Findings (By Provision):

115.276 (a). As reported in the PAQ, staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

states that:

- Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.
- · Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse.
- · Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.
- · All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.276 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility who have violated agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0. In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility who have been terminated (or resigned prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

states that:

- Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.
- · Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse.
- · Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.
- · All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. No corrective action is warranted.

115.276 (c). The disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the

staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility who have been disciplined, short of termination, for violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse): 0.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

states that:

- Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.
- · Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse.
- Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.
- · All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. No corrective action is warranted.

115.276 (d). As reported in the PAQ, all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to any relevant licensing bodies. In the past 12 months, the number of staff from the facility that have been reported to law enforcement or licensing boards following their termination (or resignation prior to termination) for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies: 0.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

states that:

- Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies.
- · Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who has engaged in sexual abuse.
- · Disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.
- · All terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. No corrective action is warranted.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.277 Corrective action for contractors and volunteers Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment Interviews: Director Findings (By Provision): 115.277 (a). Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be reported to law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal) and to relevant licensing bodies. Agency policy requires that any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse be prohibited from contact with residents. In the past 12 months, contractors or volunteers have been reported to law enforcement agencies and relevant licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of residents: 0. In the past 12 months, the number of contractors or volunteers reported to law enforcement for engaging in sexual abuse of residents: 0. Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with residents and shall be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies (p. 3). Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. 115.277 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the facility takes appropriate remedial measures and considers whether to prohibit further contact with residents in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "the facility shall take appropriate remedial measures, and shall consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents, in the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer" (p. 2) Interviews Director or Designee - The interviewed director reported that in the case of any violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, the remedial measures taken place may include suspension of access to facility grounds and report to parole and community services. Additionally, the facility would contact the PREA Coordinator to initiate the investigation. Contractor/volunteer would not have access to the facility/residents until findings were Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. Corrective Action and Conclusion:

compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted.

A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in

115.278 Disciplinary sanctions for residents

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment

Interviews:

Director

Findings (By Provision):

115.278 (a). As reported in the PAQ, residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse. Residents are subject to disciplinary sanctions only pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse.

In the past 12 months, the number of administrative findings of resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0.

In the past 12 months, the number of criminal findings of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse that have occurred at the facility: 0.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "Clients / Residents shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal Policy reviewed 1/2/2022 DP Policy revised 11/30/15 GG disciplinary process following an administrative finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guild for resident-on resident sexual abuse" (p. 2) CT Renaissance may impose disciplinary sanctions on a client / resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (b). Sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories.

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that if an allegation is substantiated, state policy would render charges, and they resident would possibly be removed at the discretion of parole and community services. Sanctions should be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories. The disciplinary process shall be considered whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (c). The disciplinary process shall consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff reported that if an allegation is substantiated, state policy would render charges, and they resident would possibly be removed at the discretion of parole and community services. Sanctions should be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories. The disciplinary process shall be considered whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (d). The facility does not offer therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct the underlying reasons or motivations for abuse.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (e). The agency disciplines residents for sexual conduct with staff only upon finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (f). For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "for the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if a review does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.278 (g). The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents and the agency deems such activity to constitute sexual abuse only if it determines that the activity is coerced.

Policy: The Reviewing and Responding to Allegations of Sexual Abuse and/or Harassment states that "CT Renaissance prohibits all sexual activity between residents and will follow up with disciplinary action for such activity. CT Renaissance will not deem such activity to constitute sexual abuse if it is determined that the activity is not coerced" (p. 3).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.282 Access to emergency medical and mental health services

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse

Interviews:

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders (7)

Findings (By Provision):

115.282 (a). As reported in the PAQ, resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. The nature and scope of such services are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. The facility does not have onsite medical and mental healthcare.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that "Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment" (p. 1).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.282 (b). N/A Renassaince Central residential programs do not employ medical or mental health staff.

