



Clean Water Fund

Financial Assistance Programs Municipal Water Pollution Control Priority List, State Fiscal Years 2026 & 2027

Section 1: Program Authorization and Objectives

Section 2: Meeting Future Clean Water Fund Demands and Economic Benefits to the State

Section 3: Municipal Funding Programs

Section 3a: Priority Project Program (Grant & Loan)

Section 3b: Set-Asides and Reserves (Grant & Loan)

Section 3c: Collection System Improvement Program (Loan)

Section 4: Supplemental Funding for CWF Projects

Section 1: Program Authorization and Objectives

Authorizations:

The purpose of this document is to present the Department of Energy & Environmental Protection's (Department or DEEP) state and federal funding assistance programs for municipal water pollution control projects under the Clean Water Fund (CWF) during State Fiscal Years 2026 (July 1, 2025 - June 30, 2026) and 2027 (July 1, 2026 - June 30, 2027). This document describes the uses of funds available under Sections 22a-478 and 22a-483 of the Connecticut General Statutes (CGS) and the Water Quality Act of 1987 and federal funds under Title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act.

A public hearing on this document (hereafter referred to as “the Priority List”) was held by video conference on February 5, 2026, at 10:30 am. The draft Priority List was distributed through a Municipal Wastewater email newsletter to all subscribers and posted on the Department’s website. Public notices of its availability were posted in various newspapers throughout the state.

The Department has been funding projects in accordance with the FY2024 and FY2025 Priority List. The unallocated balances from that Priority List were considered in the development of the FY2026 and FY2027 Priority List.

The Connecticut Clean Water Fund (CWF) program encompasses both the federal Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) program and a state grants and loan program. The Connecticut state grants program was modeled after the federal Construction Grants program that was sunset in the late 1980s.

Primary sources of funding for the CWF program are state general obligation and revenue bonds, as managed by the Office of the State Treasurer, and federal capitalization grants through the Clean Water Act with annual appropriations through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and Non-Clean Water Fund Sewer Overflow & Stormwater Reuse Municipal (OSG) Grant. Additionally, the Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act (IIJA) was signed into law on November 15, 2021, resulting in increased support of wastewater infrastructure needs in alignment with State Revolving Fund programs such as the CWSRF. The IIJA provided two additional federal grants to the State of Connecticut: the CWSRF General Supplemental Grant and the Emerging Contaminants Grant. Section 4 of this report discusses the supplemental federal funding being provided to CWF projects and additional requirements in detail.

The anticipated funding for this Priority List is as follows:

	Anticipated FY2026 Funds Authorized	Anticipated FY2027 Funds Authorized	Anticipated FY2028 Funds Authorized	Anticipated FY2029 Funds Authorized
State General Obligation Bonds (1, 2)	\$ 130,000,000	\$ 170,000,000	TBD	TBD
State Revenue Bonds (1)	\$ 0	\$ 450,000,000	TBD	TBD
Federal Capitalization Base Grant (3)	\$ 19,436,000	\$ 8,751,000	\$ 8,751,000	\$ 8,751,000
Federal SRF IIJA Supplemental Grant (4)	\$ 30,179,000	\$ 30,179,000	\$0	\$ 0
Federal IIJA EC (5)	\$ 2,747,000	\$ 2,605,000	\$ 0	\$ 0

- (1) CONNECTICUT FY 2026 – FY 2027 BIENNIUM BUDGET Public Act 25-174 [CT FY26-27 Final Budget](#)
- (2) The entire FY26 General Obligation Bonds Authorization is \$133,000,000 but \$3,000,000 is reallocated to the Drinking Water Fund. The entire FY26 Revenue Bonds Authorization is \$50,000,000 but the entire \$50,000,000 is reallocated to the Drinking Water Fund. The

entire FY27 General Obligation Bonds Authorization is \$175,000,000 but \$5,000,000 is reallocated to the Drinking Water Fund. The entire FY26 Revenue Bonds Authorization is \$500,000,000 but \$50,000,000 is reallocated to the Drinking Water Fund.

- (3) Federal Amounts estimated after earmarks, including 604(b). *Italics indicate the estimated amount.*
- (4) IIJA = Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act, *estimated amount*; Refer to Section 4b for more information on this IIJA grant.
- (5) IIJA = Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act, EC = Emerging Contaminants Grant (EC), refer to Section 4b for more information. EC allotments shown deduct 604(b) allocation.

Requirements of the Federal Capitalization Grants:

The requirements for the standard federal capitalization grants are as follows:

- 10% of the grant shall be used for green infrastructure, energy efficiency, or other environmentally innovative projects. The eligibility requirements for funding green projects are identified in Section 3b;
- 10% to 49% of the federal Capitalization grants shall be applied as additional subsidy (grants);
- Davis-Bacon wage rates shall be applied;
- American Iron and Steel requirements shall be followed; and
- Build America Buy America requirements shall be followed.

Additional standard federal capitalization grant requirements include:

Build America, Buy America Act (BABA) – Starting on May 14, 2022, all steel, iron, manufactured products, non-ferrous metals, plastic and polymer-based products (including polyvinylchloride, composite building materials, and polymers used in fiber optic cables), glass (including optic glass), lumber, and drywall used in infrastructure projects for federal financial assistance programs must be produced in the United States. CT DEEP will require BABA compliance for equivalency construction projects funded through this Priority List with federal monies pursuant to EPA requirements, as amended.

Community Grants Program - The Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2025 (P.L. 117-42) identified funding for specifically named community infrastructure projects, administered directly by the EPA with no required state responsibility. Eight CT projects totaling \$10,720,760 were designated as community projects for federal FY2024, which are ongoing. No Connecticut projects were selected for federal FY2025. Implementation guidance was released by the EPA on April 29, 2024. State of Connecticut staff attend EPA training on Programmatic and Administrative Requirements to ensure familiarity with community grants awards. CT will continue to collaborate with EPA and municipalities on co-funded Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) projects.

The requirements for the federal grants authorized by IIJA (CWSRF General Supplemental Grant, Emerging Contaminants Grant), and Non-CWF grant for Sewer Overflow & Stormwater Reuse Municipal (OSG) Grant) are detailed in Section 4.

Funding Levels Based Upon Project Type:

The Clean Water Fund program is defined by Sections 22a-475 through 22a-483 of the CGS and by regulations adopted on February 19, 1992, pursuant to CGS 22a-482. The State's Clean Water Fund program (Section 3a of the Priority List) provides grants equal to 20% of the eligible project costs and a loan for the remainder of the project costs which are to be repaid over not more than 20 years at 2% interest for water pollution control projects. Exceptions to grant percentage are noted below.

- Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) projects receive grants equal to 50% of the eligible project costs associated with CSO control.
- Nutrient (Nitrogen or Phosphorus) Removal projects receive grants equal to 30% of the project costs associated with nutrient removal.
- Small Community projects receive grants of 25% of the eligible project cost.
- Collection System improvements receive 100% loan of the eligible project cost and shall not receive a project grant.

Pursuant to CGS sec. 22a-478(c)(4), if supplemental federal grant funds are available for Clean Water Fund projects specifically related to the clean-up of Long Island Sound, a distressed (disadvantaged) municipality may receive a combination of state and federal grants in an amount not to exceed 50% of the cost of the project associated with nutrient removal.

Management System

As required by Section 22a-482-1(b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (RCSA), a Priority List is established for the purpose of determining funding assistance available for sewerage projects. This list is effective upon adoption and shall remain in effect until adoption of the subsequent Priority List. The intended effective period is from the date of adoption through June 30, 2027, or until the next Priority List is adopted.

The Priority List management system (Section 22a-482-1(c)(1) through (5) of the RCSA) includes sections on priority rating criteria, project ranking mechanism, order of priority funding, public hearing, and revisions to the Priority List. The Priority List has been developed in accordance with the requirements referenced above.

