Diabetes Advisory Council

WELCOME

March 14, 2017

CT DPH Laboratory





Agenda

- Welcome and introductions
- Approval of minutes
- Public comment
- Review of State of CT diabetes programs draft document
- Workgroup updates: DPP CQM DSME
- Legislative update
- Next meeting: April 11 at the Legislative Office Building, Room 1A, Hartford





State of CT Diabetes Programs

Program	State Agency/ Role	Other Partner(s)	Current Sustainability Status	Recommendations to enhance financial support
Stanford Live Well with Diabetes	DPH/Administra tion Quality Management	Area Agencies on Aging, CT Community Care Inc., (CCCI) State Dept. on Aging	CDC funds End 6/18	
National Quality Forum 59 (A1c) and 18 (BP) Health Systems Dashboard	DPH/ Oversight	Regional Extension Center	CDC funds End 6/18	
211 Infoline for diabetes referrals	DPH/Administra tion	211 Infoline	CDC funds End 6/18	
Pursuit of Medicare reimbursement for community diabetes programs	DPH/Oversight	CCCI, Qualidigm, Diabetes Consultants	CDC funds End 6/18	Medicare reimbursement
Diabetes and pre-diabetes awareness campaigns	DPH/Productio n and dissemination	Marketing companies	CDC funds End 6/18	
Block grant diabetes education	DPH/ Administration	Local health dept.	Prevention and Public Health funds	





State of CT Diabetes Programs

Program	State agency/role	Partners	Current sustainability status	Rec. to enhance financial support
Diabetes Prevention	DPH/convener	YMCAs,		Medicare reimbursement 1/2018
Programs		hospitals		
Medication Therapy	DPH/Administration	UConn School	CDC funds	
Management		of Pharmacy	End 6/18	
Deputation health	State Innovation			
Population health				
management for diabetes	Model (SIM)			
Community Health Network	DSS/ Diabetes Care			
diabetes services	Management,			
	nutrition			
	counseling			
??Exploration coverage for	Comptroller			
DPP for State employees				
Access Health CT				
Diabetes education by	Dept. of	CCCI	CDC funds	
offenders for offenders	Corrections and		End 6/18	
	DPH/ Training of			
	offender			





State of CT Diabetes Programs

Program	State	Partners	Current sustainability	Rec. to enhance financial support
	agency/role		status	
	Dept. of			
	Children and			
	Families			
Resources, comprehensive low	Bureau of			
vision services, specialized	Education			
education services, life skills	Services for			
training, case management, and	the Blind			
vocational services				
Diabetes education for Veterans	CT VA			





DIABETES PREVENTION WORKGROUP





Recommendation 1: Secure coverage in commercial, state employee and Medicaid health plans for CDC recognized Diabetes Prevention Programs.

By December 2017, DPH working through the SIM Prevention Services Center Model will assess the interest and capability of at least 2 Accountable Care Organizations in offering DPP as a benefit to their attributed commercial or Medicaid members.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 1: Secure coverage in commercial, state employee and Medicaid health plans for CDC recognized Diabetes Prevention Programs.

By April 2018, DPH working through the SIM Prevention Services Center Model, will aim to enroll at least two Accountable Care Organizations to commit to provision of DPP for all or part of their eligible attributed Medicaid and/or Commercial Population.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 2: Establish as a standard of care, the referral of patients with pre-diabetes or at risk for type 2 diabetes to CDC- recognized Diabetes Prevention Programs by medical providers, other health service providers, or by self- referral.

Between May 2017 and April 2018, the CT YMCA DPP provider network will hold 4 state-wide learning collaborative meetings among DPP coordinators to share best practices and resources with respect to provider outreach and engagement and patient recruitment and retention.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 3: Build state wide Diabetes Prevention Program capacity with an emphasis on culturally and linguistically appropriate standards, and improved acess.

By April 2018, DPH will work with Y-DPP providers to identify high-risk areas of the state without DPP programs and deploy DPP in at least three of these areas.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





CLINICAL QUALITY MEASURES WORKGROUP





Revision to Recommendation 1

Original: Implement diabetes-related clinical quality measures as part of:

- Statewide and regional health dashboards and report diabetes control efforts at the state and community levels
- b) An all-payer scorecard, aligned with the measures recommended by the SIM Quality Council, to enable Advanced Network/FQHC's quality improvement efforts.

