STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, REGULATIONS, AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
55 FARMINGTON AVENUE
HARTFORD, CT 06105

[redacted] **2018**
Signature Confirmation

AHCT ID #[redacted]
Hearing Request # [redacted]

NOTICE OF DECISION

PARTY

[redacted]
[redacted].
[redacted]

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On [redacted] 2018, the Health Insurance Exchange Access Health CT ("AHCT") issued a notice of action ("NOA") to [redacted] (the "Appellant") denying her application for HUSKY D for adults because her household's income was over the limit.

On [redacted], 2018, the Appellant requested a hearing to contest the denial of her application for HUSKY D Medicaid benefits.

On [redacted] 2018, the Office of legal Counsel, Regulations, and Administrative Hearings ("OLCRAH") issued a notice scheduling the administrative hearing for [redacted] 2018.

On [redacted] 2018, in accordance with sections 17b-60, 17b-264 and 4-176e to 4-189, inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes, Title 45 Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") §§ 155.505(b) and 155.510 and/or 42 CFR § 457.1130, OLCRAH held an administrative hearing by telephone. The following individuals were present at the hearing:

[redacted], Appellant
Krystal Sherman-Davis, AHCT Representative
James Hinckley, Hearing Officer

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

The issue is whether AHCT was correct when it denied the Appellant's application for HUSKY D Medicaid benefits on [redacted], 2018, for the reason that her income exceeded the limit.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Appellant is a [redacted] year old unmarried, non-disabled adult who is not pregnant, and who resides alone and files her federal taxes as single with no dependents. (Hearing Record)

2. On [redacted], 2018, the Appellant applied online for HUSKY D, and reported annual income of $53,936.00 from employment on the online application. (Ex. 1: Application Information)

3. The Appellant did not have any expenses last year which could qualify as IRS deductions for purposes of determining her modified adjusted gross income (MAGI). (AHCT representative's testimony, Appellant's testimony)

4. On [redacted], 2018, AHCT issued a NOA to the Appellant denying her application for HUSKY D because her household's monthly income of $4,495.00 was more than the income limit for the program. (Ex. 3: *Here are the Results of your Health Care Application* notice dated [redacted], 2018)

5. The Appellant lost her job in [redacted] 2018, and actually had no earnings at the time she reported income from employment on her application; her actual income at the time she completed the application on [redacted], 2018 was $613.00 per week from Unemployment Compensation Benefits ("UCB"). (Appellant's testimony)

6. The information regarding the Appellant's loss of employment, and her receipt of UCB benefits, was not available to AHCT at the time it processed the Appellant's application on [redacted], 2018, because the Appellant did not report the information on her [redacted], 2018 application. (Facts #2, #5, Hearing Record)

7. As of the date of the hearing, the Appellant was still receiving a weekly UCB benefit of $613.00, which was her only source of income. (Appellant's testimony)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Section 17b-260 of the Connecticut General Statutes ("Conn. Gen. Stat.") provides for acceptance of federal grants for medical assistance. The Commissioner of Social Services is authorized to take advantage of the medical assistance programs provided in Title XIX, entitled "Grants to States for Medical Assistance Programs", contained in the Social Security Amendments of 1965

and may administer the same in accordance with the requirements provided therein, including the waiving, with respect to the amount paid for medical care, of provisions concerning recovery from beneficiaries or their estates, charges and recoveries against legally liable relatives, and liens against property of beneficiaries.

2. Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 17b-264 provides for the extension of other public assistance provisions**.** All of the provisions of sections 17b-22, 17b-75 to 17b-77, inclusive, 17b-79 to 17b-83, inclusive, 17b-85 to 17b-103, inclusive, and 17b-600 to 17b-604, inclusive, are extended to the medical assistance program except such provisions as are inconsistent with federal law and regulations governing Title XIX of the Social Security Amendments of 1965 and sections 17b-260 to 17b-262, inclusive, 17b-264 to 17b-285, inclusive, and 17b-357 to 17b-361, inclusive.

3. Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR") § 155.505(c)(1) provides that Exchange eligibility appeals may be conducted by a State Exchange appeals entity or an eligible entity described in paragraph (d) of this section that is designated by the Exchange, if the Exchange establishes an appeals process in accordance with the requirements of this subpart.

4. 45 CFR § 155.505(d) provides that an appeals process established under this subpart must comply with § 155.110(a).

5. 45 CFR § 155.110(a) provides that the State may elect to authorize an Exchange established by the State to enter into an agreement with an eligible entity to carry out one or more responsibilities of the Exchange. Eligible entities are: (1) An entity: (i) incorporated under, and subject to the laws of, one or more States; (ii) That has demonstrated experience on a State or regional basis in the individual and small health insurance markets and in benefit coverage; and (iii) Is not a health insurance issuer or treated as a health insurance issuer under subsection (a) or (b) of section 52 of the Code of 1986 as a member of the same controlled group of corporations (or under common control with) as a health insurance issuer; or (2) The State Medicaid agency, or any other State agency that meets the qualifications of paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

6. 45 CFR § 155.300(b) *Medicaid and CHIP* In general, references to Medicaid and CHIP regulations in this subpart refer to those regulations as implemented in accordance with rules and procedures which are the same as those applied by the State Medicaid or State CHIP agency or approved by such agency in the agreement described in § 155.345(a).

7. 45 CFR § 155.305(c) *Eligibility for Medicaid* The Exchange must determine an applicant eligible for Medicaid if he or she meets the non-financial eligibility criteria for Medicaid for populations whose eligibility is based on MAGI-based income, as certified by the Medicaid agency in accordance with 42 CFR 435.1200(b)(2), has a household income, as defined in 42 CFR 435.603(d), that

is at or below the applicable Medicaid MAGI-based income standard as defined in 42 CFR 435.911(b)(1) and –

(1) Is a pregnant woman, as defined in the Medicaid State Plan in accordance with 42 CFR 435.4;
(2) Is under age 19;
(3) Is a parent or caretaker relative of a dependent child, as defined in the Medicaid State plan in accordance with 42 CFR 435.4; or
(4) Is not described in paragraph (c)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, is under age 65 and is not entitled to or enrolled for benefits under Part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act, or enrolled for benefits under Part B of title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

8. The Appellant is a member of the population of individuals described in 45 CFR 155.305(c)(4), requiring that her eligibility be determined by the Exchange (AHCT) according to the income rules described in 42 CFR 435.603(d).

9. 42 CFR § 435.119(b) provides that the agency must provide Medicaid to individuals who:
   (1) Are age 19 or older and under age 65;
   (2) Are not pregnant;
   (3) Are not entitled to or enrolled for Medicare benefits under part A or B of title XVIII of the Act;
   (4) Are not otherwise eligible for and enrolled for mandatory coverage under a State's Medicaid State plan in accordance with subpart B of this part; and
   (5) Have household income that is at or below 133 percent FPL for the applicable family size.

10. 42 CFR § 435.603(d) *Household income* — (1) *General rule.* Except as provided in paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section, household income is the sum of the MAGI-based income, as defined in paragraph (e) of this section, of every individual included in the individual's household, minus an amount equivalent to 5 percentage points of the Federal poverty level for the applicable family size.

    42 CFR § 435.603(e) *MAGI-based income.* For the purposes of this section, MAGI-based income means income calculated using the same financial methodologies used to determine modified adjusted gross income as defined in section 36B(d)(2)(B) of the Code ….

11. The Appellant's household consisted of one person, herself, because she had no tax dependents; therefore the income standard for a household of one person was used to determine her eligibility for Medicaid programs based on MAGI-based income.

12. At the time of her application, the Appellant's monthly MAGI-based income, based on the information she reported, was $4,495.00 ($53,936.00 in self-reported annual earnings, divided by 12 months) (figure is rounded).

13. At the time of her application, the Appellant's *actual* monthly MAGI-based income was $2,636.00 (weekly UCB benefit of $613.00, converted to a monthly figure using a multiplier of 4.3).