This document incorporates the priority ranking systems for grant-eligible priority projects. The loan-only collection system improvement projects will be funded from the reserves on a first-come, first-served basis.

Projects will be removed from the Priority List if they have been fully funded by the Clean Water Fund, if they have been funded by any other means, if they are no longer eligible for funding, or if the municipality withdraws the project from consideration.

Set-Asides and Reserves

In developing the fundable portion of the Priority List and the intended use plan, the State has established a number of set-asides and reserves required or allowed under federal and state regulations.

Reserves have been considered for:

- a) Program administrative costs (set-aside)
- b) Water quality management (set-aside)
- c) Cost increases
- d) Planning projects
- e) Design projects
- f) Infiltration and inflow rehabilitation projects
- g) Green Components projects
- h) Resiliency projects
- i) Small community projects
- j) Pump station rehabilitation projects
- k) Collection system improvement projects

Program Administrative Costs

The costs of administering the Clean Water Fund are covered by a combination of federal grants and state bonds. Section 35.2020(a) and 40 CFR 35.3120(g) of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) provides that the State may set aside from the federal capitalization grant of each Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) a reserve not to exceed 4 percent of Title VI appropriations for the purpose of administration. In addition to these federal dollars, the state may set aside an amount not to exceed 4 percent of the total bonding authorization to cover the reasonable costs of administering the program. These administrative expenses are allowed in accordance with appropriate tax laws and bonding practices.

Water Quality Management

Section 604(b) of the 1987 Amendment to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act is applicable to Title VI only. This section is intended to provide a funding source to states for water quality management planning as defined by 205(j) and 303(e) of the Federal Act. Approximately \$500,000 additional federal funding (\$196,000 from Base SRF, \$305,000 from IJA Supplemental and \$26,000 from IJA Emerging Contaminants) will be reserved from CT's FFY2025 allotment for water quality

management planning. The FFY2026 allotment for this purpose is estimated at \$419,000 (\$88,000 from Base SRF, \$305,000 from IIJA Supplemental, and \$26,000 from IIJA Emerging Contaminants).

Small Communities

A “small community” means a municipality with a population of 5,000 or less, or highly dispersed sections of large municipalities. The regulations adopted pursuant to CGS Section 22a-482 require that a reserve of funds sufficient to finance the construction of at least one small community project per year be established.

Revisions to the Priority List

The Department reserves the right to evaluate the usage of funds in all of the reserves and the fundable construction projects at any time during the last six months of each fiscal year (and as long as the list is administratively continued) to reallocate underutilized funds into any other reserve or construction project as determined by the Commissioner. This shall be done in accordance with the requirements of Section 22a-482-1 (c) (5) (Revisions to the Priority List) of the RCSA.

Revisions of the Priority List may be made at any time during the funding period in accordance with public participation requirements and all other established procedures. A public hearing with appropriate notice may be held on all significant changes to the Priority List and all parties affected by such changes may be notified directly. If the State determines that a change must be made and that such a change is not significant, or the affected municipality has been previously notified, public notice requirements and a public hearing may be waived.

If the passage of a public act or budgetary rescissions results in a reduction in the amount of funding authorized, the final Priority List will be revised without a public notice and public hearing. In order to account for a reduction in funding, the Department will make adjustments to the funding reserves that are underutilized for new projects. Specifically, the Department will revise Section 3b of the *Set-Asides and Reserves* and Section 3c of the *Collection System Improvement Program*. If adjusting those reserves is insufficient to account for the reduction in funding, then the lowest priority point projects listed in the table for *Fundable Construction Projects* which have not advertised for bidding and/or not submitted a Clean Water Fund application will not receive funding. Any changes to the final Priority List will be posted on the DEEP website.

Section 2: Meeting Future Clean Water Fund Demands and Economic Benefits to the State

Barring significant changes to funding levels, the FY2026 and FY2027 state capital budget will likely continue a trend of robust financial support for the ongoing improvement of our aging wastewater infrastructure. Current funding authorizations will further stimulate our economy through the creation or retention of jobs for construction contractors, equipment manufacturers, construction materials suppliers, design and management professionals, and supporting businesses and industries. The availability of Clean Water Fund financing will reduce the burden on ratepayers and taxpayers from stable, low-interest financing as well as grant dollars to municipal wastewater treatment authorities.

Consistent with the priority list scoring process (See Section 3a) and in accordance with the RCSA Section 22a-482-1(c), available funding is proposed to address priority water quality areas. The priority needs of the state still remain achieving: CSO abatement as required under Long Term Control Plans and/or Integrated Municipal Stormwater and Wastewater Plans, Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) abatement for separated sanitary sewer systems as required by federal and state enforcement actions, meeting the nitrogen allocation required by the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Long Island Sound, and nitrogen and/or phosphorus reduction to control nutrient enrichment of water bodies. Adaptation to address severe weather events and the effects of flooding and sea level rise is a design requirement for all Clean Water Fund projects in accordance with CGS Sections 22a-92(5) and 22a-478(a)(8).

Other issues that may add to the Clean Water Fund demands, but for which costs cannot be precisely determined at this time include:

- Tighter effluent limits for metals;
- Control of pharmaceuticals and personal care products;
- Control of microplastics; and/ or
- Management of er- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS).

Section 3: Municipal Funding Programs

Section 3a: Priority Project Grant-Loan Program

Priority Project Ranking System

Regulations adopted pursuant to CGS 22a-439 and 22a-482 (Section 22a-482-1(c) of the RCSA) and under 40 CFR Part 35 specify the use of a priority system for determining the funding of municipal projects.

The priority system and Priority List include project information and point ratings that become the basis for committing available and anticipated state and federal funds. Projects on the Priority List are rated on several criteria that emphasize the impact of each project on public health, improvement of water quality, and water resource utilization. Both the federal regulations governing the development of this document, 40 CFR 35.2015, 35.2020, and 35.3150; and state law, CGS 22a-439 and 22a-478, stress the need to fund projects that improve "priority water quality areas," i.e., specific stream segments or bodies of water where municipal discharges have resulted in the impairment of a designated use or significant public health risk, and where the reduction of pollution from the municipal discharges will substantially restore surface or groundwater uses. Based on the priority rating system and other specific management criteria, all anticipated eligible sewerage projects as requested by the municipalities or identified by the Department have been ranked for funding purposes and appear on the Priority List.

The Priority List contains the fundable portion, consisting of those projects anticipated to be funded from any unobligated balance of prior year carry-over funds and the anticipated FY2026 and FY2027 allotments. The priority rating score, which is developed from the priority rating system for every project, becomes the prime consideration in the overall Priority List ranking.

The criteria, which establish the priority rating number, are shown below. The application of this rating system to each individual project request that was received is shown in Table 1. Note that the criteria are evaluated against existing conditions, and not against future, 20-year flow projections. In cases where the priority rating number is the same for two or more projects, preference will be given to that project ready to proceed at the earliest date.

- I. Project impacts potable water supply – (10 points maximum).

A. Impaired water supply affecting less than 25 people –2 points.

B. Impaired water supply affecting 26 to 100 people –4 points.

C. Impaired water supply affecting 101 to 1,000 people –6 points.

D. Impaired water supply affecting 1,001 to 5,000 people –8 points.

E. Impaired water supply affecting more than 5,000 people –10 points.
- II. Project is necessary for attainment of State water quality goals – (28 points maximum)

A. Project is necessary for attainment of water quality standards where the impacted water resource is:

1. Smaller than main stem of a sub-regional drainage basin or groundwater goals will be attained –5 points.

2. Main stem of sub-regional drainage basin –10 points.

3. Main stem of regional drainage basin –15 points.

4. Main stem of major drainage basin –20 points.

5. Projects that impact coastal areas are considered the equivalent of a regional drainage basin and assigned –15 points.

