VERBATIM PROCEEDINGS

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

MARCH 6, 2019

9:40 A.M.

WILLIMANTIC TOWN HALL 979 MAIN STREET WILLIMANTIC, CONNECTICUT

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	Verbatim proceedings of a meeting of
2	the Historic Preservation Council, held at Willimantic
3	Town Hall, 979 Main Street, Willimantic, Connecticut on
4	March 6, 2019, at 9:40 a.m
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	CHAIRPERSON SARA NELSON: I'm going to
10	call the March 6th Historic Preservation Council meeting
11	to order and welcome all of you.
12	For those of you I want to just who
13	have come in previously I want to draw your attention to
14	the sign-in sheet which is at the back of the room, as
15	well as the public comment procedures. And we're having
16	trouble with the microphone there we go.
17	The meeting is going to be divided into
18	two parts as you will see from the agenda. The first
19	part of the meeting will run till 10:15, and that's to
20	allow us to conduct our regular business.
21	There will be a 15-minute recess and then
22	the second part of the meeting, part two, will begin at
23	10:30. And the recess is to allow for more people to
24	come in, further set-up with regard to Agenda Item No.

1	IX, which is why I imagine most of you are here today.
2	Should we have sufficient time before
3	10:15 to conduct further business on our agenda what we
4	will do is reorder the agenda to have agenda Item No. XI,
5	which is the report of the SHPO office in part one, but
6	we'll see how we're doing time-wise.
7	For the benefit of the transcriptionist,
8	for Council members today I will be calling on you by
9	name so that it's clear in the transcript who is
10	speaking. And I just want to quickly make sure that
11	everybody who wants to speak so far to any of the agenda
12	items has actually signed into the sign-in sheet.
13	The Historic Preservation Council votes on
14	matters which provide leadership service and economic
15	benefit to property owners, consultants, local
16	governments and not-for-profit organizations. Given this
17	responsibility and to maintain the highest professional
18	standards in the discharge of our duties, it's important
19	to maintain a strong code of ethics for all Council
20	members and department employees.
21	In order to provide possible violations
22	with the Department of Economic and Community
23	Development's ethic's statement it is necessary for

1	potential or apparent conflict of interest involving
2	anyone here. A conflict of interest may occur when a
3	public official's participation in agency matters result
4	in personal financial gain.
5	You've been provided with a copy of the
6	DECD ethic's statement and the governing state statutes
7	and having reviewed them and today's agenda, I'm going to
8	ask members of the Council and staff to identify any
9	affiliations that may potentially create a conflict of
10	interest. Are there any? None noted, okay.
11	The next agenda item is the review of the
12	February 6, 2019 minutes. Are there comments or
13	corrections to those minutes? None noted. All those in
14	favor of the minutes as presented?
15	VOICES: Aye.
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: It's unanimous. All
17	those opposed, no, okay. Motion passes.
18	Agenda Item VII (a), pursuant to
19	Connecticut General Statute 7-147q(c), the Historic
20	Preservation Council votes to recommend approval of the
21	proposed ordinance and boundary as presented in a study
22	report for the proposed Historic District 39 Cross
23	Highway, Westport, Connecticut, as presented by the
24	Westport Historic District Commission and dated January

1	24, 2019.
2	The above named property oops, that's
3	it, sorry. I was reading an old motion.
4	MS. KATHLEEN MAHER: Oh, the old motion?
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yes. Is there a
6	motion to move?
7	MS. MARGARET FABER: So moved.
8	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret, and a
9	second?
10	MS. MAHER: Second.
11	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy Maher seconded.
12	MS. MARY DUNNE: Good morning everybody.
13	So as you know, these site reports are produced at the
14	local municipal level
15	COURT REPORTER: Can I have your name
16	please?
17	MS. DUNNE: oh, Mary Dunne, State
18	Historic Preservation office, D-U-N-N-E.
19	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
20	MS. DUNNE: At the municipal level as part
21	of the local designation process they're submitted to
22	their local Planning & Zoning for comment, and then
23	submitted to the Historic Preservation Council for
24	comment.

1	The voting matter as allowed for in the
2	statute is to approve the boundary in the ordinance. So
3	staff is recommending approval of the boundary ordinance,
4	they seem in order.
5	And then the other purpose is to comments
6	from the Council that will be submitted back to the
7	Historic District Commission of Westport to be read at
8	the public meeting.
9	As far as staff comments, this staff
10	report is motivated by a design for the strongest
11	preservation tool to protect the property. The main
12	block of the historic house is very architecturally
13	intact.
14	The 2,000 square feet of the additions are
15	not so much, but we do commend the owner for wanting to
16	protect this property and we do recognize the
17	architectural significance of this.
18	Are there any comments from the Council
19	that I can share?
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Apparently not. It
21	was nice to see some mention of the land and having a
22	site a real site plan.
23	MS. DUNNE: Right.
24	

1	benefit to the future.
2	MS. DUNNE: Ahum.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret.
4	MS. FABER: I just had a question. So on
5	the September 20th e-mail she mentions about the
6	protections offered and I just wanted to be sure that she
7	doesn't think it's protected under CEPA.
8	MS. DUNNE: Right. No, but as you may be
9	aware a local property designation in order to get a
10	demolition permit in the town the owner would have to
11	secure a Certificate of Appropriateness from the
12	Commission.
13	So if the Commission votes not to approve
14	that, then they can't get a demolition permit. So it's a
15	pretty powerful tool, that's not CEPA correct.
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Any other questions?
17	MS. MAHER: And there's
18	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy.
19	MS. MAHER: thank you. And there's no
20	other contributing properties on the
21	MS. DUNNE: Not on this parcel, no.
22	MS. MAHER: okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Any other comments or
	-

1	VOICES: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All those opposed?
3	Motion carries.
4	Agenda Item XII(b), pursuant to
5	Connecticut General Statute 7-147q(c), the Historic
6	Preservation Council votes to recommend approval of the
7	proposed ordinance and boundary as presented in the study
8	report for the proposed historic property 15 Hyatt Lane,
9	Westport, Connecticut as presented by the Westport
10	Historic District Commission and dated January 24, 2019.
11	The above named property will be added to
12	the State Register of Historic Places. Motion to move?
13	MS. MAHER: Motion to move.
14	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy, and second?
15	DR. WALTER WOODWARD: Second.
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Walt.
17	MS. DUNNE: Okay, and my comments
18	regarding 39 Cross Highway sort of apply to this property
19	as well as far as the comments and the motion are
20	concerned.
21	Staff does recommend approval of the
22	ordinance and boundary, however, the barn is not really
23	congruent with the property that's being designated. I
24	think it's a good idea to have the entire boundary as

part of the parcel, but I believe that reviewing changes 1 2. to the barn are going to be problematic. 3 It is a relocated barn, it doesn't really 4 bear any architectural or historical relationship to the house itself. However, again as a local tool, the town 5 does have the leeway to establish the parcels that they 6 7 think are fit. The house itself, again, is part of a 8 9 former subdivision of which about half of the properties 10 have been demolished and replaced with larger buildings. 11 So again, this study report is motivated by a desire to preserve this property which is intact from its 12 construction in the 1940s. 13 14 And so that is basically the comments of 15 staff. Are there any comments or questions from the 16 Council? 17 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret. MS. FABER: Just a comment. I saw that 18 19 they might be considering, not this application, but to 20 include the other six 1950s houses in the local Historic 21 District. I think that would be a great idea. 22 MS. DUNNE: Yeah, yeah. It'll be a little 23

MS. FABER: Right, right, they're the same

24

- 1 genre, yeah.
- 2 MS. DUNNE: -- but I think it's good to
- 3 have a pioneer --
- 4 MS. FABER: Yeah, exactly.
- 5 MS. DUNNE: -- and it might motivate other
- 6 people --
- 7 MS. FABER: And they're all --
- 8 MS. DUNNE: -- to actually just recognize
- 9 the fact that they are in a neighborhood that once had --
- 10 that has some significance and kind of preserve what's
- 11 left of it.
- MS. FABER: -- yeah.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Any other comments?
- 14 Leah.
- DR. LEAH GLASER: Yeah, I think -- I mean,
- 16 it's -- since part of it's submitted as part of a World
- War II subdivision, to have it all alone is a little out
- 18 context.
- MS. DUNNE: Yes.
- DR. GLASER: So I agree that it would
- 21 help, that it would have benefits --
- 22 MS. DUNNE: Yeah, that was something that
- 23 staff kind of struggled with as well.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other comments?

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	Brian.
2	DR. BRIAN JONES: Just curious, so if the
3	District is eventually does that has the town talked
4	about that yet, have you discussed it?
5	MS. DUNNE: No, I've not heard anything
6	DR. JONES: So it's not
7	MS. DUNNE: from the town.
8	DR. JONES: very important yet. But
9	when there is sort of discontiguous cases like that
10	doesn't that pose challenges to developing the district
11	boundaries? How do you is there anything established
12	like that?
13	MS. DUNNE: It would if this were a
14	National Historic Register District, it probably would
15	not be a viable district, too many non-contributing.
16	Probably the same with State Register.
17	With local Districts, sometimes it's the
18	nature of the designation if they want to start with a
19	small group of buildings. However you're right, the
20	other buildings would not even be contributing to the
21	architectural history of the district.
22	So but no, it is allowable under the
23	state enabling legislation.
24	DR. JONES: So in a sense it's more of a

