DATA AND INFORMATION REPORT

Long Island Sound Marine Spatial Planning Initiative

Prepared by the Connecticut-New York Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group

November 2015

Report Authors

This report was produced by the Connecticut-New York Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group and its subcommittee, the Data and Information Team:

Data and Information Team:

Karen Chytalo	Assistant Bureau Chief of Marine Resources, NY Dept. of Environmental
	Conservation, ex officio
Sylvain DeGuise	Director, CT Sea Grant
Nathan Frohling	Director, CT Coastal and Marine Initiatives, The Nature Conservancy
Jeff Herter	Assistant Division Director, Office of Planning and Development, NY Dept.
	of State, ex officio
Daniel Martin	Senior Software Architect, NOAA Coastal Services Center
Nick Napoli	Ocean Planning Project Manager, Northeast Regional Ocean Council
Kevin O'Brien	Environmental Analyst, CT Dept. of Energy and Environmental Protection,
	ex officio
Liz Podowski	Resilience Specialist, NY Dept. of State, ex officio
Tiffany Smythe	Smythe Coastal Consulting
Katherine Weaver	Regional GIS Analyst, Eastern Division, The Nature Conservancy
Bill Wise	Interim Director, NY Sea Grant

Principal Report Author:

Tiffany Smythe Smythe Coastal Consulting

Major Contributors:

Nathan Frohling	Director, CT Coastal and Marine Initiatives, The Nature Conservancy
Kevin O'Brien	Environmental Analyst, CT Dept. of Energy and Environmental Protection,
	ex officio
Liz Podowski	Resilience Specialist, Office of Planning and Development, NY Dept. of
	State, ex officio
Katherine Weaver	Regional GIS Analyst, Eastern Division, The Nature Conservancy

Table of Contents

REPORT AUTHORS II
LIST OF TABLES IV
LIST OF FIGURES IV
EXECUTIVE SUMMARYV
I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE1
II. WORKING GROUP 1 A. OVERVIEW OF CT-NY BI-STATE MARINE SPATIAL PLANNING WORKING GROUP 1 B. DATA & INFORMATION TEAM 2 C. DATA AND INFORMATION WORK PLAN 2
III. CONTEXT: THE ROLE OF GEOSPATIAL DATA IN SPATIAL PLANNING2
IV. DATA INVENTORY3A. OVERVIEW3B. METHODS41. Criteria8C. FINDINGS: DATA INVENTORY9D. FINDINGS: DATASETS IN DEVELOPMENT12i. Forthcoming Biological Datasets12ii. Forthcoming Ocean Use Datasets12E. FINDINGS: DATA GAPS13
V. DATA PORTALS
A. Overview 14 B. Methods 16 C. Findings 18
VI. DATA STANDARDS
A. Overview 24 B. Methods 25 C. Findings 26
VII. NEXT STEPS
 IDENTIFY HIGH-PRIORITY DATASETS, EVALUATE DATASET QUALITY, AND ADD DATASETS TO THE NY GATEWAY27 BUILD THE LIS FOCUS AREA PAGE OF THE NY GATEWAY
VIII. REFERENCES
APPENDIX I. LIS MSP BASELINE DATA INVENTORY
APPENDIX II: TABLES AND FIGURES
APPENDIX III. BACKGROUND ON THE NY GATEWAY CONSENSUS STATEMENT

List of Tables

Table 1. LIS MSP baseline inventory data sources	5
Table 2. Information collected for datasets in the LIS MSP baseline inventory	6
Table 3. Baseline data inventory data categories and subcategories	7
Table 4. Criteria used to screen datasets for inclusion in inventory	8
Table 5. Summary of datasets included in final baseline LIS MSP data inventory	. 11
Table 6. Summary of data portals reviewed for LIS MSP	. 32
Table 7. Options for developing a new LIS MSP data portal	. 38
Table 8. Summary matrix of data standards	. 40

List of Figures

Figure 1. Screenshot from the Northeast Ocean Data Portal viewer, showing one data layer	
(Shellfish Management Areas)	15
Figure 2. Mock-up of a LIS Focus Area page with links to story maps	20
Figure 3. Mock-up of NY Gateway landing page	21
Figure 4. Mock-up of NY Gateway's map viewer	22

Executive Summary

This document lays the groundwork for the geospatial data and information components of a potential future Long Island Sound marine spatial planning (MSP) initiative. Presented herein are the results of a nearly two-year research and pre-planning process (2012-2014) led by the Data and Information Team ("D&I Team") of the Connecticut-New York Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group ("Working Group"). The D&I Team, including representatives from the states of CT and NY and from key non-governmental partners, has performed key background tasks in support of a future LIS MSP initiative. The team sought to: (a) identify and evaluate available LIS geospatial datasets for potential use in a future Sound Marine Plan; (b) evaluate data standards to be applied to individual datasets and MSP data processes; and (c) explore options for aggregating and sharing these data through one or more publicly-accessible data portals.

As a result of this process, the team developed a comprehensive LIS MSP baseline inventory comprising 361 geospatial datasets, which together form the starting point for the data analysis that would need to be accomplished in support of LIS MSP. In developing this inventory, the team also identified a series of datasets in development as well as a few data gaps, both of which should be considered in further developing this inventory in support of LIS MSP. Second, the team recommended the adoption of the data standards used in the NY Department of State, Office of Planning & Development's Geographic Information Gateway (NY Gateway)for use in standardizing all geospatial data in support of MSP. Third, the team recommended the adoption of the entire LIS MSP Working Group, which includes the states of CT and NY, as evidenced by a consensus statement drafted by the group (dated September 3, 2014).

This report concludes with recommended next steps for the D&I Team to pursue in support of LIS MSP. These include identifying high priority datasets, evaluating dataset quality, and adding datasets to the NY Gateway, as well as building out the LIS Focus Area of the NY Gateway. These also include additional next steps in support of LIS MSP, such as revisiting data gaps; building communication with other data suppliers; ensuring new data products are integrated; and reviewing data and map products produced by other planning processes for use in LIS. Last, these include continuing discussion with the broader Working Group and partners about how to advance the usability of geospatial data and tools described herein in support of LIS MSP.

I. Introduction and Purpose

This document lays the groundwork for the geospatial data and information components of a potential future Long Island Sound marine spatial planning (MSP) initiative. Presented herein are the results of a nearly two-year research and pre-planning process (2012-2014) led by the Data and Information Team ("D&I Team") of the Connecticut-New York Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group ("Working Group"). The objectives of the D&I Team's pre-planning work were to identify and evaluate available Long Island Sound geospatial datasets in order to develop a baseline inventory; evaluate existing data standards for potential future use at a Long Island Sound scale; and explore options for aggregating, visualizing and sharing these data through one or more publicly-accessible data portals. This work has been conducted in preparation for using geospatial data in support of a future Sound Marine Plan. In this document, "Sound Marine Plan" refers to the plan that may result from a potential future Long Island Sound marine spatial planning (LIS MSP) process, and "LIS MSP" refers more broadly to the planning process as a whole.

II. Working Group

A. Overview of CT-NY Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group

The CT-NY Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group is an informal, unofficial body that has been meeting regularly since 2012 with the goal of creating the enabling conditions for a LIS MSP effort. The Working Group was formed following workshops and discussions about MSP for the Sound and recognition that Long Island Sound, as an intensely utilized, ecologically important water body, needed and deserved its own marine spatial plan.

The Working Group is made up of voluntary participants from key state and federal agencies (e.g. ex-officio staff members of the CT Dept. of Energy and Environmental Protection (CT DEEP), NY Dept. of State (NY DOS) and NY Dept. of Environmental Conservation (NY DEC), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)), regional ocean governance entities (e.g. the Northeast Regional Ocean Council and the Northeast Regional Planning Body), trade organizations (the CT Marine Trades Association), other user interests (the Coastal Conservation Association), conservation organizations (e.g. The Nature Conservancy and the Connecticut Fund for the Environment) and Connecticut and New York Sea Grant programs. The Working Group has worked to form consensus on the purposes and potential guiding principles that may be appropriate for MSP in the Sound, what types of data and information would be important for MSP, and what options may make the most sense with regard to shaping and implementing a LIS MSP process. As an unofficial effort, the Working Group is assisting in doing background work in support of the States of New York and Connecticut who would have the ultimate authority should they decide to pursue an official MSP process. One of the notable benefits of the Working Group is that it has fostered and facilitated the cooperation and coordination of the States of Connecticut and New York in addressing LIS MSP.

The Working Group conducts conference calls and meets in person about eight times per year and has identified sub-teams - the Framework Team and the D&I Team - to carry out work plans and complete work products. These two teams conduct calls approximately once a month. This Data and Information Report is a major product of the D&I Team and overall Working Group. Please see the Sound Marine Planning Framework Report, a major product of the Framework Team, for more information on the Working Group.

B. Data & Information Team

To produce this Data and Information Report, the D&I Team was formed in the fall of 2012. Please see the inside cover for members and their affiliations.

C. Data and Information Work Plan

In 2013, the D&I Team developed a two-year work plan to shape the research and planning necessary for initiating the development of geospatial data and information that could support a future Sound Marine Plan. The D&I Team's work plan sought to: (a) identify and evaluate available Long Island Sound datasets in order to develop a baseline inventory; (b) evaluate existing data standards for potential future use at a Long Island Sound scale; and (c) explore options for aggregating, visualizing and sharing these data through one or more Northeastern-focused publicly-accessible data portals. These items were considered the key tasks necessary to provide a foundation for understanding and preparing for the geospatial data and information needs of a LIS MSP process.

III. Context: The Role of Geospatial Data in Spatial Planning

Geospatial data and information are foundational to MSP because they can be used to create maps that can help improve planning and decision-making for marine areas.

Geospatial data refers to the information found on a map that helps a map user see and understand a place. In technical terms, geospatial data are digital data that include explicit geographic positioning information, allowing the data to be analyzed and/or visualized from a geographic perspective. Overlaying geospatial data can allow us to discern spatial patterns and relationships between features. They can also help us understand temporal patterns - historic, current, and seasonal/annual conditions. Last, through modeling, geospatial data can help us gain insight into potential future conditions. The MSP approach has become prevalent in marine management in recent years due in part to technological developments that have made it easier to collect, aggregate, analyze and visualize this type of geospatial data characterizing the marine environment (Young et al., 2007). Geospatial data are critical to MSP because they enable planners and stakeholders to understand and visualize the biophysical, social, and legal attributes of a marine area, observe spatial and temporal variations, gaps, and overlaps between attributes, and to inform decisions based on this scientific information.