B. Project will enable the water body to meet minimum dissolved oxygen standards –8 points.
- III. Project will enhance specific water resource values – (24 points maximum)

A. Fishery resources - (6 points maximum).

1. Project will improve recreational fisheries – 3 points.
2. Project will improve anadromous fisheries – 6 points.
3. Project will open new streams for fish stocking programs – 6 points.
- B. Shellfish resources – (6 points maximum)
 1. Project will lower coliform bacterial levels in the waters of shellfish beds – 3 points
 2. Project will open new areas for shell fishing – 6 points.
- C. Swimming – (6 points maximum)
 1. Project will enhance existing swimming opportunities – 3 points.
 2. Project will allow for new swimming opportunities – 6 points.
- D. Eutrophication – (6 points maximum)
 1. Project will reduce eutrophication of a lake or impoundment by diverting septic system discharges out of a drainage basin – 3 points.
 2. Project will reduce eutrophication of a lake or impoundment by providing nutrient removal in a municipal treatment plant or by relocating an existing treatment plant discharge – 6 points.

IV. Sewered Population initially served by the project – (12 points maximum)

- A. Less than 5,000 - 2 points.
- B. 5,000 but less than 10,000 - 4 points.
- C. 10,000 but less than 20,000 - 6 points.
- D. 20,000 but less than 40,000- 8 points.
- E. 40,000 but less than 75,000 - 10 points.
- F. 75,000 or greater - 12 points.

*For any project that is not listed as a “WPCF Upgrade” project type, 25% of the sewered population of the municipality was used during scoring.

V. Health Related Issues - (6 points).

Project will eliminate ponding of sewage from failing septic systems, backup of sewage into basements, or overflow of sewage in streets (CSO correction projects are not eligible for points for this criterion).

VI. Miscellaneous – (20 points maximum).

- A. Project involves the upgrading of an existing primary facility in order to comply with secondary treatment standards – 5 points.
- B. Project will result in the DEEP rescinding an Order concerning a sewer connection moratorium – 5 points.
- C. Project will eliminate nuisance odors associated with treatment processes or pump stations but exclusive of large-scale expansion or upgrading of treatment facilities – 5 points.
- D. Remedial action will improve treatment plant operations where treatment standards are already being achieved - 5 points.

(Note that projects receiving points under this category cannot also claim points under category VIII)

VII. Connecticut Housing Partnership Program – Development Designation

Community has received development designation - 0 points.

Public Act No. 88-305 established a Connecticut housing partnership program, and amended CGS 22a-478, requiring the Commissioner of DEEP to consider the formation of a housing partnership program with a development designation as a factor in making grants and loans. However, the Department of Housing (DOH) has discontinued the housing partnership program and is no longer maintaining a list of qualifying communities. Therefore, zero priority points will continue to be awarded for this discontinued program.

VIII. Implementation of Long Island Sound TMDL

All projects that are designed to meet interim or final goals for nitrogen reduction per the Long Island Sound TMDL continue to receive points under this criterion. In order to prioritize the projects based on net impacts to Long Island Sound, a range of 15 to 32 points, rounded to the nearest whole number, based on their equalized baseline load, was established. This is in lieu of assigning each such project the full 32 points from Categories II.A.5 (15 pts), II.B (8 pts), III.A.1 (3 pts), and III.D.2 (6 pts). The actual formula for determining the points for each facility under this criterion is:

$$\text{Priority Points} = 14 + (\text{equalized baseline load, in lbs/day})^{0.368}$$

Once a facility has been constructed that is designed to or has demonstrated the ability to achieve the final nitrogen permit limit, it is no longer eligible for priority points under this criterion. This criterion constitutes a deviation from the existing regulations and has been approved by an action of the Commissioner dated February 2, 2002, with a goal of being consistently applied since its inception.

Projects incorporating both phosphorus and nitrogen removal components shall only receive credit for one type of nutrient removal. These types of projects shall be rated two separate ways: the first score shall include points for phosphorus removal and no points under category VIII for nitrogen removal; the second score shall include points for nitrogen removal under category VIII, with no credit for phosphorus removal. The greater of the two scores shall carry forward.

Category I, II, and III Projects

The priority rating system also includes a project ranking mechanism as permitted by federal and state regulations. The ranking system allows the State to put certain lower priority projects in a favorable position for funding for certain specific reasons. Any project listed in Category I, II, or III will be funded first without regard to priority points prior to funding other construction projects in order of priority points. In general, the rank of the project depends on its priority number, but the Commissioner **may**, in accordance with Clean Water Fund regulations, choose to assign a higher rank for projects which fall into one of the following categories:

Category I - Consists of projects for which:

- A complete financing application for construction was submitted to the Department for review during the previous funding period,
- The project was on the fundable portion of the previous priority list, and
- A Clean Water Fund agreement has not been executed for the project.

These applications have undergone preliminary review and represent the good faith efforts of the municipality to comply with program requirements. Funding for planning and design, initially funded by the municipality, may be recouped in the future if the construction of the project is awarded Clean Water Fund assistance. It should be stressed that any project placed in this category must come from the fundable portion of the previous Priority List.

Category II - Consists of projects where previously funded segments of pollution abatement facilities have been built and are not usable or are severely restricted in use until the remainder of the project is fully constructed and operational.

Category III - Consists of projects that remedy documented pollution of potable water supplies.

<u>Fundable Projects: Category I</u>				
<u>CWF #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>NPDES Permit #</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Cost (\$M)</u>
734	Bridgeport	CT0100056	West Side WPCF Upgrade – Phase 1	\$30
758	Norwich	CT0100412	South Thames Street Force Main	\$5.6
				\$35.6

Fundable FY26 Projects: Construction
(In Order of Priority Points)

<u>Pts</u>	<u>CWF #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>NPDES Permit #</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Cost (\$M)</u>
53	TBD	Bridgeport	CT0100056	West Side WPCF Upgrade - Phase 2 Improvements (Year 1)	\$125.0
26	TBD	MDC	CT0100251	CSO Sewer Separation Projects	\$16.0
					\$141.0

Fundable FY27 Projects: Construction
(In Order of Priority Points)

<u>Pts</u>	<u>CWF #</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>NPDES Permit #</u>	<u>Project Description</u>	<u>Cost (\$M)</u>
53	TBD	Bridgeport	CT0100056	West Side WPCF Upgrade - Phase 2 Improvements (Year 2)	\$125.0
39	TBD	Derby	CT0100161	WPCF Upgrade with Nutrient Removal	\$60.0
35	TBD	New Haven	CT0100350	WPAF Upgrade - Wet Weather Treatment and Odor Control	\$82.0
26	<i>TBD</i>	<i>MDC</i>	<i>CT0100251</i>	<i>Windsor St. Sewer Separation Phase 3- NHPP</i>	<i>\$25.0</i>
26	<i>TBD</i>	<i>MDC</i>	<i>CT0100251</i>	<i>Granby St. Sewer Separation Project - NHPP</i>	<i>\$14.0</i>
18	TBD	City of Hartford	CT0100251	North Main Street Improvements Project	\$7.0
					\$313.0

- (1) TBD – Clean Water Fund number to be determined at time of agreement execution.
- (2) WPCF = Water Pollution Control Facility; WPAF = Water Pollution Abatement Facility
- (3) *Italicized projects are part of the North Hartford Pilot Project (NHPP).*

Section 3b: Set-Asides and Reserves

As previously noted, in developing the fundable portion of the Priority List and the intended use plan, the State has established a number of set-asides and reserves required or allowed under federal and state regulations. **These reserves are accessed on a first-come, first-served basis unless otherwise noted, and do not rely on a priority point score for allocation to qualifying municipalities. Projects seeking funding through Reserve categories must submit a complete Clean Water Fund application to be considered; projects will be funded on a first-come, first-served basis subject to the availability of funds.**

<u>Reserve for Cost Increases</u> (Grant percentage varies)	FY26/27	\$30 M
--	---------	--------

This reserve is intended for construction cost increases on currently funded projects (i.e. design or construction projects with executed Clean Water Fund agreements).