1	thematic district
2	MS. DUNNE: Yeah, actually it probably
3	would be something more like that.
4	DR. JONES: okay, thanks.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other comments or
6	questions? Hearing none, all those in favor of the
7	motion?
8	VOICES: Aye.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All those opposed?
10	Motion carries.
11	MS. DUNNE: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, I'm noting that
13	the time now we've got about 25 minutes before our
14	10:15 stop to part one of the meeting.
15	I'm going to suggest to identify the time
16	certain for the start of Agenda Item IX, that we reorder
17	the agenda to bring Agenda Item XI forward and we can do
18	that by a unanimous vote.
19	So all those in favor of reordering the
20	agenda to hear No. VI okay, thank you. Alright.
21	MS. ELIZABETH SHAPIRO: Great, good
22	morning, this is Liz Shapiro speaking. I'm excited to
23	talk a little bit about what the SHPO Museums have been
24	doing and to let you I'll give you an update on what

we've been doing in terms of our other SHPO activities, 1 2. conference, etc. 3 You know I love giving the Museum reports 4 but we're a little bit in limbo right now because as we 5 work towards our opening of May 1st for all four of our 6 properties, we're waiting for approval on our seasonal 7 workers. 8 I'm optimistic because I was told that 9 they have been put into the CORE system, so that's good 10 news, but we're still waiting on approval from the powers 11 that be. Meanwhile we're moving ahead on a lot of 12 interesting things. 13 Some of the things have been on the list 14 for quite a while including new security systems. 15 security systems on the sites are quite old, they are 16 functional at most places but it's time -- they are 17 getting to the point beyond where they can be repaired because of age. 18 19 So at Old Newgate Prison, because they 20 recently reopened, they're always our guinea pig with our 21 new system. So we're installing a completely new system there as we speak and that's going to include video 22 23 cameras. 24 We've changed our security system to move

1	away from the system we had been the provider we had
2	been using and now we're using the same provider that
3	DEEP uses for its park sites. So we're hoping to work
4	more with them to try different kinds of technologies.
5	But we are going to be using some video
6	cameras at Old Newgate Prison, which can put images onto
7	your phone. So if we receive word that things are
8	happening we'll be able to actually see, not the whole
9	complex, but be able to see what's happening.
10	So that's an exciting development. We're
11	also moving ahead with doing that at the Whitfield
12	Museum. I think if you remember last year I told you
13	how we had done drone videos and 3-D videos at Old
14	Newgate. Well, we have completed those for the Prudence
15	Crandall Museum.
16	And we were able to do those prior to
17	closing the Museum for restoration Labor Day this year,
18	so they have already come in handy. We haven't widely
19	distributed them or made the public aware of them, but
20	we're using them in the Museum.
21	They were used actually two days after
22	they were completed when we had visitors to the site who
23	part of the party could not go upstairs and we were
24	able to show them a clip of the 3-D tour of the entire

1 upstairs of the Museum and they were thrilled. So this 2. has great possibilities and potential and it's really 3 very economical. 4 They're going to be moving to Henry 5 Whitfield and we're going to be doing the Henry Whitfield Museum and the Visitor's Center next, so we're really 6 7 excited about what that means in terms of accessibility 8 issues. 9 At Prudence Crandall we've also been 10 working very effectively with the Bridgeport public 11 schools. They declared -- we had a day declared to be 12 Prudence Crandall Day in Bridgeport, and so now there's a 13 whole bunch of people who want to move forward to look at 14 what that could mean and how we could really begin to 15 talk about our state heroine in Bridgeport so that's 16 exciting. 17 Let's see, at the Eric Sloane Museum we're all waiting for construction to begin. The Museum has 18 19 been almost completely opened. We have a pre-bid meeting 20 for the contractors on March 18th. The Museum has been 21 fully emptied now except for a few things that -- our 22 staff person Barb Russ needs to still be working in there 23 as needed. 2.4 When the Museum becomes a construction

1	zone we will only have very limited access to the
2	building and Barb will be working from home through the
3	time when the season starts. And when the season starts
4	she is going to spend a bit of her time at Old Newgate,
5	so that's exciting.
6	I guess the last thing I would say is that
7	we're also working at Newgate on some of the final
8	testing that needs to be done, so we're updating all of
9	our radon testing. We are also contracting to have a
10	complete review of the mines. The last time that was
11	done was in 2014, and for obvious reasons we need to make
12	sure that things have not changed.
13	So we're excited about that. And then I
13 14	So we're excited about that. And then I would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this
14	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this
14 15	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time
14 15 16	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going
14 15 16 17	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going to be an amazing gift shop, so feel free to stop by and
14 15 16 17 18	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going to be an amazing gift shop, so feel free to stop by and spend money because that will help support the
14 15 16 17 18 19	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going to be an amazing gift shop, so feel free to stop by and spend money because that will help support the organization, which we really like.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going to be an amazing gift shop, so feel free to stop by and spend money because that will help support the organization, which we really like. In terms of SHPO activities, I think I'll
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would encourage everybody to visit Old Newgate this summer because Morgan has been spending a lot of time looking at things to put in her gift shop. So it's going to be an amazing gift shop, so feel free to stop by and spend money because that will help support the organization, which we really like. In terms of SHPO activities, I think I'll bring Mary back and see if she wants to do any updates.

1 be Tom Maize from the National Trust for Historic 2. Preservation. Are there things -- other things that 3 you'd like to add? 4 MS. DUNNE: I think we've talked a lot 5 about the format of the conference. It's going to be the one-day on Friday and then a half day on-site in Guilford 6 7 to do a variety of materials, preservations. The attendance there is going to be 8 9 limited. We're hoping to get, you know, close to 300 people at the conference itself but we might be only able 10 11 to accommodate about 60 people at the half day, the next day. But we're really excited about that so things are 12 13 coming along there. 14 We also -- I think last time I noted that 15 we got two solid proposals for our economic and quality 16 of life impact report, and that's all I can say. 17 that's moving forward through the evaluation process. And then next week Alyssa and I and Kathy 18 19 and Charlie Janson are going down to preservation 20 advocacy week. I'll be attending the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officer's meeting. 21 22 And then we'll be visiting to give 23 information to our congressional delegation on what we're 24 up to and what the purpose of the Historic Preservation

1 Fund is and -- just our yearly visit. I believe that's 2. it, anything else? 3 MS. SHAPIRO: Does anybody have any 4 questions? Thanks. 5 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you Liz, thank 6 you Mary. I'm just looking at the agenda to see if 7 there's anything else that we can bring forward. The only other thing would be Liaison with 8 9 Public and Private Agencies about any matters unrelated to the agenda. I don't know if there's anyone here to 10 speak to that agenda item. 11 12 Okay hearing none what I'll suggest is 13 that we will break early now at 10:00, we will still 14 reconvene at 10:30 which is the time that we've 15 identified, and we will hear agenda Item IX. Walter. 16 DR. WOODWARD: Just a technical question. I believe that Willimantic has some parking restrictions 17 in terms of time and I wonder if those applied to us and 18 19 if so, will we be able to break and go see what --20 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Is there anyone here 21 22 MS. SHAPIRO: Jim. 23 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: -- Jim, yes.

MR. JIM RIVERS: We've asked our parking

24

1	attendant to take the day off.
2	DR. WOODWARD: Thank you.
3	MR. RIVERS: And if you get any tickets if
4	you could just please bring them to our attention?
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Any other
6	general questions? Okay, so I'm going to conclude this
7	portion of the HPC meeting.
8	(off the record)
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I'm going to call
10	part two of the Historic Preservation Council meeting to
11	order. Before reading the motion for agenda Item No. IX,
12	I just want to go over a couple housekeeping things.
13	So for those of you who may be just
14	joining the room right now, there's a sign-in sheet at
15	the rear of the room as well as a one-page list of
16	comment procedures when appearing before the Historic
17	Preservation Council.
18	We will be asking people to present their
19	information in the order in which they've signed in with
20	deference given to first the elected state and local
21	officials. For those of you who are speaking to this
22	agenda item, we want we recognize that many of you
23	have taken time away from work, valuable time. We want
24	to give you the opportunity to speak.

1	However, there are an extraordinarily
2	large number of people here. We want to ask you to
3	present new information to us so if you in fact agree
4	with something that one of your colleagues has said
5	previously, we simply ask that you state your name for
6	the record and signal your agreement with whatever the
7	point that was made.
8	Because our meeting actually concludes at
9	12:30, and I'm just doing the math and realizing that
10	we're going to need to be very efficient with time, we'll
11	ask that you come forward and speak from the platform,
12	the DIAS right in front of us, and for our
13	transcriptionist I would also ask that you spell your
14	last name so it's clear for the record.
15	Also, if any of you have copies of your
16	statement or written information that you wish to make
17	part of the record I would ask that you give it to our
18	liaison who is Todd Levine, who is sitting at the end of
19	the table. He will take that and he will bring that into
20	the record for this meeting today.
21	Again, before the motion I just want to
22	give a little bit more background information. As
23	Councilmembers are aware, in December of 2018 the SHPO
24	office on Council's behalf sent a letter invitation to

1	Carmody & Torrance, who is the legal counsel for RUC
2	Holdings, the then soon to be owner of record for 819 and
3	833 Main Street, inviting them to a Council meeting to
4	discuss their intentions for the future of those two
5	properties.
6	As Councilmembers are also aware, the
7	letter had appended to it a list of 11 questions for
8	architectural and engineering resources that were
9	requested to be answered in writing prior to the Council
10	meeting, which were. And the list of questions was
11	included in the Councilmembers' brief for the meeting
12	today.
13	Since extending the invitation the
14	Connecticut Trust for Historic Preservation has
15	identified themselves as an interested party in this
15 16	
	identified themselves as an interested party in this
16	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow
16 17	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow this way.
16 17 18	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow this way. First, Todd Levine will give the sort of
16 17 18 19	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow this way. First, Todd Levine will give the sort of introductory remarks and a little bit about the history
16 17 18 19 20	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow this way. First, Todd Levine will give the sort of introductory remarks and a little bit about the history of the discussions that have happened to date. And then
16 17 18 19 20 21	identified themselves as an interested party in this proceeding. The format of the presentations will flow this way. First, Todd Levine will give the sort of introductory remarks and a little bit about the history of the discussions that have happened to date. And then we invite the property owner, RUC Holdings, to make a

