

STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES  
OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, REGULATIONS, AND ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS  
55 FARMINGTON AVENUE  
HARTFORD, CT 06105-3725

██████████ 2019  
Signature Confirmation

Case ID # ██████████  
Client ID # ██████████  
Request # ██████████

NOTICE OF DECISION  
PARTY

██████████  
██████████  
██████████  
██████████

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On ██████████, 2018, CT Dental Health Partnership/BeneCare Dental Health Plans (“BeneCare”), the Dental Administrator for the Department of Social Services (the “Department”) sent ██████████ (the “Appellant”) a Notice of Action (“NOA”) denying a request for prior authorization of orthodontia for the Appellant’s child, ██████████ (“the Child”). The NOA informed the Appellant that orthodontia for the Child was not medically necessary because the severity of the Child’s malocclusion did not meet requirements set in state statute and regulations for medical necessity.

On ██████████, 2019, the Appellant requested an administrative hearing to contest the Department’s denial of prior authorization of orthodontia.

On ██████████ 2019, the Office of Legal Counsel, Regulations, and Administrative Hearings (“OLCRAH”) issued a notice scheduling the administrative hearing for ██████████ 2019.

On ██████████ 2019, the Appellant request to reschedule her administrative hearing.

On ██████████, 2019, at the Appellant’s request OLCRAH reissued a notice rescheduling the administrative hearing for ██████████ 2019.

On ██████████ 2019, in accordance with sections 17b-60, 17b-61 and 4-176e to 4-189, inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes, OLCRAH held an administrative hearing.



evidence presented of any treatment by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist related to the condition of the Child's teeth. (Exhibit 3: Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record)

8. On [REDACTED] 2018, CTDHP denied the treating orthodontist's request for prior authorization for orthodontic services for the reason that the scoring of the Child's mouth was less than the twenty six points needed for coverage and that there is no substantial information about the presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. (Exhibit 4: Notice of Action for Denied Services or Goods)
9. On [REDACTED], 2019, the Appellant requested an administrative hearing on the denial of braces for the Child. (Exhibit 5: Hearing request)
10. On [REDACTED], 2019, pursuant to the Appellant's appeal filed on February 11, 2019 Dr. Vincent Fazzino, a Dental Consultant for CTDHP conducted an appeal review of the Child's dental records and models and arrived at a score of twenty-two (22) points on a completed Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record. Dr. Fazzino found no presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures. There was no evidence presented of any treatment by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist related to the condition of the Child's teeth. (Exhibit 6: Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record)
11. On [REDACTED], 2019, CTDHP notified the Appellant that the Child's score of twenty-two (22) points did not meet the criteria for orthodontic treatment. (Exhibit 7: Letter Regarding Orthodontic Service)
12. The issuance of this decision is timely under Connecticut General Statutes 17b-61(a), which requires that a decision be issued within 90 days of the request for an administrative hearing. The Appellant requested an administrative hearing on [REDACTED], 2019. Therefore, this decision is due not later than [REDACTED] 2019. However, the hearing, which was originally scheduled for [REDACTED], 2019, was rescheduled for [REDACTED] 2019, at the request of the Appellant, which caused a 43-day delay. Because this 43-day delay resulted from the Appellant's request, this decision is not due until [REDACTED], 2019, and is therefore timely.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. Connecticut General Statutes §17b-262 provides that the Department may make such regulations as are necessary to administer the medical assistance program.
2. Connecticut Agencies Regulations §17-134d-35(a) provides that orthodontic services provided for individuals less than 21 years of age will be paid for when

provided by a qualified dentist and deemed medically necessary as described in these regulations.