<u>Reserve for Planning Projects</u> (55% grant)	FY26/27	\$25 M
--	---------	--------

Planning funds are available for wastewater facilities planning that is required for any construction project to be eligible for the Clean Water Fund in the future. These planning funds may also be available for other planning studies that can assist in the management of municipal wastewater facilities, including PFAS sampling plans to comply with the upcoming PFAS General Permit, cybersecurity assessments and sewage sludge management studies.

Planning funds will be distributed on a first-come, first served basis as complete applications are filed. In order for a planning project to be eligible, Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) must be used to choose an engineering consultant for that specific project.

If the planning reserve fund in this Priority List is depleted, municipalities may still choose to proceed with planning by utilizing local funds and may retain eligibility for future reimbursement of eligible costs provided the municipality has received prior written approval of the fees and scope of work from the Department. Municipalities that expend funds for eligible planning efforts without receiving prior written approval from the Department will not be eligible for reimbursement at a later date.

<u>Reserve for Design Projects</u> (Non-CSO: 20% grant/80% loan; CSO: 50% grant/50% loan)	FY26/27	\$25 M
--	---------	--------

Design funds will be made available only for those projects that can be expected to be constructed within three years and listed in a future r Priority List for construction funding. The QBS process must be followed in the selection of the design engineer. If the design engineer was selected by the town through a QBS process during the planning phase, the town may continue to use the same engineering consultant.

For design costs associated with collection system improvements in Section 3c of the Priority List, the Department will not process separate design agreements. Rather, the Department will require the municipalities to seek prior written approval on the design fees and to then complete design with local financing. The municipality may then recoup the design cost (as loan) by including them in the construction application for Clean Water Fund financing. This process is permitted by RCSA Section 22a-482-2(d)(3) of the Clean Water Fund Regulations.

Design funds will be awarded on a first-come, first-served basis as complete applications are filed. If the design reserve funds in this Priority List are depleted, municipalities may still choose to proceed with design by utilizing local funds and may retain eligibility for future reimbursement of eligible costs provided the municipality has received prior written approval of the fees and scope of work from the department. In such cases, funding for design may be recouped at the time the construction of the project is awarded Clean Water Fund assistance. Municipalities that expend funds for eligible design efforts without receiving prior written approval from the Department will not be eligible for reimbursement at a later date.

<u>Reserve for Construction of non-CSO I/I Rehabilitation Projects</u> <u>(20% Grant/80% Loan)</u>	FY26/27	\$28 M
--	---------	--------

Infiltration and Inflow (I/I) rehabilitation projects are designed to reduce the volume and frequency of extraneous flow (storm and surface water inflow and groundwater infiltration) entering sanitary sewer systems. Due to the increased frequency and intensity of severe weather events which causes more frequent flooding, the Department has decided to continue providing a partial grant for I/I projects under this Priority List. Projects funded under this reserve will minimize sewage overflows resulting from system surcharge, reduce hydraulic overloading and energy consumption, improve treatment efficiency, and reserve capacity for future wastewater needs.

<u>Reserve for Construction of CSO I/I Rehabilitation Projects</u> <u>(50% grant/50% loan)</u>	FY26/27	\$68 M
--	---------	--------

Flooding due to the increased frequency and intensity of severe weather events causes more complex issues in CSO communities including chronic sewer backups. Therefore, I/I rehabilitation projects specifically located in CSO communities are critical to protecting public health. On a limited basis and subject to availability of state funding, I/I projects documented to result in combined sewer overflow mitigation in the four (4) CSO communities, namely Bridgeport, Hartford, New Haven, and Norwich, will have availability of up to 50% grant. These projects must also be located within one of the four (4) CSO communities to be eligible for this reserve.

<u>Reserve for Construction of Green Components</u> <u>(Non-CSO: 20% grant/80% loan; CSO: 50% grant/50% loan)</u>	FY26/27	\$25 M
--	---------	--------

Green Components are components of “green projects” as specified by the EPA Green Project Reserve Crosswalk Table ([epa.gov](https://www.epa.gov/green-project-reserve-crosswalk-table)) that adopt practices to reduce the environmental footprint of water and wastewater treatment, collection, and distribution, help utilities adapt to climate change, enhance water and energy conservation, adopt more sustainable solutions to wet weather flows, and promote innovative approaches to water management problems.

This reserve includes, but is not limited to, the following types of construction projects:

- Green Infrastructure, including demonstration projects of green infrastructure technologies to promote infiltration of stormwater and mitigate combined sewer overflows. (50% grant/50%loan)
- Energy Management planning (20% grant/80% loan)
- Pump Station Consolidation and/or Elimination (not otherwise funded in the pump station reserve in Section 3c) (20% grant/80% loan)
- I/I Rehabilitation Projects (not otherwise funded in the reserves listed above in this section)
- Energy efficiency projects as identified by EPA’s Green Project Reserve Crosswalk Table. Examples include cost-effective renewable energy components at treatment plants and projects that achieve a measurable reduction in energy consumption at treatment plants. (20% grant/80% loan)
- Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) Process & Equipment Upgrades (20% grant/80% loan)
- Collection System Equipment Upgrades (20% grant/80% loan)
- Renewable energy projects such as wind, solar, geothermal, micro-hydroelectric, and biogas combined heat and power systems that provide power to a POTW (20% grant/80% loan)

Projects that DO NOT meet the EPA’s criteria of energy efficiency include:

- Renewable energy generation that is privately owned or the portion of a publicly owned

Section 3c: Collection System Improvement Program

In accordance with Section 22a-478(c)(8) of the CGS, the funding of collection system improvement projects is permissive (“sewer collection system improvements may receive a loan for one hundred percent of the eligible cost....”). This is different from the priority project grant-loan program which is obligatory if funding is available. This funding is a 2% interest rate loan payable over 20 years.

It is important to note that this program is a subset of the Clean Water Fund and the only changes to the Clean Water Fund program are the funding level and the requirement for a separate priority system. All other aspects of the Clean Water Fund program, including the statutes and regulations, cost-effectiveness of projects, and environmental review apply to the collection system improvement program. Qualifications Based Selection must be used to choose an engineering consultant for that specific project. All administrative procedures applicable to the Clean Water Fund program apply to the collection system improvement program as well.

As indicated in Section 3b, the Department will not process separate design agreements for collection system improvements. Rather, the Department will require the municipalities to seek prior written approval on the design fees and then complete design with local financing rather than a Clean Water Fund loan. The municipality may then recoup the design cost by including them in the construction application for Clean Water Fund financing. This process is permitted by RCSA Section 22a-482-2(d)(3) of the Clean Water Fund Regulations.

Fundable FY2026/2027 Construction Projects (Collection System Improvement Program)

<u>Reserve for Construction of Collection System Improvement Projects (Loan Only)</u>	FY26/27	\$44 M
---	---------	--------

- This reserve will be available for the following types of sanitary sewer projects:
- Rehabilitation and/or replacement of sanitary sewers that cannot be adequately repaired through lining alone;
 - Construction of relief sewers in separated sewer systems to address hydraulic overloading of existing sewers;
 - Construction of sewers to cost-effectively eliminate sanitary sewer pump stations; and
 - Extension of sanitary sewers to solve an existing community pollution problem.

<u>Reserve for Construction of Pump Station Rehabilitation Projects (Loan Only)</u>	FY26/27	\$42 M
---	---------	--------

Projects funded under this reserve are for pump station improvement projects located in the collection system that result in a reduction in energy consumption, increase resiliency during extreme wet weather events, upgrade the ability to provide emergency power, or replace equipment that is beyond its design life.

