Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection



ADM Meeting

June 20, 2017 1:00 - 3:00 p.m.



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

Agenda

Welcome & Announcements DEEP Commissioner Klee



1:35

2:30

1:00

Review REMI inputs, assumptions, and analysis of the transportation and building sectors to date *Stanley McMillen, Consultant*

Discuss and provide guidance on REMI inputs and assumptions

Public Comments

Review REMI inputs, assumptions, and analysis of the transportation and building sectors to date



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

Summary of Scenarios Modeled in REMI

- Compare relative costs of 35% and 45% GHG mid-term reduction targets in 2030 on the way to 80% by 2050
- The current REMI analysis focuses on transportation
 and buildings

LEAP Outputs Used in the Transportation Sector REMI Analysis

- Changes in vehicle purchases relative to the reference case
- Changes in transportation fuel consumption relative to the reference case
- Changes in criteria pollutant emissions relative to the reference case
 - Used to monetize the health benefits of improved air quality (LATER)

Non-residential EV Charging Station Investment, 35% Case



7

Residential EV Charging Station Investment, 35% Case



Non-residential EV Charging Station Investment, 55% Case



Residential EV Charging Station Investment, 55% Case



Hydrogen Filling Station Investment, All Cases



Total Retail Fuel Sales, All Cases

Total Fuel Sales for Reference, 35%, 45% and 55% Cases



Billions of Current Dollars

Gas Station Market Exit & Remediation Costs, All Cases



Gas Tax Shortfall, All Cases (Not included in REMI analysis)



Electricity Demand, All Cases



CHEAPR Incentive & Health Benefits, All Cases

- CHEAPR continues at an average of \$1.5 million per year through 2021 and induces a switch to EVs (about 600 vehicles per year).
- We assume consumers buy replacement vehicles that cost more.
- Health benefits will be incorporated after each wedge is complete and will be the sum of emissions reductions from each wedge translated into a combined health benefit.

Let's Go CT Total Investment, All Cases



Let's Go CT Net New Investment & Debt Service, All Cases



Let's Go CT Productivity Improvement, All Cases

- There is a large literature on the enhancement of private sector productivity following public sector investment. Such investments increase the efficiency of the movement of goods and people through and throughout the state and improve the productivity of the private sector. We capture this effect by increasing total factor productivity (TFP) by a small increment starting with a lag.
- The Let's Go CT 5 Year Ramp Up Plan suggests that the lag might be five years before productivity improvement is realized. Estimates in the literature suggest that TFP could be increased by a few tenths of a percent each year.
- A conservative estimate might be an initial 0.1% improvement in 2021 in overall (all industries') productivity. We assume this initial improvement grows at 1% per year through 2050 at which time total factor productivity improves by 0.133%.

Let's Go CT Complementary Improvements, All Cases

- The improvements to the state's transportation network not only improve overall productivity by increasing access to commodities, labor and output markets, they also increase safety and reduce vehicle hours traveled (VHT) that in turn reduce GHGs.
- We do not account for these co-benefits of the Let's Go CT program and to this extent, our estimates of its benefits are conservative. In addition, because we do not account for the decline in gas-powered automobile complementary retail parts and services, our analysis is conservative.

Transportation Sector REMI Results, 35% Case



Transportation Sector REMI Results, 35% Case



Transportation Sector REMI Results, 55% Case



Transportation Sector REMI Results, 55% Case



LEAP Outputs Used in the Building Sector REMI Analysis

- Changes in electric demand relative to the reference case
- Changes in the adoption of heat pumps relative to the reference case
- Changes in energy efficiency relative to the reference case
- Changes in criteria pollutant emissions relative to the reference case
 - Used to monetize the health benefits of improved air quality (LATER)

Building Sector Heat Pump Deployment, 35% Case





Electricity Natural Gas Diesel LPG

Building Sector Heat Pump Deployment, 35% Case



Building Sector Heat Pump Deployment, 55% Case



Electricity Natural Gas Diesel LPG

Building Sector Heat Pump Deployment, 55% Case



Building Sector Savings from Energy Efficiency



Building Sector EE Expenditure

Combined Residential, Commercial & Institutional Energy Efficiency Spending \$120 \$100 \$80 Millions of Current Dollars \$60 \$40 \$20 \$0 2018 2029 2020 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2032 2033 2035 2016 2017 2022 2023 2032 2034 2021 Computer, electronic prod mfg -Electrical equip, appliance mfg — Machinery mfg Construction Paper product mfg Scientific and Prof. Services Plastics, rubber prod mfg Retail trade Utilities Wholesale trade

REMI Results Building Sector, 35% Case

Percent Differences from Reference Case, 35% Case



REMI Results Building Sector, 35% Case



REMI Results Building Sector, 55% Case



REMI Results Building Sector, 55% Case



Discuss and provide guidance on REMI inputs and assumptions



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

Public Comments



Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection