



DRAFT FOR PUBLIC HEARING

Revision to Connecticut's State Implementation Plan

Annual PM_{2.5} Attainment Demonstration Technical Support Document

July 17, 2008

State of Connecticut
Department of Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106-5127
www.ct.gov/dep



The Department of Environmental Protection is an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer. In conformance with the American with Disabilities Act of 1990, individuals who need information in an alternative format to allow them to benefit and/or participate in the Agency's programs and services, should call TDD (860) 424-3000, and make their request to the receptionist. Requests for accommodations to attend meetings and/or educational programs sponsored by the DEP must be made at least two weeks prior to the program date. These requests may be made directly to Marcia Z. Bonitto, ADA Coordinator, via email: marcia.bonitto@po.state.ct.us.

Table of Contents Connecticut Annual PM_{2.5} NAAQS Attainment Demonstration

ABSTRACT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		Page ES-1 ES-2
Sectio	on 1 Introduction and Background	1-1
1.1	Purpose of Document	1-1
1.2	Particulate Matter Formation and Health Effects	1-1
1.3	Particulate Matter NAAQS History	1-2
1.4	Requirements for this SIP Revision	1-4
1.5	Contents of this SIP Revision Document	1-5
Sectio	on 2 Conceptual Model of the PM _{2.5} Problem	2-1
2.1	Executive Summary from NESCAUM's Conceptual Description	2-1
2.2	Conceptual Model for Elevated PM _{2.5} in Connecticut	2-7
2.2	2.1 Summary of the Seasonal Characteristics of Elevated PM _{2.5}	2-7
2.2	2.2 Seasonal Speciation of PM _{2.5}	2-8
<u>Sectio</u>	on 3 Observed PM _{2.5} Air Quality Trends and Levels	3-1
3.1	PM _{2.5} Monitoring Sites in Connecticut	3-1
3.2	Annual Average PM _{2.5} Data	3-2
3.3	Daily (24-hour) PM _{2.5} Design Values	3-6
3.4	Monitor Speciation: Urban/Rural Speciation Characterization	3-7
Sectio	on 4 Control Measures	4-1
4.1	RACM Analysis	4-1
4.2	Pre-2002 Control Measures	4-2
	2.1 Federal Control Measures	4-4
4.2		4-10
	Post-2002 Control Measures	4-11
4.3		4-13
4.3		4-15
4.4	Other Control Measures Producing Directionally Correct Emissions	4-20
4.4		4-20
	4.2 Federal Control Measures	4-27
4.5	Conclusion	4-28

Table of Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Section 5 Base Year and Future Year Emission Estima	<u>tes</u> 5-1
5.1 2002 Baseline Inventory	5-1
5.2 Post-2002 Control Measures Included in Future Yea	r Projections 5-2
5.2.1 On-Road and Non-Road Mobile Sources and Fu	•
5.2.2 Connecticut's Control of Stationary and Area So	ources 5-3
5.3 Future Year Emission Projections	5-3
5.4 Additional Control Programs Not Included as SIP M	
5.4.1 Additional Connecticut Non-SIP Control Measu	
5.4.2 Additional Federal Control Measures	5-7
5.5 Conclusion	5-8
Section 6 Reasonable Further Progress (RFP)	6-1
Section 7 Transportation Conformity Process and Mot	or Vehicle
Emission Budgets	7-1
7.1 Overview of Transportation Conformity	7-1
7.2 Requirements	7-3
7.3 Initial PM _{2.5} Conformity Determinations	7-3
7.4 Transportation Conformity Budgets	7-4
Section 8 Attainment Demonstration and Weight of Ev	idence 8-1
8.1 Objective and Background of the Photochemical Mo	odeling 8-1
8.2 Modeling Platform and Configuration	8-2
8.2.1 Modeling Domain	8-3
8.2.2 Meteorological Model Selection and Configurati	on 8-3
8.2.3 Horizontal Grid Size	8-4
8.2.4 Vertical Resolution	8-4
8.2.5 Initial and Boundary Conditions	8-4
8.2.6 Episode Selection	8-5
8.2.7 Emissions Inventory Development and Processin	ng 8-5
8.2.8 Quality Assurance	8-6
8.3 Model Performance Evaluation	8-6
8.3.1 Overview	8-6
8.3.2 Diagnostic and Operational Evaluation	8-7
8.3.3 Summary of Model Performance	8-15
8.4 Attainment Demonstration Modeling	8-16
8.4.1 Baseline PM _{2.5} Design Values	8-16
8.4.2 Baseline Species Concentrations	8-18
8.4.3 Relative Response Factors	8-19

Table of Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
8.4.4 Future PM _{2.5} Design Values	8-19
8.5 Unmonitored Area Analysis	8-22
8.6 Weight of Evidence Analyses	8-23
8.6.1 Monitoring Data Show General Downward Trend Towards Timely Attainment	8-24
8.6.2 NYDEC's WOE Demonstration Suggests Timely Attainment at the PS 59 Site	8-24
8.6.3 Other Data Analysis Conducted for New York City Indicate Timely Attainment	8-28
8.6.4 New York City's PlaNYC Will Provide Additional Local Emission Reductions	8-28
8.6.5 Early SO ₂ Emission Reductions are Anticipated from the CAIR Program	n 8-30
8.6.6 Additional Connecticut Non-SIP Control Measures Provide Further Reductions	8-30
8.6.7 Additional Federal Control Measures Result in Con Emission Reductions	
8.7 Attainment Demonstration Conclusions	8-31
Section 9 Contingency Measures	9-1
9.1 Quantification of Contingency Reductions Required in C	
9.1.1 CMAQ Modeled 2009 Emissions and Attainment Ta	
9.1.2 Connecticut's Contingency Measures Plan	9-2
9.2 Contingency Measure Weight of Evidence Analysis	9-4
Section 10 Adequacy Determination for CAA Section 110(a)	(1) and (2)
Program Infrastructure	10-1
Section 11 Commitments and Requests for EPA Actions	11-1
11.1 Full Implementation and Enforcement of Modeled Cont	
11.2 Completion of the Adoption of Certain Control Measure	
11.3 Maintenance of Monitoring Network	11-1
11.4 Implementation of New Source Review in a PM _{2.5} Nonat	
11.5 Address Transport	11-2
11.6 Adopt New Federal Programs	11-2

List of Figures

		Page
Figure 1-1	The New York-New Jersey-Connecticut Annual PM _{2.5} Nonattainment Area	1-4
Figure 2-1	20% Worst Visibility Days (2000-2003) with Speciated Contributions to Extinction	2-3
Figure 2-2	The 30-day Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations from 8 Northeastern Cities During 2002	2-4
Figure 2-3	2002 Seasonal Average SO ₄ Based on IMPROVE and STN Data	2-6
Figure 2-4	2002 Annual Average PM _{2.5} , Sulfate, Nitrate and Total Carbon for MANE-VU Based on IMPROVE (I) and STN (S) Data	2-6
Figure 2-5	PM _{2.5} Species Contribution in the Urban New York Nonattainment Area, NJ (Elizabeth, NJ), Compared to an Upwind Background Site, BG (Chester, NJ)	2-7
Figure 2-6	Typical High PM_{2.5} Winter Speciation at New Haven, CT (Criscuolo)	2-9
Figure 2-7	Typical High PM _{2.5} Summer Speciation at Cornwall, CT (Mohawk)	2-9
Figure 2-8	Surface Analysis for 3/14/07 12Z (Winter)	2-10
Figure 2-9	Surface Analysis for 8/12/02, 18Z (Summer)	2-10
Figure 3-1	PM _{2.5} Monitoring Sites in Connecticut in 2007	3-1
Figure 3-2	Graph of Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations from 2000-2007; and Trend Line for the Bridgeport Roosevelt Monitoring Site	3-3
Figure 3-3	Annual PM2.5 Design Value Trends for Connecticut 2001-2007	3-4
Figure 3-4	Map of 2006 Annual Design values for the NY-NJ-CT Nonattainment Area	3-5
Figure 3-5	24-Hour PM _{2.5} Concentrations for 12 Monitoring Sites in Connecticut	3-6
Figure 3-6	Pie Chart of PM _{2.5} Speciated Data for Westport Connecticut	3-8
Figure 3-7	Pie Charts of Quarterly PM _{2.5} Speciated Data for Westport Connecticut	3-9
Figure 3-8	Pie Chart of PM _{2.5} Speciated Data for Cornwall Connecticut	3-10
Figure 3-9	72-Hour Back Trajectories from State Street, New Haven CT 2000-2004: Days When PM _{2.5} > 35μg/m ³	3-12
Figure 3-10	72-Hour Back Trajectories from Westport, CT 2000-2004: Days When PM _{2.5} > 35μg/m ³	3-12
Figure 5-1	MANE-VU PM _{2.5} Emissions Projections for Connecticut (2002-2012)	5-4
Figure 5-2	MANE-VU NOx Emission Estimates for Connecticut 2002-2012 Beyond-On-the-Way (BOTW) Controls	5-5
Figure 5-3	MANE-VU SO2 Emission Estimates for Connecticut 2002-2012 Beyond-On-the-Way (BOTW) Controls	5-6
Figure 7-1	Transportation Conformity Process	7-2
Figure 8-1	Modeling Domain Used for PM _{2.5} Modeling	8-3
Figure 8-2	Locations Used for the Model Evaluation Across the OTR+ Region	8-9
Figure 8-3	Composite FRM Time Series Across the OTR+ Region	8-10
Figure 8-4	MFE and MFB Time Series for FRM PM _{2.5} Across the OTR+ Region	8-10
Figure 8-5	MFE Bugle Plot for FRM PM _{2.5} Across the OTR+ Region	8-11