Geospatial data analysis, conducted within the framework of a Geographic Information System (GIS), is necessary for the initial assessment of the planning area as well as for the development of management scenarios such as the identification of ecologically or socially important areas (Stelzenmuller, Lee, South, Foden, & Rogers, 2013). Geospatial data can also be used to facilitate plan implementation assessment (Stelzenmuller et al., 2013) and, when presented in a user-friendly data portal or interactive decision support tool, can be used to build inter-organizational collaboration and stakeholder participation (e.g. Merrifield et al., 2013). For these reasons, the Working Group included among its priorities a series of pre-planning tasks focused specifically on geospatial data and information.

IV. Data Inventory

A. Overview

Developing a baseline inventory of datasets is a necessary precursor for marine spatial planning. In particular it is necessary for defining and analyzing existing conditions through the process of inventorying and mapping existing natural resources and human uses in the management area (Ehler & Douvere, 2009). Accordingly, a baseline data inventory was assembled and a database was developed comprising multiple geospatial datasets relevant to any potential future LIS MSP processes. This effort was necessary because a comprehensive database for all of Long Island Sound does not currently exist. Further, existing biophysical, social, and legal geospatial data – information that would be necessary for a Sound Marine Plan

- are currently held by multiple different entities, including different government agencies, nongovernmental organizations, and regional organizations.

The resultant LIS MSP baseline data inventory is a, non-exhaustive¹ geospatial database that the D&I team recommends as a starting point for a future Sound Marine Plan. Datasets included in the inventory may be appropriate for potential inclusion in a data portal and for use in characterizing, assessing, and developing management scenarios for a LIS MSP planning area. The inventory was designed to address the biophysical, social, and legal topics that would need to be addressed through a LIS MSP process (see list of data categories below under "Methods"). For example, datasets included characterizations of habitat for fish and birds; shellfish management areas; and maintained shipping channels, to name a few. The inventory, primarily intended as a tool for LIS spatial planners, may have some broader public utility, including the ability to visualize the types of geospatial data that exist for LIS in one place. The inventory is not in itself a publicly-accessible data portal through which individuals can view and interact with geospatial data, but is intended as a foundation for the future development of such a portal. The inventory currently exists as a Microsoft Excel workbook comprising multiple worksheets (see Appendix I). It was last updated on October 10, 2014 and will require ongoing updates in order to remain relevant and useful. Presented herein is a discussion of the methods used to develop this inventory and key findings. Proposed next steps, including future use of this inventory, are included in Section VII at the end of this report.

B. Methods

The LIS MSP baseline inventory was developed through review of eight different data sources. These sources each include data inventories and were selected based on their geographic extent with regard to Long Island Sound, relevance to coastal and marine issues, and credibility.² Sources included the Northeast Ocean Data Portal; the Mid-Atlantic Data Portal; the New York Department of State, Office of Planning & Development's Geographic Information Gateway (NY Gateway) inventory; the Long Island Sound Study inventory; the Long Island Sound Ecological Assessment; the Long Island Cable Fund Mapping Initiative; the Long Island Sound Resource Center; and the NOAA Marine Cadastre (see Table 1).³

¹ Non-exhaustive is meant to indicate that the inventory process could not support a full investigation of all possible data sources, but rather was able to address well-known repositories of data as well as the results of recent similar MSP efforts.

² Credible data sources included state and federal agencies; regional quasi-governmental initiatives such as the Northeast Ocean Data Portal; non-governmental organizations; and academic sources.

³ These source projects have widely varying scopes and offer more valuable resources and functions than the data inventories utilized for this LIS work.

Table 1. LIS MSP	baseline inventor	y data sources
------------------	-------------------	----------------

Data Inventory	Geographic Area	Description
Northeast Ocean Data Portal	Northeast region, from ME	Data resource and decision support tool for ocean
	south to NY	planning from the Gulf of Maine to Long Island Sound
Mid-Atlantic Data Portal	Mid-Atlantic region, from	Data platform for ocean planning across the five-state
	NY south to VA	Mid-Atlantic region (NY, NJ, DE, MD, and VA)
New York Gateway Inventory	New York State	Catalogues the data that the NY Department of State's
		Office of Planning and Development hosts on their NY
		Gateway
Long Island Sound Study Inventory	Long Island Sound	The result of an exercise (2011) to provide a list of
		relevant CT/NY coastal spatial data to support the EPA
		Long Island Sound Study's Stewardship Sites Working
		Group (dataset updated in May 2014; not publicly
		available)
Long Island Sound Ecological	Long Island Sound	LISEA data are intended to enhance spatial
Assessment		understanding of LIS submerged habitats with the goal
		of reducing conflicts between ecological resources and
		human uses
Long Island Cable Fund Mapping	Long Island Sound	An inventory generated from the results of a pilot
(site should be publicly accessible		seafloor mapping effort in a corridor of Western LIS
soon)		from Bridgeport CT to Port Jefferson, NY
Long Island Sound Resource Center	Long Island Sound	Central clearinghouse for information and data related
		to LIS
NOAA Marine Cadastre	National coverage - oceans	Integrated marine information system that provides
	& Great Lakes	data, tools, and technical support for ocean

Individual datasets from the eight sources were first listed in individual Excel worksheets, organized by tabs according to the source. They were then compiled into one Excel document, along with relevant dataset descriptors, such as dataset name, original data source, and spatial extent (see Table 2). Data were then organized into categories and subcategories corresponding to those used in other relevant data portals (the Northeast Ocean Data Portal and the NY Gateway), in order to facilitate future information sharing between sources. These portals were considered relevant because they already house LIS-specific data, and integrating with these two portals in the future will be important. The main categories were *Administrative Boundaries; Ocean Uses; Biology; Physical Environment; Cultural and Demographic; Climate Change;* and *Water Quality* (see Table 3). Categories and subcategories were selected to address biophysical, social and legal data needs that would need to be addressed in a typical MSP process and were designed to evolve over time as the inventory expands.

Table 2. Information collected for datasets in the LIS MSP baseline inventory

LIS MSP Inventory Fields	Description		
Original Data Category	Category from original inventory		
Original Data Sub-Category	Subcategory from original inventory		
LIS MSP Category	See Table 3 below for categories		
LIS MSP Subcategory	See Table 3 below for Subcategories		
LIS MSP Category and Subcategory	LIS Inventory category and subcategory concatenated together		
Dataset Name	Name of dataset		
Dataset Description	Information about the dataset (text copied directly from dataset source)		
Dataset Format	Raster, polygon, point, line		
Link to Map	Link to see data in a map viewer (if there is one)		
LIS Spatial Extent	Spatial location in/around Long Island Sound		
Time Period of Dataset	Timeframe captured in dataset or published date		
Original Data Source(s)	Originator of dataset		
Final Data Product Source	Originator of final data product		
Metadata	Does this dataset have metadata (description of data)?		
Metadata Date	When the metadata created/published/updated		
Dataset Coordinate System	Coordinate system of dataset		
Link to download final data product	From where the dataset can be downloaded		
Webservice link	URL that allows online sharing of geospatial information between one or more external databases and/or data portals		
LIS MSP Inventory Addition/Update	Date the dataset was added into the LIS MSP Inventory		
Inventory Updater	D&I data reviewer		
Excel Tab	Inventory Tab in Inventory Excel Sheet		
ID	Inventory Abbreviation plus unique ID for data layer (Unique ID for each dataset)		
Use in Final LIS Baseline Inventory?	Final Dataset Recommendations for LIS baseline inventory		
Notes	Notes/comments as we selected final dataset recommendations		

Table 3. Baseline data inventory data categories and subcategories

Long Island Sound MSP Categories	Long Island Sound MSP Subcategories	Example Data		
Administrative	Jurisdictional	Counties, states, marine jurisdictions, US Coast Guard Districts		
Boundaries	Planning	No Discharge Zones, Coastal Geographic Names, Orthoimagery, Digital Floor Insurance Rate Maps, Waste Water Discharges		
	Energy Infrastructure	Tidal Hydrokinetic Projects, Turbine Locations, Electrical Transmission Substations, Electrical Transmission Lines, Submarine Cables, Submar		
		Pipelines		
	Industrial	Petroleum Product Terminals, EPA regulated Facilities, Ocean Disposal Sites		
Ocean Uses	Navigation	Pilot boarding areas, aids to navigation, maintained channels, anchorages, and Automatic Identification Systems (AIS) datasets		
	Commercial Fishing	Aquaculture, shellfish management areas, Vessel Monitoring Systems (VMS) and Vessel Trip Report (VTR) commercial fishery datasets		
	Recreation	Recreational Boating, Recreational Fishing, Wildlife Viewing, Diving, Swimming		
	Birds	Important bird areas, Waterfowl areas, bird conservation areas, nest sites, bird distribution maps		
	Marine Mammals	Marine Mammal Habitat, N. Atlantic Right Whale Seasonal Management Areas, Harbor Seal Winter areas and wintering locations		
	Sea Turtles	No Datasets in inventory yet		
Distant	Corals	No Datasets in inventory yet		
вююду	Fish	Essential Fish Habitat, Species Richness, total biomass, fish persistence		
	Shellfish	Zebra Mussel Distribution		
	Plankton	Chlorophyll A (all seasons), Zooplankton (all seasons)		
	Habitat	Coastal Wetlands, LIS Ecological Assessment (LISEA) Ecological marine units, LISEA Ecologically Notable Places, Eelgrass, Natural Heritage Ar Artificial Reefs		
Dhuster	Geological	Shoreline, sediment, geologic seabed forms from LISEA, post glacial deposits		
Physical Environment	Chemical	Copper content, lead content, nitrogen content (sample locations in LIS)		
Linnonment	Oceanographic	Sea surface temp, tidal currents, bathymetry, turbidity, wave and tida stream resource potential, salinity distributions		
Cultural & Demographic	Cultural & Demographic	Population, tribal lands, national register sites		
Climate Change	Climate Change	Coastal Vulnerability Index, NOAA Sea Level Rise Scenarios		
Water Quality	Water Quality (regulatory)	EPA 303d impaired waters, total maximum daily load		

1. Criteria

Once the inventory record of all of the datasets was compiled and organized, the datasets were systematically screened and evaluated for potential inclusion in the final baseline inventory. The following criteria were used: *relevance* to LIS MSP; *no redundancy* with other datasets; *currentness*; and *spatial extent* with regard to Long Island Sound (see Table 4). Currentness was only applied as a criterion to regulatory and planning boundaries, and not to historic datasets or datasets collected over time, which would allow for trend analysis. Datasets that met all of these criteria were coded as "yes" and selected for inclusion in the final LIS MSP baseline inventory. Datasets that only met some of these criteria were coded as "maybe," for potential further evaluation at a later date. Datasets that did not meet these criteria, particularly if they were irrelevant to LIS MSP, were coded as "no," and notes were kept justifying these discussions.