1	Holdings any questions related to their presentation.
2	And then because they've identified themselves as an
3	interested party, Connecticut Trust will be allowed to
4	also do an up to 20 minute presentation.
5	Thanks to Todd for clarifying, currently
6	the owner has asked to go second. Normally we invite
7	them to go first in deference to the fact that it is
8	their property, but the Connecticut Trust will be going
9	first with their presentation, Councilmember questions,
10	then representatives for RUC Holding will be going
11	second.
12	Councilmembers will again have an
13	opportunity to ask questions, and then we will be calling
14	from the sign-in sheets and asking people to come
15	forward. And we will be calling you by name and as I
16	said, we'll ask you to speak from the front of the room.
17	So having gone over the background, I just
18	want to now get into reading the motion and start the
19	consideration of this agenda item.
20	The Connecticut Historic Preservation
21	Council votes to request the assistance of the Office of
22	the Attorney General to prevent the unreasonable
23	destruction of the historic properties at 819 and 833
24	Main Street, Willimantic, Connecticut pursuant to the

1	provisions of Section 22a-19a of the Connecticut General
2	Statutes. Can I have a vote to move?
3	MS. MAHER: So moved.
4	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy Maher, and a
5	second?
6	DR. WOODWARD: Second.
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Walter Woodward.
8	MR. TODD LEVINE: Good morning. My back
9	is turned to these folks, it's kind of awkward. But for
10	the record, my name is Todd Levine. I'm an architectural
11	historian for the State Historic Preservation office and
12	staff assigned to Connecticut Environmental Protection
13	Act cases.
14	The two buildings that have been brought
15	to your attention today that were threatened with
16	destruction are 819 Main Street, also known as The Hooker
17	Hotel built in 1887; and 833 Main Street, also known as
18	The Nathan Hale Hotel, built in the 1920s.
19	These two buildings are located within the
20	Main Street, Willimantic National Register for Historic
21	Places District listed July 28, 1982. These first came
	ridees proceed the right and right and right came
22	to the attention to the State Historic Preservation
22	

district behind these buildings and diagonal. Our office 1 2. determined later that month that there would be no 3 adverse effect for having this garage built within and 4 adjacent to the district. In October of 2018, RUC Holdings, which is 5 6 now the current owner of record under the name of 819 and 7 833 Main Street, LLC, applied for a Brownfield 8 Remediation and Revitalization Program, which is 9 basically insurance, that any hazardous materials that 10 are on that site would insure them in case it seeped out 11 into a neighboring site and our office was notified that there might be a potential conflict under the Connecticut 12 13 Environmental Policy Act. 14 We began an environmental review to determine if that is indeed the case. And because this 15 was a new situation for the State Historic Preservation 16 17 Office and DECD with this program we were unsure whether it would trigger a review, so we called the Attorney 18 19 General's office for guidance. 20 In November of 2018, our statutory non-21 profit partner the Connecticut Trust for Historic 22 Preservation, notified us that they had been contacted by 23 the local community about the proposed demolition of 819 24 and 833 Main Street and subsequent construction of a 150-

unit structure at those locations. 1 2 In December of 2018, Brad Schide from the 3 Connecticut Trust and I met with the local community to 4 explain how the Connecticut Environmental Protection Act 5 works and that process. A petition was started and had 331 signatures by December 17th, to date it has over 750 6 7 signatures. Also in December as Chairman Nelson had 8 9 noted, the Historic Preservation Council had, through 10 SHPO's office, sent a letter requesting their presence at 11 the January meeting. Our -- HPC meets monthly, we 12 requested their -- that they come in January. 13 Pamela Elkow, who represents and is legal 14 counsel for the owners, requested a later date which was 15 first going to be February and then ended up being this 16 meeting here today. In January, RUC Holdings closed on the acquisition of the buildings. 17 In February of this year RUC Holdings, or 18 819-833 Main Street, LLC, allowed the Connecticut Trust 19 20 for Historic Preservation and their architects and engineers access to the buildings to determine condition 21 and possibilities of feasible alternatives to demolition. 22 And we usually have a State Review Board 23 24 meeting which confirms these buildings or any buildings

1 that come into a potential CEPA case still contribute to 2. the historic nature of the District, but because of 3 timing of these quarterly meetings that the State Review 4 Board reviews, we couldn't get it into their first 5 meeting which was earlier this year. 6 They only meet quarterly, so they will be 7 meeting March 22nd to determine if these buildings still contribute to the National Register District. If anyone 8 9 has any questions I'm happy to answer them. 10 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: What I will do is 11 invite the Connecticut Trust to come forward at this 12 Thank you Todd. time. 13 MR. BRAD SCHIDE: I'm Brad Schide, I'm 14 from the Connecticut Trust Historic Preservation. 15 obviously here today and we're seeking assistance from 16 the Attorney General to stop the unreasonable destruction 17 of the two buildings as Todd has elaborated on. I'm sharing my time today with Cirrus 18 Engineering. Cirrus is the structural engineer and she 19 20 did provide a report for the public record in your 21 folder, but she will elaborate on that. I also did 22 prepare testimony for the public record. I'm going to 23 probably veer from that as I always do, but you will have 24 both.

So I did put together -- and by the way, 1 2 we also have an architect. Art Peck can't be here today 3 but he did -- it is for the public record, you have 4 drawings. The main thing they did is do a limited kind 5 of conditions assessment. The buildings do need a lot of work, I mean, nobody's evading those points. 6 7 They also did however kind of a re-study to see how many units we could get in the buildings if 8 9 they were rehabbed. And we're following along with idea of students, so we probably got about 51 units in with 10 11 the two buildings. So again, we're trying to ride behind some of the economics of this versus, you know, just the 12 history alone. 13 14 So I put together a quick PowerPoint which we'll go through, but let me back up and say as Todd 15 16 said, I was pulled in -- as Circuit Rider I was probably 17 pulled in about October or November-ish I guess. And as Todd said, what we always do as a performa, we always --18 19 who cares about these buildings? We wouldn't be here if 20 nobody cared whether these buildings were down or up. 21 It turns out there was a groundswell of a lot of people who were very interested. Todd's a non-22 23 believer so we have to always come together, bring all 24 the people in, and we do walk through the whole

1 Connecticut Environmental Protection Act. But we also 2. try to gage interest, you know, and what are their 3 reasoning to save the buildings. And it's beyond 4 history, okay. 5 It is streetscape. I don't know if the Council -- I mean, what's great about holding the meeting 6 7 here, I hope you guys walk the site a little bit. So 8 you've got all these great buildings on Main Street and 9 you walk behind the block and there's all these vacant lots, you know. 10 11 And so the thought has always been, well 12 why can't we look at development on the vacant lots more? 13 But I'm sure you will hear more from them. As Circuit 14 Rider, you know, I'm all over the state. I've been in Willimantic a lot. 15 16 To be very honest with you I was a little surprised. You're going to hear major support by the 17 town today. I was very surprised, they are -- most of 18 19 the time the town has been very supportive of historic 20 preservation. You've got a beautiful building here. 21 don't know, we'll hear I guess in their testimony why 22 they think this is a great idea. 23 The other thing since we're going first, 24 you're going to hear a lot of great testimony and it's

also in the files, about Hooker being a drug den and it 1 2. being a terrible spot. What I found in Historic 3 Preservation is buildings like people have personalities. 4 I mean, I think you've got to understand, 5 yes, there was a drug den. I'm not debating any of those 6 points. But that was an owner-management issue not a 7 building issue. And to blame the building and say the building should come down -- and you're going to hear a 8 9 lot of testimony from the Police Department and others. 10 And I'm not debating that at one time 11 these were dangerous buildings and I'm sure vacant buildings are no better. But, you know, the whole idea 12 13 is we want to rehab them and get them back into real good 14 I can go to the next slide. So I think Todd went through some of 15 16 Again, I want to be really clear. You're going to hear huge town support here. But they don't own these 17 buildings, okay. The owner is a developer and as you --18 19 hopefully he's here today, but nobody really knows who he 20 is or his capacity or what really they're planning except 21 a more conceptual way. 22 They did take control December 2018. Todd 23 and I have had a real hard time getting reports from them 24 and they've been very resistant, but I think -- to give

the town credit they did provide us so I don't want to --

1

2. but the main thing I want to point out here in all these 3 points and the reason we're standing here is there demo 4 delay. 5 There's no demo delay, so Todd had to do a miraculous job of trying to convince these people not to 6 7 demolish until we got to this meeting. So what the Council's role here is, is pretty big. You know, 8 9 whatever the vote that's taken here -- you know, 10 presumably they have the money to knock these buildings 11 So I just wanted -- and so there is no other alternative except to try to stop the destruction of 12 13 these buildings. 14 The buildings are currently vacant. The 15 community support I talked about, we have met here a few 16 times with Todd but also others and, you know, I've 17 worked on some of the testimony. I think you've got them all in the file. You know, there's a lot of folks who 18 really care about these buildings. Go to the next slide. 19 20 So the only thing I want to point out and 21 I'm hoping -- what's great about having you guys here is 22 I hope you saw this stuff. Historic preservation is working here so -- you know, and sometimes we're in these 23 24 scenarios where the town says oh, historic preservation

will never work here. They can't say that here. 1 2. we're in this great building here, the Town Hall. 3 brewery right across the street is a former post office 4 that's been converted. Go to the next slide. 5 And we have great -- there's great coffee 6 shops here, I'm telling you. And that one, the yellow 7 building there, after our walkthrough we went and had coffee in there. And there's a couple other places. 8 9 the other side is the Thread City Diner in the other 10 building. Cities -- there's cities in Connecticut 11 that would die to have a restaurant, a coffee shop and 12 13 have places to walk in downtown. So historic 14 preservation is working here. And I don't know why there 15 is this sudden shift and again, I give the town a lot of 16 credit. The town's been very supportive of all these 17 efforts in the past. Go to the next slide. So I'm kind of a numbers nerd, okay. 18 historic preservation but I also need to understand how 19 20 things are put together. And when developers make these announcements -- and to be fair, they just took title but 21 22 when they make these announcements you want to kind of see where is the beef, you know and -- anyway, so the new 23 24 owner has provided -- they have some details they've