Draft July 7, 2008

List of Figures (continued)

		Page
Figure 8-6	MFE Bugle Plot for SO ₄ Across the OTR+ Region	8-12
Figure 8-7	MFE Bugle Plot for NO ₃ Across the OTR+ Region	8-12
Figure 8-8	MFE Bugle Plot for NH ₄ Across the OTR+ Region	8-13
Figure 8-9	MFE Bugle Plot for EC Across the OTR+ Region	8-13
Figure 8-10	MFE Bugle Plot for OM Across the OTR+ Region	8-14
Figure 8-11	MFE Bugle Plot for Soil/Crustal Across the OTR+ Region	8-14
Figure 8-12	Modeled 2009 PM _{2.5} Design Values for the	8-21
9	New York- New Jersey- Connecticut Nonattainment Area	
Figure 8-13	CMAQ Grid Cells Associated With Connecticut's PM _{2.5} Monitors	8-22
Figure 8-14	Trends in Annual PM _{2.5} Levels in the Connecticut Portion	8-25
9	of the NY-NJ-CT Nonattainment Area	
Figure 8-15	Trends in Annual PM _{2.5} Levels in the New York Portion	8-26
•	of the NY-NJ-CT Nonattainment Area	
Figure 8-16		8-27

List of Tables

	_	Page
Table 2-1	2004 PM _{2.5} Design Values (μg/m³) for Nonattainment Areas	2-2
	in MANE-VU	
Table 3-1	Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentrations from 1999-2007	3-2
Table 3-2	Annual PM _{2.5} Design Values from 2001-2007	3-4
Table 3-3	IMPROVE and STN Monitors in Connecticut Used for	3-7
	Speciation Analysis	
Table 4-1	Pre-2002 Control Measures	4-3
Table 4-2	Non-Road Mobile Source Control Measures	4-6
Table 4-3	Post-2002 Control Measures	4-12
Table 4-4	Products Currently Subject to Connecticut Efficiency Standards	4-21
	Until Pre-empted by Federal Standards	
Table 4-5	Diesel Retrofit Projects	4-23
Table 4-6	2007 Emission Summary Report for Significant TCMs Completed	4-25
Table 4-7	2002 – 2006 Emission Summary Report for Significant	4-26
	TCMs Completed	
Table 5-1	2002 MANE-VU Base Year Connecticut Emissions	5-2
Table 5-2	MANE-VU PM _{2.5} Emissions Projections for Connecticut (2002-2012)	5-4
Table 5-3	MANE-VU NO _x Emissions Projections for Connecticut (2002-2012)	5-5
Table 5-4	MANE-VU SO ₂ Emissions Projections for Connecticut (2002-2012)	5-6
Table 7-1	2009 Transportation Conformity Budgets for the Connecticut	7-4
	Portion of the NY-NJ-CT PM _{2.5} Nonattainment Area	
Table 8-1	Interim EPA PM _{2.5} Modeling Performance Goals	8-7
Table 8-2	VISTAS RPO PM _{2.5} Modeling Performance Goals	8-8
Table 8-3	NY-NJ-CT Nonattainment Area Baseline Annual PM _{2.5} Design	8-17
	Values Used for Modeling Purposes and the Nearest STN Monitor	
	to Each FRM Monitor	
Table 8-4	Baseline and Modeled Future (2009) Annual PM _{2.5} Design Values	8-20
	for the NY-NJ-CT Nonattainment Area	
Table 8-5	Air Quality Goals of New York City's PlaNYC	8-29
Table 8-6	Pollutant Reductions from Conservation and Load Management	8-31
	Program Activities (Tons)	
Table 9-1	Calculation of Connecticut's Contingency Measure Emission	9-2
	Reduction Targets (Tons)	
Table 9-2	Comparison of Projected Emission Reductions to Required	9-4
	Contingency Reduction Targets for Connecticut's Portion of	
	the NY-NJ-CT PM _{2.5} Nonattainment Area (Tons)	
Table 10-1	Overview of How Connecticut's State Implementation Plan	10-2
	Satisfies the CAA Section 110(a)(1) and (2) Program Infrastructure	
	Elements for PM _{2.5}	
Table 11-1	Status of Regulations CTDEP Commits to Pursue to Adopt	11-2
	for the PM _{2.5} SIP	

