



**STATE OF CONNECTICUT**  
**COMMISSION FOR CHILD SUPPORT GUIDELINES**  
**PROPOSED MEETING AGENDA**

**Thursday, November 2, 2023 – 5:00-7:00 P.M.**

*Conducted via Microsoft Teams*

<b>TIME</b>	<b>TOPIC</b>
5:00 – 5:05	Call to order, provision for meeting minutes
5:05 – 5:30	<p>Assignment of topics with no volunteers and to Commission members not present at October meeting; designation of member to be primarily responsible for each topic</p> <p>Current assignments:</p> <p><b><i>Imputation of income and minimum wage orders</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Attorneys Signorelli, Colón, and Barrett</li></ul> <p><b><i>Issues pertaining to high income orders</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Attorneys Barrett, McNamara, and Storms</li></ul> <p><b><i>How to handle orders where income is lower than what is contemplated by the guidelines</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Attorney Signorelli, Pruslow</li></ul> <p><b><i>How to handle lump sum awards considering the rule established in Jenkins v. Jenkins, 243 Conn. 584, 704 A.2d 231 (1998)</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Rep. Pavalock-D'Amato, Shaffer</li></ul> <p><b><i>Adoption of current order when there is an arrearage at time child emancipates</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- No volunteers, Ferguson, Hernandez</li></ul> <p><b><i>Arrearages calculations</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- No volunteers,</li></ul>

	<p><b><i>Methodology for orders in instances of shared custody</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rep. Pavalock-D'Amato and Attorneys McNamara, Barrett, Storms, and Hernandez</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Crediting other orders</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attorney Colón</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Addressing situations where there are more than 2 parents following passage of the CT Parentage Act</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attorneys Hernandez and Brown, DCF representative</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Providing or defining a method for calculating a monthly and/or bi-weekly expression of child support in the guidelines</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No volunteers</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Setting an age at which the childcare contribution portion of a support order terminates, unless ordered otherwise by the court – 46b-215a-2c(g)</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attorney Signorelli, Shaffer</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Protecting an actual amount of the obligor's income as a self-support reserve, as is done in some other states</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attorney Brown</li> </ul> <p><b><i>Extracurricular activities</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Attorneys Storms, McNamara and Barrett</li> </ul>
5:30 – 6:00	<p><b>Adjustment of Betson-Rothbarth study to CT incomes and cost of living</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Income-realignment vs. price-parity methodologies</li> <li>• Recommendation of Chair, vice-chair and attorneys Shaffer and Brown to use the income-realignment methodology</li> <li>• Discussion</li> <li>• Vote of full commission</li> </ul>
6:00 – 6:15	<p><b>Recommendation of Chair, vice-chair and attorneys Shaffer and Brown to extend the current Guidelines schedule to \$5,000/week</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussion</li> <li>• Vote of full commission</li> </ul>
6:15 – 6:30	<p><b>Recommendation of Chair, vice-chair and attorneys Shaffer and Brown to have Dr. Venohr update the low-income adjustment of the schedule (the shaded portions) from 100% to 125% of the Federal Poverty Guideline (FPG)</b></p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would serve as a starting point to determine where the low-income adjustment should stop on the updated schedule</li> <li>• Commission will vote in the future for a precise FPG percentage to use</li> <li>• Discussion</li> <li>• Vote of full commission</li> </ul>
6:30 – 7:00	Open Discussion
7:00	Adjournment