# Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection





# GC3 Analysis, Data, and Metrics Working Group Meeting

December 3, 2015 2:30-4:30 p.m.



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

### Agenda



### Agenda



# Meeting Goals

- Review of GHG contributors and broad strategies for reducing emissions
- Summarize the foundation in place in CT to promote the technology transformation needed to achieve climate goals
- Insights from CA PATHWAYS analysis

## CT GHG Emissions 2012



# 4 Key Energy Transitions

- 1. Efficiency and conservation across all energy use sectors (includes VMT, building energy use, vehicle efficiency, etc.)
- 2. Fuel switching in transportation/buildings
- 3. Decarbonize electricity
- 4. Decarbonize fuels (gas/liquids)

Source: E3, California PATHWAYS, 2015

# CT Has Building Blocks in Place

- ZEV mandate and incentives programs
- RGGI
- Renewable portfolio standard
- Solar installations
- Energy efficiency programs

# **CT ZEV Purchasing Incentives**

Point-of-Sale Consumer Incentives

 Up to \$3,000 for purchase or lease of new ZEV



• \$1 million dollar seed fund with an additional \$1 million dedicated in November

Dealership Sales Incentives
\$300 for each ZEV sold or leased

### CT Charging Infrastructure Incentives

Fleet and Workplace Charging Incentive Program



- Available to state agencies and municipalities
- A reimbursement of up to \$15,000 per vehicle and up to \$10,000 per charger



Charging Station Incentive Program for Businesses

- Awards of \$2,000 to \$5,000 per charging station
- Must be available to public free of charge

# H2 Fueling Stations Incentives

- Allocation of state grant funds to leverage private investment
- Locate in the greater Hartford area
- Three bids for construction of two publically available fueling stations
- Expect awards by year's end
- Stations projected to be operational in 2017

Radius

Hartford

Boston

Hydrogen



# Role and Opportunities for Utilities in Transportation Electrification

- Charging infrastructure deployment
- Variable rate pricing
- New demand to make up for downward trend
- More efficient generation through load balancing

# RGGI Power Sector CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions



#### Source: RGGI and UCS

# State Renewable Energy Targets

State	Standard or Goal
CA	RPS: 33% by 2020
СТ	RPS: 20.0% Class I + 3% Class I or II + 4% Class III by 2020
MA	RPS: Class I (New Resources): 15% by 2020 + 1% each year thereafter Class II (Existing Resources): 5.3% in 2014 (1.8% renewables and 3.5% waste-to-energy) and 5.5% in 2015 (2.0% renewables and 3.5% waste-to-energy)
RI	RPS: 14.5% by 2019
VT	CEP sets goal of 90% renewable energy by 2050
NY	RPS: 50% by 2030

# State Solar PV Installation and Capacity Rankings

State	National Rank Installed Systems	National Rank Capacity (MW)
CA	1	1
СТ	6	12
MD	9	13
MA	4	4
NJ	3	2
NY	5	5

Source: NREL, *The Open PV Project*, Dec. 2015 (https://openpv.nrel.gov/rankings)