Draft July 17, 2008

Appendices

Appendix 2A:	The Nature of the Fine Particle and Regional Haze Air Quality Problems in the MANE-VU Region: A Conceptual Description
Appendix 2B:	Conceptual Model for PM2.5 in Connecticut
Appendix 2C:	Evaluation of a New Approach for Real Time Assessment of Wood Smoke PM
Appendix 4A:	List of Potential PM _{2.5} Control Measures Reviewed by CTDEP
Appendix 4B:	Ozone Transport Commission: Control Measures Technical Support Document
Appendix 5A:	Technical Support Document for 2002 MANE-VU SIP Modeling Inventories, Version 3
Appendix 5B:	Technical Support Document for Future Year MANE-VU SIP Modeling Inventories
Appendix 5C:	Development of MANE-VU Mobile Source Projection Inventories
Appendix 5D:	MANE_VU Documentation of Estimation Procedures for Future Year Emission Projections from Electric Generating Units
Appendix 7A:	Early PM2.5 Transportation Conformity Emission Budgets for the Connecticut Portion of the New York-New Jersey-Long Island-Connecticut PM2.5 Nonattainment Area
Appendix 8A:	A Modeling Protocol for the OTC SIP Quality Modeling System for Assessment Of the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard in the Ozone Transport Region
Appendix 8B:	CMAQ Air Quality Model Configuration
Appendix 8C:	MM5 Model Configuration
Appendix 8D:	Technical Support Documents from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
Appendix 8E:	OTC Modeling Grid Configurations
Appendix 8F:	Emission Processing for the Revised 2002 OTC Regional and Urban 12 km Base Case Simulations
Appendix 8G:	Emission Processing for OTC 2009 OTW/OTB 12km CMAQ Simulations
Appendix 8H:	Description of New York City's PlaNYC and Other Initiatives that Will Improve Air Quality
Appendix 8I:	New York City's PlaNYC
Appendix 10A:	EPA Guidance Related to Clean Air Act Section 110(a) Infrastructure SIPs

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AIM Architectural and Industrial Maintenance

ASM 2525 Accelerated Simulation Mode Vehicle Emissions Test (25 mph/25% Load)

ATV All Terrain Vehicle
BOTW Beyond on the Way
BTU British Thermal Unit

CAA Clean Air Act

CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments
CAIR Clean Air Interstate Rule

CALEV2 California Low Emission Vehicle Program – Phase 2

CARB California Air Resources Board
CEEF Connecticut Energy Efficiency Fund

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CI Compression Ignition

CMAQ Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality

CMAQ EPA's Models-3/Community Multi-scale Air Quality Modeling System

CNG Compressed Natural Gas

COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

CT Connecticut

CTDEP Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection

CTDOT Connecticut Department of Transportation

DOC Diesel Oxidation Catalyst
DPF Diesel Particulate Filter

DV Design Value

DV_B Baseline Measured Concentration DV_F Estimated Future Design Value

ECMB Energy Conservation Management Board

EE Energy Efficiency

EGU Electric Generating Unit

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

FCM Forward Capacity Market

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FMVCP Federal Motor Vehicle Control Program