Interviews

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders – All of the interviewed staff are considered first responders. The staff was able to articulate the first responder duties, such as securing the scene, getting the involved parties to a safe location, notifying their supervisor immediately, and ensuring that no one containments evidence.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.282 (c). As reported in the PAQ, resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that "Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment" (p. 1).

Interviews

Security Staff and Non-Security Staff First Responders – All of the interviewed staff are considered first responders. The staff was able to articulate the first responder duties, such as securing the scene, getting the involved parties to a safe location, notifying their supervisor immediately, and ensuring that no one containments evidence.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.282 (d). As reported in the PAQ, treatment services are provided to every victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that "CT Renaissance shall offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate. Such examinations shall be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible. If the area hospitals do not have available SAFE or SANEs then the examination can be performed by other qualified medical practitioners" (p. 1).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.283 Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that

Findings (By Provision):

115.283 (a). The facility does offers medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that "Victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment" (p. 1). The policy further states that "upon receiving a report of alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment, CT Renaissance shall promptly connect the victim with emotional support services including a mental health evaluation and, as appropriate treatment planning, recommended treatment services and referrals for continued care following discharge" (p. 1).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.283 (b). The evaluation and treatment of such victims shall include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.283 (c). The facility shall provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.283 (d). NA-the facility only houses male residents.

115.283 (e). NA-the facility only houses male residents

115.283 (f). Resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. The agency does not provide treatment services onsite all services will be referred for offsite medical care.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.283 (g). Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.283 (h). The facility shall attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners.

Corrective Action: The agency did not have policy language to address the provision. Policy language was added during the post onsite audit phase. No further action is needed.

Policy: The Medical and Mental Health Care for Victims of Sexual Abuse Policy states that "the agency does not provide specialized treatment services for victims or abusers of sexual assault, victims or abusers will be referred to outside source for medical and mental health services.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.283 (h). Corrective Action: The agency did not have policy language to address the provision. Policy language was added during the post onsite audit phase. No further action is needed.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents PREA Sexual Abuse/Harassment Review (blank) Interviews: Incident Review Team (2) Director PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.286 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the facility conducts a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility, excluding only "unfounded" incidents: 0.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Policy states that "CT Renaissance shall conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse report and administrative investigation of sexual harassment allegations, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been unfounded" (p. 1)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.286 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the facility ordinarily conducts a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion of the criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigation. In the past 12 months, the number of criminal and/or administrative investigations of alleged sexual abuse completed at the facility that were followed by a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days, excluding only "unfounded" incidents: 0.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Policy states that "the review shall occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation" (p. 1).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.286 (c). The sexual abuse incident review team includes upper-level management officials and allows for input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Policy states "the review team shall include the Clinical Director, PREA Coordinator, Program Director, Direct Care staff and medical or mental health practitioners" (p. 1).

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed staff report the facility has an incident review team. A review is initiated with all parties involved upon completion of the incident.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.286 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the facility prepares a report of its findings from sexual abuse incident reviews, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1)-(d)(5) of this section and any recommendations for improvement, and submits such report to the facility head and PREA Coordinator..

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Policy states "the review team shall:

- · Consider whether the allegation or administrative review indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse;
- · Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
- Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
- · Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
- · Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff;
- · Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made by the review team along with any recommendations for improvement. The report shall be submitted to the Chief Executive Officer, Board of Directors and PREA Coordinator.
- · CT Renaissance shall implement recommendations for improvement or document reasons for not doing so.

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Sexual Abuse/Harassment Review blank

Interviews

Director or Designee – The interviewed director reported that they would assess, and the review team would assess for policy, procedure, or practice changes. The review team considers all of the above requirements.

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that if a facility conducts an incident review the report would be sent to me. No incidents were reported. The PREA Coordinator is involved both in the development of the report, and in ensuring that recommendations are implemented and followed, be it changes to facility, policy and procedure, education or other areas. The agency's quality and safety processes also ensure that such changes are successfully implemented and maintained. It was further reported that while the facility does not house clients who are 18 or under, if an allegation was made by an individual 18 or under or by someone considered a vulnerable adult, the facility would report to the PREA Coordinator, and the agency would follow the state mandated reporting laws.