Criteria	Description		
Relevant to LIS MSP	Will the dataset help advance/support LIS MSP efforts?		
Redundancy	When multiple datasets containing the same information were identified (e.g., 3 instances of		
	AIS shipping data from 2011), the dataset from the most authoritative source with web map		
	services available was selected for the final inventory.		
Currentness	For datasets with information collected at different points in time, the most current dataset		
	was recommended for the final inventory (e.g., aids to navigation). NOTE: this criterion was		
	not applied to datasets where historical information may be informative (e.g., assessing		
	change over time in fish populations); it was only applied to datasets whose quality depend on		
	the most currently available information (e.g., aids to navigation, which are important		
	offshore features for the shipping industry).		
Spatial extent	If datasets contained information that did not overlap with the spatial extent of Long Island		
	Sound at any location (e.g., Outer Continental Shelf lease blocks), these datasets were not		
	recommended for the final inventory.		
Method of Applying Criter	ia		
Yes	Datasets that met all of the above-listed criteria were coded "yes" in the "Use in Final LIS		
	Baseline Inventory?" field.		
Maybe	Datasets that met <i>some</i> of the above-listed criteria were coded "maybe" in the "Use in Final		
	LIS Baseline Inventory" field. An explanation justifying a "maybe" decision for each dataset		
	can be found in the "Notes" field. *Additionally, if some datasets did not have enough		
	documentation to make an informed final decision, they were coded "maybe."		
No	Datasets that did not meet the above-listed criteria, particularly if they were irrelevant to LIS		
	MSP or were redundant of a more authoritative data source, were coded "no" in the "Use in		
	Final LIS Baseline Inventory." An explanation justifying a "no" decision for each dataset can be		
	found in the "Notes" field.		

Table 4. Criteria used to screen datasets for inclusion in inventory

NOTE: The process described above is a first step in identifying datasets useful for LIS MSP. As appropriate, datasets initially coded "no" or "maybe" can be added to the final inventory if the application of the evaluation criteria was wrong, or if there is a strong universal buy-in to include the dataset. Also, datasets initially coded "yes" can be removed as new, better data become available, or if the LIS MSP work group decides those datasets should be removed from the final inventory.

This process resulted in a reasonably comprehensive baseline inventory of geospatial datasets deemed credible and appropriate by the D&I Team for potential future use in a LIS data portal and in a future Sound Marine Plan. The inventory also resulted in the identification of some data gaps as well as some datasets in development for potential future inclusion in the inventory; these were identified anecdotally through the process of compiling and screening the data inventory. See "Findings" below in sections IV.C, D and E for discussion of these findings.

It is important to note that there are limitations to this inventory. The LIS MSP baseline inventory is current as of October 10, 2014. Additionally, this inventory is non-exhaustive; this work plan could not support a full investigation of all possible data sources, but rather was able to address well-known repositories of data as well as the results of recent similar MSP efforts. It is possible that datasets or sources may have been missed or set aside prematurely. Moreover, most of the individual data portals used to develop this inventory are periodically updated. Therefore, the inventory was designed with the intention that it will be periodically updated moving forward with the goal of integrating new datasets, addressing new data needs, and reconsidering the use of existing datasets as needed.

C. Findings: Data Inventory

A total of 507 datasets were initially identified from the eight different source inventories discussed above. After applying the screening criteria outlined in section IV.B.1, 361 datasets were coded "yes," (i.e., recommended for inclusion in the final baseline inventory;) 50 were coded "maybe"; and 96 were coded "no." Of the 361 recommended datasets, 133 (37%) were classified under the Biology category; 106 (29%) Physical Environment; 76 (21%) Ocean Uses; 35 (10%) Administrative Boundaries; 5 (1%) Climate Change; 3 (1%) Cultural & Demographic; and 3 (1%) Water Quality. See Table 5 below for further details.

Taken together, the majority of these recommended datasets characterize the biophysical characteristics of the Sound (66% total for Biology and Physical Environment). This is due to the large number of LIS Cable Fund Mapping datasets (24% of the original 507) in the inventory; this project has focused specifically on characterizing the benthic biology and physical oceanographic environment of a particular area of the Sound selected as a pilot (Battista & O'Brien, In press). Additional areas in LIS that were prioritized by stakeholders through a vetting process will be covered by the Cable Fund Mapping Study in the future and will likely include

some, but not necessarily all, of the data types provided by the pilot study (K. O'Brien pers. comm. 2014).⁴

It is possible that the lower percentage categories (e.g. 1% Climate Change) may indicate data gaps or deficiencies. However, this would need to be assessed through closer examination of the data. See Section VII, Next Steps, for further discussion.

Based on this analysis, the D&I Team finds that these 361 recommended datasets (71% of the total 507) represent the best-known geospatial data currently available that can provide a foundation for LIS MSP. These datasets represent a starting point for future development of a LIS data portal. They can be used to better characterize existing use and natural resource patterns and relationships, anticipate future changes, assess the compatibility of future potential uses/projects, and develop management scenarios for a future Sound Marine Plan. Specifically, these data can potentially be used to create maps characterizing the many Long Island Sound uses and natural resources that would be considered in a LIS MSP process. Future portal developers and spatial planners should further screen these datasets before using them in support of LIS MSP.

⁴ For additional information on the LIS Cable Fund Mapping Study please contact Kevin O'Brien, CT Dept. of Energy and Environmental Protection.

Table 5. Summary of datasets included in final baseline LIS MSP data inventory

	Count of	Percent of	Percent of
LIS MSP Category and Subcategory	Datasets	Category	Subcategory
Administrative Boundaries:			
Jurisdictional	18	9.70%	51.43%
Administrative Boundaries: Planning	17		48.57%
Biology: Birds	11		8.27%
Biology: Fish	6		4.51%
Biology: Habitat	101	26 9/0/	75.94%
Biology: Marine Mammals	2	50.64%	1.50%
Biology: Plankton	12		9.02%
Biology: Shellfish	1		0.75%
Climate Change: Climate Change	5	1.39%	100.00%
Cultural & Demographic: Cultural &		0.92%	
Demographic	3	0.0576	100.00%
Ocean Uses: Commercial Fishing	20		26.32%
Ocean Uses: Energy Infrastructure	9		11.84%
Ocean Uses: Industrial	8	21.05%	10.53%
Ocean Uses: Navigation	24		31.58%
Ocean Uses: Recreation	15		19.74%
Physical Environment: Chemical	8		7.55%
Physical Environment: Geological	59	20.36%	55.66%
Physical Environment:		29.30%	
Oceanographic	39		36.79%
Water Quality: Water Quality	3	0.83%	100.00%
SUM: Total recommended datasets			
in final baseline inventory	361		
Total layers evaluated in			
development of inventory	507		

D. Findings: Datasets in Development

Through the process of developing this inventory, the D&I Team identified a variety of different data collection efforts currently under way which, when completed in the next one to two years, may be important additions to this baseline inventory and to a future Sound Marine Plan. These include a number of initiatives taking place under the auspices of the Northeast Regional Planning Body (NE RPB), the Northeast Regional Ocean Council (NROC), and the Northeast Ocean Data Portal, as well as initiatives led by the NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service and The Nature Conservancy. Additionally, the Seafloor Mapping project conducted through the LIS Cable Fund is working to develop additional physical and biological datasets, which are forthcoming. Relevant geospatial data development initiatives include, but are not limited to, those listed below. The D&I Team recommends that these initiatives and the resultant datasets, when completed, be reviewed for potential inclusion in the inventory and in a future data portal and Sound Marine Plan.

i. Forthcoming Biological Datasets

- Scientists from Duke University and the NOAA National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS) are generating predictive models of sea turtle, marine mammal, fish, and seabird distributions. This Marine Life Characterization project is being conducted for the <u>Northeast RPB</u> and results will be displayed on the <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u> (Northeast Ocean Data Portal, n.d.)⁵
- The <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u> team is leading an effort to create a historical eelgrass page, incorporating coastal wetlands, and creating a habitat story map (Northeast Ocean Data Portal, n.d.).
- The Nature Conservancy is updating its <u>Northwest Atlantic Marine Ecoregional</u> <u>Assessment</u> Benthic Habitat model using Video Survey datasets being developed by the University of Massachusetts – Dartmouth School for Marine Science and Technology (K. Weaver pers. comm. 2014).⁶

ii. Forthcoming Ocean Use Datasets

• <u>SeaPlan</u> is leading an effort to characterize the for-hire boating industry in the Northeast, including LIS (K. Starbuck pers. comm. 2014).⁷

⁵ For further information on this and other forthcoming Northeast Ocean Data Portal datasets please contact Nick Napoli, Ocean Planning Manager, <u>Northeast Regional Ocean Council</u>.

⁶ For additional information please contact Sally McGee, Northeast Marine Program Director, <u>The Nature</u> <u>Conservancy Eastern Resource Office</u>, Boston, MA.

⁷ For additional information please contact Andy Lipsky, Senior Partner, <u>SeaPlan</u>.