<u>Reserve for Construction of New Pump Station Facilities (Loan Only)</u>	FY26/27	\$10 M
--	---------	--------

Projects funded under this reserve are for the construction of new wastewater pump station facilities.

Section 4: Supplemental Funding for CWF Projects

Projects from either the Fundable Construction or Set Aside Reserves categories may receive federal funding as a supplement to state funding, contingent upon compliance with applicable federal requirements. Selected projects will be chosen and borrowers notified upon receipt of each federal FY grant award(s). The Infrastructure Investment Jobs Act (IIJA) was signed into law on November 15, 2021, resulting in increased support of wastewater infrastructure needs in alignment with State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs such as the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF). The IIJA provided two additional federal grants to the State of Connecticut: CWSRF General Supplemental Grant, and Emerging Contaminants (EC) Grant.

The IIJA also revised the cost share requirements of the CWF and Non-CWF Sewer Overflow & Stormwater Reuse Municipal (OSG) Grants. As a result, IIJA legislation Public Law 117-58 mandates that 49% of base IIJA supplemental funding be used for additional subsidy to municipalities which meet state criteria for distressed (disadvantaged) communities, as well as 20% state matching component for the Federal FY2025 and 2026 grants (awarded in State FY26 and FY27), and 0% state matching for EC and OSG grants.

The IIJA Emerging Contaminants Grant requires a 0 to 20% sliding scale cost share for projects funding rural or financially distressed (disadvantaged) communities. The OSG grant requires that 25% of each federal grant fund projects in financially distressed and/or rural communities. IIJA grants will continue to be provided annually through October 2026, with implementation in accordance with applicable implementation guidelines, as published and amended by US EPA and/or the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and required by the new federal administration in 2025.

Section 4a: CWSRF Amendments from IIJA

For the CWSRF Base Program, some of the key provisions of IIJA include the following amendments:

Davis-Bacon Wage Act - Required grant conditions per Section 33 USC 1382(b)(6) and the IIJA of 2021 also include that CWSRF projects funded in FFY2025-26, regardless of funding source, must pay their workers the federal Davis-Bacon wage rates for their job classification. CT's CWSRF (i.e. the Clean Water Fund) requires all projects to comply with Davis-Bacon wage requirements. EPA's FY2012 Appropriations bill required the application of Davis-Bacon prevailing wage rates to all treatment works projects funded in whole or in part by the CWSRF. This requirement continues through 2027. Davis-Bacon applies to construction contracts over \$2,000 and their subcontractors (regardless of the subcontract amount). The Davis-Bacon requirements do not apply to decentralized wastewater treatment projects. To ensure compliance with these requirements, DEEP confirms that the correct wage determinations are **required to be** included in the bid specifications and/or construction contracts. DEEP also provides guidance to recipients for the specific EPA Davis-Bacon contract language that is to be included in bid specification and/or contracts. In addition, DEEP collects Certifications of Davis-Bacon compliance from the principal or prime contractor with disbursement requests.

American Iron and Steel – The American Iron and Steel procurement requirement is permanent for *all* CWSRF construction projects going forward. Projects must ensure that certain aspects of the project are made with American Iron and Steel products. These are defined by EPA guidance “Implementation of American Iron and Steel Provisions of P. L. 113-76, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014” dated March 20, 2014.

To ensure these requirements are met as defined by the “Implementation of American Iron and Steel Provisions of P. L. 113-76, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014” dated March 20, 2014, DEEP provides guidance, including a copy of the memo. Beginning in 2014, CT added language to Clean Water Fund contract documents and loan agreements addressing certification requirements and waiver requests. DEEP also reviews all waiver requests and submits them to EPA Headquarters to ensure compliance.

Build America, Buy America Act (BABA) – IIJA also expands domestic sourcing requirements with the inclusion of the Build America, Buy America Act (BABA). Starting on May 14, 2022, all steel, iron, manufactured products, non-ferrous metals, plastic and polymer-based products (including polyvinylchloride, composite building materials, and polymers used in fiber optic cables), glass (including optic glass), lumber, and drywall used in infrastructure projects for federal financial assistance programs must be produced in the United States. CT DEEP will require BABA compliance for equivalency construction projects funded through this Priority List with federal monies pursuant to EPA requirements, as amended.

The EPA released the Build America, Buy America Act Implementation Procedures for EPA Office of Water Federal Financial Assistance Programs in November 2022. The memorandum provides important information to support EPA’s grantees, contractors, and manufacturers in complying with BABA. CT DEEP is committed to compliance with all procedures outlined in the memo for all SRF funded programs. Pursuant to Section 70914(c) of the Act, the head of a Federal agency may waive the application of a Buy America preference under an infrastructure program. CT intends to utilize IIJA funding for projects which were substantially designed prior to May 14, 2022, and eligible for a BABA adjustment period waiver.

Annual CWSRF Capitalization Grant Requirements – The 2022 Consolidated Appropriations Act (Public Law 117-103) required that between 10 to 30% of the base appropriation be provided as additional subsidy, plus an additional 10% required by CWF grant terms and conditions. IIJA establishes an additional subsidy range of exactly 49% for the annual CWSRF IIJA Supplemental capitalization, and 100% subsidy for Emerging Contaminants and Sewer Overflow and Stormwater Reuse grant. Congress has previously required that states use 10% of the annual CWSRF capitalization grant for additional subsidy, resulting in project subsidies between 10-49%. For projects funded through the Base SRF grant, this requirement can be met without changing the existing grant and loan ratios for state clean water projects.

Section 4b:

1. IIJA CWSRF General Supplemental Grant

In addition to the annual capitalization grant, IIJA has authorized an annual CWSRF General Supplemental Grant through 2026 to further support the Clean Water Fund program. In State FY2026, the Supplemental CWSRF grant award to Connecticut is \$30,179,000. In April 2026, EPA announced that the allocation for Federal FY2027 for Connecticut would be \$30,484,000. Congress required 49% of IIJA CWSRF General Supplemental funding be provided as grants and/or forgivable loans to communities that meet a state’s affordability criteria or certain project types, consistent with the Clean Water Act, and EPA encourages states to use these funds to support projects in disadvantaged communities. In Connecticut, IIJA CWSRF General Supplemental Grant recipients will be a disadvantaged municipality(ies) listed as a distressed community by the Department of Community and Economic Development.

Per Section 22a-478(c)(4) of Connecticut General Statutes, if supplemental federal grants are available for projects targeted to the clean-up of Long Island Sound, those project components may receive up to 50% grant. CT DEEP has targeted the removal of sanitary sewer overflows (i.e. untreated discharges of sewage) as a priority to address the health and environmental impacts of such overflows to Long Island Sound, which occur either directly or indirectly through inland waters.

2. IIJA Emerging Contaminants (EC) Grant:

The IIJA Emerging Contaminants (EC) grant seeks to address emerging contaminants (including but not limited to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances known as PFAS) through any project eligible per section 603(c) of the Clean Water Act. IIJA EC key priorities are to ensure that

disadvantaged communities benefit equitably; and to reduce people’s exposure to perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and other emerging contaminants through their drinking water and to help address discharges through wastewater and, potentially, nonpoint sources. In accordance with the Department’s water quality goals and the state PFAS Action Plan, dated November 1, 2019, the Department proposed to focus on PFAS contamination and treatment.