Incident Review Team – The interviewed staff reported that Incident Reviews are completed and documented 30 days following an incident or alleged incident. During each incident review, the team considers when the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility. During the investigation as well as during the review of the incident, the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred is assessed to determine whether any barriers in the facility could potentially enable abuse. Should this be true, steps would be taken to increase monitoring and/or eliminate the physical barriers. Staffing levels are always assessed during incident reviews. The technology monitoring at our facility is assessed during investigation and discussed during incident reviews. Our East site is equipped with video surveillance which has the ability to play back videos. We analyze the monitoring abilities/ needs and deploying, or augmenting will occur should it be deemed necessary to supplement supervision by staff.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.286 (e). The facility implements the recommendations for improvement or documents its reasons for not doing so.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Policy states "the CT Renaissance shall implement recommendations for improvement or document reasons for not doing so" (p. 1).

Documentation Reviewed

PREA Sexual Abuse/Harassment Review blank

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the standard. No corrective action is warranted. |
|---|
| |

115.287 **Data collection** Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard **Auditor Discussion** The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations: Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) Policy: Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents Annual Report (2020/2021) Findings (By Provision): 115.287 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency collects accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "CT Renaissance shall collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities. A set of standards shall be established to track occurrences and their circumstances" (p. 1). **Documentation Reviewed** Annual Report (2020/2021) Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. 115.287 (b). The agency shall aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually. Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data will be aggregated quarterly and reviewed by the agency's Safety Committee. Annually, the data will be submitted to the Board of Directors for review" (p. 2). **Documentation Reviewed** Annual Report (2020/2021) Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the

facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.287 (C). As reported in the PAQ, the standardized instrument includes, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence (SSV) conducted by the Department of Justice.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "the incident-based data collected shall include at a minimum the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice" (p. 2).

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.287 (d). The agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "CT Renaissance shall maintain, review and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents including reports, investigation files sexual abuse incident reviews" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Annual Report (2020/2021)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.287 (e). $\,$ N/A the agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.

115.287 (f). $\,$ N/A the DOJ has not requested agency data.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.288 Data review for corrective action Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Annual Report (2020)

Interviews:

Agency Head

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.288 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, response policies, and training, including: (a) identifying problem areas; (b) taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and (c) preparing an annual report of its findings from its data review and any corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that CT Renaissance shall review data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training.

Including;

- · Identifying problem areas;
- · Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis;
- · Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility as well as the agency as a whole. Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

Documentation Reviewed

Annual Report (2020)

Interviews:

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that following the report of an allegation, an incident review is conducted to determine how the incident occurred and make steps to prevent the possibility of abuse or harassment happening again.

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that the PREA Coordinator issues an annual report with aggregated data for the agency and each facility in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse response. The report includes comparisons of data across years, identification of problem areas, evaluation of corrective actions, and the overall quality of the agency's sexual abuse response. Facility level data is included in the report. The report is reviewed by senior leadership. All critical data and documents are stored on a secure network server which is regularly backed up. CT Renaissance conducts internal quality reviews of incidents, data and corrective action to ensure follow through, the health and safety of our clients and to prevent further incidents of sexual abuse or harassment. It was further reported that data and annual reports are reviewed by CT Renaissance leadership and made available through the agency website. The annual report outlines findings and corrective action for the facility as well as the agency.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.288 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective

actions with those from prior years. The annual report provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that CT Renaissance shall review data collected and aggregated in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, practices and training.

Including;

- · Identifying problem areas;
- · Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis;
- · Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility as well as the agency as a whole. Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse.