- <u>SeaPlan, Surfrider and Point97</u> are leading an effort, in support of the NE RPB, to characterize recreational activities, such as kayaking, surfing, wildlife watching, etc., in the Northeast. This dataset will include LIS (SeaPlan, 2014).
- The <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u> team is updating recreational datasets to include: The Nature Conservancy's secured lands dataset (conserved lands-state/national parks, sanctuaries, etc.), boat launches, water trails, beaches, and National Parks Service boundaries (Northeast Ocean Data Portal, n.d.)
- The <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u> team is leading an effort to create a regional dataset for the National Register of Historic Places (K. Weaver pers. comm. 2014).⁸
- The <u>NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service</u> is leading an effort to use a new modeling process to analyze commercial fishing Vessel Trip Report (VTR) data, which will generate higher resolution and more accurate data that can be analyzed by attributes including fish stock, gear type, port, and year (S. Benjamin pers. comm. 2014).⁹

E. Findings: Data Gaps

The D&I Team found that the 361 recommended datasets included in the LIS MSP baseline data inventory represent a comprehensive starting point for a future Sound Marine Plan because they characterize many of the natural resources, human uses and legal parameters that would need to be considered. While many available datasets may need to be augmented and updated to best support a robust LIS MSP process, given the ongoing collection of new data, as well as necessary updates to existing data, there are no significant data gaps that would provide an immediate impediment to beginning such a process.

However, through the process of developing this inventory, the D&I Team did identify a small number of data gaps. These included existing Long Island Sound datasets that are incomplete, available for only part of the Sound, or out-of-date. Gaps also included data that could potentially be useful for LIS MSP but were absent from the inventory, either because they don't exist or because the D&I Team was unable to identify them through the inventory development process.

⁸ For further information please contact Nick Napoli, Ocean Planning Project Manager, <u>Northeast Regional Ocean</u> <u>Council</u>.

⁹ For further information please contact Sharon Benjamin, GIS and Fisheries Specialist, <u>NMFS Social Sciences</u> <u>Branch</u>, Falmouth, MA.

Data gaps include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Shellfish habitat suitability data (CT data are out of date and NY does not have such a dataset)
- A contiguous dataset of shoreline characterization (substrate type) and coastal erosion data for the entire LIS coastline
- Coastal risk/vulnerability data for the northern LIS (CT) coastline

It is important to emphasize that these data gaps are only a first step; there are likely additional data gaps or data update needs not identified through this inventory development process that should be addressed in support of LIS MSP. It may be that additional screening will be needed, once LIS MSP goals and objectives are better refined, to identify additional data gaps that must be addressed in order to respond to MSP goals. The D&I Team recommends that the issue of data gaps be addressed and updated again in the future in the interest of better defining and prioritizing future research needs in support of LIS MSP.

V. Data Portals

A. Overview

In addition to the Data Inventory, a review was conducted of various options for aggregating, visualizing and sharing geospatial data with LIS MSP planners and stakeholders through one or more web-based data portals. This effort was necessary because there is currently no single website or viewer through which planners and stakeholders can access all LIS geospatial data relevant to the development of a Sound Marine Plan or use for LIS in general. Establishing one or more publicly accessible data portals with geospatial data relevant to LIS MSP is important so that planners and stakeholders can view, explore, and interact with relevant geospatial data, including those datasets identified through the baseline data inventory described above in section IV.

A data portal is a web-based point of entry through which users can access, view and potentially interact with geospatial data. Many data portals allow diverse users – such as interested stakeholders, experienced planners, technical professionals and decision-makers - to view and interact with geospatial data through a web-based map viewer. For example, see Figure 1, which shows the options available to users of the Northeast Ocean Data Portal's viewer.



Figure 1. Screenshot from the Northeast Ocean Data Portal viewer, showing one data layer (Shellfish Management Areas).

Key attributes of most contemporary data portals are that they enable the user to access multiple authoritative datasets from different data sources in one place; do not require the user to have GIS software or experience; and enable the user to interact with the data in multiple ways. For example, a stakeholder interested in learning more about Long Island Sound could use a data portal to: view geospatial data in a map format; manipulate his or her view by selecting and overlaying different datasets; zoom into particular areas; or customize, save, share, and print a map. MSP planners and other technical professionals can use a data portal to conduct planning exercises using the same functions, and additionally can download datasets for manipulation in a GIS or access metadata (which offers details about what the data represents or describes as well as its source, age and method of development) or additional technical information about the dataset.

Whereas one dedicated data portal is not a strict requirement for MSP, data portals are increasingly established in connection with MSP initiatives. For example, data portals have been established in both the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic in connection with these regions' MSP initiatives. Data portals are especially useful for MSP because they make a diversity of datasets (e.g., natural resource and human use data) from multiple organizations (e.g., government agencies, academic institutions, non-profit organizations, and stakeholders) available in one location. Thus, a data portal helps build the integrated management approach and the interorganizational coordination and collaboration that is the hallmark of MSP. Moreover, data portals help build public engagement in participation in MSP. A data portal provides a public interface for a MSP initiative and can also help build public participation through the inherently interactive nature of a portal. Stakeholders can view, interact with, and share MSP data and maps using the data portal. In some cases, a data portal can even be used to solicit stakeholder feedback on data products or to allow stakeholders to create, edit, and/or suggest new data for potential inclusion in a MSP initiative (e.g. SeaSketch). For example, the UK's Marine Planning Portal enables stakeholders to log in and comment on draft data layers and on the marine planning evidence base as a whole.

B. Methods

To explore options for aggregating and sharing geospatial data as part of a Sound Marine Plan, the D&I Team evaluated the potential advantages and disadvantages, with respect to LIS MSP, of using one or more portals; reviewed several existing data portals; and investigated options for designing a new data portal. These actions were taken with the objectives of understanding how data portals currently support LIS MSP initiatives in general and identifying data portal options for supporting a Sound Marine Plan. First, the team considered the potential advantages and disadvantages of using one dedicated portal for MSP versus multiple portals. This was an important consideration because a future Sound Marine Plan would by definition involve two states, both of which have their own datasets and institutional needs.

The advantages of using one dedicated portal were identified as:

- a. One portal provides access to all relevant MSP datasets, and links to other portals and web services, in a central place;
- b. All data are managed consistently through one set of data standards;
- c. Planners and stakeholders only have to learn the structure and functions of one portal; and
- d. One portal provides a cohesive public face for a MSP initiative, facilitating stakeholder outreach and education.

Additionally, one portal can be a mechanism for fostering bi-state collaboration and cooperation.

The potential disadvantages of using one dedicated portal are that:

- a. One portal, unless perfectly customized, may not include all relevant data, functions and services;
- b. One portal would presumably have one main focus and scale, whereas multiple portals may be beneficial as they provide flexibility in focus and scale;
- c. One portal may be challenging for two states to jointly manage; and
- d. One portal could be overwhelming and unwieldy given the amount of information present.

Second, the D&I Team qualitatively reviewed six existing data portals for their features and tools, and for ways they could support or are supporting LIS MSP. These included two federal portals, NOAA Digital Coast and the NOAA Marine Cadastre; one regional portal designed to support MSP, the Northeast Ocean Data Portal; one Long Island Sound-focused portal, the Long Island Sound Resource Center; and two state portals, New York's Geographic Information Gateway (NYGateway) and <u>CT Environmental Conditions Online</u> (CT ECO), which is publicly available. Each portal was reviewed in depth for summary characteristics including the type of data and information; intended audience; creator or sponsor; mechanisms for user engagement; update frequency; its potential advantages and disadvantages with regard to LIS MSP; and ways in which it could potentially support LIS MSP. See Table 6 in Appendix II for a detailed summary of each portal's characteristics. The purpose of this research was to both understand the ways in which a portal could support LIS MSP, and to potentially identify one portal which could be used to best support a future Sound Marine Plan.

Additionally the D&I Team investigated options for developing a new portal for the purposes of supporting a Sound Marine Plan. Two options were identified: developing a LIS-specific version of <u>SeaSketch</u>, a web-based decision support tool that would include some portal functions, and building a new portal. SeaSketch is an interactive web-based tool for viewing marine spatial data designed by the University of California at Santa Barbara to support collaborative spatial planning and management exercises; the SeaSketch team could be contracted to develop and support a LIS-specific viewer. Alternatively, a new portal could be developed explicitly to support LIS MSP. NY DOS's experience designing and developing the NY portal was used to assess the potential advantages, disadvantages, and costs of pursuing this option. The potential cost of developing a LIS-specific application of SeaSketch would vary significantly depending on the options and features selected; the potential cost of a new portal, based on NY's experience, is approximately \$280,500. See Table 7 in Appendix II for a detailed summary of both options.

C. Findings

The D&I Team's review of data portals resulted in the identification of several portals that could effectively support a future Sound Marine Plan (see Table 6 and Table 7). Through this research process, the D&I Team considered the LIS circumstances and the pros and cons of different options, and concluded that utilizing a single data portal would be preferable to using multiple portals, and that it would be more cost-effective to utilize an existing portal rather than pay to develop a new one.

It is within the context of this research that the NY DOS invited the Working Group to utilize the NY Gateway, as a potentially primary data portal for use in LIS MSP. Through this research process and through regular D&I Team and Working Group conference calls, a consensus was ultimately reached that the NY Gateway provided the strongest available option for advancing LIS MSP. This decision was based on numerous factors. The NY Gateway is being developed as a highly functional, user-friendly data portal. NY DOS is committed to supporting the NY Gateway's continued development and growth, and intends to host the NY Gateway well into the future; it would be challenging for Work Group members to obtain the financial resources and staffing capacity necessary to develop and host an equivalent dedicated portal. Moreover, in addition to utilizing the NY Gateway, Working Group members were invited to participate in aspects of the portal's ongoing development and to contribute to the portal datasets included in the baseline data inventory.

The Working Group's strong commitment to the NY Gateway was a major driving factor in reaching this consensus. NY DOS has committed to developing and hosting the NY Gateway through a one and a half year contract with Stone Environmental (October 2013 – March 2015) to develop the NY Gateway's functionality. Additionally, NY DOS has a three-year contract with Stone Environmental to provide cloud storage space and application hosting (May 2013 – April 2016) and will pursue a new contract when this contract expires.