In State FY2026, the Emerging Contaminant grant award to Connecticut is \$2,747,00 which includes \$2,605,000 allocation from Federal FY26 and a reallocation of funds from Federal FY22 and FY23 in the amount of \$142,000. In April 2026, EPA announced that the EC grant allocation for Federal FY27 for the Connecticut Clean Water Fund would be \$2,605,000. CT DEEP has partnered with the New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission (NEIWPCC) on a pilot project to test PFAS removal technologies on ash leachate, condensate and groundwater discharges from the Hartford Landfill. The pilot project is expected to begin by 2026 and end in October 2027. More project details follow:

IIJA EC Grant Eligibility requirements:

- Only capital costs are eligible.
- Can include planning and design (including monitoring) that is integral to the development of an eligible capital project.
- Eligible recipients: Section 603(c) of the Water Pollution Control Act may include: Municipalities, intermunicipal, interstate, or state agencies.

Project Goals:

- Project focus on PFAS in landfill discharges in a distressed (disadvantaged) CT community.
- Investigate the feasibility, performance, efficacy, and costs of various PFAS treatment system options.
- Identification of preferred technologies for pilot testing.
- Preliminary engineering, procurement, installation, and testing of select pilot treatment technologies, alternatives and associated structures/appurtenances.
- Evaluation of pilot treatment technologies for functionality, treatment efficacy, and cost effectiveness and recommending a permanent solution or combination of solutions for implementation.
- Testing of water samples employing EPA’s Analytical Method 1633A.

Section 4c: Non-Clean Water Fund Grant

Sewer Overflow & Stormwater Reuse Municipal (OSG) Grant –

Separate from the CWSRF Program, the Sewer Overflow and Stormwater Reuse Municipal Grants Program (OSG Program) was reauthorized by America’s Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) of 2018 and again by the 2021 IIJA. The IIJA reauthorized the program through 2026 to further support clean water needs. The OSG grant provides funding for critical stormwater infrastructure projects to eligible entities with projects that address infrastructure needs related to mitigation and/or removal of CSOs and SSOs and to improve stormwater management. Eligible projects can be located on private or public property and include planning, design, and construction of treatment works to intercept, transport, control, treat, or reuse municipal combined sewer overflows, sanitary sewer overflows, or stormwater and any other measures to manage, reduce, treat, or recapture stormwater or subsurface drainage water.

Priority will be given to funding projects in communities in distressed (disadvantaged) areas that have a long-term municipal CSO or SSO control plan and are listed on this Priority List as construction-ready or eligible under a reserve category. For State FY2026 and FY2027, the removal of private inflow connections and the installation of new storm sewer connections from residences in North Hartford (as part of a pilot program initiated in 2023 to address the impacts of CSOs and SSOs) is planned. North Hartford is an economically distressed (disadvantaged) area with a combined sewer system which often causes sewer backups into homes. Traditionally, work on private property has been

excluded from the CWSRF. However, in light of the ongoing public health and environmental equity crisis, the Department has determined that the OSG program is well-suited to provide additional funding for projects funded under this Priority List in the North Hartford area.

In State FY2026, the OSG grant award to Connecticut is anticipated to be \$869,000, and it is expected to remain the same in State FY2027.

TABLE 1 – Supplemental Grants Summary

Connecticut’s expected allocations and state match requirements for the next two years are as follows:

Grant	State FY 26 (Federal FY25) Allocation	State FY 27 (Federal FY26) Allocation	State Match
Supplemental Clean Water, State Revolving Fund Grant	\$30,179,000	\$30,484,000	1. Match of 20% in FFY25 (state FY26) and FFY26 (state FY27). 2. 49% of grant funds must be additional subsidy (i.e. grants). Note: Projects on this Priority List and/or the FY26/FY27 intended use plan will be eligible to receive additional subsidy.
Federal Funds, Non-State Revolving Fund, Sewer Overflow & Stormwater Reuse Municipal Grants (OSG)	<i>\$869,000</i>	<i>\$869,000</i>	1. Match not required. 2. 100% of EPA’s capitalization grant to be provided as an add. Subsidy (i.e. grant)
Emerging Contaminants Grant, State Revolving Fund Grant	\$2,747,000*	\$2,605,000	2. Match not required. 3. 100% of EPA’s capitalization grant to be provided as an add. Subsidy (i.e. grant) Note: See Table 4 below for more information

Italics indicate an estimated value.

*Includes the reallocation of FFY22 and FFY23 funds in the amount of \$142,000.

Table 2 - Fundable Construction

Municipality	Project Description	FY26 (\$M)	FY27 (\$M)	I	IIA	IIB	3A1	3A2	3A3	3B1	3B2	3C1	3C2	3D1	3D2	IV	V	VIA	VIB	VIC	VID	VII	VIII	Priority Points
Bridgeport	West Side WWTP – Phase 2 Improvements (Year 1)***	\$125								3						12				5			33	53
Bridgeport	West Side WWTP – Phase 2 Improvements (Year 2)***		\$125							3						12				5			33	53
Bristol*	WPCF Upgrade - Aeration Blowers**		\$4.65													10					5			15
Danbury	WPCF Upgrade - Digester Complex I	\$2.40														12					5			17
Derby	WPCF Upgrade - Nutrient Removal		\$60.00		20	8										6					5			39
Hartford (City of)	North Main Street Improvements Project		\$7.00		10											8								18
Litchfield	WPCF Upgrade – Solids Handling Improvements		\$4.60													4								4
Litchfield*	WPCF Upgrade – Secondary Treatment Improvements**		\$8													4								4
Mattabassett	WPCF Upgrade - Odor Control	\$2.50														12				5				17
Mattabassett*	WPCF Upgrade – Resiliency and Aeration**		\$2.00													12					5			17
MDC	CSO Sewer Separation Projects	\$10.87			10	8										8								26
MDC	Windsor St Sewer Separation Phase 3 (NHPP)		\$25.00		10	8										8								26
MDC	Granby 7 Sewer Separation Project (NHPP)		\$14.00		10	8										8								26
MDC	WPCF Upgrade – Sludge Screening & Equalization Phase 1	\$5.04														12								12
MDC	WPCF Upgrade – Sludge Screening & Equalization Phase 2		\$19.22													12								12
New Haven	ESWPAF Upgrade Phase 2 – Wet Weather Treatment and Odor Control		\$82		15					3						12				5				35
Norwalk	WPCF Upgrade – Final Settling Tanks		\$26													10					5			15

Notes:
*Facility is meeting Nitrogen Goals and will not receive additional priority points
**Project qualifies for funding under the Green Reserve
***Project will be funded in phases, project estimate totals \$350 Million

Table 3 – All FY26/FY27 Requests

Municipality	Request Category	Description	FY26 (\$M)	FY27 (\$M)
Avon	Planning	Simsbury Sewershed I/I Study	\$0.75	
Avon	Planning	Farmington Sewershed SSES Study	\$0.66	
Berlin	Planning	Belcher Brook Sewer	\$0.03	
Berlin	Design	Belcher Brook Sewer	\$0.12	
Berlin	Collection System Improvements	Belcher Brook Sewer		\$4.50
Berlin	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Berlin Turnpike Pump Station Upgrade		\$9.00
Bridgeport	WPCF Upgrade / CSO	West Side WWTP - Phase 1 Improvements	\$30.00	
Bridgeport	WPCF Upgrade / CSO	West Side WWTP - Phase 2 Improvements	\$350.00	
Bridgeport	Design	East Side WWTP - Phase 3 Improvements		\$23.00
Bridgeport	Design	West WWTP - Phase 4a and 4b Improvements & East WWTP	\$30.00	
Bristol	Planning	Bristol Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) Aeration Blowers Upgrade	\$0.08	
Bristol	Design	Bristol Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) Aeration Blowers Upgrade	\$0.27	
Bristol	WPCF Upgrade (Green)	Bristol Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) Aeration Blowers Upgrade		\$4.65
Brookfield	Small Community Project	Dean/Pocono Area Sewer Extension	\$6.00	
Brooklyn	Design	Tatnic Road Pump Station and WPCA Support	\$0.20	
Brooklyn	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Tatnic Road Pump Station and WPCA Support		\$2.00
Canton	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Plan	\$0.98	
Cheshire	Planning	Cheshire I/I, Plant Capacity and Force Main Evaluation	\$1.00	
Coventry	Design	Treatment Plant Upgrade or Regionalization	\$2.00	
Coventry	Small Community Project	Treatment Plant Upgrade or Regionalization		\$30.00
Danbury	Design	Beaver Brook Pump Station Upgrade	\$0.43	
Danbury	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Beaver Brook Pump Station Upgrade	\$5.30	
Danbury	Design	East Side Sewer	\$0.64	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	East Side Sewer	\$5.70	
Danbury	Green	ECOFATORY Cogen Facilities to Power WWTP	\$11.40	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	Hillside Sewer PS Abandonment	\$0.39	
Danbury	Design	Mill Plain Sewer Extension & Pump Station Elimination	\$0.21	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	Mill Plain Sewer Extension & Pump Station Elimination	\$3.00	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	Osborne Street Sewer	\$1.71	
Danbury	Planning	Pump Station Asset Management	\$0.23	
Danbury	Design	Pump Station SCADA Systems	\$0.06	
Danbury	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Pump Station SCADA Systems	\$0.40	
Danbury	Planning	Sewer Collection System I/I Study	\$1.60	