Documentation Reviewed

Annual Report (2020)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.288 (c). As reported in the PAQ, the agency makes its annual report readily available to the public at least through its website.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated annual reports shall be reviewed by CT Renaissances' Leadership and made available through the agency's website. CT Renaissance may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The nature of the material redacted would need to be indicated" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Annual Report (2020)

Interviews

Agency Head – The interviewed agency head reported that they approve the annual reports.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.288. (d). As reported in the PAQ, when the agency redacts material from an annual report for publication, the redactions are limited to specific materials where publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of the facility.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated annual reports shall be reviewed by CT Renaissances' Leadership and made available through the agency's website. CT Renaissance may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The nature of the material redacted would need to be indicated" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Interviews:

PREA Coordinator- The interviewed staff reported that prior to making the data available on the website, all personal identifiers are removed. CT Renaissance may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility. The nature of the material redacted would need to be indicated.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

115.289 Data storage, publication, and destruction

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination:

Supporting Documents, Interviews and Observations:

Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ)

Policy: Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Annual Report (2020)

Interviews:

PREA Coordinator

Findings (By Provision):

115.289 (a). As reported in the PAQ, the agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained. The agency indicates the nature of material redacted.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated reports on sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be securely retained. CT Renaissance shall post annually all aggregated sexual abuse data from its programs readily available to the public through its website. Prior to making data available, all personal identifiers shall be removed. CT Renaissance shall maintain sexual abuse data collected for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Website: https://ctrenaissance.org/about/licensing-accreditation/prea/

Interviews

PREA Coordinator – The interviewed staff reported that the PREA Coordinator issues an annual report with aggregated data for the agency and each facility in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse response. The report includes comparisons of data across years, identification of problem areas, evaluation of corrective actions, and the overall quality of the agency's sexual abuse response. Facility level data is included in the report. The report is reviewed by senior leadership. All critical data and documents are stored on a secure network server which is regularly backed up. CT Renaissance conducts internal quality reviews of incidents, data and corrective action to ensure follow through, the health and safety of our clients and to prevent further incidents of sexual abuse or harassment.

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.289 (b). As reported in the PAQ, the agency ensures that incident-based and aggregate data are securely retained.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated reports on sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be securely retained. CT Renaissance shall post annually all aggregated sexual abuse data from its programs readily available to the public through its website. Prior to making data available, all personal identifiers shall be removed. CT Renaissance shall maintain sexual abuse data collected for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Annual Report (2020)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.289 (c). As reported in the PAQ, before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, the agency removes all personal identifiers. The agency maintains sexual abuse data collected pursuant to §115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of initial collection, unless federal, state, or local law requires otherwise.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated reports on sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be securely retained. CT Renaissance shall post annually all aggregated sexual abuse data from its programs readily available to the public through its website. Prior to making data available, all personal identifiers shall be removed. CT Renaissance shall maintain sexual abuse data collected for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed

Annual Report (2020)

Discussion: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

115.289 (d). As reported in the PAQ, the agency shall maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise.

Policy: The Data Collection & Review of Sexual Abuse &/or Sexual Harassment Incidents states that "data and associated reports on sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be securely retained. CT Renaissance shall post annually all aggregated sexual abuse data from its programs readily available to the public through its website. Prior to making data available, all personal identifiers shall be removed. CT Renaissance shall maintain sexual abuse data collected for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State or local law requires otherwise" (p. 2).

Documentation Reviewed: A review of the appropriate documentation, interviews with staff, and review of relevant policies indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard.

Historical data since August 20, 2012.

Discussion:

Corrective Action and Conclusion:

