

STATE OF CONNECTICUT HOSPITAL PAYMENT MODERNIZATION

Stakeholder Web Conference Summary — October 2, 2014

Summary of Decisions and Follow-up Items

Topic	Notes	Decisions and Follow-up Items
Case Mix Increase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Connecticut Hospital Association (CHA) requested the newly available data for real case mix change be used to estimate real acuity. The newly released OHCA data for 2013 is helpful and relevant. 2014 partial year data is incomplete; Project Team uncomfortable using this data. 2.09% is the all payer annual increase from 2012 to 2013 per OHCA annual report. 1.2% is the broadest average all payer annualized increase over the most recent five years. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estimates to be used for real acuity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2012–2013: 2.09% 2013–2014: 1.2% 2014–2015: 1.2%
Application of Up-Coding Offset	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CHA requested the documentation and coding improvements (DCI) adjustment be phased in over time. The context is different from Medicare. DCI adjustment is a one-time adjustment to avoid overpayment — not a reduction to be phased in. The market basket adjustment is a legislative topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The DCI adjustment is a one-time adjustment to be applied in the first year. The market basket adjustment is out of scope for this project.

Topic	Notes	Decisions and Follow-up Items
Outlier and Transfer Adjustments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHA requested the outlier and transfer adjustments not be hospital specific — but that uniform adjustments be made to all hospitals. • Applying the average to each hospital is inconsistent with the goal of hospital specific revenue neutrality. • This approach would move revenue from some hospitals to others. • Concern regarding some hospitals receiving less than revenue neutral payment. • CHA suggested an aggregate approach to revenue neutrality be used for outliers and transfers, which would not be revenue neutral by hospital. • Project team concerned about systematic redistributions of revenue across hospitals. • CHA suggested modeling the results to more concretely understand impacts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In order to maintain hospital specific revenue neutrality, outliers and transfers will be hospital specific. • Impact of outlier policy will be modeled and shared with stakeholders.
Physician Billing — Emergency Department (ED)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHA acknowledged that the Connecticut Department of Social Services (DSS) is still reviewing the request to have all physician services (inpatient and outpatient) be unbundled for January 1, 2015. • CHA requested that if DSS decides against unbundling all physician billing that they at a minimum unbundle the services for emergency department (ED) outpatient services, as this approach would reduce the complexity of billing for hospitals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DSS will continue to research the options of unbundling more than just inpatient services. • DSS must work within the constraint of cost neutrality. • DSS will also take into consideration the legislation regarding the unbundling of ED services.

Topic	Notes	Decisions and Follow-up Items
Cash Flow and Rate Validation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CHA requested a contingency plan be in place to provide cash flow relief if needed. DSS currently has a process with HP for issuing interim payments. CHA requested rates be released by October 31, 2014. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> DSS will work with HP to provide information on the interim payment process.
Revenue Neutral Target Documentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documentation of the revenue neutral target was provided. Values are from the 2012 reconciliation. Child behavioral health data is provided separately because it is excluded from the reconciliation. In order to match to the reconciliation time period, nine months of claims data are being used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Follow-up conversation will be scheduled between Mercer and CHA.
Questions and Answers	<p>Q: Will DSS reconsider its approach of normalizing the weights to 1.0? The hospitals request the weights not be normalized to 1.0.</p> <p>A: Yes. Based on the consensus of the hospitals requesting the weights not be normalized to 1.0, that request will be granted.</p> <p>Q: Please clarify the DCI adjustment calculation.</p> <p>A: Please see the revised issue paper “CT HPM Issue Paper - Coding Improvements.docx”.</p>	<p>Please visit the DSS Reimbursement Modernization web site for links to meeting presentations, issue papers, FAQs, and other relevant information: http://www.ct.gov/dss/cwp/view.asp?a=4598&q=538256</p>