### STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES OFFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, REGULATIONS, AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 55 FARMINGTON AVENUE HARTFORD, CT 06105-3725

SIGNATURE CONFIRMATION



## NOTICE OF DECISION PARTY



# PROCEDURAL BACKROUND

On 2022, the Connecticut Dental Health Partnership ("CTDHP") sent 2022, the Connecticut Denta

On 2022, the Appellant requested an administrative hearing to contest the denial of prior authorization of orthodontia due to lack of medical necessity.

On 2022, the Office of Legal Counsel, Regulations, and Administrative Hearings ("OLCRAH") issued a notice scheduling the administrative hearing for 2022.

On 2022, in accordance with sections 17b-60, 17b-61 and 4-176e to 4-189 inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes, OLCRAH held a telephonic administrative hearing. The following individuals participated in the hearing:

Appellant,

CTDHP Representative, Rosario Monteza CTDHP Dental Consultant, Dr. Greg Johnson Hearing Officer, Joshua Couillard

### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

The issue to be decided is whether CTDHP's denial of prior authorization through the Medicaid program for the child's orthodontic services was in accordance with state law.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Appellant is the mother of the child. (Appellant's Testimony)
- 2. The child is years-old [DOB: 2009] and is a participant in the Medicaid program, as administered by the Department of Social Services (the "Department"). (Exhibit 1: Prior Authorization Claim form, Hearing Record Appellant's Testimony)
- 3. CTDHP, also known as BeneCare Dental Plans, is the Department's subcontractor for reviewing dental providers' requests for prior authorization of orthodontic treatment. (Hearing Record)
- 4. On 2022, CTDHP received a prior authorization claim form for orthodontia from the child's treating provider (the "treating provider"),
- 5. On 2022, CTDHP received a Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record from the treating provider with a score of twenty-six (26) points. Dental models and x-rays of the child's mouth were also included (Exhibit 2: Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record, Hearing Record)
- 6. On 2022, Dr. Benson Monastersky, CTDHP's orthodontic dental consultant, independently reviewed the x-rays and models of the child's teeth and arrived at a score of seventeen (17) points on a completed Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record. Dr. Monastersky found no severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. (Exhibit 3: Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record)
- 7. On 2022, CTDHP issued a notice to the Appellant which denied the treating provider's request for prior authorization for orthodontic services for the reason that the scoring of the child's mouth was less than the twenty-six (26) points needed for coverage and that there is no substantial information about the presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. Also, there was no evidence that a diagnostic evaluation had been done by a licensed child psychologist or a licensed child psychiatrist indicating that the child's dental condition is related to the presence of severe mental emotional and/or behavioral problems, disturbances, or dysfunctions, as defined in the current edition of the Diagnostic Statistical Manual and orthodontic treatment will significantly improve such problems, disturbances or dysfunctions. (Exhibit 4: Notice of Action for Denied Services or Goods)

- 8. On 2022, the Appellant requested an administrative hearing to contest CTDHP's decision to deny orthodontia services for her minor child. The Appellant commented, "Please if you could review the case. Dentist came with over 28 points on evaluation. I don't understand why you only have 17 points. I would greatly appreciate if file could be reviewed again. Thank you." (Exhibit 5: Hearing Request Form, Hearing Record)
- 9. On 2022, Dr. Vincent Fazzino, CTDHP's orthodontic dental consultant conducted an independent appeal review of the child's x-rays and dental models and arrived at a score of eighteen (18) points on a completed Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record. Dr. Fazzino found no severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. (Exhibit 6: Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record)
- 10. On 2022, CTDHP issued a Determination Letter to the Appellant which again denied the treating provider's request for prior authorization for orthodontic services for the reason that the scoring of the child's mouth was less than the twenty-six (26) points needed for coverage; that there is no substantial information about the presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures, and that there was no evidence presented of any treatment by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist related to the condition of the child's teeth. (Exhibit 7: Determination Letter)
- 11. The child is not being treated by a licensed child psychiatrist or child psychologist for mental, emotional or behavioral issues directly related to his teeth. (Appellant's Testimony)
- 12. The issuance of this decision is timely under Connecticut General Statutes 17b-61(a), which requires that a decision be issued within 90 days of the request for an administrative hearing. The Appellant requested an administrative hearing on 2022; therefore, this decision is due no later than 2022.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- "The Department of Social Services is designated as the state agency for the administration of the Medicaid program pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act." Connecticut General Statutes ("Conn. Gen. Stat.") § 17b-2(6)
- "Orthodontic services are limited to recipients under twenty-one (21) years of age." Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("Regs., Conn. State Agencies") § 17-134d-35(d)
- "Orthodontic services will be paid for when (1) provided by a qualified dentist; and (2) deemed medically necessary as described in these regulations." Regs., Conn. State Agencies § 17-134d-35(a)

