STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, REGULATIONS, AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 55 FARMINGTON AVENUE HARTFORD, CT 06105-3725

2023 Signature Confirmation

Request # 208409

NOTICE OF DECISION

PARTY



PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On 2023, Maximus, the Department of Social Service's (the "Department") contractor that administers approval of nursing home care, sent (the "Appellant"), a Notice of Action ("NOA") denying nursing facility level of care ("NFLOC") indicating he does not meet the NFLOC criteria.

On 2023, the Appellant requested an administrative hearing to contest Maximus' decision to deny NFLOC.

On 2023, the Office of Legal Counsel, Regulations, and Administrative Hearings ("OLCRAH") issued a notice scheduling a telephonic administrative hearing for 2023.

On 2023, in accordance with sections 17b-60, 17-61 and 4-176e to 4-189 inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes, OLCRAH held an administrative hearing. The following individuals participated in the hearing:

, Appellant Social Worker, , Assistant Director of Nursing, Jean Denton, Maximus Representative via telephone Janice Ricciuti, RN., Community Nurse Coordinator, Community Options, Department's Representative Mary Perrotti, RN., Department's Representative (Observer) Carla Hardy, Hearing Officer

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

The issue to be decided is whether Maximus' decision that the Appellant does not meet the criteria for NFLOC is correct.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Appellant is 76 years old (DOB //46). (Exhibit 5: Level of Care Determination)

- 2. On 2022, the Appellant was admitted to 2022 ('the nursing facility), a skilled nursing facility with a diagnosis of cellulitis of the right knee, prepatellar bursitis, right knee sepsis, ETOH abuse, and multiple open wounds of the face. (Hearing Record)
- 3. On 2022, the nursing facility submitted a Nursing Facility Level of Care ("NFLOC") screening form to Maximus. The screening described the Appellant as requiring the following supports with his Activities of Daily Living ("ADLs"): hands-on assistance with bathing, dressing, toileting, mobility, and transfer. The Appellant required assistance with the following Instrumental Activities of Daily Living ("IADLs"): physical assistance with medications and supervision with meal preparation. Based on this information, the Appellant was approved for a 90-day, short-term approval which expired on 2023. (Hearing Record)
- 4. The ADL Measures include bathing, dressing, eating, toileting, continence, transferring, and mobility. (Exhibit 3: ADL Measures and Ratings)
- 5. On 2022, the nursing facility submitted an NFLOC screening form to Maximus. The screening described the Appellant as requiring the following supports with his ADLs: supervision with dressing, eating, toileting, mobility, and transfer. The Appellant required assistance with the following IADLs: verbal and physical assistance with medications and supervision with meal preparation. The Appellant required a Medical Doctor Review ("MDR") based on the information provided. (Hearing Record)
- 6. The Completed Care Details indicate the Appellant is independent with all ADLs. (Hearing Record)
- 7. Maximus reviewed the Appellant's NFLOC screen, Practitioner Certification, Minimum Data Set, Progress Notes, Completed Care Detail, Occupational Therapy Notes,

Physical Therapy Notes, Physician Orders, Interdisciplinary Rehabilitation Screening, Face Sheet, and Nurses Notes. Maximus concluded nursing facility level of care is not medically necessary for the Appellant because he does not require the continuous nursing services delivered at the level of the nursing facility. It was determined that his needs could be met in a less restrictive setting. supports. (Hearing Record)