### ACEEE Statewide Efficiency Rankings

#### Table 2. Summary of state scores in the 2014 State Scorecard

		Utility &					
		public	Tropo	Duilding	Combined	State	Appliance
		Denemis	nortation	Building	boot &	Sidle	Appliance
		programs o	portation	codoc	neal a	initiativos	efficiency
Rank	State	(20 nts.)	(9 nts)	(7 nts)	(5 nts)	(7 nts)	(2 nts)
1	Massachusetts	20 pt3.)	7	55	<u>(5 pts.)</u>	5	0
2	California	12.5	85	7	4.5	65	2
- 2	Oregon	15	7	55	35	5.5	1
-3	Rhode Island	20	5	6	3	3	0.5
- 3	Vermont	18.5	6	6	3	4	0.0
-6	Connecticut	10.0	5	5	4.5	6	1
7	New York	13.5	8	55		6	0
- 8	Washington	13	7	6	25	4.5	0.5
<u> </u>	Maryland	10.5	5	6	3	5	0.5
10	Minnesota	14	3.5	4.5	15	55	0.0
11	Illinois	9	5	6	1.5	5.5	0
12	Michigan	12.5	4	3.5	1.5	4.5	0
13	Colorado	10.5	4	5	1	4	0
14	lowa	12	2	6	0.5	3.5	0
15	Arizona	12	3	3	2	3	0.5
16	Maine	8	5	35	3	3	0.0
17	Hawaii	12	3.5	2.5	1	2.5	0
17	Wisconsin	85	2.5	4	2.5	4	0
19	New Jersev	85	5	3	2.0	2.5	0
20	Pennsylvania	5	5.5	4	1	5	0
21	District of Columbia	5.5	5	5	15	2.5	0.5
22	New Hampshire	8.5	1.5	4	1.5	2.5	0.5

Table 4. Leading states in the State Scorecard, by years at the top

State	Years in top 5	Years in top 10
California	8	8
Oregon	8	8
Massachusetts	7	8
New York	6	8
Vermont	6	8
Connecticut	4	8
Rhode Island	1	7
Washington	0	8
Minnesota	0	7
Maryland	0	4
Maine	0	2
New Jersey	0	2
Wisconsin	0	1
Illinois	0	1

### Path Forward

- 2050 climate goals require fundamental restructuring of CT's energy system
- Rate of GHG reductions must significantly increase to meet 2050 goal
- Insights from CA PATHWAYS approach

# Key Assumptions in CA PATHWAYS

- Continuation of current lifestyle & economic growth
- Technological conservatism, plus key emerging technologies with low carbon intensity
- Natural retirement of equipment (<u>not early</u> <u>replacement</u>) and penetration of new technology consistent with history
- Biomass use is limited based on DOE estimate of sustainable supply
- Advanced biofuels are assumed to have net-zero carbon emissions

# CA PATHWAYS Approach

Four major energy transformations needed to meet CA's 2050 climate goals:

- Total energy-use efficiency needs to *improve* by additional 1.3%/year over 30 years
- Electricity supply is nearly decarbonized
- Most existing direct fuel use needs to be electrified
- Fuel-switching, with some "forks in the road"
  - Electrification vs. biogas in buildings?
  - All-electric vehicles vs. hydrogen fuel cell?

→Without electrification, no feasible way to meet the GHG reduction target

Sources: Williams, J. et al. 2011; Energy + Environmental Economics 2015

# CA PATHWAYS Electricity Supply

Three forms of decarbonized electricity each of which has potential to dominate CA electricity production:

- Renewable energy (*intermittent*) 74% max. feasible
- Nuclear (*baseload*)
- Carbon capture and storage (CCS) (*dispatchable*)

Each source also has varying need for: supporting infrastructures, transmission, energy storage, land/water, and siting

- RE has highest needs for installed capacity, transmission, and storage
- Nuclear needs largest export market and fuel cycle infrastructure
- CCS requires construction of CO2 transport and storage

### CA PATHWAYS Cost Assumptions

Core analysis uses conservative estimates of future costs of technology

Key uncertainties exist in future costs of technologies, fossil fuels, and cost of capital:

- Solar PV: -50%
- Electric heat pumps: -20%
- LED lighting: -20%
- Grid electrolysis: -20%
- Wind power: -5%
- Fuel cell vehicles: -5%
- Battery and plug-in electric vehicles: -5%
- Electric boilers: -5%

### CA PATHWAYS Costs for 2030 and 2050

#### 2030





# CA Vision 2 Scenario (2015)

#### **Cleaner Technologies and Fuels Scenario**



# Concluding Thoughts

- CT has developed a strong foundation to build upon
- CT's approach parallels California's
- Deeper efficiency across all sectors and decarbonization of fuels is necessary
- Sequencing of strategies can lead to lower costs
- A regional approach will help to tackle difficult to implement strategies

### Agenda





Role of electric utilities in deploying electric vehicles

Governor's Council on Climate Change (GC3) Analysis, Data and Metrics Working Group Meeting

December 3, 2015

Regional discussion around carbon has focused on power generation, the largest source of GHG emissions in the US...but only the third largest source of emissions in New England and Massachusetts.



