



AGENDA

- I. Remarks by Agencies
- II. Remarks by Legislators
- III. Subcommittee Concept Papers
 - Substantive Conversation: Non-LEP Environmental Professionals
 - Subcommittee 10 Report Out
 - Subcommittee 9 Report Out
- IV. Action Items
- V. Public Comments

REMARKS BY AGENCIES



REMARKS BY LEGISLATORS



SUBSTANTIVE CONVERSATION: NON-LEP ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONALS

- Substantive Conversations (30 minutes)
 - DEEP to reserve ½ hour of each meeting to brief the Working Group on an issue, a regulatory challenge, a new approach, or regulatory language
 - 10 minutes of briefing and 15 minutes of discussion
 - Receive written feedback until next Working Group meeting



OBJECTIVES

A targeted review of concepts raised by the eight subcommittees regarding non-LEP, "other environmental professionals".

As Subcommittee 10 works to finalize their concept paper, provide feedback on previously proposed concepts and how DEEP thinks they might fit into program development.

KEY TOPICS



Creating a level playing field



Qualifications determined by complexity of release



Certainty of closure



Ensuring certification and accountability

CREATING A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD

Issue raised: RPs may not want to utilize LEPs if they are required to report discoveries that non-LEPs would not be required to report, or if non-LEPs will not be held accountable in the same way that LEPs are.

(Subcommittees 1, 2, and 3)

Feedback:

Requirement to report upon discovery applies to creator/maintainer of a release or anyone working for them (Section 22a-134rr)

Enforcement for not complying with the regulations is included in the statute.









QUALIFICATIONS DETERMINED BY COMPLEXITY OF RELEASE

Issue raised: Qualifications for other environmental professionals must be appropriate for complexity of release.

(Subcommittees 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8)

Feedback:

Needed qualifications will be based on risk of the release.

Possible to have non-LEPs close out lower-risk scenarios but require LEPs for other scenarios.

2012 Early Off Ramp and Immediate Response Action Paper includes potentially helpful concepts.









CERTAINTY OF CLOSURE

Issue raised: Ensure that a cleanup certification has a standard meaning regardless of the qualified professional who certified.

(Subcommittee 6)

Feedback:

A cleaned up and certified release by a non-LEP professional will have the same weight as a cleaned up and verified release by an LEP.

LEPs will not have to "re-certify" previously certified releases.









ENSURING CERTIFICATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY

Issue raised: Need to ensure those certifying can be held accountable.

(Subcommittees 3, 8)

Feedback:

Ability for non-LEPs to "self-certify" and attest that they meet the qualifications required.

Third-party certifications could be used to demonstrate necessary qualifications.

Regulations could create framework to address improper "self-certifications".

More consideration will be given following review of the Subcommittee 10 concept paper.











SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT OUT/DISCUSSION

 Subcommittee 10 – Role and Qualifications of Non-LEP Environmental Professionals



SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT OUT/DISCUSSION

 Subcommittee 9 –
Cumulative Risk and Risk-Based Alternative
Approaches



PUBLIC COMMENTS



Additional feedback can also be sent to: DEEP.Cleanup.Transform@ct.gov