- 8. The Appellant is not receiving physical, occupational, speech, or respiratory therapy. (Hearing Record, Appellant's Testimony)
- 9. The Appellant receives medication setups. (Assistant Director of Nursing's Testimony)
- 10. The Appellant is independent with his ADLs. (Assistant Director of Nursing's Testimony)
- 11. The Appellant had frequent falls before his admission to the nursing facility. He has improved and is completely ambulatory. He must be monitored because he has balance issues. He is medically stable. (Assistant Director of Nursing's Testimony)
- 12. The Appellant uses the wall and table to help himself get up. (Appellant's Testimony)
- 13. The Appellant requires reminders for appointments and medications. (Assistant Director of Nursing's Testimony)
- 14. The Appellant ambulates with a cane. (Testimony)
- 15. The Appellant is working with the Department's Money Follows the Person program. (Social Worker's Testimony)
- 16. On 2023, Maximus issued an NOA to the Appellant indicating that he does not meet the medical criteria for NFLOC because "his needs can be met through a combination of medical, psychiatric, and social services delivered outside of the nursing facility setting." As a result, he is not eligible for Medicaid coverage of nursing facility services. (Exhibit 4: NOA, 2007/23)
- 17. The issuance of this decision is timely under Connecticut General Statutes 17b-61(a), which requires that a decision be issued within 90 days of the request for an administrative hearing. The Appellant requested an administrative hearing on 2023.
 2023. Therefore, this decision is due no later than 2023.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Section 17b-2 of the Connecticut General Statutes ("Conn. Gen. Stats.") authorizes the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services to administer the Medicaid program.

- 2. State regulations provide that "the department shall pay for an admission that is medically necessary and medically appropriate as evidenced by the following:
 - (1) certification by a licensed practitioner that a client admitted to a nursing facility meets the criteria outlined in section 19-13-D8t(d)(1) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("Regs., Conn. State Agencies") Section. This certification of the need for care shall be made prior to the department's authorization of payment. The licensed practitioner shall use and sign all forms specified by the department;
 - (2) the department's evaluation and written authorization of the client's need for nursing facility services as ordered by the licensed practitioner;
 - (3) a health screen for clients eligible for the Connecticut Home Care Program for Elders as described in section 17b-342-4(a) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies;
 - (4) a preadmission MI/MR screen signed by the department; or an exemption form, in accordance with 42 CFR 483.106(b), as amended from time to time, for any hospital discharge, readmission or transfer for which a preadmission MI/MR screen was not completed; and
 - (5) a preadmission screening level II evaluation for any individual suspected of having mental illness or mental retardation as identified by the preadmission MI/MR screen." [Regs., Conn. State Agencies Section 17b-262-707(a)].
- 3. Regs., Conn. State Agencies § 19-13-D8t(d)(1)(A) provides that "Patients shall be admitted to the facility only after a physician certifies the following:
 - (i) That a patient admitted to a chronic and convalescent nursing home has uncontrolled and/or unstable conditions requiring continuous skilled nursing services and /or nursing supervision or has a chronic condition requiring substantial assistance with personal care, on a daily basis."
- 4. Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Section 409.31(b) provides for specific conditions for meeting level of care requirements. (1) The beneficiary must require skilled nursing or skilled rehabilitation services, or both, on a daily basis. (2) Those services must be furnished for a condition-(i) For which the beneficiary received impatient hospital or inpatient CAH services; or (ii) Which arose while the beneficiary was receiving care in a SNF or swing-bed hospital for a condition for which he or she received inpatient hospital or inpatient CAH services; or (iii) For which, for an M+ C enrollee described in §409.20(c)(4), a physician has determined that a direct admission to a SNF without an inpatient hospital or inpatient CAH stay would be medically appropriate. (3) The daily skilled services must be ones that, as a practical matter, can only be provided in a SNF, on an inpatient basis.

The Appellant previously met the NFLOC criteria before the notice of action denying that approval on 2023.

5. Title 42 C.F.R. § 483.132 provides for evaluating the need for NF (nursing facility) services and NF level of care (PASARR/NF)

Title 42 C.F.R. § 483.132(b) In determining appropriate placement the evaluator must prioritize the physical and mental needs of the individual being evaluated, taking into account the severity of each condition.

Title 42 C.F.R.§ 483.132(c) provides at a minimum, the data relied on to decide must include: (1) evaluation of physical status (for example, diagnoses, date of onset, medical history, and prognosis); (2) Evaluation of mental status (for example, diagnoses, date of onset, medical history, likelihood that the individual may be a danger to himself/herself or others); and (3) Functional assessment (activities of daily living).

Maximus properly completed an evaluation and assessment of the Appellant following Federal Regulations.