Two features of the NY Gateway that will be particularly useful in supporting a Sound Marine Plan are the LIS focus area and the implementation of story maps. DOS plans to develop a featured LIS focus area as a key part of the NY Gateway ; this is part of DOS's scope of work with Stone Environmental and would be developed in support of LIS MSP. A LIS focus area page would include a link to a map viewer populated with LIS geospatial data, a sidebar with links to other relevant resources, and story maps which present selected LIS issues and/or information in greater depth. See Figure 2 for a mock-up of what the LIS focus area page might look like, and see Figure 3 and Figure 4 for mock-ups of the NY Gateway's landing page and map viewer.



Services News Government



LONG ISLAND SOUND marine planning activities

This section will provide a high-level overview of the marine planning activities occurring in Long Island Sound. This section will also highlight bi-state coordination between New York and Connecticut, as well as collaboration with many other federal, state, regional, and local partners. Ilis vel ent magnat. Sediam ilignitiis mo torit, ne nobit eos santem restia dustem quiscipsus alique dolest voluptibus.

La ima dit quis eost mos dolupta tumquaesed utae istis sequis eos de num eos eritat. Lit, volor sequibeati accatis corrum volla doluptatus dolest, suntempos verum ea dis dendis et andae verum ut hicit latatem facerch icitio. Nam, sandae rernam ex erit ipid molescipsam exernat ionsequist fuga. To idebis exceatis et moluptatiae quidia voluptam as repro doluptusam qui arum labori acessitio is ea nestrum quam, accus que dolum dolorporis nis etur alianim entiis quodigni nest faccull iquame quaspella sequia volorporerum dolum aut aciis qui sam, secae nis ea sit aut im quiat unt idelitatia quam.

STORIES about m	narine planning in Long Islan	d Sound	
coming soon!	biology Restoring eelgrass habitat in the Sound	coming soon!	recreation Rec boating in the Sound

Figure 2. Mock-up of a LIS Focus Area page with links to story maps.

(Note: this mock-up is only an example. The final content for such a page would be developed through coordination between the states of NY and CT as described in Section VII.2 of this report. In addition, this mock-up is representative of the NY Gatewayfocus area page layout and general aesthetic, but NY DOS is still in the process of implementing new statewide branding guidelines. Website styling may change in the future.)

home | about | download | view | focus - | feedback



additional RESOURCES									
► L	egislation & Policy								
▶ P	Publications								
► S	tate Partners								
▶ R	legional Partners								
▼ F	ederal Partners								
•	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)								
	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)								



Services News Government



home | about | download | view | focus - | feedback



FOCUS on New York's community planning & development activities



Figure 3. Mock-up of NY portal landing page

(Note: this mock-up is only an example of the NY Gateway . In addition, this mock-up is representative of the NY Gateway focus area page layout and general aesthetic, but NY DOS is still in the process of implementing new statewide branding guidelines. Website styling may change in the future.)



Figure 4. Mock-up of the NY portal's map viewer

(Note: this mock-up is only an example of the NY Gateway . In addition, this mock-up is representative of the NY Gateway focus area page layout and general aesthetic, but NY DOS is still in the process of implementing new statewide branding guidelines. Colors and fonts may change in the future, as will the top banner to accommodate the new NY DOS logo.)

Within the LIS Focus Area, a user will be able to access and interact with a broad range of LISrelated data, which is one of the major values of the NY Gateway for LIS. A major component of the LIS Focus Area page would be story maps - interactive, illustrated stories that combine webbased maps with text, photo, video, and other graphic devices in order to tell a compelling story. They are used to highlight case studies, showcase community success stories, and demonstrate how geographic information is collected, analyzed, and utilized to improve planning and decision-making. DOS has invited the Work Group to participate in developing LIS story maps to the extent possible. Work Group members from both states would be able to identify content for story maps (focusing on topics upon which both states agree) and would be able to review and/or edit final story maps before their posting. Story maps are of particular interest because they can be developed immediately and would provide an opportunity for building bi-state collaboration and consensus building in support of a future bi-state Sound Marine Plan initiative.

It is important to note that other highly-functional data portals exist, such as the Northeast Ocean Data Portal, and that there may be some level of support for a Sound Marine Plan through these portals. However, it is not certain that a LIS MSP effort could identify one of these portals as a primary home, in that LIS may not be a sufficient focus of the portal and/or that these portals may have restrictions that could limit the utility of the portal for a LIS MSP effort. Additionally, using the NY Gateway as a primary data portal does not mean that the LIS MSP effort cannot use or will not need the support of other portals. For example, data sharing tools such as web map services could enable the NY Gateway to utilize data from other data portals. A web map service is an HTTP interface that allows sharing of geospatial information between one or more external databases; for example, data available as map services on the Northeast Ocean Data Portal could be imported into and viewed on the NY Gateway and vice versa.

The Working Group's consensus to utilize the NY Gateway is codified in a consensus statement that was developed by the Working Group and approved by members on September 3, 2014. The process of developing consensus on this issue began during an August 2014 call of the Working Group which was attended by 13 members of the Working Group, including representatives of key NY and CT state agencies (CT DEEP, NY DEC and NY DOS). All members on the call voted to approve supporting the NY Gateway and agreed that a consensus statement should be developed and then circulated to the entire Working Group. This statement was drafted and circulated to all Working Group members, who were polled about their support of this statement. Members responded affirmatively, including representatives of the NY and CT state agencies, and no Working Group members dissented. See Appendix III for

the complete Consensus Statement document, which includes further background on this agreement.

"The Working Group (WG) finds that the NY Gateway provides an excellent data and information portal that can support the goals of the LIS MSP Work Group in its efforts to advance preparation for an official LIS MSP process. The States of CT and NY are the only parties who can make a decision regarding the ultimate use of the NY portal in an official LIS MSP process; however, the NY Gateway will likely be an excellent option for an official LIS MSP process, particularly one that supports a bi-state approach. Actions taken today that advance integration of a bi-state approach in supporting access to and use of geographic data on LIS, such as through WG support, are warranted. This position is further indicated given that the NY Gateway is being developed now and there may not be the same opportunity in the future. It is therefore the consensus view of the WG that the WG should continue to take actions that support the development of the NY Gateway as a vehicle for bi-state cooperation on LIS geographic data, including working with NYS on development of its Gateway to the extent possible and appropriate."

VI. Data Standards

A. Overview

The D&I Team examined various standards that can be applied to individual datasets and/or to data processes that could support LIS MSP. This will help ensure the quality and consistency of not only data used in a LIS MSP initiative, but also the ways in which data from different sources could or should be aggregated in a data portal, shared among stakeholders, and/or used together to perform planning and analysis tasks.

In broad terms, "standards" are any form of measure, rule, or model to codify an agreed-upon practice or norm. This is evident in systems of weights and measures such as the metric system. Here, various units are well defined and there are rules explaining how they can be combined. Further, these definitions and rules are not place or user-dependent; they can be universally applied in a consistent manner. For example, there are 100 centimeters in 1 meter everywhere.

Within the context of geospatial data, standards can apply both to individual datasets (e.g., the accuracy of water quality sampling locations) and to data-related processes (e.g., how to decide which sets of historic aerial photography to include in a data portal). In order to facilitate the

appropriate use of these data, a third standard that provides a detailed description of a dataset's characteristics, known as metadata, can be applied. In order to effectively support a Sound Marine Plan, data standards should be in place for individual datasets, data-related processes, and metadata. Doing so provides a structure that describes datasets in a common way such that users can fully understand their characteristics and, in turn, identify appropriate applications of the data.

For further information on data standards, please see a briefing paper prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency (Kohn, 2003).

B. Methods

The goal of this review was to understand the range of approaches to data standards and to identify one or more options for data standards to be applied in a LIS MSP process. To do this, the D&I Team built from the work described in Section V by using a subset of the data portals to explore what, if any, standards they employed and how they were used. Beyond simply providing a consistent framework for this review, the portals themselves present a range of different scales (e.g., national, regional and state) and a range of different institutions (e.g. federal government, state, regional quasi-governmental entities) that provide a broad spectrum of design, uses, and potential stakeholder groups. The individual data portals reviewed are the Marine Cadastre (federal); the Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal and the Northeast Ocean Data Portal (regional quasi-governmental); and the CT Environmental Conditions Online and the NY portal (state).

In addition to the portals, the D&I team also reviewed a set of federal standards published by the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) for developing metadata. The FGDC is an interagency committee that promotes the coordination of geospatial data on a national basis. Many of the individual data portals reviewed herein utilize FGDC metadata standards for their holdings.

This was not an exhaustive or quantitative analysis; data standards and portals were evaluated qualitatively with the goal of understanding context and identifying options for data standards for use in LIS MSP.

C. Findings

The D&I team first evaluated the basic attributes of the FGDC data standards, and the standards used in the five other portals listed above. The team then considered the potential advantages and disadvantages of applying each approach to LIS given the unique needs of LIS MSP. See Table 8 in Appendix II for summary attributes of these different data standards.

After reviewing the range of data standards considered in this work plan, the D&I Team recommends applying New York's data standards used in the development of its Gateway to all future LIS MSP geospatial data-related work. The reasons for this are:

- As discussed above in the "Data Portal" section, the Working Group has reached a consensus on supporting the development of the NY Gateway as a vehicle for bi-state cooperation on LIS geographic data, which may include a future official LIS MSP process. Adopting a different set of data standards other than those utilized in the NY portal could cause barriers to efficient integration.
- The NY Gateway data standards are considered to be high quality, utilizing FGDC standards, and are similar to those of the external data portals used to develop the LIS MSP data inventory— the Marine Cadastre, the Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal, and the Northeast Ocean Data Portal (which are under development). All of these data portals have standards that address common data issues, such as data quality, geographic scope and extent, currentness, and credibility. Moreover, two of these data portals (the Mid-Atlantic Ocean Data Portal and the Northeast Ocean Data Portal) have been developed to support MSP.

However, it is important to note that situations may occur in the future that are outside the scope of the system as originally conceived by New York State, and so changes to these standards may need to be considered as data integration proceeds and/or when an official LIS MSP process begins.

VII. Next Steps

The tasks outlined below are recommended next steps for building upon the work described in this report. These next steps would convert the data products generated by the D&I Team – data inventory, data portal recommendation, and data standards recommendation – into publicly accessible information on the NY Gateway to support LIS MSP planning, management, and public outreach activities.