#### 2013 Energy Carbon Emissions by Source New England vs. US

Source: EIA.

Since 1990, New England has already reduced emissions by 11%, driven primarily by a reduction of power generation sector emissions of 40%. In the same time, the transportation sector has increased emissions by 13%.



Source: EIA.

Plug-in Electric Vehicles (PEVs) are important in reducing carbon emissions



#### PEVs Will Lead to Deep Reductions in Carbon Emissions



**EVERSURCE** 

#### Lifetime Greenhouse Gas Emissions

#### Figure 3

Relative vehicle emissions for the passenger car class for 2015 and 2050

\* PEV emissions include battery-manufacturing emissions and full-fuel-cycle emissions for electricity and gasoline, averaged over a 150,000-mile vehicle lifetime. The utility factor for the PEV is 87%.

#### Source: EPRI & NRDC



The infrastructure and integration with the grid is different for each of these segments



#### Home: EV Integration Pilot



#### Plug My Ride At Home

Plug My Ride at Home is a pilot program open to NSTAR Electric customers who own a plug-in electric vehicle. By participating, EV owners can be part of valuable research, while also having the opportunity to purchase a Level 2 EV Charger for the reduced price of \$500.

#### Learn more about your charging routine by

downloading and viewing data about your charging behaviors using liron cloud software on your home computer, tablet or hand held device. You'll also have the opportunity to see charging routines of other pilot participants as another benefit.

**Discounted pricing** of \$500 for Level 2 Charger for home (retail value of \$2,500).

#### **Getting Started**

Plug My Ride at Home Pilot Program is open to all qualified NSTAR residential customers with a plug-in vehicle, or those customers in the process of purchasing one. Here's a checklist of pilot requirements to help get you started:

Own a plug-in electric vehicle or hybrid, or be in the process of purchasing one.

Be a residential NSTAR Electric customer

 Complete pilot documentation from our web site or from your dealer.

Ensure your vehicle is registered in Massachusetts

Install WIFI at home

Contact NSTAR Electric Vehicle Information Center with:

- NSTAR account number
- Vehicle Identification number (VIN)

Copy of your vehicle registration

Copy of bill of sale for plug-in vehicle

From there, NSTAR will contact Clipper Creek, our pilot partner and EV charging manufacturer. A representative from Clipper Creek will contact you to review order status, installation process, and delivery date. You will need to contact your licensed electrician for installation of the charger at your home.













#### **Pilot Description**

- Pilot for 105 residential customers in the eastern Massachusetts service territory
- Participants get a "grid aware" charging station for a discounted price of \$500
- The "grid aware" charging station can manage the timing of charging (hour of the day) but more importantly it can also manage the speed of charging (kW).
- Now two aspects of PEV charging, timing and speed of charging, can be used combination to integrate PEVs into the grid.
- While not the focus of the pilot, the Plug My Ride @ Home hardware can also be used for demand response

#### Enables the PEV to be made grid friendly today while still being future readv

#### Multi-Family Home: Infrastructure Studies



**EVERSGURCE** 

ENERGY

#### Workplace & DC Fast Charging: Infrastructure and EV Integration

- Over 30 charging spots at Eversource locations, 25 added in 2015
- Deploying DC Fast Chargers in collaboration with Connecticut DEEP and DOT
- DC Fast Charger Technical Planning Study in Massachusetts
- Rate Pilot for DC Fast Chargers in Connecticut





#### **Outreach**





#### **Moving Forward**



- Achieving scale
- Threshold issues
- Infrastructure needs
- Economic value
- EV grid integration
- Awareness



### Agenda



### Agenda