Revised: Implement diabetesrelated clinical quality measures as part of:

- a) Statewide and regional health dashboards to monitor and report the effectiveness of diabetes control efforts, and
- b) An all-payer scorecard of Advanced Network/FQHC's diabetes control performance, aligned with the measures recommended by the SIM Quality Council, to enable quality improvement efforts.





Recommendation 1: Implement diabetes-related clinical quality measures as part of:

- a) Statewide and regional health dashboards to monitor and report the effectiveness of diabetes control efforts, and
- b) An all-payer scorecard of Advanced Network/FQHC's diabetes control performance, aligned with the measures recommended by the SIM Quality Council, to enable quality improvement efforts.

Between May 2017 and April 2018, the Diabetes Partnership will track the progress of the SIM Program Management Office (PMO) in developing and maintaining statewide and regional dashboards and an all-payer scorecard.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





1. By May 2018, DPH meets with or convenes state agencies with health care authority including DSS, DCF, DMHAS and DDS to seek endorsement of the Community and Clinical Integration Program (CCIP) Health Equity Improvement data collection and analytic standards for race and ethnicity.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





2. By May 2018, DPH meets with DSS to discuss making the CCIP Health Equity Improvement data collection and analytic standards for race and ethnicity a requirement of FQHCs that are participating in PCMH+, and not already subject to the standards.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





3. By May 2018, as a result of meeting with DPH, DSS includes the CCIP Health Equity Improvement data collection and analytic standards for race and ethnicity as a requirement of FQHCs that are participating in PCMH+, and not already subject to the standards.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





4. By May 2018, CHCACT undertakes a review to determine whether CHCACT and its member's existing data systems are sufficient to undertake the process of meeting CCIP data collection and analytic standards.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





DIABETES SELF-MANAGEMENT EDUCATION WORKGROUP





Recommendation 1:.Secure Medicaid coverage for DSME at American Diabetes Association/ American Association of Diabetes Educators accredited programs

Between May 2017 and April 2018: DPH will secure actuarial services to assess the cost benefit analysis of DSME for the Medicaid population in CT and then share results with key change agents e.g. legislators (italics added)

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 1: Secure Medicaid coverage for DSME at American Diabetes Association/ American Association of Diabetes Educators accredited programs.

Between May 2017 and April 2018, DPH will collect hospital re-admission data comparing hospitals that have CDE in patient services to those that do not.: ACTION STEP ELIMINATED due to lack of alignment with recommendation.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 2: Devise a plan and seek financial support to increase CT's pool of lay and professional diabetes educators who represent at risk populations including, but not limited to, minorities and those residing in lower socio-economic and rural areas.

Between May 2017 and April 2018, DPH will convene stakeholders who have vested interest in seeing more culturally diverse educators develop to identify one or two organizations to spearhead this initiative. (italics are new, previously: a TBD organization will explore foundation support to address the recommendation

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 3:. Modify cost sharing of DSME by reforming insurance plans to decrease barriers such that DSME is not subject to insurance deductibles and co-payments

Between May 2017 and April 2018, Conduct literature search on cost vis a vis accessing DSME even with insurance, lead TBD

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 3:. Modify cost sharing of DSME by reforming insurance plans to decrease barriers such that DSME is not subject to insurance deductibles and co-payments

Between May 2017 and April 2018, DPH will convene stakeholders in insurance industry to address financial barriers to DSME access.

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





Recommendation 4:. Build state-wide Diabetes Self-Management Education program capacity with an emphasis on culturally and linguistically appropriate standards and improved access.

Between May 2017 and April 2018, None DSME group requests DAC input re: is this recommendation needed?

- How does this action step align with the recommendation?
- With whom should the results be shared?
- How should the results be disseminated?
- How does this action step tie in to existing priorities of organizations represented on the DAC?





??? SEPARATE SECTION ON ACTION STEPS WITH OUT LEAD— "OTHER REC"