1	provided to the town I think to select people.
2	But there's not been a widespread kind of
3	you know, what the plans are. I think most of the
4	people I met with did not know what the building
5	elevations new structure building elevations would
6	look like, does it relate to the street, is it filling
7	the gap, whatever?
8	Okay so look, I don't know what they'll
9	bring today but there is one piece of paper in your
10	public record and it's called project narrative. I don't
11	know who wrote it, I assume the developer. Maybe it was
12	the attorney, I don't know. So all I can go by is what I
13	have here.
14	They're saying the total project
15	they're talking about the total project cost, the whole
16	phase is \$30 million. Now, I've heard it was \$50 million,
17	we'll probably hear today it's \$80 million. It's just
18	zeros right? So what I did is take I'm only going to
19	operate off this piece of paper, I don't know what else
20	they have and they may come with other things.
21	Phases, if you look at the document
22	there's three phases, you know. And if I were a
23	developer I would want to start with vacant lots and
24	build new versus going through this whole hassle of

1 knocking the buildings down which is going to cost a lot 2. more money. Phase three, they could start there as 3 opposed -- and I quess the question is can they do 4 different phases as opposed to saying there's this 5 urgency that we gotta do phase one, the buildings have to 6 come down now. 7 Well the number nerd in me, so we start doing some division here -- again, you've heard a lot of 8 9 different numbers but I'm just operating off this piece -- this sheet of paper and it's around 400,000 square 10 11 feet in all three phases that's going to come downtown. They're saying it's about 360 units, I've heard more than 12 13 that, but on this piece of paper it looks like it's about 14 360 units. So I'm just trying to divide and try to 15 16 figure out well what are costs? So if you divide the 17 square foot by the total cost you're at \$75 a square foot and if they're saying that's the total development cost, 18 that's not reasonable and there's no economy scale will 19 20 get you that low. 21 And the other is I just looked at per unit 22 That again is also very low, but it's -- you know, cost. 23 if they did economy scales, all three phases at the same 24 time, maybe it could get close to that but it's unclear

1 and we don't have a schedule, we don't know how the 2. sequence is going to work. Maybe we'll find out today. 3 I don't know anything about student housing and UConn is 4 about eight miles away. 5 Everybody's saying student housing is what this is going to be. I don't know anything about it but 6 7 I don't think anybody -- maybe they will today with new information, there's been nothing on file about why you 8 9 think they'll come, you know. 10 I mean, the danger here is you we jump in 11 and knock these buildings down with the expectation of something happening and then nothing happens and it's 12 13 happened in Willimantic before. There have been other 14 developers who have tried to do it, so that's what we're 15 really trying to go on. The other thing, it says in this piece --16 17 and again, I'm just going off this one piece of paper, it's 33,000 square feet of retail. That is a lot of 18 19 retail. It's probably over half of what's there now. 20 don't know anything about it and maybe they'll talk more about it today. 21 22 But it's -- who are these chain -- and as 23 you know these are small mom and pop stores that are out 24 there now and is that going to be affected if these new

35

- 1 buildings come online? And the market is pretty soaked.
- 2 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Brad, I'm just going
- 3 to warn you you're halfway through your time.
- 4 MR. SCHIDE: Yeah, I'm almost done. So in
- 5 closing -- perfect timing.
- In closing, the developer just took title
- of the property. I know they don't have final drawings,
- I know they don't have final budgets, but they're asking
- 9 the Council to approve the demolition of these two
- 10 properties with very little information.
- I mean, that is really what's at stake
- here. As soon as the buildings come down there's nothing
- we can do. And again I remind you urban renewal days,
- this is very similar. The town, the developer kind of
- 15 teamed up, said it was a great idea and then nothing
- 16 happened.
- 17 So the only reason I focused a lot on the
- 18 budget number is because it's the only way they're going
- 19 to build this project and hopefully rehab it. So -- and
- 20 ultimately they made no argument why they can't rehab
- 21 these buildings versus demolish them, so with that I'll
- 22 turn it over to Beth.
- 23 MS. ELIZABETH ACLY: Hi everybody, for
- those of you who don't know me my name is Elizabeth Acly.

1 I am a professional structural engineer with almost 20 2. years of experience. I've specialize in historic 3 structures for about 15 years and estimate that I've 4 worked on somewhere between 750 and 1,000 historic 5 structures with many being on the National, State and 6 Local Historic Registers. 7 I own and operate Cirrus Structural Engineering where I serve as principal and technical 8 9 expert leading a team of four others. I was engaged to review the structural condition of the Hale and Hooker 10 11 Buildings by the Connecticut Trust for Historic Preservation and visited the site on February 21st of 12 13 this year. 14 I was provided with two previous structural condition assessment reports for the Hooker 15 16 Hotel including a cursory study done by Santo Domingo 17 Engineering in 2005, and a detailed study done by Bounds Kalberer Engineers in 2009 along with follow-up repair 18 19 drawings that address the immediate concerns highlighted 20 in the report. 21 I was not given any previous structural studies for the Hale Building. When I made my site visit 22 23 and review of previous work on the buildings I wrote and 24 submitted a report summarizing my findings, which I think

you have in your packets, and I will take you through a 1 2. summary of my findings. 3 So first we have the Hooker Building. 4 is a four-story wood frame structure with exterior load 5 bearing brick masonry in the walls and interior wood 6 bearing walls on the corridors. It was constructed in 7 1886 and a narrow two-story addition was constructed in the 50s or 60s along the front and side elevations as you 8 9 can see in that photograph. The most significant issue with the Hooker 10 11 Building originates at the roof level where one of the two roof drains has failed causing collected drain water 12 13 to discharge into the fourth floor. The water has 14 locally deteriorated the framing in two of the rooms 15 which you can see here, and partially into the hallway 16 and the stairs. 17 This area with a future rehabilitation would be to be reframed and again, it's the -- it's joist 18 19 framing that would basically go between the brick 20 exterior masonry wall and interior corridor walls and 21 then the corridor framing as well. Next one please. 22 And here you can see one of those rooms 23 underneath and how much water is coming in, it's 24 significant. I mean, you can also see staining on the

1 brick wall to the outside and it's likely that due to the 2. absorption of water into the masonry, that there would be 3 some deep cutting and pointing and probably some local 4 rebuilding in that area as well. 5 The other significant structural problem 6 is the deflection and under framing of the interior 7 bearing lines along the corridor, particularly at the north end which you can see rained up there. This was 8 9 thoroughly studied in the 2009 conditions assessment and 10 temporary shoring was recommended. 11 Shoring was installed per the temporary 12 drawings and you can see a section cut on the right 13 there, and it is still in there. Unlike the drawings 14 though it was put in as wood-bearing walls, and if you could switch it to the next one we can see that. 15 It's a little bit dark but -- so there's 16 17 some wood-bearing walls at the north end holding up the first and second floors. This area would need to be re-18 19 supported with beams at the first floor together with 20 wall and footing repairs in the basement during a future 21 renovation. There's also some miscellaneous other 22 smaller things, but those are the two main things. 23 And both of these issues represent 24 significant structural scope for building rehabilitation

1	but both are discrete issues that we consider to be
2	repairable in a renovation scenario.
3	In the short-term in order to limit future
4	damage, we recommend that the roof be made water tight
5	and the roof drainage reinstated. Interior shoring may
6	also be required on the floor structures below where the
7	water's been getting in. Next slide.
8	And just a quick sort of aside, this
9	building that I'm showing here in Windsor Locks is an
10	example of a building of similar vintage and condition
11	that is currently being rehabilitated and renovated into
12	housing. The framing was in similar if not worse
13	condition, it is now repaired and finish work is moving.
14	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I'm just going to
15	give you the five-minute warning.
16	MS. ACLY: Okay, the Hale Building. The
17	Hale Building is a five-story reinforced concrete framed
18	structure with an interior exterior brick veneer
19	rather. It was constructed in 1920 and here it is.
20	The Hale Building has also been affected
21	by compromise with three-inch components but it has
22	sustained much less damage due to the first floor
23	location of the drain failure and the robustness of the
24	reinforced concrete slab framing. Standing water on the

1 first floor is readily apparent but floor framing appears 2. intact. 3 Structural recommendations for this area 4 include inspecting and testing the concrete to determine if erosion of the rebar or chemical reactions from water 5 6 infiltration have locally weakened the concrete slab. 7 Next slide. The Hale Building is in otherwise excellent 8 structural condition. We can compare -- next one please. 9 We can compare this type and vintage of 10 construction in the Hale Building to another building on 11 the Windsor Locks project site that I showed before. reinforced concrete building in Windsor Locks had so much 12 water leaking in through the roof that algae wounds 13 14 occurred on the floor below and columns had cracked from corroded roof drains. 15 The concrete was in much worse condition 16 17 than that of the Hale Building but is now repaired and finish work is underway. And just a few parting 18 19 thoughts, aside from my professional observations I also 20 would like to comment as a resident of the neighboring 21 town of Columbia. 22 Willimantic starts as the regional 23 downtown amongst the surrounding rural towns including my 24 hometown. My family and I come here weekly to grocery

1	shop and get coffee or dinner and attend my children's
2	dance class. We are drawn to downtown Willimantic for
3	the ambience and local businesses that speak to our love
4	of healthy local farm raised inspired foods and culture.
5	For me, the ambience is created by the
6	scale and love of the late 19th century Main Street
7	buildings constructed after the arrival of the railroad
8	in 1849. The Hooker and Hale Buildings take up a
9	significant area of streetscape on Main Street.
10	Changing the buildings, in whatever form
11	that may take, would have a significant impact on the
12	cohesiveness of downtown and run the risk of being a
13	deterrent to consumers of local businesses and culture if
14	not done sensitively. Thank you very much.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. So our
16	Councilmembers (applause) I'm going to ask if
17	Councilmembers have questions for either Brad Schide or
18	Beth Acly on their presentations.
19	So Brad, I'll start with one question.
20	You've looked at proformas for the cost for renovating
21	the buildings. I know often times you're looking at
22	state/federal tax credits and what that means for
23	property owners in terms of economic incentives. Have
24	MR. SCHIDE: Yeah, that was in my other