1. Identify high-priority datasets, evaluate dataset quality, and add datasets to the NY Gateway

The tasks described below can likely be performed by members of the D&I Team, with occasional input from the entire Working Group and outside resources.

- Identify high-priority datasets for LIS MSP that meet all data standard requirements, and in particular, are relevant to LIS MSP. For those that do not meet data standards, identify steps that must be taken to update data. In addition to relevance, the D & I Team may also consider prioritizing datasets in terms of ease of access (i.e., Is the dataset currently maintained and easily obtainable via web mapping services?).
- Verify that each high-priority dataset has complete and accurate metadata. If metadata
 do not exist or are incomplete, the D & I Team will need to reach out to the data
 originator/source and request assistance in the development of metadata. Datasets
 without complete metadata will be assigned a lower priority for inclusion in the portal,
 to allow for development or receipt of proper metadata.
- Obtain identified high-priority datasets and associated metadata. If not available through web mapping services, data will need to be obtained from the originator/source.
- Determine how the data will be displayed in an online map viewer. This will require discussions about symbology, data classification, use of a slider bar to show change over time, and other considerations.
- Determine how the data will be browsed/searched in an online data portal. This will require agreement on the category/subcategory assigned to each dataset and the tags associated with each dataset.
- Work with the NY Gateway team to implement a data upload protocol to ensure all information relevant to the dataset (metadata and symbology) is uploaded to the NY Gateway efficiently and successfully. *NOTE: All of the LIS-relevant data uploaded to the NY Gateway will be viewable on the main map viewer, as well as on a LIS Focus Area map viewer. The LIS Focus Area map viewer only provides access to LIS-relevant data, whereas the main map viewer contains all data available on the NY Gateway.*
- Because new data will become available over time and existing data will be updated, the steps listed above should be iterative. The D&I Team should also consider developing a data maintenance and data archiving plan to ensure datasets, including the baseline inventory, remain relevant and up-to-date.

2. Build the LIS Focus Area page of the NY Gateway

- Form a new working group, comprising members of the D&I Team, the Framework Team, and potentially others, to undertake the tasks described below.
- Develop a high-level, concise description about LIS marine planning efforts to be posted on the LIS Focus Area page.
- Develop a list of additional resource links. These links will take users to external websites that contain information relevant to LIS marine planning, such as publications, legislation/policy, data & tools, and state/federal partnerships.
- Select a few key datasets to serve as base layers on the LIS Focus Area map viewer (e.g., watershed boundaries, municipal boundaries, benthic sediment type, bathymetry, monitoring buoys, etc.). These datasets will automatically load when the LIS Focus Area viewer is launched.
- Develop at least one or two illustrated stories that use geographic information to tell discrete stories about the LIS and LIS planning efforts. The development of story content will require a significant time commitment. Once the story and graphics are drafted, the story will be reviewed by outside experts to ensure the story's scope is appropriate and the content is accurate. This step may require the development of a detailed work plan in itself.

3. Explore Additional Next Steps in support of a Sound Marine Plan

- The D&I Team should engage in continued discussion with the broader Working Group and other partners to determine how to advance the usability of geospatial data and tools described herein in support of LIS MSP.
- The D&I team should establish informal partnerships or lines of communication with data suppliers to ensure D&I Team awareness of updates to LIS-relevant datasets.
- The D&I Team should revisit data gaps again in the future in the interest of better defining and prioritizing future research needs in support of LIS MSP. In particular, the D&I Team should reevaluate categories and sub-categories for which very few datasets were found (see Table 5 above). For example, the Cultural & Demographic included only 3 datasets comprising less than 1% of the inventory; this and other low-number

categories should be evaluated in order to determine whether these numbers are an indicator of data gaps that should be prioritized.

- The D&I Team should revisit data in development again in the future to ensure all available, relevant datasets are incorporated into the inventory and the NY Gateway.
- Once LIS MSP goals and objectives are identified and refined, appropriate officials involved in the LIS MSP process and/or the D&I Team and other Working Group members should consider rescreening the 361 recommended datasets included in the baseline data inventory. Rescreening these datasets will enable the team to further prioritize datasets that are most useful for MSP and identify additional data gaps relevant to LIS MSP that may not have initially been evident. The D&I Team may consider beginning this step prior to an official process, using goals and objectives used by similar MSP processes.
- The D&I Team, or a team set up for this purpose under an official LIS MSP process, should review maps and other data products produced in connection with the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regional planning processes – both those completed and in development – to assess their potential applicability to a LIS MSP process.

VIII. References

- Battista, T., & O'Brien, K. (In press). Spatially prioritizing seafloor mapping for coastal and marine planning. *Coastal Management*.
- Ehler, C., & Douvere, F. (2009). Marine Spatial Planning: A Step-by-Step Approach toward Ecosystem-based Management *IOC Manual and Guides*. Paris: UNESCO.
- Kohn, J. (2003). Data Standards Briefing Paper: Environmental Protection Agency.
- Merrifield, M., McClintock, W., Burt, C., Fox, E., Serpa, P., Steinback, C., & Gleason, M. (2013). MarineMap: A web-based platform for collaborative marine protected area planning. *Ocean & Coastal Management, 74*, 67-76.
- Northeast Ocean Data Portal. (n.d.). Upcoming Products. Retrieved November 23, 2014, from <u>http://www.northeastoceandata.org/about/upcoming-products/</u>
- SeaPlan. (2014). Coastal and Marine Recreational Study for New England. Retrieved November 23, 2014, from <u>http://www.seaplan.org/project/coastal-and-marine-recreational-study-for-new-england/</u>
- Stelzenmuller, V., Lee, J., South, A., Foden, J., & Rogers, S. I. (2013). Practical tools to support marine spatial planning: A review and some prototype tools. *Marine Policy*, *38*, 214-227.
- Young, O. R., Osherenko, G., Ekstrom, J., Crowder, L. B., Ogden, J., Wilson, J. A., . . . Peach, R. E. (2007). Solving the crisis in ocean governance: Place-based management of marine ecosystems. *Environment*, 49(4), 22-32.

Appendix I. LIS MSP Baseline Data Inventory

See Microsoft Excel spreadsheet attached.

Appendix II: Tables and Figures

Table 6. Summary of data portals reviewed for LIS MSP

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
1. Northeast	*Information	*View, interact with	*Updated	*Three ways of	* Regional, not site-	*LIS is within the portal's spatial extent for
Ocean Data	resource/decisio	and share maps	monthly/bi-	interacting with	or state specific.	existing and new data.
Portal	n support tool	pre-loaded with	monthly as	data, including		*Provides access to regional mapping tools and
	for ocean	data addressing key	new datasets	creation of		regional data from ME to NY.
	planning from	themes (e.g.	are created or	customizable maps.		*Provides access to regional datasets as map
	the Gulf of	commercial fishing,	datasets are	*Thematic maps for		services that any portal can ingest.
	Maine to Long	marine mammals.	updated;	ease of user access.		*Many datasets used in LIS MSP Data Inventory
	Island Sound.	*Use the data	provide news	*Portal team has		come from this portal, and more datasets are
	*Provides	explorer to view	updates on	regular interaction		under development that will be useful for LIS
	access to data,	and interact with all	forthcoming	with government,		MSP.
	maps, tools, and	data, metadata, and	data products.	universities and		*Data standards are similar in nature to the New
	other ocean	services.		NGOs.		York G eographic Information Gateway .
	planning	*Download data for		*Regular		**NE Ocean Data team can provide: advice on
	information.	use in a GIS; users		maintenance,		data needs, development, and gaps, and can
	*Includes	also have access to		updates, and		provide input and review of data products, their
	approximately	a rest endpoint		development of		visualization, and incorporation into map
	100 hosted	where they can		new datasets.		themes or stories, using their knowledge of
	datasets and	connect to NEOD				federal, regional and state datasets and MSP.
	connections to	services to display				**Portal can provide server space and
	some external	data in their own				password-protected data viewers for internal
	services and	portals, viewers,				review of interim data products or data
	sources.	etc.				catalogs.
	*Developed/					*NE Ocean Data team would consider additional
	maintained by a					requests by CT, NY or LIS Working Group.
	working group					PLEASE NOTE: Items marked with two asterisks
	comprising					(**) are additional services that could be
	gov't. agencies					provided dependent on scope, cost and level of
	and NGOs.					effort.

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
2. NY	*	*View data hosted	*Expected to	*Free platform	*Limited map tools;	*Potential partnership between NY DOS and LIS
Department of	*Upon release,	by NY DOS and	be updated as	hosting relevant	currently, users	MSP team provides opportunities to customize
State, Office of	will present	select other web	new content	geographic	cannot draw or	the NY Gateway to address LIS MSP needs.
Planning &	information	services (e.g.	becomes	information.	measure features or	*NY plans to create a LIS Focus Area as part of
Development's	related to all	Marine Cadastre) in	available	*Downloadable	perform address	the NY Gateway.
Geographic	OPD programs	a map viewer or in	(monthly –	data are compatible	searches.	* LIS MSP team would work with NY DOS to
Information	as a Focus Area	Google Earth.	bimonthly	with both ArcGIS	*Provides access to	customize the design and develop the content
Gateway (NY	(e.g. Atlantic	*Interact with data	basis).	and GoogleEarth	non-marine data and	for the LIS Focus Area and story maps.
Gateway)	Ocean, LIS,	by searching for and		(open source	information (either a	*Funding and expertise is available for website
	Climate Change	adding data layers,		option).	pro or a con).	maintenance. Stone Environmental is working
	& Resilience).	changing the base		*Data search		with NY DOS to ensure NY can maintain the site
	* Currently	map, or zooming		functionality.		without permanent support from Stone.
	presents data	in/out.		*Focus Area pages		*All data would be evaluated based on NY data
	from the NY	*Access metadata		provide access to		standards prior to upload; if metadata are
	Offshore	and download data		geographic data and		incomplete, NY DOS will obtain complete
	Atlantic Ocean	in a variety of		other information.		metadata that conforms to data standards.
	Study (2013);	formats.		*Story maps tell		
	other focus	* View data from 3 rd		focused stories		
	areas under	party websites on		about data and		
	development.	real-time coastal		offshore planning		
		and ocean		activities; unique		
		conditions.		feature/outreach		
		*Learn about		tool not included in		
		"Focus Areas" by		other portals.		
		accessing data,		*Provides access to		
		reading story maps		a wide range of NY-		
		and linking to		related geographic		
		additional		data and		
		resources.		information.		
		*Provide feedback		*Long-term hosting		
		and share via social		and development		
		media.		are NY DOS		