Danbury	Planning	Sewer System Criticality Analysis	\$0.32	
Danbury	Resiliency PS	Sewer Pump Station Emergency Generators	\$0.68	
Danbury	I/I - Non-CSO	Sheriden Street Sewer Separation	\$0.59	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	Triangle Street Sewer Rehab	\$0.64	
Danbury	Design	Triangle St Pump Station Replacement	\$0.04	
Danbury	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Triangle St Pump Station Replacement	\$0.27	
Danbury	Design	Turner Road Pump Station Upgrade	\$0.04	
Danbury	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Turner Road Pump Station Upgrade	\$0.30	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	Valley View Sewer Replacement	\$0.57	
Danbury	Design	West Side Sewer Phase II	\$1.07	
Danbury	Collection System Improvements	West Side Sewer Phase II		\$18.87
Danbury	WPCF Upgrade	WWTP Digester Complex I	\$2.40	
Danbury	Design	WWTP Generator Upgrade	\$0.11	
Danbury	Resiliency	WWTP Generator Upgrade	\$1.07	
Danbury	Design	WWTP Solar Power	\$0.64	
Danbury	Green	WWTP Solar Power	\$10.70	
Danbury	Resiliency	WWTP Switchgear Relocation	\$1.07	
Derby	WPCF Upgrade	Derby Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade		\$60.00
East Hampton	Design	Wastewater Facilities Plan		\$1.00
East Hampton	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Plan	\$0.60	
East Hampton	Planning	Middletown Ave Pump Station Upgrades	\$0.06	
East Hampton	Design	Middletown Ave Pump Station Upgrades	\$0.40	
East Hampton	New Pump Station	Middletown Ave Pump Station Upgrades		\$10.00
East Hampton	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Plan	\$0.60	
East Hampton	Design	Wastewater Facilities Plan		\$2.00
East Hampton	WPCF Upgrade	Wastewater Facilities Plan		\$60.00
East Lyme	Planning	Bride Brook Sewer Pump Station	\$0.25	
East Lyme	Planning	Niantic Force Main Rehabilitation Project	\$0.30	
East Lyme	Design	Bride Brook Sewer Pump Station	\$0.50	
East Lyme	Design	Niantic Force Main Rehabilitation Project	\$0.30	
East Lyme	New Pump Station	Bride Brook Sewer Pump Station, Additional Capacity for Old Lyme Connection	\$7.00	
East Lyme	Resiliency	Niantic Force Main Rehabilitation Project		\$3.00
Fairfield	Planning	Inflow and Infiltration (I/I) Improvements Program - Phase V Continued	\$0.50	
Fairfield	Design	Center Street Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main	\$0.40	
Fairfield	Design	Fairfield Beach Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$0.49
Fairfield	Design	Mill River Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$0.30
Fairfield	Design	Pine Creek Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$0.28

Fairfield	Design	WPCF Plant Equipment Improvements - Phase 1	\$4.15	
Fairfield	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Center Street Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$8.23
Fairfield	Resiliency PS	Fairfield Beach Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$8.77
Fairfield	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Mill River Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$3.75
Fairfield	Resiliency PS	Pine Creek Pump Station Upgrade and Force Main		\$4.96
Fairfield	Green	WPCF Plant Equipment Improvements - Phase 1		\$10.37
Glastonbury	New Pump Station	Parker Terrace Pump Station & Force Main	\$5.30	
Glastonbury	Green	Aeration Jockey Blower and Controls	\$0.54	
Glastonbury	Design	Parker Terrace Pump Station Upgrade	\$0.29	
Glastonbury	Design	Aeration Jockey Blower and Controls	\$0.03	
Hartford	CSO	North Main Street Improvements Project	\$7.00	
Jewett City	Design	Collection System Rehabilitation	\$0.08	
Jewett City	Design	Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$0.30	
Jewett City	Design	Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Upgrade	\$0.50	
Jewett City	Planning	Facility Plan / Collection System Rehabilitation		\$0.75
Jewett City	Planning	Pump Station Rehabilitation		\$2.50
Jewett City	Small Community Project	Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Upgrade		\$5.00
Killingly	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Plan	\$0.35	
Killingly	Design	Wastewater Facilities Plan Update	\$3.00	
Litchfield	Design	Solids Handling Improvements	\$0.60	
Litchfield	Design	Secondary Treatment System Improvements	\$1.00	
Litchfield	Green	Secondary Treatment System Improvements		\$7.00
Litchfield	WPCF Upgrade	Solids Handling Improvements		\$4.00
Manchester	Design	8th District Pump Station Upgrade		\$0.30
Manchester	Planning	I/I Study	\$0.50	
Manchester	Design	Zinc Reduction	\$0.20	
Marlborough	Small Community Project	Town Center Lake Terramuggus Sewer Project Phase IV		\$6.25
Mattabassett	Resiliency	WPCF Upgrade (Resiliency and Aeration)		\$4.00
Mattabassett	WPCF Upgrade	WPCF Upgrade for Odor Control	\$2.50	
Mattabassett	Green	Aeration Upgrade		\$2.00
MDC	Collection System Improvements	CSO & Sewer System Monitoring Upgrades - Phase 1	\$5.01	
MDC	Design	Granby 7 Sewer Separation - NHPP	\$1.47	
MDC	Design	Granby 8 Sewer Separation - NHPP	\$1.53	
MDC	Design	Granby 9 Sewer Separation - NHPP	\$2.20	
MDC	CSO	Windsor St Sewer Separation - Phase 2 - NHPP	\$3.62	
MDC	CSO	Windsor St Sewer Separation - Phase 3 - NHPP	\$25.00	
MDC	CSO	Sewer Separation	\$16.0	