1	testimony that's in the public record prior to tonight.
2	Somewhere between \$11 and \$12 million is probably the
3	hard cost only to rehab the two buildings roughly.
4	But again, there's a lot of details there.
5	That would include structural, environmental, demo work
6	and I think it raised about \$3.8 million in historic
7	credits, state and federal historic credits.
8	In this case they said they're going to
9	privately fund everything, so this just helps them raise
10	some other private funds.
11	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And that funding is
12	GAP funding? How does that work, the tax credits?
13	MR. SCHIDE: The historic credits yeah,
14	it's historic GAP funding I guess due to private. And
15	again, I haven't seen their overall budget.
16	And it's \$30 million that historic credits
17	just started the process, but they've represented they
18	have private money for everything else, so let's see
19	that they would use the historic credits if they wanted.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Walter.
21	DR. WOODWARD: I have a question for Ms.
22	Acly. Your assessment of the Hooker Building in
23	particular, is its real variance with either the 2005 or

the 2009 -- I think it was the 2009 structural

24

43

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

1 engineering assessment of the same property, which at 2. least in tone is, you know, much less rehab oriented and 3 much more hopeless. 4 So is there some -- what accounts for your 5 kind of positive assessment versus the former very 6 negative? 7 I think it's, you know, MS. ACLY: experience in having done some similar projects before. 8 9 Sometimes when you see finishes falling on the ground and 10 water in the building things can look very severe when 11 you first present it. 12 But if you dig in and, you know, sort of -- I know in the slide I had -- I wanted to show with 13 14 that shaded area while it sounds really severe it's 15 actually not a huge percentage of the floorplate that's 16 damaged. So I think it may just be my approach and, you 17 know, experience of doing this perhaps. 18 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret. 19 MS. FABER: So this is just for Brad. 20 when he went through his numbers and he was considering a 21 51-unit student housing complex, that scenario wouldn't take away all or almost all of the building's economic 22 23 value would it?

MR. SCHIDE: No. Actually I think I did a

24

1	calculation	ın my	testimony,	ıt's	like	\$1,000	a month.
2		MS.	. FABER: F	Right,	that	you	

- MR. SCHIDE: I had just estimated \$1,000 a
- 4 month --
- 5 MS. FABER: -- for rent, which is quite
- 6 low.
- 7 MR. SCHIDE: -- for rent.
- 8 MS. FABER: Yeah.
- 9 MR. SCHIDE: And you usually take about 35
- 10 percent of that total amount for operating expenses and
- 11 the rest is not operating. So yeah, economically it
- works.
- They've also again, told us over and over
- again and they've told Todd over and over again, there's
- 15 no public money, so this would be all private money
- 16 somehow too. So I don't know what kind of debt service
- they would want to carry at this certain area.
- 18 MS. FABER: One follow-up, were they made
- aware of the public money available?
- MR. SCHIDE: Well, I think that's a -- but
- 21 I mean I think that we always talked store credits, we
- 22 always talk -- I mean, you're going to hear a lot about
- 23 opportunity, zone funding which -- you know, which is
- 24 still in its infancy stage.

45

1	The IRS is just starting to create the
2	rules on it. I think I mean, we'll hear it today but
3	the only thing I heard was the take-backs on the whole
4	thing. I don't know, you should ask them exactly how
5	they're going to do it.
6	But I think they were very specifically
7	positive saying there was no public money that they're
8	seeking at all.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Brian.
10	DR. JONES: For Todd, so we heard about
11	the tax credits. Could you summarize the amount of
12	additional funding that might be available through SHPO?
13	MR. LEVINE: Again well I mean besides
14	state and federal tax credits, which could be
15	substantial, you know, there is well there's other
16	(indiscernible)
17	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, can you please
18	go to a microphone
19	MR. LEVINE: sorry, usually I'm pretty
20	loud.
21	COURT REPORTER: you need to reach the
22	speaker.
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Oh the other one,
24	sorry.

1 MR. LEVINE: Sorry, Todd Levine. So yeah, 2. besides federal and state tax credits, which could be 3 substantial, each -- our state tax credit is up to \$4.5 4 million per building, and that's 25 percent of eligible 5 costs. And then the federal tax credit is 20 percent of eligible costs and then there's also a five percent 6 7 affordable housing tax credit. So it could be 50 percent of eligible 8 9 costs for those buildings, for just those buildings. So I know that the overall development plan includes, you 10 11 know, 350 units. My understanding is that the developer would put 150 units at this location but I'm not sure how 12 all that would fit in. 13 14 But I know their architect is going to explain that I believe next and we'll have a better 15 16 understanding of that dynamic. But there's also DECD 17 funding that could be acquired, there's other state funding, bond funding and other grants that could be 18 19 worked out with a partnership with the development and 20 this developer. 21 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy. 22 I want to just add, the reason DR. JONES: 23 we haven't talked about money with them -- there are many 24 opportunities. There's CHAMP funds if they want to do

1	housing, CHFA has money. CHFA does these kind of things,
2	they do first mortgages in here.
3	You've got the tax credits, you've got new
4	market credits, I mean, there's a whole host of things
5	that they really did not give us any indication they
6	wanted to go in that direction, so we really haven't
7	spent any time on that.
8	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy.
9	MS. MAHER: Thank you. To that point,
10	also it was referenced the urban COWLS grants (phonetic),
11	the opportunity zone grants, does that get administered
12	through SHPO? I think it's rather new, and has that been
13	explored?
14	MR. LEVINE: My understanding is that the
15	opportunity zone is a major point to their funding and
16	they will go into that. Our office does not administer,
17	or at least SHPO certainly does not administer,
18	opportunity zones.
19	But it's a major component and their
20	financial guy or owner or rep will explain how that all
21	works, but it should be noted that in your packet we did
22	ask for an explanation of how their funding is supposed
23	to work and they did give an explanation. And you'll see
24	and they'll elaborate I'm sure, is that timing is

1	important for opportunity zone funding to make the impact
2	that they needed to make.
3	So it has to be closed this year, in 2019,
4	and in fact there's timeframes within the year that
5	milestones have to be met for them to get their funding
6	for the numbers to work for this entire project. So note
7	that, and they'll explain as well, how this site has to
8	work with the other sites.
9	So it's not just the sites at 833 and 819
10	Main Street and the opportunity zone funding that's
11	important, but also how the interconnectivity between 833
12	and 819 Main Street and these other adjacent and across
13	the street sites work together to formulate the funding
14	pyramid for opportunity zones.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other questions?
16	DR. GLASER: Leah Glaser. So the
17	developer's plan my understanding is, is student housing
18	and some retail. And I guess then a rehab I was
19	wondering if there would still be a possibility for some
20	mixed use of the building?
21	MR. SCHIDE: Yeah, well definitely. We
22	had about 5,000 square foot of retail in the rehab, the
23	architect came up with that. So it was 51 units and
24	there was about 5,000 square feet of and there was

1	another couple thousand in office space.
2	Again, these are all conceptual. We only
3	had one walkthrough and I'd like to say one thing
4	about the opportunity funds. I'm sure they'll go into
5	more detail on it for you but the reason they have to hit
6	the end of the year is because it's a the whole
7	opportunity zone thing is capital gains deferral.
8	If they close something this year and they
9	go up 10 years, they can get their total capital gains
10	forgiven. But that's one of the reasons you need to be
11	really questioning this because to do that the investors
12	need to have a rate of return in addition to the capital
13	gains.
14	So I don't think they're going to invest
15	just for the capital gains, so that's why I'm a little
16	surprised we don't have anything except this piece of
17	paper so but maybe they'll come in with more, so just
18	to let you know.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy, last question.
20	MS. MAHER: Have we seen I don't
21	believe it was in this package, a position statement from
22	either UConn or Eastern?
23	MR. SCHIDE: No. I raised that in the

I think Eastern was never a part of this. As far as I

50

- 1 understand I think it was always UConn, so. But it's
- 2 kind of what I asked, you know, is there market study or
- 3 is there a MOU or --
- 4 MS. MAHER: So there's no --
- 5 MR. SCHIDE: -- or some kind of agreement?
- 6 I'm not aware of it, no.
- 7 MS. MAHER: -- okay. So universities have
- 8 very guided formulas on student housing, so there's
- 9 nothing really to support that from the university
- 10 itself.
- 11 MR. SCHIDE: I'm sure there is but I --
- and maybe they'll talk about it today, I don't know.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Brad, I have one
- 14 final question.
- 15 I realize that the State Preservation
- 16 Board will be reviewing the original nomination but do
- 17 you have any sense on the District that is on the
- 18 National Register, how many buildings are still part --
- 19 are still standing?
- MR. SCHIDE: I have not looked at that in
- 21 detail. These two buildings are -- the only folks that
- 22 have had these two buildings are a couple coffee shops
- and that's it. But no, I haven't looked at that.
- 24 But again, we are talking about that if

1 these go down and there's vacant lots there, you know, 2. and nothing ever gets built or maybe it will get built in 3 the future, you're still talking about a drag on all the 4 other properties. So I think that's what you have to be 5 concerned about. 6 But no, I'm not aware of how many. I 7 mean, maybe Todd can --8 MR. LEVINE: And I just -- there was 46 9 and now there's 45, so there's been one collapsed 10 building. 11 MR. SCHIDE: Forty-five or 44? 12 Forty-five or 44. MR. LEVINE: 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, okay. Any 14 other questions before we move on? Okay. At this point -- thank you Brad of The 15 16 Connecticut Trust. I'm going to invite a representative 17 for RUC Holding. MS. PAMELA ELKOW: Good morning, for the 18 19 record my name is Pamela Elkow. I'm an attorney with 20 Carmody Torrance Sandak & Hennessey, and I represent RUC 21 Holdings and 819/833 Main Street, which is the owner of 22 the property where the two buildings are that we're

I'm the one that got Todd's letter so --

talking about this morning.