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
				priorities.		
3. <u>NOAA</u>	*Collection of	*Search for datasets	*Not defined,	*Provides additional	*National in scope;	*Digital Coast is always looking for ways to
Digital Coast	geospatial data	by characteristics or	but Digital	resources (tools,	may not adequately	support coastal management via data
	and tools,	themes (e.g.	Coast is often	training) beyond	reflect local regional	hosting/providing access to data and resources.
	trainings, and	benthic, hazards &	willing to	data visualization/	needs "out of the	*Digital Coast is more than just data. Also hosts
	case studies for	climate). Data can	accept data of	distribution.	box."	and supports tools/ toolkits, training options,
	coastal	be previewed,	appropriate	*Digital Coast is		stories, and application techniques/resources.
	managers.	downloaded, or	standards from	interested in		
	*Includes data	accessed via web	partners or the	working with		
	on coastal	service.	coastal	variety of coastal		
	watersheds	*Search for and use	management	professionals to		
	nationwide;	or download pre-	community.	provide data, tools,		
	provided in	developed tools to		and resources.		
	multiple data	perform analyses.				
	formats.	*Access training				
	*Includes data	resources.				
	and information					
	from					
	government					
	agencies.					
	*Managed by					
	NOAA in					
	collaboration					
	with non-					
	governmental					
	partners.					
	*Includes a data					
	registry/					
	inventory and a					
	supplemental					
	data viewer.					

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
4. <u>NOAA</u>	*Integrated	*Read case studies	*Regularly	*Authoritative	*Data are often a	*Provides LIS team with access to authoritative
<u>Marine</u>	marine	about how these	updated as	source that is well	coarse spatial	data maintained by the federal government.
Cadastre	information	data support ocean	new data are	maintained.	resolution (nation- or	*Provides National Viewer and access to
	system	planning.	available.	*Web services are	region-wide) and	nationwide datasets through web map services.
	providing data,	*Search for and		available for all data	often do not have	*The Marine Cadastre team is responsive and
	tools and	download data and		layers.	coverage in LIS.	readily available to troubleshoot technical
	support for	metadata and		*Map viewer	*Datasets are limited	issues.
	renewable	create custom		provides tools that	in scope – largely	
	energy siting	maps.		allow users to	focused on	
	and other ocean	*View and browse		customize and	renewable energy	
	planning efforts.	geographic data		share maps.	planning and	
	*Co-sponsored	utilizing a map		*Users can	jurisdictional	
	by BOEM and	viewer. User can		download datasets,	boundaries.	
	NOAA and hosts	zoom in/out;		metadata and		
	data from a	identify features;		create custom		
	range of	change the		maps.		
	different	basemap and map				
	government	extent; draw and				
	agencies.	measure features;				
	*Includes a data	access web service				
	viewer with	and metadata.				
	regional maps,					
	thematic maps,					
	and story maps					
	and a data					
	inventory.					

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
5. Long Island	*Established in	*Search and	*Data are not	*Designed to store	*Infrastructure	*None identified because of uncertain future of
Sound	1988 as a	download oblique	updated.	and serve spatial	needs replacing (e.g.	this portal.
Resource	central	photographs of CT's		data as well as	running ArcIMS;	
<u>Center</u>	clearinghouse	coast.		research,	server is at end of	
	for LIS-related	*Identify CT public		publications,	useful lifespan).	
	data and	access locations.		posters, and other	Unclear if it will be	
	information.	*Explore data		coastal/estuarine	replaced or if data	
		characterizing LIS		data.	will be integrated	
		habitats and		*Good source of	into another	
		geology.		geologic data for	location.	
		*Learn about		LIS.		
		scientific research,				
		data and maps				
		related to LIS.				
		*Search an				
		inventory of spatial				
		data.				

Portal Name	Overview	Portal	Frequency of	Advantages for use	Potential drawbacks	Additional ways portal can support LIS MSP
		functionalities	data updates	in LIS MSP	for use in LIS MSP	
6. <u>CT</u>	*Collaboration	*View geospatial	There is no	*Reasonably well-	*Potential drawback	*Designed to serve as a central point for CT
Environmental	of CT DEEP and	data characterizing	well-defined	configured setup to	is lack of current	environmental data, but could be configured to
Conditions	the UCONN	CT environmental	update	view and access	resources to easily	serve/host other regional data if the delivery
<u>Online</u> (CT	Center for Land	resources	schedule.	data. Has	expand data catalog	parameters were largely similar.
ECO)	Use Education	separately or in		capabilities for	and create new	
	and Research.	conjunction with		novice and more	products and	
	*Includes maps	other envt'l natural		advanced users.	services. Depending	
	and tools for	resource		Can provide data as	on scope of desired	
	sharing CT	information.		well as web-	changes, funding	
	environmental	*View high-res.		services.	sources may need to	
	and natural	Orthophotography.		Documentation is	be provided.	
	resource data	*View maps		multi-tiered as well,		
	with decision	through map		making it easy to		
	makers and the	catalogs (PDFs), a		use.		
	general public.	series of thematic				
	*Data	map viewers, an				
	contributed by	advanced map				
	state and	viewer, and through				
	federal agencies	ArcGIS Online.				
	and UCONN	*Access data and				
	programs.	resource guides.				
		*Access map				
		services sources to				
		download GIS data.				
		*Access training				
		resources.				

Table 7. Options fo	r developing a new	LIS MSP data portal
---------------------	--------------------	---------------------

Option	Overview	Users can	Update	Advantages for use in LIS	Potential drawbacks for use	Costs for developing in
			frequency	MSP	in LIS MSP	support of LIS MSP
<u>SeaSketch</u>	*Web-based tool	*Turn on and off	*Project	*Great for collaborative	*Would have to start from	*A LIS MSP specific
	for viewing	preloaded datasets,	administrators	planning and stakeholder	scratch, organizing	SeaSketch viewer would be
	marine spatial	zoom to specific	have full	engagement.	structure, data, etc.	developed and supported, at
	data developed	areas, change	control and	*Could function as a	*Would have to determine	a cost to LIS, through a
	by the	basemaps, draw	can update	standalone viewer.	group for managing and	contractual agreement.
	McClintock lab at	features.	when needed.	*Analytic reports (can	updating datasets,	SeaSketch can create a
	the Marine	*Interact and		develop based on needs).	metadata, etc.	detailed project prospectus
	Science Institute	communicate with		*Can connect to external	*Could be costly.	and refined cost estimates.
	of UC Santa	others in a virtual		services (e.g. NY portal).	*Users cannot download	Initial cost estimates are as
	Barbara.	forum setting, draw		*Support from SeaSketch is	data; this could be	follows based on Feb 2014
	*Provides a	features, and provide		available to get the project	developed for a fee.	correspondence with W.
	customized	feedback and		moving.	*Basic viewer with no story	McClintock:
	visualization	comments relating to			maps, etc.	*\$5,000/year for the license
	interface; unique	data and features.				*\$5,000/year for an Amazon
	features are	*Analytical reports				Web Services instance
	participatory	can be generated on				(including ArcGIS Server for
	forums through	the fly while users				free) to host map services
	which users can	interact.				and geoprocessing services
	interact, draw	*Users cannot				*\$6,500 per 40 hours of
	features, and	download data.				support (minimum).
	provide feedback.	*Project				*Additional fees for service
	*Contact is Dr.	administrators can				at a standard rate of
	Will McClintock	load datasets and				\$165.29/hr.
	(will@ucsb.edu).	connect to external				*Simple analytics (overlay of
		services such as the				several layers, meaningful,
		Northeast Ocean				project-specific report) cost
		Data Portal.				around \$13k.

Option	Overview	Users can	Update	Advantages for use in LIS	Potential drawbacks for use	Costs for developing in
			frequency	MSP	in LIS MSP	support of LIS MSP
New portal	This would be a	TBD	TBD	*Standalone product (no	*Requires from scratch,	Cost estimate (based on NY
	new portal			state hosting)	organizing structure/data,	Gateway)
	developed from			*Opportunity to determine	look/feel, messaging,	Task A: Data Collection and
	the ground up.			look and feel as a group; e.g.	metadata, team	Management:
	NY DOS'			could customize content	*Requires a permanent	*\$7,500 Develop data
	experience			around LIS MSP topics	team responsible for adding	acceptance standards
	developing the			*Work Group would have	new content, managing and	*\$10,000 Mapping support
	NY Gateway was			the ability to quickly identify,	updating existing datasets,	*\$13,000 Priority data
	used as a			screen, and upload	metadata, archiving old	assessment
	reference point			data/make decisions about	data, coordinate with data	Task B: Develop/ Deploy
	for pros, cons,			website content (internal	providers/partners, conduct	Atlas and Data Portal:
	and costs.			review process vs. internal &	public outreach, etc.	*\$36,000 Assist with Design
				external review process)	*Costly, requiring funding	Spec Dev't.
					for website development	*\$194,000 Application Dev't.
					and maintenance, hosting	*\$20,000 Documentation
					services, technical support,	and Training
					public outreach, etc.	TOTAL: \$280,500
					*Requires assembling team	
					for portal interface design,	
					development, management,	
					and data/metadata	
					development	