MDC	CSO	NNBI Phase 1 (NNBI all phases estimated at \$84 M)		\$15.28
MDC	Planning	Hartford Citywide Drainage Study	\$4.50	
MDC	Design	NNBI Replacement/Relocation	\$2.20	
MDC	I/I - CSO	18 in to 21 in Brick Rehabilitation & I/I Reduction (LD Phase 3A-2)	\$12.44	
MDC	I/I - CSO	Large Diameter Rehab Phase 3B (Cemetery Brook Area)		\$6.28
MDC	I/I - CSO	Large Diameter Rehab Phase 4		\$18.11
MDC	I/I – CSO/ I/I -Non-CSO	Small Diameter Lining/Rehab 2026		\$15.01
MDC	I/I – Non-CSO	Easement Sewer Lining Program Phase 2 (Bloomfield)	\$16.10	
MDC	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Island Road Sewer Pumping Station Improvements	\$6.30	
MDC	New Pump Station	Hog Brook New SPS and SPS Elimination - Phase 1		\$25.30
MDC	WPCF Upgrade	Sludge Screening and Equalization Phase 1	\$5.04	
MDC	WPCF Upgrade	Sludge Screening and Equalization Phase 2		\$19.22
Miami Beach Association	Small Community Project	MBA Sewers and Other Infrastructure	\$16.10	
New Hartford	Small Community Project	Pine Meadow Sewer Extension	\$8.80	
New Haven	Planning	GNHWPCA Long Term Control Plan Update (Planning Study)	\$1.50	
New Haven	Planning	Planning Study Wet Weather Flow Conveyance from West Side Harbor	\$0.50	
New Haven	Design	Design of Wet Weather Improvements at ESHPAF Phase II-Wet Weather Treatment and Odor Control at ESHPAF	\$7.00	
New Haven	Design	Fair Haven CSO Improvements Phase 2		\$2.50
New Haven	Design	Infiltration and Inflow Improvements Mill River Ph 3 Areas 6,7,11,13,15,19,22	\$0.50	
New Haven	WPCF Upgrade / CSO	ESHPAF Phase II-Wet Weather Treatment and Odor Control		\$82.00
New Haven	CSO	Fair Haven CSO Improvements Phase 2		\$22.50
New Haven	I/I - CSO	Mill River Ph 3 Areas 6,7,11,13,15,19,22	\$7.50	
New Haven	CSO	Yale Campus Trumbull St Area Sewer Separation Phase 2	\$23.57	
New Haven	CSO	Orchard Street Area Sewer Separation	\$12.89	
New Haven	I/I - Non-CSO	Woodbridge Areas 2 & 2A and East Haven Areas 15, 18, & 23	\$3.29	
New Haven	WPCF Upgrade / CSO	East Street Pump Station for CSO reduction	\$73.00	
New Haven	WPCF Upgrade	Construction of Process Air Compressor Improvements	\$19.23	
New Milford	Planning	Pump Stations	\$ --	
NEIWPCC	Emerging Contaminants	Hartford Landfill Pilot Study	\$6.17	\$2.6
Norwalk	Design	Norwalk WPCF Aeration System Upgrades	\$2.50	
Norwalk	Design	Norwalk WPCF Final Settling Tanks Upgrade	\$1.00	
Norwalk	Collection System Improvements	Ann Street Elimination	\$0.80	
Norwalk	I/I - Non-CSO	Hubbells Lane Relief Sewer	\$10.65	
Norwalk	I/I - Non-CSO	Catch Basin Disconnection Program		\$10.60
Norwalk	WPCF Upgrade	Norwalk WPCF Final Settling Tanks Upgrade		\$25.00

Norwalk	Green	Norwalk WPCF Aeration System Upgrades		\$48.00
Norwich	Planning	Combined Sewer Overflow Long Term Control Plan Update	\$1.57	
Norwich	Design	Greeneville Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$0.44	
Norwich	I/I - CSO	Greeneville Sanitary Sewer Improvements		\$4.35
Norwich	WPCF Upgrade / CSO	South Thames Street Emergency Services Force Main & Utility Bridge Improvements	\$5.27	
Old Colony Beach Club Association	Small Community Project	OCBCA Sewers and Other Infrastructure	\$6.60	
Old Colony Beach Club Association	Small Community Project	Old Lyme Beach Associations Shared Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$21.00	
Old Lyme Shores Beach Association	Small Community Project	OLSBA Sewers and Other Infrastructure	\$9.10	
Old Saybrook	Design	Community Large Scale OWRS, Collection System and WWRF Design	\$2.00	
Oxford	Collection System Improvements	Increase Capacity at Oxford PS		\$0.12
Oxford	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Install Three Phase Power at Perkins Rd., Pump Station	\$0.28	
Oxford	Collection System Improvements	Replace Towner Lane Force Main	\$3.50	
Oxford	Green	Upgrade Long Meadow Meter		\$0.20
Plainfield	Planning	Town of Plainfield Phase 1 Sanitary Sewer Evaluation Study (SSES)	\$0.65	
Plainfield	Planning	Town of Plainfield Facilities Plan	\$0.30	
Plainfield	Resiliency	Secondary Clarifier Project	\$4.83	
Plainville	Design	New Britian Ave Sewers		\$0.09
Plainville	Design	Journey Road WW Pump Station Upgrade		\$0.25
Plainville	Design	WPCF Improvements		\$2.40
Plymouth	Design	Phosphorus Reduction Improvements	\$1.00	
Ridgefield	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Ramapoo Road Pump Station Upgrade		\$2.78
Ridgefield	New Pump Station	Quail Ridge Pump Station Relocation	\$4.60	
Shelton	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Planning	\$0.30	
South Windsor	Design	Clark Street, Benedict Drive, Pleasant Valley Pump Station Upgrades	\$0.65	
South Windsor	Resiliency PS	Clark Street, Pleasant Valley Pump Station Upgrades	\$14.00	
South Windsor	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Benedict Drive Pump Station Upgrades	\$4.00	
Sprague	Small Community Project	WPCF Comprehensive Upgrade		\$15.00
Stamford	Design	WPCF Sludge Management Upgrade		\$0.50
Stamford	Planning	SSES Phase IV		\$0.50
Stamford	Design	Alvord Lane and Commerce Drive PS Upgrade	\$0.53	
Stamford	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Alvord Lane and Commerce Drive PS Upgrade		\$5.90
Stamford	I/I - Non-CSO	Sewer Rehabilitation for I/I Removal	\$2.75	
Stonington	Planning	Wastewater Facilities Plan	\$1.50	
Stonington	Design	Phase I Interceptor Pipe and Manhole Rehabilitation	\$0.12	

Stonington	I/I - Non-CSO	Phase I Interceptor Pipe and Manhole Rehabilitation	\$3.40	
Suffield	Collection System Improvements	Kent Farms Sewer Conversion		\$1.80
Torrington	Planning	Central Drainage Basin Sewershed I/I & SSES	\$0.50	
Torrington	Design	Central Drainage Basin Sewershed I/I & SSES		\$0.15
Torrington	Design	Harris Drive Pump Station Upgrade	\$0.25	
Torrington	Design	Cinnamon Ridge Pump Station Rehabilitation		\$0.20
Torrington	Design	Toro Siphon Abandonment	\$0.20	
Torrington	Pump Station Rehabilitation	Harris Drive Pump Station Upgrade		\$5.50
Torrington	Collection System Improvements	Toro Siphon Abandonment		\$2.80
Trumbull	Planning	Sanitary Sewer Evaluation	\$1.00	
Wallingford	Design	Solids Handling Complex Rehabilitation	\$0.98	
Waterbury	Green	Replace Aeration Tank Blower	\$10.00	
Watertown	Planning	Infiltration and Inflow Study	\$0.40	
West Haven	Design	Phase 3 Pump Station Rehab/Replacement (Dawson Ave & East Ave)	\$1.60	
West Haven	Resiliency PS	Phase 3 Pump Station Rehab/Replacement (Dawson Ave & East Ave)	\$30.00	
Westbrook	Design	Westbrook Town Center Wastewater System	\$1.50	
Westbrook	Small Community Project	Westbrook Town Center Wastewater System		\$13.10

TABLE 4 – Supplemental IJA Emerging Contaminants Grant

Municipality	Project Description	FY26 (\$M)	FY27 (\$M)	I	IIA	IIB	3A1	3A2	3A3	3B1	3B2	3C1	3C2	3D1	3D2	IV	V	VIA	VIB	VIC	VID	VII	VIII	Priority Points
NEIWPCC	Hartford Landfill Pilot Study	\$2.74	\$2.60		5											8								13