23

2.4

and thank you for the time this morning to speak to this 1 2. issue. Just to introduce a couple of the players, Martin 3 Kelly is the principle of RUC Holdings, he's here today. 4 Richard Garber is the architect that we've been working with, he's also here today, and he's going to make a 5 6 brief presentation. 7 I know we have a lot of people who want to 8 talk so we're actually going to be pretty quick and give 9 the public lots of opportunity to talk. I know there's a lot of town officials that want to speak and they're 10 11 going to speaking to some of the issues that I think 12 you've raised today. 13 So if you ask me questions I may end up 14 deferring to them just because a lot of them are going to 15 be speaking to that. So Richard's going to give a quick 16 overview of the project. The project is preliminary and 17 you're going to see a messy plan. That's what's already in your materials. 18 19 Just so you know, it is early because in 20 December we did get this letter and this process began. 21 It took us a little while to understand exactly what the process was given that there were no public funds 22 23 involved, so we weren't part of the public CEPA but the 24 other CEPA so we're here now.

1 So we have not been to the town yet other 2. than talking generally to them about the project. 3 have not actually presented anything to the Planning 4 Commission, Zoning Commission, because it doesn't make 5 sense to do that until we actually know what we're going to be able to do with these buildings, so that's why this 6 7 discussion is preliminary basically. A little bit about RUC Holdings, it is a 8 9 developer that currently has been -- Martin's been in business 25 years, this is what he does. He does not do 10 historic renovations or rehabilitation. There's very 11 specific companies that do that, that's not what he does. 12 13 He currently has a 200,000 square feet 14 under construction right now -- under development that he's working on. This is I believe his first project in 15 16 Connecticut, but he has primarily done work in New 17 Jersey. You know, he's in the development business. He's not going to be investing time on me or Richard or 18 19 other people if this doesn't make sense to do. 20 There's been a couple discussions about student housing. This is not dorms, this is housing that 21 22 is geared towards students because there are a lot of 23 students between Willimantic and Mansfield who need 24 housing, in addition to other people who need housing.

1 So when we talk about student housing it's more from a 2. marketing perspective, these are apartments these are not 3 dorms. 4 The plan is to have apartments that range 5 anywhere from studio up to three-bedroom and then retail and amenities that go along with that. So just so -- we 6 7 have no agreement with Eastern, we have no agreement with 8 UConn. But again, those are sort of the demographics 9 that we expect will be interested in apartments like this 10 in downtown. 11 And that's what we've understood from 12 talking to the town officials that we've talked to. A 13 couple of the things just -- and I will get to Richard, 14 but I know there were a couple of things that came up in 15 the previous presentation. Opportunity zones, I think Brad did a good 16 17 job listing that. That's not funding that comes from anyone else, it's really just -- an area is an 18 19 opportunity zone. Downtown Willimantic is in fact an 20 opportunity zone. Those are distressed communities that 21 the Tax Act that the feds passed last year, among all those other things they did, one of the things it did was 22 23 create opportunity zones. 24 And the idea was to create resident

1 incentives for people in distressed communities. So yes, 2. it does obviously allow for a deferment of capital gains. 3 There's a deadline for the end of the year to get the 4 maximum capital gain deferments. If any of you are in the real estate business it's been described as a 1031 on 5 6 steroids. 7 You can take proceeds from one asset, put it into this and that will defer your capital gains. 8 9 It's an incentive but nobody's going to invest in that if that project doesn't make money. That's what we're going 10 11 to talk about a little bit after Richard presents the master plan and a brief description of the project. 12 13 Because frankly, anyone who's going to buy 14 these properties like we did is going to want to have a project that makes money and that's really what this 15 16 comes down to and we think that's really what you need to 17 think about when you're thinking about what's a prudent and feasible alternative. 18 19 We appreciate that we're going to present 20 about what we need to present about but I think the real 21 question is, is rehabilitating these two buildings, is there any prudent and feasible alternatives to taking 22 23 them down? We don't think that there is a prudent and 24 feasible way to redo these buildings and have them

1	actually turn into a project that is viable.
2	So we'll talk about that in a minute but
3	Richard, if you can
4	MR. RICHARD GARBER: Hi, I'll just stand
5	over here by my laptop. My name is Richard Garber
6	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, would you
7	MS. ELKOW: you know what, I'll move
8	forward.
9	MR. GARBER: ready? Hi, I'm Richard
10	Garber. I'm a principal from GRO Architects, which is a
11	New York City based architecture planning firm and we do
12	a lot of demo and revitalization kind of projects.
13	And when I was contacted by the owner
14	about this project we were obviously very excited about
15	being involved in the rehabilitation and revitalization
16	of downtown Willimantic. So I'm going to show a few
17	images and I'll just talk general numbers.
18	We've only been working on this project
19	for a matter of months at this point so we don't have a
20	kind of level of detail especially given what's being
21	asked of us today, but I can tell you that the overall
22	build-out that we're imagining and this is the image
23	and somehow I haven't figured out how, but it ended up or

24 Facebook somehow so people have seen it.

1 We're imagining that there are about 400 2. units on all three sites and if you're looking at the 3 image now, the U-shape building on the left, that's the 4 site of Hooker and Hale. And so on that building site 5 we're thinking that we can get somewhere like 130 6 residential units on top of both parking and amenity 7 space. The idea here is that we're bringing a lot 8 9 of ground floor amenity space in retail as well as 10 parking, to really increase the viability of a walkable 11 downtown, which you already have here and we'd like to 12 try and amplify that with some of the programs that we'd 13 bring in for students but also for people that would be 14 living in the units. Pam, if you could just go forward. So this is the site plan of 819 and 833, 15 16 so on the left we have Hooker -- excuse me on the left we have Hale and on the right, sort of the tall narrow site, 17 that's Hooker. And, you know, one of the things that I 18 19 think is very important here in terms of how these kinds 20 of development projects work is we really look for efficiency at the workplace. 21 22 And so what that means is on upper floors we want a kind of double-loaded corridor, which is the 23 24 most efficient kind of floorplate you can get. Typically

that's based on how much sunlight can actually penetrate 1 2. into buildings, which is about 25 or 30 feet. 3 generally we look for a floorplate somewhere around 65 to 4 70 feet. So you have a hallway in the middle and you 5 have units on both sides that can take advantage of 6 windows for natural lighting and that type of thing. 7 In the case of Hale, the upper floors are 40 feet and there's a column about 18 feet down the 8 9 middle of them. So, you know, adding to this building to 10 actually get this kind of efficiency is a lot of cost per 11 square foot to basically do that. Hooker on the other hand is about 50 feet, same problem. We still have to 12 13 find 50 additional feet of depth in that building to 14 actually get a cost effective and efficient floorplate, 15 right. 16 The more efficient we can lay these units out, the more retail amenity space we can actually give 17 below. We try to be at about 85 to 90 percent efficient 18 in terms of loss factor. We don't want to spend that 19 20 much on egress and things like that and that's what we'd 21 be having to do in these buildings. So if you can go to 22 the next slide. 23 This is just a quick -- and again, these 24 are all three buildings so the square building on your

1 left is the block of Hooker and Hale. We're really 2. imagining red is retail and amenity space on the 3 frontage, really increase the walk -- you know, amplify 4 the walkability of the downtown area while still 5 providing parking, parking at the base of the block of 6 833 and 819 Main as well as a potentially double-stacked 7 deck in the larger lot to the right. 8 If you can go one more Pam and then I'll 9 conclude. And this is actually -- go one more please, 10 sorry -- yup. So this is a typical layout of a 11 residential floorplate and what you're seeing color-coded on your lower right, we do have a really kind of robust 12 mix of studios, one-bedrooms, two-bedrooms and three-13 14 bedrooms planned. The studios are nominally around 450-500 15 16 feet; the one-bedroom is a little bit larger, that's our student market right there. That's who we are really 17 trying to think about marketing these to whereas the two-18 19 bedrooms and three-bedrooms are for, you know, residents 20 of town, small families, etc. And you see how we're 21 really kind of trying to mix them equitably on all three 22 of these sites taking advantage in each instance of a 70foot wide double-loaded corridor which I think lays out 23 24 very well.

1	And with that, thank you.
2	MS. ELKOW: So maybe I don't know if
3	you have questions for Richard or if you want to wait
4	till we're
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Why don't we wait?
6	MS. ELKOW: okay. And so just to
7	follow-up, so back to now that you've seen this I
8	really want to talk about again this sort of prudent
9	feasible alternatives and whether these are it's the
10	unreasonable destruction of these buildings.
11	So we heard from the engineer for the
12	Historic Trust with respect to Hooker. We would
13	respectfully disagree and I think the town building
14	official is going to be talking today about his view of
15	the actual structural viability of Hooker. And as I
16	think one of the members, Mr. Woodward, mentioned that
17	the previous structural analysis of that building had
18	suggested that in fact it's not really viable.
19	So I think the building official will be
20	weighing in on that. Our structural engineer actually
21	refused to walk into the building because it's full of
22	ice and wasn't going to do that until the ice was gone
23	and he wasn't in a position to walk into it because he
24	felt it was unsafe to walk into right now so we cannot

1	use we will for ourselves have that at some point.
2	No one would disagree Hale is structurally
3	sound. From everything I've heard and from everything
4	I've read it's built like a, you know, concrete bunker
5	and it's not going down any time soon. But that in and
6	of itself makes it difficult to rehabilitate and Richard
7	talked about the efficiency of floorplates.
8	So to that point, we actually did look at
9	the proforma that the Historic Trust put together to try
10	to you know, we appreciate that they did that to try
11	to figure out what you could do with these buildings and
12	a couple of things jumped out. One, they suggested 51
13	units were possible. A couple of things there.
14	One, those are just studio and one-bedroom
15	so that really adhered just to student housing as opposed
16	to a mix. Second, 51 units actually doesn't work. If
17	you actually look at the actual floor plans that the
18	architect put together, it actually was only 40 sorry,
19	there's four five less than that. There are actually
20	only 24 in Hooker not the 29, so they're already five
21	less than what their actual own floor plans show but
22	their proposed development doesn't comply with zoning.
23	So the minimum lot the minimum size for
24	an apartment in the downtown district is 450 feet and all

