

Subject: Working Group Comment Processes

Good evening,

I wanted to elaborate on the new Working Group comment processes for DEEP substantive discussions. If you have any questions on this process after reading this email, please let me know.

Working Group Comment Processes

- At the first meeting of the month, DEEP will present two to three presentations on different aspects of release-based regulations and program.
- Working Group members are asked to submit questions and comments to DEEP within 7 days of the presentation.
- At the second meeting of each month, DEEP will respond to these questions and comments, followed by an open discussion, with fifteen minutes reserved for public (non-Working Group members) comments.
- Working Group members are then encouraged to submit written comment on the presentation. DEEP would appreciate if such comments are submitted with 30 days of the original presentation. (DEEP understands that certain members may want to provide additional comments on such topics at a later date.)
- All questions or comments should be sent to: DEEP.Cleanup.Transform@ct.gov.

We hope that these new procedures will allow enough time for the expanded presentations to be made at the first meeting of the month, will improve the quality and robustness of DEEP's response to questions and comments, and will assist Working Group members in honing their thoughts and final comments on such topics. In addition, Working Group members and the public will have more time to review the presentations, which are typically posted [here](#) the morning after the meeting. Links to past comments on past substantive discussions can be found below.

- [EPOC Comments regarding the SEH presentation at the October Working Group Meeting](#)
- [Environmental Attorneys' Comments regarding the SEH presentation at the October Working Group Meeting](#)
- [TAG Comments regarding the SEH presentation at the October Working Group Meeting](#)
- [EPOC Comments regarding Thresholds for Reporting Historical Releases presentation at the November Working Group Meeting](#)
- [CTDOT Stakeholder Comments on the Proposed Quantitative Reporting Thresholds](#)
- [Environmental Attorneys' Comments regarding the November and December Working Group Meetings](#)
- [EPOC Comments on the First Year after Discovery Flow Chart presented at the January Working Group Meeting](#)
- [Bryant Abbott's comments regarding non-LEP release certification discussed at the February Working Group Meeting](#)
- [EPOC Comments regarding the Third-Phase Subcommittee Concept Papers](#)
- [Scott Burrus's comments on the Roles and Qualifications of Non-LEP Environmental Professionals](#)
- [EPOC Comments on Significant Environmental Release Triggers](#)
- [Proposed RBP Tiering Approach Suggestion](#)
- [Comments by Tiers Subcommittee Members](#)
- [EPOC PEP Comments](#)
- [Subcommittee 6 Letter Regarding PEPs](#)
- [Subcommittee 10 Letter Regarding PEPs](#)
- [Environmental Attorneys Comments Regarding PEPs](#)
- [Comments on Draft Regulatory Language Regarding PEPs](#)
- [EPOC comments on Tiers Checklist and IAs](#)

We look forward to our continued collaboration!

Best,
Graham

Graham J. Stevens
Chief