3. Connecticut General Statutes §17b-259b provides (a) For purposes of the administration of the medical assistance programs by the Department of Social Services, "medically necessary" and "medical necessity" mean those health services required to prevent, identify, diagnose, treat, rehabilitate or ameliorate an individual's medical condition, including mental illness, or its effects, in order to attain or maintain the individual's achievable health and independent functioning provided such services are: (1) Consistent with generally-accepted standards of medical practice that are defined as standards that are based on (A) credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature that is generally recognized by the relevant medical community, (B) recommendations of a physician-specialty society, (C) the views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas, and (D) any other relevant factors; (2) clinically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, timing, site, extent and duration and considered effective for the individual's illness, injury or disease; (3) not primarily for the convenience of the individual, the individual's health care provider or other health care providers; (4) not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of the individual's illness, injury or disease; and (5) based on an assessment of the individual and his or her medical condition.
4. Connecticut Agencies Regulations §17-134d-35(f) provides that the study models submitted for prior authorization must clearly show the occlusal deviations and support the total point score of the preliminary assessment.
5. Connecticut General Statutes Sec. 17b-282e provides that the Department of Social Services shall cover orthodontic services for a Medicaid recipient under twenty-one years of age when the Salzmann Handicapping Malocclusion Index indicates a correctly scored assessment for the recipient of twenty-six points or greater, subject to prior authorization requirements. If a recipient's score on the Salzmann Handicapping Malocclusion Index is less than twenty-six points, the Department of Social Services shall consider additional substantive information when determining the need for orthodontic services, including (1) documentation of the presence of other severe deviations affecting the oral facial structures; and (2) the presence of severe mental, emotional or behavioral problems or disturbances, as defined in the most current edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, published by the American Psychiatric Association, that affects the individual's daily functioning.
6. CTDHP correctly determined that the models submitted for prior authorization do not meet the requirement of a 26 point score on the preliminary assessment. There is no presence of severe deviations affecting the mouth and underlying structures.

7. CTDHP correctly determined that a licensed psychiatrist or licensed psychologist who has limited his or her practice to child psychiatry or child psychology has not recommended that the Child receive orthodontic treatment to significantly ameliorate her mental, emotional, and or behavior problems, disturbances or dysfunctions.
8. CTDHP correctly denied the prior authorization because the Child does not meet the medical necessity criteria for orthodontic services, accordance with state statutes and regulations.

### **DISCUSSION**


State regulations provide that when a child is correctly scored with at least twenty six points on a Preliminary Handicapping Malocclusion Assessment Record, a test measuring severity of malocclusion and dentofacial deformity, the Medicaid program will authorize and pay for orthodontic treatment.

The treating orthodontist scored the malocclusion of the Child's teeth to equal thirty-two points. Two dentists in blind reviews independently assessed the Child's models and scored the malocclusion to equal twenty-three and twenty-two points respectively. It is reasonable to conclude that the models do not support the severity of malocclusions and dentofacial deformity.

The undersigned hearing officer finds that the Appellant's minor child's malocclusion did not meet the criteria for severity, or 26 points, as established in state regulations to allow the Medicaid program to pay for orthodontic services.

### **DECISION**

The Appellant's appeal is **DENIED**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Swati Sehgal  
Hearing Officer

Pc: Diane D'Ambrosio, Connecticut Dental Health Partnership  
Rita LaRosa, Connecticut Dental Health Partnership

### **RIGHT TO REQUEST RECONSIDERATION**

The appellant has the right to file a written reconsideration request within **15** days of the mailing date of the decision on the grounds there was an error of fact or law, new evidence has been discovered or other good cause exists. If the request for reconsideration is granted, the appellant will be notified within 25 days of the request date. No response within 25 days means that the request for reconsideration has been denied. The right to request a reconsideration is based on §4-181a (a) of the Connecticut General Statutes.

Reconsideration requests should include specific grounds for the request: for example, indicate what error of fact or law, what new evidence, or what other good cause exists.

Reconsideration requests should be sent to: Department of Social Services, Director, Office of Administrative Hearings and Appeals, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105.

### **RIGHT TO APPEAL**

The appellant has the right to appeal this decision to Superior Court within 45 days of the mailing of this decision, or 45 days after the agency denies a petition for reconsideration of this decision, provided that the petition for reconsideration was filed timely with the Department. The right to appeal is based on §4-183 of the Connecticut General Statutes. To appeal, a petition must be filed at Superior Court. A copy of the petition must be served upon the Office of the Attorney General, 55 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106 or the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services, 55 Farmington Avenue Hartford, CT 06105. A copy of the petition must also be served on all parties to the hearing.

The 45 day appeal period may be extended in certain instances if there is good cause. The extension request must be filed with the Commissioner of the Department of Social Services in writing no later than 90 days from the mailing of the decision. Good cause circumstances are evaluated by the Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee in accordance with §17b-61 of the Connecticut General Statutes. The Agency's decision to grant an extension is final and is not subject to review or appeal.

The appeal should be filed with the clerk of the Superior Court in the Judicial District of New Britain or the Judicial District in which the appellant resides.