1 of these studio apartments were around 400/405. 2. you take out the ones -- take out enough so that you 3 actually can comply with zoning, you lose even more so in 4 reality even this plan that the architect put together 5 can only support 43 units. 6 That aside, if we just took the numbers 7 that they actually put together and assumed about \$12 8 million in hard costs, you have to add soft costs to that 9 obviously. So that gets you to about \$13.5 when you figure that in. Assume you get \$3.5 in tax credits, 10 11 which was in the proforma that they put together, that leaves you with about \$10 million that you need to raise 12 13 between debt and equity. 14 Let's say, you know, if you stand -- so that's the money that needs to be borrowed. 15 They also 16 did a calculation based again on again, the higher number 17 of units, the 51, that got to a net operating income of about \$400,000 I quess a year. That can't service the 18 19 debt on \$8.5 million. So what it comes down to is even 20 if you built the maximum that they came up with, which 21 can't be built, and you took advantage of the historic tax credits, you still can't make a project that makes 22 23 money. 24 If a project doesn't make money, nobody's

1 going to build it. Developers are in the business of 2. making money, that's -- investors are in the business of 3 investing in projects that make money. As I mentioned at 4 the beginning, this developer is not in the historic 5 rehabilitation business so this developer is not going to be building that building. 6 7 We're not going to be taking advantage of tax credits, we're not looking for federal funds, we're 8 9 not looking for state funds. This was going to be and that is going to be a purely privately funded development 10 11 but my point is that this is not something that can in 12 fact be done -- it is not prudent and feasible. 13 It doesn't make money. If it doesn't make 14 money it's neither prudent nor feasible because nobody's going to do it. And I know at least one or two of the 15 16 building officials is going to talk about other efforts 17 at historic rehabilitation in town and the fact that those still don't make money. 18 19 It's great to save the buildings and I 20 think we would all want to do that, but you can't do it if at the end of the day nobody's going to actually make 21 22 any money doing that because then you're going to have a 23 bunch of buildings that have no income stream and 24 nobody's going to want to continue to invest in them.

1	And then our last comments will be that
2	the fact that these buildings have been sitting vacant
3	for 40 years, you know, give or take which building we're
4	talking about and what either vacant or seriously
5	underutilized, in some ways their history themselves is
6	evidence of the fact that there is no prudent and
7	feasible alternative to taking them down. Nobody has
8	been able to do anything with that.
9	And again, I know that the folks who have
10	been intimately involved with this with the town are
11	going to be speaking about this. There have been
12	numerous attempts to get somebody to come in here and do
13	exactly this, to try to rehabilitate these buildings and
14	turn them into something something for the Town of
15	Windham and nobody has been able to figure out a way to
16	do that.
17	Having them sit empty doesn't help
18	Windham, it doesn't help the rest of the Historic
19	District because now you have, again, these big empty
20	buildings sitting there that aren't helping the other 44
21	historic buildings that continue to remain in this
22	Historic District. So we think that there are in fact no
23	prudent and feasible alternatives to demoing it.
24	I'm happy to answer any question but I

1 know there are a lot of people who want to talk about 2. this today too. 3 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, are there 4 any questions Councilmembers have? Leah. 5 DR. GLASER: I quess since this developer does not do historic rehab, why this site? 6 7 MS. ELKOW: Because this site together with the other sites that we're looking at make sense 8 9 from a development perspective. They're the right sites 10 in the right market for what they think they can build 11 and actually get a return on their investment for 12 themselves and their investors. 13 DR. GLASER: I know they think that but I 14 don't understand because this is a Historic District and this is a historic section of town. And there is 15 evidence that historic rehabilitation of a Historic 16 District is economically profitable, and so I just --17 there's nowhere else in town to do a similar project 18 19 besides the site of these two very prominent buildings? 20 MS. ELKOW: So I think I and others who 21 are going to speak today would actually disagree with the concept that -- maybe in other places historic 22 23 redevelopment is financially viable. 24 Again, I think others going to speak to

1	the fact that that has not necessarily been the
2	experience in Windham. So and again, when we came in
3	to buy these buildings the plan all along had been to
4	take these down. The lots are good locations, the other
5	two and you saw on there, the other are vacant empty
6	lots.
7	I think Brad spoke earlier about the fact
8	that can't somebody invest in that? Yes, we're going to.
9	These also though are part of the project that we think
10	makes sense. I think the real question is, is there
11	another viable alternative for these buildings?
12	And I think the answer has to be no,
13	nobody has been able to do anything with these buildings
14	for the last 10 years or even under private ownership and
15	for the 40 years before that Eastern owned it, they
16	weren't able to come up with anything. The Hooker hasn't
17	been able to do anything, they had to move people out.
18	There has not been an alternative for
19	these buildings and I think for Windham this is the right
20	alternative.
21	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Walter.
22	DR. WOODWARD: So much of the argument
23	behind doing these buildings depends upon the economic
24	viability of the project.

1	MS. ELKOW: Ahum.
2	DR. WOODWARD: And that economic viability
3	depends on the investors getting a return and that return
4	is going to depend on filling the retail space and
5	filling the apartments.
6	MS. ELKOW: Right.
7	DR. WOODWARD: Has there been a marketing
8	plan? Where are the people going to come from? Where
9	are the businesses going to come from? This is an if
10	you build it they will come proposition.
11	How do you know they will come and where
12	in Connecticut or New England are they coming from?
13	MS. ELKOW: So I don't know that there has
14	been a market study done but again, I think that really
15	the question for this group is where's the financial
16	viability of keeping these buildings? That's the
17	question.
18	There is no viability to keeping these
19	buildings. They have been empty for 10 years. There is
20	no viable alternative. There's nobody filling these
21	buildings because nobody's renovating them and nobody's
22	turning them into any kind of housing. So the developer
23	is not in the business of doing this out of charity, he
24	intends to make money.

1	The sense is and again, we've been
2	talking to people in town, that there is an interest in
3	housing, an interest in housing and what is becoming a
4	revitalized downtown Willimantic and that there are
5	opportunities here. You do have students at Eastern, you
6	have students at UConn. You have people like frankly
7	people like my daughter's age and my age.
8	People who don't want to be necessarily
9	living in a house anymore and mowing lawns and God help
10	us, shoveling snow. So I think that the opportunity is
11	there. I mean, all over Connecticut you see people
12	moving to downtowns to be in vital downtowns but they
13	need to be in buildings that work for them.
14	And people want to be in new buildings so
15	I think there is a market for new buildings. And again,
16	the Economic Development Director for the Town of Windham
17	is going to be talking about that. There are people who
18	know the town much better than we do who can speak to
19	that but that's really what it comes down to, and 43
20	units at the cost that we're talking about is not a
21	viable project, so.
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy.
23	MS. MAHER: So to build on that, just for
24	clarity the units that you're proposing are designed to

1	really engage a student housing community?
2	MS. ELKOW: Some, but as Richard mentioned
3	sort of the studio to one-bedroom probably more. But
4	there's a mix, that color-coding is kind of hard to see
5	up there but
6	MS. MAHER: I see.
7	MS. ELKOW: yeah, but there's a range
8	anywhere from studios up to three bedrooms.
9	MS. MAHER: And what's the percentage of
10	student that you're assuming is going to fill them?
11	MS. ELKOW: I don't know what the percent
12	of the numbers
13	MR. GARBER: Probably somewhere around
14	60/40. Right now we have on a typical floor plan
15	we're imagining that there are 14 one-bedrooms as well as
16	four studios.
17	So 18 of the 25 units on a typical floor
18	plan for the big block we're talking about would be
19	probably marketed toward, you know, students or young
20	professionals.
21	MS. ELKOW: I think another important
22	point is this is all market rate housing, this is not
23	intended to be affordable housing. There which I
24	think speaks to two things, one is there is other

1 affordable housing and that's not what Windham or 2. Willimantic are looking for. 3 They're looking for market rate housing. 4 That also means that the benefits that were talked about 5 earlier that a developer who does affordable housing that 6 would be able to take advantage of aren't going to be 7 available. So that is not the plan here, the plan here is market rate housing. 8 9 MS. MAHER: So to that point though, if 10 you don't have a feasibility study and it's not a 11 successful project moving forward and you can't fill 12 those rooms, then you're facing a new building that's 13 empty so then the community loses part of its character 14 when it has the opportunity in advance. Have you also done an environmental impact 15 16 study and what the carbon footprint of demolishing an 17 already existing building is going to do versing every bit of efficiency you could put into a new building 18 19 really gets eradicated by the fact that you're 20 demolishing the building. 21 MS. ELKOW: No we have not done an environmental impact study, because that's not something 22 we would typically do if there's not state or federal 23 24 funding required.

1	But I would I guess two thoughts and,
2	you know, I guess it's dangerous to let lawyers talk
3	about things that architects and engineers should talk
4	about but, you know, a new building is often by
5	definition more efficient. You can seal them better, you
6	can design them to be better efficient from a heating and
7	cooling perspective.
8	But you know again, I think it's done
9	in phases. Again, if this here's what I'm struggling
10	with and I've said this a couple of times, but the
11	question isn't just what's the new building? The
12	question is can these buildings be rehabilitated in a way
13	that is prudent and feasible?
14	And I think the answer to that is no.
15	There hasn't been anybody who has come forward to say I
16	can make this work. Even the plan that was proposed
17	today by the Trust doesn't work. And so I appreciate the
18	concern and I think that again, whatever we end up
19	building we're going to be working with the Town.
20	We will be going through all of the normal
21	approval processes, we'll be going to the Planning &
22	Zoning Commission, we'll be complying with zoning and
23	design guidelines and all of those things that have to
24	happen with any new construction. But the question is

1	does it make sense to save these buildings as an
2	alternative and right now, I haven't seen one, I don't
3	think anybody in Windham has seen one.
4	And again, I don't want to take up much
5	more time because I know and I don't want to not
6	answer your questions but I know there are a lot of
7	people in this room that want to talk about them.
8	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you very much.
9	DR. GLASER: And just so you know, there
10	is a lot of evidence that historic buildings are very
11	efficient, so that's important and I'm sure there's a lot
12	of people in this room with that position.
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Are there any
14	Margaret.
15	MS. FABER: So would the developer
16	entertain the possibility of incorporating the existing
17	structures into a larger development plan?
18	MS. ELKOW: I think we we don't see
19	that the current buildings, their rehabilitation is
20	prudent or feasible. We have not had the opportunity to
21	do that because we've been frankly dealing with this, so
22	I don't think we're in a position to talk about that
23	right now.
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other questions?