- 4. "For purposes of the administration of the medical assistance programs by the Department of Social Services, "medically necessary" and "medical necessity" mean those health services required to prevent, identify, diagnose, treat, rehabilitate or ameliorate an individual's medical condition, including mental illness, or its effects, in order to attain or maintain the individual's achievable health and independent functioning provided such services are: (1) Consistent with generally-accepted standards of medical practice that are defined as standards that are based on (A) credible scientific evidence published in peerreviewed medical literature that is generally recognized by the relevant medical community, (B) recommendations of a physician-specialty society, (C) the views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and (D) any other relevant factors; (2) clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, timing, site, extent and duration and considered effective for the individual's illness, injury or disease; (3) not primarily for the convenience of the individual, the individual's health care provider or other health care providers; (4) not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of the individual's illness, injury or disease; and (5) based on an assessment of the individual and his or her medical condition." Conn. Gen. Stat. § 17b-259b(a)
- 5. "The Department of Social Services shall cover orthodontic services for a Medicaid recipient under twenty-one years of age when the Salzmann Handicapping Malocclusion Index indicates a correctly scored assessment for the recipient of twenty-six points or greater, subject to prior authorization requirements. If a recipient's score on the Salzmann Handicapping Malocclusion Index is less than twenty-six points, the Department of Social Services shall consider additional substantive information when determining the need for orthodontic services, including (1) documentation of the presence of other severe deviations affecting the oral facial structures; and (2) the presence of severe mental, emotional or behavioral problems or disturbances, as defined in the most current edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, published by the American Psychiatric Association, that affects the individual's daily functioning." Conn. Gen. Stat. § 17b-282e
- 6. "The study models must clearly show the occlusal deviations and support the total point score of the preliminary assessment. If the qualified dentist receives authorization from the Department he may proceed with the diagnostic assessment." Regs., Conn. State Agencies § 17-134d-35(f)

CTDHP correctly determined that the child's dental models and x-rays do not meet the requirement of a twenty-six (26) point score on the Salzmann Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record. There is no presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. 7. "The Department shall consider additional information of a substantial nature about the presence of severe mental, emotional, and/or behavior problems, disturbances or dysfunctions, as defined in the most current edition of the Diagnostic Statistical Manual of the American Psychiatric Association, and which may be caused by the recipient's daily functioning. The department will only consider cases where a diagnostic evaluation has been performed by a licensed psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has accordingly limited his or her practice to child psychiatry or child psychology. The evaluation must clearly and substantially document how the dentofacial deformity is related to the child's mental, emotional, and/or behavior problems. And that orthodontic treatment is necessary and, in this case, will significantly ameliorate the problems." Regs., Conn. State Agencies § 17-134d-35(e)(2)

CTDHP correctly determined that the child has not received a diagnostic evaluation performed by a licensed psychiatrist or a licensed psychologist who has limited his or her practice to child psychiatry or child psychology regarding a dentofacial deformity related to the child's mental, emotional, and/or behavior problems.

8. "Upon denial of a request for authorization of services based on medical necessity, the individual shall be notified that, upon request, the Department of Social Services shall provide a copy of the specific guideline or criteria, or portion thereof, other than the medical necessity definition provided in subsection (a) of this section, that was considered by the department or an entity acting on behalf of the department in making the determination of medical necessity." Conn. Gen. Stat. § 17b-259b(c)

CTDHP correctly denied the prior authorization for orthodontic services because the child does not meet the medical necessity criteria in accordance with state statutes and regulations.

CTDHP correctly issued a Notice of Action for Denied Services or Goods on 2022, and a Determination Letter upholding the denial on 2022.

#### DECISION

The Appellant's appeal is **DENIED**.

Joshua Couillard Joshua Couillard

**Fair Hearing Officer** 

CC: Magdalena Carter, Connecticut Dental Health Partnership, P.O. Box 486, Farmington, CT 06034 Rita LaRosa, Connecticut Dental Health Partnership, P.O. Box 486, Farmington, CT 06034

# **RIGHT TO REQUEST RECONSIDERATION**

The appellant has the right to file a written reconsideration request within **15** days of the mailing date of the decision on the grounds there was an error of fact or law, new evidence has been discovered or other good cause exists. If the request for reconsideration is granted, the appellant will be notified within **25** days of the request date. No response within 25 days means that the request for reconsideration has been denied. The right to request a reconsideration is based on § 4-181a (a) of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Reconsideration requests should include <u>specific</u> grounds for the request: for example, indicate <u>what</u> error of fact or law, <u>what</u> new evidence, or <u>what</u> other good cause exists.

Reconsideration requests should be sent to: Department of Social Services, Director, Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105.

#### RIGHT TO APPEAL

The appellant has the right to appeal this decision to Superior Court within **45** days of the mailing of this decision, or **45** days after the agency denies a petition for reconsideration of this decision, provided that the petition for reconsideration was filed timely with the Department. The right to appeal is based on § 4-183 of the Connecticut General Statutes. To appeal, a petition must be filed at Superior Court. A copy of the petition must be served upon the Office of the Attorney General, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 or the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105. A copy of the petition must also be served on all parties to the hearing.

The 45 day appeal period may be extended in certain instances if there is good cause. The extension request must be filed with the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services in writing no later than 90 days from the mailing of the decision. Good cause circumstances are evaluated by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee in accordance with § 17b-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The Agency's decision to grant an extension is final and is not subject to review or appeal.

The appeal should be filed with the clerk of the Superior Court in the Judicial District of New Britain or the Judicial District in which the appellant resides.