Table 8. Summary matrix of data standards

I. Organizations Promulgating Data Standards

Organization	Data	Summary –	Data	Notes	Advantages for use in	Potential drawbacks for
	Description	Description	Process		LIS MSP	use in LIS MSP
	Standard	Standard	Description			
	Required ?		Required/			
			Provided			
Federal Geographic	Yes	Detailed standards	No	*The FGDC promotes the coordinated	*Nationally/	*Can be difficult/time-
Data Committee		for metadata;		development, use, sharing, and	internationally	consuming to apply if a LIS
(FGDC)		endorses both		dissemination of geospatial data on a	recognized	MSP dataset is lacking
		internal and		national basis through the National	*Vetted, well	standardization (e.g.,
		external standards		Spatial Data Infrastructure, a physical,	represented of many	research may be required
				organizational, and virtual network	data types LIS MSP will	to compile needed facts)
				designed to enable the development and	likely use	*Non-federal data can be
				sharing of the nation's digital geographic	*For federally	hit or miss (in both FGDC
				information resources.	created/supported	metadata existence or
				*FGDC data standards are most	datasets, FGDC minimum	quality)
				applicable to datasets rather than data	standards are typically	
				processes, standardizing the way data	applied (i.e., most of	
				should be described rather than how	federal data can be	
				data might be valued, etc.) They are	expected to provide a	
				most applicable to metadata for spatial	minimum level of	
				datasets.	standardization)	
				*FGDC endorses internal standards (from	*Endorses acceptance of	
				within the Federal government) and	and integration with	
				external standards (from non-federal	international data	
				organizations).	standards (e.g.,	
					International Standards	
					Organization 19115)	
					*Can be created using	
					various metadata tools	

II. Data Standards Used by Data Portals

Portal	Data	Summary -	Data	Notes	Advantages for use in	Potential drawbacks for
	Description	Description	Process		LIS MSP	use in LIS MSP
	Standard	Standard	Standards			
	Required?		Required/			
			Provided?			
<u>ст</u>	Yes	Three versions of	No	*Provides standards relevant to	*Well-conceived level of	*Would require substantial
Environmental		increasing detail		describing data, but does not address	data description	work to provide multi-
Conditions		and complexity, all		process-level issues such as data quality	standards (includes	tiered data descriptions for
<u>Online</u>		based on FGDC data		evaluations or distribution standards.	basic, intermediate and	data that does not
		standards:			fully compliant FGDC	currently have them
		Data Guides (basic			records users can select	*Nothing to address issues
		level); Resource			from based on their	of data quality for inclusion
		Guides			needs/experience, etc.)	
		(intermediate			Basic and intermediate	
		level); Complete			take key points from	
		FGDC Metadata			FGDC and present them	
		(advanced level)			in easy to digest formats.	
NY Gateway	Yes	FGDC Metadata/ISO	Yes –	*Standards have been developed and/or	*Vetted, representative	*As new partners, data
			addresses:	endorsed to address both datasets and	of many data types LIS	streams, data categories,
			Inclusion;	processes.	MSP will likely use	etc., are incorporated into
			distribution	*Data standards rely on previously	*Covers data and data	the NY Gateway, instances
				developed templates from FGDC and ISO	processes	may be encountered that
				*Includes processes define steps to	*Standards are designed	have not been considered
				address data quality, lineage, relevancy,	specifically to support	and therefore might
				and storage/distribution.	data portal functionality	require re-thinking and/or
					LIS MSP group envisions	changing standards.
					needing.	
					*Locally conceived, LIS	
					specific	

Portal	Data	Summary -	Data	Notes	Advantages for use in	Potential drawbacks for
	Description	Description	Process		LIS MSP	use in LIS MSP
	Standard	Standard	Standards			
	Required?		Required/			
			Provided?			
<u>Marine Cadastre</u>	Yes	FGDC Metadata/ISO	Yes – addresses: Inclusion	*Marine Cadastre has standards that apply to their data viewer and data registry. *Standards address requirements for	*Vetted, well represented of general data types LIS MSP will likely use;	*Designed to work within a national system, and may not be best suited to regional uses without
				data descriptions (e.g., FGDC metadata) as well as guidance for inclusion (what should or should not be provided by the viewer/registry.)	*Provides some process guidance to enable determinations on what data could/should be	modifying/re-evaluating process level standards, such as methods used to generate the dataset and
					provided.	the dataset's spatial extent, resolution, and accuracy.
Northeast Ocean Data Portal	In develop- ment, but assumed comparable to the NY Gateway	In development, but assumed comparable to NY Gateway	In develop- ment, but assumed compar- able to NY Gateway	*Contains similar scope/function to the NY Gateway (above) but at present does not currently have a fully vetted and complete data/process standards document. However, one is in development and based in large part on the NY Gateway. As such, comparable pros and cons can be reasonably assumed.	N/A (in development)	N/A (in development)

Portal	Data	Summary -	Data	Notes	Advantages for use in	Potential drawbacks for
	Description	Description	Process		LIS MSP	use in LIS MSP
	Standard	Standard	Standards			
	Required?		Required/			
			Provided?			
Mid-Atlantic	Yes	FGDC and/or other	Yes –	*Defines steps to address evaluating and	*Vetted, representative	*Some mandatory criteria
Data Portal		relevant national/	addresses:	including data within the portal (include	of many data types LIS	may be too exclusive, and
		international	inclusion	measures and groups/entities	MSP will likely use	what constitutes
		standards		responsible for decision making)		"appropriate methods" for
				*Requires authoritative base-level data	*Provides descriptions of	assessing data are not well
				descriptions (FGDC metadata)	data and data processes	defined within the
					relevant to evaluating	standard. (However, it is
					data quality and	noted that objective
					relevance to LIS MSP	criteria and "best
						professional judgment"
						should balance out in an
						evaluation process.)

Appendix III. Background on the NY Department of State, Office of Planning & Development's Geographic Information Gateway (NY Gateway) Consensus Statement

Working Group Consensus Statement

August Working Group Conference Call Re: New York Geographic Information Gateway September 3, 2014

Background:

The CT-NY Bi-State MSP Working Group (Working Group) conference call on August 1, 2014 addressed questions and next steps concerning Working Group recognition and potential involvement in the New York Geographic Information (NY Gateway),. As a result of the discussion there was general enthusiasm about the NY Gateway and how it could help advance LIS MSP. It was agreed that the consensus reached on the call be articulated in writing to: 1) be reviewed by Working Group members not on the call so all members have a chance to weigh in (and the consensus statement amended if needed), 2) assure the State of CT is comfortable before assuming that consensus has been reached and 3) be able to provide NY DOS with a clear statement regarding Working Group involvement once the consensus statement is finalized.

Working Group members on the call included: David Blatt, Karen Chytalo, Sylvain DeGuise, Nathan Frohling, Jeff Herter, Wilhelmina Innes, Katie Lund, Liz Podowski, Tiffany Smythe, David Sutherland, Grant Westerson, Katherine Weaver and Bill Wise

Discussion Items and Findings:

- The Working Group has learned about the functionality and usability of the NY Gateway through Working Group calls and a July 10, 2014 web-ex.
- Obtaining the financial resources and staffing capacity to develop and host a Gateway capable of serving LIS MSP needs is a daunting task. The NY Gateway is and will become a highly functional, well-supported data portal that has the capacity to provide for many if not most of the needs of a potential LIS MSP effort, now and into the future.
- Other portals exist (e.g. NE Data Portal) and there may be some level of support for the LIS MSP effort through these portals. However, it is not certain that a LIS MSP effort can identify these portals as a primary portal home for LIS. Additionally, recommending the NY Gateway as a primary data portal does not mean that the LIS MSP effort can't use or won't need the support of other portals, which may in fact remain critical depending on how the process emerges.
- The Working Group does not contemplate an advantage in there being two primary LIS MSP portals if there can be one.
- NY DOS has indicated that it intends to include LIS on the NY Gateway and that it plans to host the NY Gateway well into the future.

- NY DOS has invited the Working Group to utilize and participate in the NY Gateway as a potentially primary data portal for use in LIS MSP.
- NY DOS has asked the Working Group to clarify its position on the NY Gateway and in particular whether the Working Group is interested in participating in contributing to its development.

Draft Consensus Statement:

"The Working Group finds that the NY Gateway provides an excellent data and information portal that can support the goals of the CT-NY Bi-State Marine Spatial Planning Working Group in its efforts to advance preparation for an official LIS MSP process. The States of CT and NY are the only parties who can make a decision regarding the ultimate use of the NY Gateway in an official LIS MSP process; however, the NY Gatewaywill likely be an excellent option for an official LIS MSP process, particularly one that supports a bi-state approach. Actions taken today that advance integration of a bi-state approach in supporting access to and use of geographic data on LIS, such as through Working Group support, are warranted. This position is further indicated given that the NY Gateway is being developed now and there may not be the same opportunity in the future. It is therefore the consensus view of the Working Group that the Working Group should continue to take actions that support the development of the NY Gateway as a vehicle for bi-state cooperation on LIS geographic data, including working with NY DOS on development of the NY Gateway to the extent possible and appropriate."

Practical Interpretation of Statement:

The involvement and support of the Working Group has been discussed for 1) contributing to the functionality of the NY Gateway for LIS MSP and 2) contributing to the creation of story maps about LIS.

Functionality: The D&I Team's LIS MSP Baseline Data Inventory serves as a contribution to the functionality of the NY Gateway. There may be further ways in which Working Group contributions can be made toward the NY Gateway LIS functionality, such as potential integration of data layers to provide greater clarity and/or insight into the data.

*Story maps**: The story map feature received considerable discussion on the 7/31/14 Working Group call. This resulted in support for Working Group engagement in helping develop the LIS story map(s) to the extent feasible. This support was based on considering that: 1) proposed content would be topics that both states could agree on, 2) the Working Group would have the opportunity to propose, add and/or edit story content, 3) the Working Group, including the State of CT, would have to be comfortable with final content and how it is presented, 4) the process would provide an early venue for building bi-state collaboration and consensus building and as such support an important, broader LIS MSP goal, 5) Working Group involvement could aid in assuring story maps reflect a bi-state perspective and potentially serve a larger bi-state audience, 6) NY would provide examples and assistance in facilitating Working Group input, 7) actual Working Group input could be either through the existing D&I Team, a new team,

individuals or the Working Group as a whole and be set up once it is clear that there is sufficient individual interest and availability within the Working Group to participate.

*Story maps are illustrated, interactive online modules that can be used to highlight case studies, showcase community success stories, and demonstrate how geographic information is collected, analyzed, and utilized to improve planning and decision-making. Story maps combine text with a wide range of graphic devices, including diagrams, photographs, interactive maps, movies, and graphs.