| 115.401 | Frequency and scope of audits |
|---------|--|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Documents |
| | CTDOC Contract |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.401 (a). The audited facility serves as a contracted site for the state Department of Corrections agency. As a requirement of their contract, the audited facility has met the obligations of being audited every three years. |
| | 115.401 (b). As reported by the PREA coordinator, there are several community confinement sites operated by the governing agency. |
| | 115.401 (h). During the inspection of the physical plant the auditor and was escorted throughout the facility by the director. The auditor was provided unfettered access throughout the institution. Specifically, the auditor was not barred or deterred entry to any areas. The auditor had the ability to freely observe, with entry provided to all areas without prohibition. Based on review of documentation the facility is compliant with the intent of the provision. |
| | 115.401 (i). During the on-site visit, the auditor was provided access to any and all documents requested. All documents requested were received to include, but not limited to employee and resident files, sensitive documents, and supplemental reports. Based on review of documentation the facility is compliant with the intent of the provision. |
| | 115.401 (m). The auditor was provided a private room to conduct interviews. The staff staged the residents in a fashion that the auditor did not have to wait between interviews. The rooms provided for resident interviews were soundproof and somewhat visually confidential from other residents which was judged to have provided an environment in which the offenders felt comfortable to openly share PREA-related content during interview. It should also be noted that additional precautionary measures were taken to ensure proper social distancing due to the COVID-19. |
| | A review of the appropriate documentation and interviews with staff indicate that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of this standard. |
| | 115.401 (n). Residents were able to submit confidential information via written letters to the auditor PO Box or during the interviews with the auditor. The auditor did not receive any correspondence from the residents of the facility. |
| | Corrective Action: |
| | Based on review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard. |

| 115.403 | Audit contents and findings |
|---------|---|
| | Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard |
| | Auditor Discussion |
| | The following evidence was analyzed in making compliance determination: |
| | Documents: |
| | PREA CT Renaissance |
| | Findings (By Provision): |
| | 115.403 (f). The audited facility serves as a contracted site for the state juvenile justice agency. As a requirement of their contract, the audited facility has met the obligations of being audited every three years. |
| | Corrective Action and Conclusion |
| | Based on review and analysis of the available evidence, the auditor has determined that the agency and facility is fully compliant with this standard. |

| Appendix: Provision Findings | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-----|--|
| 115.211 (a) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | | |
| | Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes | |
| | Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes | |
| 115.211 (b) | Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator | | |
| | Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? | yes | |
| | Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? | yes | |
| | Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities? | yes | |
| 115.212 (a) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents | | |
| | If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) | na | |
| 115.212 (b) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents | | |
| | Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) | na | |
| 115.212 (c) | Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents | | |
| | If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) | na | |
| | In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) | na | |
| 115.213 (a) | Supervision and monitoring | | |
| | Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse? | yes | |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility? | yes | |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population? | yes | |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? | yes | |
| | In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? | yes | |

| 115.213 (b) | Supervision and monitoring | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (NA if no deviations from staffing plan.) | na |
| 115.213 (c) | Supervision and monitoring | |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? | yes |
| | In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? | yes |
| 115.215 (a) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? | yes |
| 115.215 (b) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | na |
| | Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) | na |
| 115.215 (c) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? | yes |
| | Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? | yes |
| 115.215 (d) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? | yes |
| | Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? | yes |

| 115.215 (e) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? | yes |
| | If the resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? | yes |
| 115.215 (f) | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches | |
| | Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? | yes |

| 115.216 (a) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? | yes |
| | Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision? | yes |
| 115.216 (b) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient | |
| | Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? | yes |

| 115.216 (c) | Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations? | yes |
| 115.217 (a) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above? | yes |
| 115.217 (b) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? | yes |
| | Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? | yes |
| 115.217 (c) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? | yes |
| | Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.217 (d) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? | yes |
| 115.217 (e) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? | yes |

| 115.217 (f) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? | yes |
| | Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? | yes |
| | Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? | yes |
| 115.217 (g) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? | yes |
| 115.217 (h) | Hiring and promotion decisions | |
| | Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) | yes |
| 115.218 (a) | Upgrades to facilities and technology | |
| | If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | na |
| 115.218 (b) | Upgrades to facilities and technology | |
| | If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated any video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) | na |
| 115.221 (a) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.221 (b) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| | Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |

| 115.221 (c) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? | yes |
| | Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? | yes |
| | If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? | yes |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? | yes |
| 115.221 (d) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? | yes |
| | If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? | yes |
| | Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? | yes |
| 115.221 (e) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? | yes |
| | As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? | yes |
| 115.221 (f) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) | yes |
| 115.221 (h) | Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations | |
| | If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above). | na |
| 115.222 (a) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? | yes |

