Remediation Roundtable Satisfaction Survey



1. What is your profession? Response Response **Percent** Count **Environmental Professional** 65.9% 27 Laboratory Professional 2.4% 1 Legal Professional 12.2% 5 **Business Professional** 2.4% 1 Regulator 14.6% 6 None of the above 2.4% 1 answered question 41 skipped question 0

2. Assign a level of agreement to the following statements:

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Rating Average	Response Count
Participating in the Remediation Roundtable is a productive use of my time.	34.1% (14)	61.0% (25)	4.9% (2)	0.0% (0)	3.29	41
I am satisfied with the two-hour length of the Roundtable.	22.0% (9)	73.2% (30)	2.4% (1)	2.4% (1)	3.15	41
I am satisfied with the frequency of the Roundtable meetings.	17.1% (7)	70.7% (29)	12.2% (5)	0.0% (0)	3.05	41
Roundtable discussions are provocative and informative.	26.8% (11)	63.4% (26)	9.8% (4)	0.0% (0)	3.17	41
I find the answers to questions asked at the Roundtable useful and applicable.	14.6% (6)	78.0% (32)	7.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.07	41
I find the surveys useful.	10.0% (4)	82.5% (33)	5.0% (2)	2.5% (1)	3.00	40
I find the Q&A Newsletter useful.	27.5% (11)	67.5% (27)	2.5% (1)	2.5% (1)	3.20	40
I would be willing to participate in future breakout groups (during the Roundtable).	30.0% (12)	60.0% (24)	10.0% (4)	0.0% (0)	3.20	40
I would be willing to participate in future workgroups (outside of the Roundtable).	29.3% (12)	63.4% (26)	7.3% (3)	0.0% (0)	3.22	41
I would like to hear presentations by non-state agency speakers.	28.2% (11)	43.6% (17)	25.6% (10)	2.6% (1)	2.97	39
I would like to hear presentations by other (non-DEEP) state agency speakers.	17.1% (7)	51.2% (21)	26.8% (11)	4.9% (2)	2.80	41
I would be interested in giving a presentation at the Roundtable.	0.0% (0)	37.5% (15)	60.0% (24)	2.5% (1)	2.35	40

If you disagree with any of the above, please tell us what we could do better.

12

answered question 41
skipped question 0

Q2. Ass	sign a level of agreement to the following statements:	
1	I don't think the breakout groups are helpful, because we don't get the benefit of the ideas discussed in the groups we are not in. The roundtables are a good vehicle for exchange of ideas and solutions to problems.	Aug 9, 2011 9:36 AM
2	I believe this format allows the regluated community to better understand the CT DEEP's point of view and ask questions if we differ. I think there are other forums for non-state personnel to speak and present ideas (i.e. EPOC or CEF).	Aug 8, 2011 4:15 PM
3	It is not useful because: it is very hard to find the newsletter on DEEP's web site and I do not recall receiving it by email; it is not easily searchable; and many of the answers are of the vague "we intend to look at this" variety, without time frames, substantive content or even an indication of priority. It's actually helpful and less frustrating when the DEEP says "this isn't a priority right now and so we have to live with it for the present" instead of saying "we are working on it."	Aug 5, 2011 9:49 AM
4	Focus better on streamlining DEEP process	Aug 4, 2011 10:31 AM
5	Just a commentI am not aware of the Q&A Newsletterdoes it come under some other name?	Aug 3, 2011 8:32 PM
6	The Q&A Newsletter did not always completely and accurately reflect that Q&A that had occurred. It seemed as if DEP wrote what it wished was said rather than what was actually said.	Aug 3, 2011 4:32 PM
7	Meeting frequency needs to increase if revision agenda is to be fufilled by deadline	Aug 3, 2011 2:53 PM
8	I have no desire to hear another consultant or legal representative pontificate about what they think they know. I do not find the discussions provocative or informative in most instances. The questions often asked are passive agressive with an intent or are designed to obtain guidance on a project specific concern.	Aug 3, 2011 2:30 PM
9	The Department's answers to many questions often indicate that they will have to draft new guidance, etc. Why can't Connecticut simply adopt EPA guidance for simple taks like well purging and forgo writing guidance specifically for Connecticut. What is so magical about Connecticut that it needs unique guidance for taks that are similar from state to state?	Aug 3, 2011 2:16 PM
10	I find it extremely beneficial tool that allows the regulated community the better understand the needs and expectations of the CTDEEP. This results in savings of my time, your time, and client's money. Thanks.	Aug 3, 2011 2:11 PM
11	Although issues are being identified and discussed to a point, it does not appear that any resolutions are being reached. Given the complexity of the issues that need to be addressed, two hours is simply too short. Often the answers to question in the newslettler and vague, non-committal and evasive. Although the roundtable is overall a good thing, there are critical problems in the remediation programs that need to be resolved if Connecticut is going to be competitive with other states from the business point of view.	Aug 3, 2011 2:10 PM
12	Less frequent meetings (2x/year) and responses to submitted questions should be sufficient to get the message across.	Aug 3, 2011 2:05 PM