FR Federal Register

FRM Federal Reference Method FTA Federal Transit Administration

FY Fiscal Year

GVWR Gross Vehicle Weight Rating HAP Hazardous Air Pollutant

HC Hydrocarbon

HEDD High Electrical Demand Day HOV High-Occupancy Vehicle

hp Horsepower

ICAO United Nations International Civil Aviation Organization

ICI Industrial/Commercial/Institutional

Acronyms and Abbreviations (continued)

I/M Inspection and Maintenance

IMPROVE Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments

ISO-NE Independent Systems Operator – New England

lbs Pounds kg Kilograms KW Kilowatt

LAER Lowest Achievable Emission Rate

LEEDS Leadership in Environmental Design Silver

LEV Low Emission Vehicle

MACT Maximum Available Control Technology MANE-VU Mid-Atlantic/Northeast Visibility Union

MARAMA Mid-Atlantic Regional Air Management Association

MARPOL International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships

MAT Modeled Attainment Test

MD Maryland

MFB Mean Fractional Bias

MFGE Mean Fractional Gross Error
MM5 Mesoscale Meteorological Model
MMBtu Million British Thermal Units
MNGE Mean Normalized Gross Error

MNB Mean Normalized Bias

MOU Memorandum of Understanding

MOBILE6 or

MOBILE6.2 EPA's On-Road Mobile Source Emissions Estimation Model

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization MVEB Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets

MW Megawatt MWh Megawatt Hour

MWC Municipal Waste Combustor

NAAOS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NEI National Emissions Inventory

NESCAUM Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management

NH₃ Ammonia NJ New Jersey

NJDEP New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

NLEV National Low Emission Vehicle Program

NO Nitrogen Oxide NO₂ Nitrogen Dioxide NO_x Oxides of Nitrogen

NONROAD or

NONROAD2005 EPA's Non-Road Emissions Estimation Model

NSR New Source Review

NY New York NYC New York City

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

Acronyms and Abbreviations (continued)

OBD-II On-Board Diagnostics – Phase 2

OC Organic Carbon

OEM Original Equipment Manufacturer
ORVR Onboard Refueling Vapor Recovery

OTB On The Books

OTC Ozone Transport Commission
OTR Ozone Transport Region
PEI Periodic Emission Inventory
PFC Portable Fuel Container

PL Public Law

PM_{2.5} Fine Particulate Matter (particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than

or equal to a nominal 2.5 micrometers)

 PM_{10} Particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10

micrometers

ppb Parts per billion ppm Parts per million

PSU/NCAR Pennsylvania State University/National Center for Atmospheric Research

PV Pressure Vacuum

RACM Reasonably Available Control Measure
RACT Reasonably Available Control Technology
RCSA Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies

RE Rule Effectiveness
RFG Reformulated Gasoline
RFP Reasonable Further Progress
RMSE Root Mean Square Error

ROP Rate of Progress

RPO Regional Planning Organization

RRF Relative Response Factor RRF Resource Recovery Facility

RVP Reid Vapor Pressure

SAFETEA Safe, Accountable, Flexibility, Efficient Transportation Equity Act of 2003 SAFETEA- LU Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act, A Legacy for Users

SCAQMD South Coast Air Management Quality District

SI Spark Ignition

SIP State Implementation Plan

SLAMS/ State & Local Air Monitoring System and

NAMS National Air Monitoring System

SMOKE Sparse Matrix Operator Kernel Emissions

SO₂ Sulfur Dioxide

SO₄ Sulfate

SOA Secondary Organic Aerosol

STIP Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

STN Speciation Trends Network

SUV Sport Utility Vehicle

Acronyms and Abbreviations (continued)

TBD To be determined

TCM Transportation Control Measure

TEA-21 Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century

TIP Transportation Improvement Program
TNMOC Total Non-Methane Organic Carbon

tpd Tons per day tpy Tons per year

TSD Technical Support Document ULSD Ultra-Low Sulfur Diesel

UMD University of Maryland at College Park

USCA United States Code Annotated

USDOT United States Department of Transportation
USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

VA Virginia

VADEQ Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

VISTAS Visibility Improvement State and Tribal Association of the Southeast

VMT Vehicle Miles Traveled VOC Volatile Organic Compound

WOE Weight-of-Evidence