| 115.222 (b) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? | yes |
| | Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? | yes |
| | Does the agency document all such referrals? | yes |
| 115.222 (c) | Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations | |
| | If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).) | na |
| 115.231 (a) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? | yes |
| | Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? | yes |
| 115.231 (b) | Employee training | · |
| | Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? | yes |
| | Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? | yes |

| 115.231 (c) | Employee training | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? | yes |
| | In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.231 (d) | Employee training | |
| | Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.232 (a) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? | yes |
| 115.232 (b) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? | yes |
| 115.232 (c) | Volunteer and contractor training | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? | yes |
| 115.233 (a) | Resident education | |
| | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? | yes |
| | During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.233 (b) | Resident education | |
| | Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? | yes |

| 115.233 (c) | Resident education | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? | yes |
| 115.233 (d) | Resident education | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? | yes |
| 115.233 (e) | Resident education | |
| | In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? | yes |
| 115.234 (a) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| 115.234 (b) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| | Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)). | yes |
| 115.234 (c) | Specialized training: Investigations | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) | yes |

| 115.235 (a) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | na |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | na |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | na |
| | Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | па |
| 115.235 (b) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) | na |
| 115.235 (c) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) | na |
| 115.235 (d) | Specialized training: Medical and mental health care | |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.) | na |
| | Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.) | na |
| 115.241 (a) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? | yes |
| | Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? | yes |
| 115.241 (b) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? | yes |
| 115.241 (c) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? | yes |

| 115.241 (d) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization? | yes |
| | Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability? | yes |
| 115.241 (e) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? | yes |
| | In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.241 (f) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? | yes |
| 115.241 (g) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? | yes |

| 115.241 (h) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d) (8), or (d)(9) of this section? | yes |
| 115.241 (i) | Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness | |
| | Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents? | yes |
| 115.242 (a) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? | yes |
| | Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? | yes |
| 115.242 (b) | Use of screening information | |
| | Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? | yes |
| 115.242 (c) | Use of screening information | |
| | When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? | yes |
| | When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? | yes |
| 115.242 (d) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? | yes |
| 115.242 (e) | Use of screening information | |
| | Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? | yes |

| 115.242 (f) | Use of screening information | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| | Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) | yes |
| 115.251 (a) | Resident reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? | yes |
| 115.251 (b) | Resident reporting | |
| | Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? | yes |
| | Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? | yes |
| | Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? | yes |
| 115.251 (c) | Resident reporting | |
| | Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? | yes |
| | Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? | yes |
| 115.251 (d) | Resident reporting | |
| | Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? | yes |

| 115.252 (a) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. | yes |
| 115.252 (b) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.252 (c) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency ensure that: a resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency ensure that: such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.252 (d) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.252 (e) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |

| 115.252 (f) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| | Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| | Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.252 (g) | Exhaustion of administrative remedies | |
| | If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) | yes |
| 115.253 (a) | Resident access to outside confidential support services | |
| 113.233 (a) | resident access to outside confidential support services | 1 |
| 110.200 (a) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? | yes |
| 110.200 (d) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or | yes |
| 115.253 (a) 115.253 (b) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, | |
| | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? | |
| | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to | yes |
| 115.253 (b) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.253 (b) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential | yes |
| 115.253 (b) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter | yes |
| 115.253 (b) 115.253 (c) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? | yes |
| 115.253 (b) 115.253 (c) | Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Resident access to outside confidential support services Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Third party reporting Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual | yes yes yes yes |

| 115.261 (a) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? | yes |
| | Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? | yes |
| 115.261 (b) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? | yes |
| 115.261 (c) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? | yes |
| | Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? | yes |
| 115.261 (d) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? | yes |
| 115.261 (e) | Staff and agency reporting duties | |
| | Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? | yes |
| 115.262 (a) | Agency protection duties | |
| | When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? | yes |
| 115.263 (a) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? | yes |
| 115.263 (b) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? | yes |
| 115.263 (c) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? | yes |
| 115.263 (d) | Reporting to other confinement facilities | |
| | Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? | yes |
| | | |