6. Conn. Gen. Stats. § 17b-259b provides the definition of "Medically necessary" and "medical necessity". (a) For purposes of the administration of the medical assistance programs by the Department of Social Services, "medically necessary" and "medical necessity" mean those health services required to prevent, identify, diagnose, treat, rehabilitate or ameliorate an individual's medical condition, including mental illness, or its effects, in order to attain or maintain the individual's achievable health and independent functioning provided such services are: (1) Consistent with generally-accepted standards of medical practice that are defined as standards that are based on (A) credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature that is generally recognized by the relevant medical community, (B) recommendations of a physician-specialty society, (C) the views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and (D) any other relevant factors; (2) clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, timing, site, extent and duration and considered effective for the individual's illness, injury or disease; (3) not primarily for the convenience of the individual, the individual's health care provider or other health care providers; (4) not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of the individual's illness, injury or disease; and (5) based on an assessment of the individual and his or her medical condition. (b) Clinical policies, medical policies, clinical criteria or any other generally accepted clinical practice guidelines used to assist in evaluating the medical necessity of a requested health service shall be used solely as guidelines and shall not be the basis for a final determination of medical necessity. (c) Upon denial of a request for authorization of services based on medical necessity, the individual shall be notified that, upon request, the Department of Social Services shall provide a copy of the specific guideline or criteria, or portion thereof, other than the medical necessity definition provided in subsection (a) of this section, that was considered by the department or an entity acting on behalf of the department in making the determination of medical necessity.

 Title 42 C.F.R. §440.230 provides for sufficiency of amount, duration, and scope. (d) The agency may place appropriate limits on a service based on such criteria as medical necessity or utilization control procedures.

Maximus correctly determined that the Appellant does not require substantial assistance with his ADLs.

Maximus correctly determined that the Appellant does not have a chronic medical condition requiring substantial assistance with personal care.

Maximus correctly determined that the Appellant does not have uncontrolled and/or unstable medical conditions requiring continuous skilled nursing services and/or nursing supervision.

Maximus correctly determined that it is not clinically appropriate for the Appellant to reside in a nursing facility.

Maximus correctly determined that nursing facility services are not medically necessary for the Appellant, because his medical needs can be met with services offered in the community.

On **2023**, Maximus correctly denied the Appellant's request for approval of long-term care Medicaid.

DECISION

The Appellant's appeal is **DENIED**.

<u>Carla Hardy</u>____

Carla Hardy Hearing Officer

Pc: Department of Social Services, Community Options Maximus

RIGHT TO REQUEST RECONSIDERATION

The appellant has the right to file a written reconsideration request within **15** days of the mailing date of the decision on the grounds there was an error of fact or law, new evidence has been discovered or other good cause exists. If the request for reconsideration is granted, the appellant will be notified within **25** days of the request date. No response within 25 days means that the request for reconsideration has been denied. The right to request a reconsideration is based on § 4-181a (a) of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Reconsideration requests should include <u>specific</u> grounds for the request: for example, indicate <u>what</u> error of fact or law, <u>what</u> new evidence, or <u>what</u> other good cause exists.

Reconsideration requests should be sent to: Department of Social Services, Director, Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105.

RIGHT TO APPEAL

The appellant has the right to appeal this decision to Superior Court within **45** days of the mailing of this decision, or **45** days after the agency denies a petition for reconsideration of this decision, provided that the petition for reconsideration was filed timely with the Department. The right to appeal is based on § 4-183 of the Connecticut General Statutes. To appeal, a petition must be filed at Superior Court. A copy of the petition must be served upon the Office of the Attorney General, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 or the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105. A copy of the petition must also be served on all parties to the hearing.

The 45-day appeal period may be extended in certain instances if there is good cause. The extension request must be filed with the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services in writing no later than 90 days from the mailing of the decision. Good cause circumstances are evaluated by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee in accordance with § 17b-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The Agency's decision to grant an extension is final and is not subject to review or appeal.

The appeal should be filed with the clerk of the Superior Court in the Judicial District of New Britain or the Judicial District in which the appellant resides.