14. 133 percent of the federal poverty level for a household of one person as of [redacted], 2018 was $1,345.96 monthly. *Federal Register* / *Vol. 83*, *No. 12* / *Thursday, January 18, 2018* / *pp. 2642-2643*

15. 100 percent of the federal poverty level for a household of one person as of [redacted], 2018 was $1,012.00 monthly, and 5 percent of that figure was $50.60. *Federal Register* / *Vol. 83*, *No. 12* / *Thursday, January 18, 2018* / *pp. 2642-2643*

16. The Appellant's monthly household income based on what she reported on her application was $4,445.00 (household income is defined in § 435.603(d)(1) as MAGI-based income, reduced by 5% of the FPL).

17. AHCT was correct when it denied the Appellant's HUSKY D application on [redacted], 2018, because the Appellant's reported household income of $4,445.00 exceeded the HUSKY D limit for one person of $1,346.00; AHCT was procedurally correct to base its decision on the information provided by the Appellant, even if the reported information was inaccurate.

18. AHCT's [redacted], 2018 notice added the 5% of the FPL to the income standard, instead of deducting it from the MAGI-based income, so it compared $4,495.00 in income to a limit of $1,396.00, instead of $4,445.00 in income to a limit of $1,346.00, but achieved the same result.

19. The Appellant's actual monthly household income at the time of her application, based on receiving $613.00 per week in UCB, was $2,586.00 (after reduction by 5% of FPL).

20. Even if AHCT's eligibility decision on [redacted], 2018 had been based on the Appellant's actual household income of $2,586.00, she still would have been ineligible for HUSKY D because her income still exceeded the HUSKY D limit for one person of $1,346.00.

DECISION

The Appellant's Appeal is **DENIED**.

James Hinckley
Hearing Officer

cc: [redacted]

Advanced Premium Tax Credits (APTC) or Cost Sharing Reduction (CSR)
Right to Appeal

For APTC or CSR eligibility determinations, the Appellant has the right to appeal to the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) within 30 days of the date of this decision. To obtain an Appeal Request Form, go to https://www.healthcare.gov/can-i-appeal-a- marketplace-decision/ or call 1-800-318-2596 (TTY: 1-855-889-4325). HHS will let the Appellant know what it decides within 90 days of the appeal request. There is no right to judicial review of the decision by HHS.

There is no right to request reconsideration for denials or reductions APTC or CSR.

Modified Adjusted Gross Income (MAGI) Medicaid and
Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
Right to Request Reconsideration

For denials or reductions of MAGI Medicaid and CHIP, the Appellant has the right to file a written reconsideration request within 15 days of the mailing date of the decision on the grounds there was an error of fact or law, new evidence has been discovered or other good cause exists. If the request for reconsideration is granted, the Appellant will be notified within 25 days of the request date. No response within 25 days means that the request for reconsideration has been denied. The right to request a reconsideration is based on §4-181a(a) of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Reconsideration requests should include specific grounds for the request: for example, indicate what error of fact or law, what new evidence, or what other good cause exists. Reconsideration requests should be sent to: Department of Social Services, Director, Office of Legal Counsel, Regulations, and Administrative Hearings, 55 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, CT 06105-3725.

There is no right to request reconsideration for denials or reductions of APTC or CSR.

Right to Appeal

For denials, terminations or reductions of MAGI Medicaid and CHIP eligibility, the Appellant has the right to appeal this decision to Superior Court within 45 days of the mailing of this decision, or 45 days after the agency denies a petition for reconsideration of this decision, provided that the petition for reconsideration was filed timely with the Department. The right to appeal is based on §4-183 of the Connecticut General Statutes. To appeal, a petition must be filed at Superior Court. A copy of the petition must be served upon the Office of the Attorney General, 55 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106 or the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services, 55 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, CT 06105. A copy of the petition must also be served on all parties to the hearing.

The 45 day appeal period may be extended in certain instances if there is good cause. The extension request must be filed with the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services in writing no later than 90 days from the mailing of the decision. Good cause circumstances are evaluated by the Commissioner or his designee in accordance with §17b-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The Agency's decision to grant an extension is final and is not subject to review or appeal.

The appeal should be filed with the clerk of the Superior Court in the Judicial District of New Britain or the Judicial District in which the Appellant resides.