| 115.264 (a) | Staff first responder duties | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| | Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? | yes |
| 115.264 (b) | Staff first responder duties | |
| | If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? | yes |
| 115.265 (a) | Coordinated response | |
| | Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.266 (a) | Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers | |
| | Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? | no |
| 115.267 (a) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? | yes |
| | Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? | yes |
| 115.267 (b) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? | yes |

| 115.267 (c) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:4. Monitor resident housing changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? | yes |
| | Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignment of staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? | yes |
| 115.267 (d) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? | yes |
| 115.267 (e) | Agency protection against retaliation | |
| | If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? | yes |
| 115.271 (a) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) | yes |
| | Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) | yes |
| 115.271 (b) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? | yes |

| 115.271 (c) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? | yes |
| | Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? | yes |
| | Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? | yes |
| 115.271 (d) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? | yes |
| 115.271 (e) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff? | yes |
| | Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? | yes |
| 115.271 (f) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? | yes |
| | Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? | yes |
| 115.271 (g) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? | yes |
| 115.271 (h) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? | yes |
| 115.271 (i) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? | yes |
| 115.271 (j) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? | yes |
| 115.271 (I) | Criminal and administrative agency investigations | |
| | When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) | yes |
| 115.272 (a) | Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations | |
| | Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? | yes |

| 115.273 (a) | Reporting to residents | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? | yes |
| 115.273 (b) | Reporting to residents | |
| | If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) | yes |
| 115.273 (c) | Reporting to residents | |
| | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? | yes |
| | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? | yes |
| | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? | yes |
| | Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.273 (d) | Reporting to residents | |
| | Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| | Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? | yes |
| 115.273 (e) | Reporting to residents | |
| | Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? | yes |
| 115.276 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? | yes |
| 115.276 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? | yes |

| 115.276 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.276 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for staff | |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal? | yes |
| | Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.277 (a) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? | yes |
| | Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? | yes |
| 115.277 (b) | Corrective action for contractors and volunteers | |
| | In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? | yes |
| 115.278 (a) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? | yes |
| 115.278 (b) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? | yes |
| 115.278 (c) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? | yes |
| 115.278 (d) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? | yes |
| 115.278 (e) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? | yes |

| 115.278 (f) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| | For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? | yes |
| 115.278 (g) | Disciplinary sanctions for residents | |
| | Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) | yes |
| 115.282 (a) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? | yes |
| 115.282 (b) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262? | yes |
| | Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.282 (c) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.282 (d) | Access to emergency medical and mental health services | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.283 (a) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? | yes |
| 115.283 (b) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? | yes |
| 115.283 (c) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? | yes |
| 115.283 (d) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | na |

| 115.283 (e) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.) | na |
| 115.283 (f) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? | yes |
| 115.283 (g) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? | yes |
| 115.283 (h) | Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers | |
| | Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.286 (a) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? | yes |
| 115.286 (b) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? | yes |
| 115.286 (c) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? | yes |
| 115.286 (d) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? | yes |
| | Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? | yes |
| 115.286 (e) | Sexual abuse incident reviews | |
| | Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? | yes |

| 115.287 (a) | Data collection | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? | yes |
| 115.287 (b) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? | yes |
| 115.287 (c) | Data collection | |
| | Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? | yes |
| 115.287 (d) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? | yes |
| 115.287 (e) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) | na |
| 115.287 (f) | Data collection | |
| | Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) | na |
| 115.288 (a) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? | yes |
| | Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? | yes |
| 115.288 (b) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse? | yes |
| 115.288 (c) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.288 (d) | Data review for corrective action | |
| | Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? | yes |
| 115.289 (a) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained? | yes |

| 115.289 (b) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| | Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? | yes |
| 115.289 (c) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? | yes |
| 115.289 (d) | Data storage, publication, and destruction | |
| | Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? | yes |
| 115.401 (a) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | yes |
| 115.401 (b) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) | no |
| | If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) | na |
| | If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) | yes |
| 115.401 (h) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? | yes |
| 115.401 (i) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? | yes |
| 115.401 (m) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents? | yes |
| 115.401 (n) | Frequency and scope of audits | |
| | Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? | yes |
| 115.403 (f) | Audit contents and findings | |
| | The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) | yes |