1	Thank you.
2	MS. ELKOW: Thank you for your time.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay so just a
4	reminder, we will be calling on people in the order in
5	which they have signed in. We will be giving deference
6	to the elected officials. I want to remind you that this
7	is you will be given up to five minutes.
8	We're looking for new information. If
9	somebody has expressed a view that you happen to share
10	and were planning to speak to, we just simply ask that
11	you state your name for the record from the podium and
12	signal your agreement with the prior statements because
13	it's important to us that we give all of you an
14	opportunity to come forward.
15	And I am going to call on the State Rep.
16	Susan Johnson first.
17	MS. SUSAN JOHNSON: Thank you so much.
18	I'm State Representative Susan Johnson and thank you for
19	coming to Windham today and hearing the testimony and all
20	the work that you're doing all throughout the state. I
21	wanted to just give you the benefit of the time that I've
22	been here on Main Street.
23	I went to Eastern Connecticut State
24	University when the Hale Building was open and it closed

about the time I graduated, so that's a few years ago. 1 2. also after that went to work at Legal Services on Main 3 Street and I was there about 15 years working in the 4 downtown area. 5 During the time that I have been here, 6 working in Willimantic first and then I went on the 7 Council as a Councilperson, we had a number of severe changes that occurred. First The Hale Building did 8 9 close. Around that time the mall, the strip mall opened up and took a lot of our businesses. 10 11 After that time the chance for us to have 12 any kind of transportation in and out of here was 13 eliminated because of the difficulty with trying to get 14 Columbia, Andover and Coventry to agree on where to put a 15 highway that would connect us to the rest of everywhere 16 else. Also, the road going out of here to 17 Norwich connecting to the other highway is a very kind of 18 19 treacherous road at this point in time. So if you have 20 large amounts of traffic coming in and out of here you would run into real difficulties, so we are an isolated 21 22 area now. 23 We do have the university systems, we do 2.4 have Eastern Connecticut State University, we have OVCC,

1 but it's been limited in the last few years. 2. UConn students, graduate students, many of them living 3 here in town, so we do have a vibrant community of 4 students and graduate students and people doing all kinds 5 of good work all throughout the area. During the time I was on the Council we 6 7 worked really hard to address the closings of The Mills. That had been a big issue in the mid-1980s and we were 8 9 able to get about \$30 million for Mill No. 1. Mill No. 1 10 is still half built. We changed the zoning requirements 11 and made it possible for them to also put housing units in there but nevertheless the developer has gone 12 13 bankrupt. 14 And Mill No. 2 was developed and put into housing that's affordable housing. In fact we have more 15 16 affordable housing on a per capita basis than any other 17 place in the State of Connecticut except for Hartford, so we do have that. But we also have lost a lot of access 18 19 to different types of employment that we used to have and 20 that was the reason we built the affordable housing or the below market cost housing or subsidized housing in 21 the first place. 22 23 Back after World War II we created the 24 Housing Authority to make sure veterans had a place to

Then the mills took advantage of all that housing 1 2. that we have and we were able -- they were able to hire 3 people at less than what they would have to hire, the 4 cost of living was higher here. So they tried everything 5 they could to stop unionization and we had very low cost 6 housing. 7 So that is kind of like the backdrop. This is not Windsor Locks, this is not Guilford or any of 8 9 the other places that you might be able to do more housing. We do not have the same kind of market benefit 10 11 that some of these other places have. So for example the 12 tax credits, you give the same tax credits to the people 13 developing in Greenwich that you give to people here in a 14 distressed municipality. That doesn't help in terms of the ability 15 16 to be able to preserve historic places. If you gave more 17 money in tax credits, if you lobby for more money for tax credits for the development of housing or restoration 18 19 then what we would is maybe we'd have more return on an 20 investment. 21 But as you just heard, the return on the investment based on what they're getting doesn't come 22 23 close to trying to address what's happened over the last 24 few years, I'd say 40 years since the 80s, because what

1 happened in the 80s? What happened in the 80s is we lost 2 in Connecticut and all over the country, we lost our 3 industrial base. Our tax bases are gone. 4 Now, maybe some places in this state you 5 have flourished, but it's at the expense of places like 6 this where we provide for everybody here. We provide --7 we have three homeless shelters. We have low cost housing. We do all this work. 8 9 We have a hospital, we have all kinds of 10 payment in lieu of taxes, the structures here that do not 11 get the money from the state that they should. 12 because of that we are cut off and we are having real 13 development problems. 14 So I'm extremely passionate as I know you 15 are, and I hope that we can come to some kind of a 16 resolution to let the downtown area get redeveloped so that it will be able to create a tax base for us again 17 and help us preserve the rest of the buildings that we're 18 19 working on. 20 Thank you so much for your time and your 21 spirit. 22 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, and did 23 you have any statement you wish to give into the record? 24 MS. JOHNSON: I have presented testimony

1 in the Bill that I submitted and I'm glad to talk to you 2. some more about that as well, so thank you so much. 3 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The next person, Jim 4 Rivers. 5 MR. RIVERS: Thank you Madame Chair and 6 thank you all for being here tonight. I do appreciate 7 you coming to Windham, I know it's a long journey for many of you but it allowed us to have the public here see 8 9 the process and participate in the process. 10 I've been the Town Manager in Windham for 11 almost two years now. Prior to that I was a four-term 12 elected First Selectman in the Town of Pomfret. I've 13 been in banking and more notably in the context of this 14 process I'm currently an owner of four buildings similar to the ones, a little smaller, in Main Street in eastern 15 16 Connecticut that I am the steward and owner of, and I've 17 owned many others. I can appreciate many sides of this 18 19 argument, I'm right in the middle of it as a matter of 20 We have tried even since I've been here very hard fact. 21 to do something with those two buildings and for any of 22 the downtown sites. I'm going to throw facts at you as 23 fast as I can because I only have about five minutes and 24 I don't want to be redundant with what the attorney had

1	spoken earlier.
2	But I met Martin Kelly almost a year ago
3	now. We have been trying very hard, we have a very good
4	team here, a very aggressive team, to try to develop
5	especially the Main Street area which is the most
6	challenging. We brought and often I would get
7	involved in these processes because it was so important
8	that we'd let them know the community was behind the
9	project.
10	We saw dreamers and tire-kickers and
11	rehabbers and people that would do much bigger projects
12	that thought that those two buildings were too small.
13	We've seen them all and it's just a short time that I've
14	been here. And then Martin came along, and I'm pretty
15	quick with the numbers and what might work and not work.
16	And when my team told me what he planned to do,
17	unfortunately take the buildings down, I penned that out
18	real quick.
19	I said that's going to work because many
20	of these other dreamers, it's just not going to work.
21	You have to make the numbers work in the end. And as he
22	described this project and he went further along I said
23	this you know, we investigated and we know more than
24	you do and I don't know if he wants to share some of his

personal information, maybe he will, but he has the means 1 2. to complete this project. I'm sure of that. 3 And we have made sure that we didn't spend 4 our time, our valuable time working with a developer that 5 wasn't going to be able to finish the project the way we 6 want it finished. I want to say to Representative Johnson's comments, our town is -- our real estate value, 7 8 50 percent of it is exempt in this community. 9 I think we're one of the highest in real 10 estate in the state, we're fifth overall on all property 11 -- we have a lot of exempt property. We have property on Main Street -- and I know there's some successes there, 12 we have some great businesses in town that have made the 13 14 best of old buildings. 15 But if you look carefully a lot of the 16 stock on Main Street, standing stock, some of these 17 contributing buildings are empty. They are vacant. So we have some successes but we have a long way to go. And 18 19 one point that I want to make to you today, sometimes you 20 have to cut the arm off to save the body. 21 If we don't get an injection of capital into this community, into the downtown, we're going to 22 23 watch our historic structures drop one at a time because 24 of some of the comments you heard earlier from the

1 attorney. The money isn't there to keep these structures 2. up, to take care of them. 3 And once they get to a certain point -- we 4 have a couple other structures on Main Street, the water 5 is pouring through those buildings now as well. 6 don't get some catalyst to increase the enterprise value 7 of Main Street, then these buildings are going to go down one by one. 8 9 You're going to hear testimony today from 10 staff about the condition of the Hooker Building. We are 11 very close for that building to be torn down, I'll tell 12 you right now. And I'm not an engineer and I'm not an 13 architect but we have some reports, we have expertise 14 here, and we're not going to take responsibility for a 15 building falling in the street on our people. I can tell you, I will not do that. But 16 17 that building -- I went in there recently myself and there's five inches of ice in places. There's ice on the 18 19 roof, but water is pouring through there. It was in bad 20 shape before that, it's not going to be long. And there are other buildings on Main Street that have a similar 21 22 condition so we need to move quickly. 23 And this developer that we have, Martin 24 Kelly, he's about speed, he's about scale and he's using

1 his own money and he wants to move quickly. If we start 2. to work a historic renovation project, which he's not the 3 right developer anyway, that is going to delay the 4 process. Historic renovations are more expensive and we don't want to slow down one bit. 5 Just to answer some of the presentation of 6 7 the proforma because that's sort of my expertise, I'll 8 take all the numbers that were represented by the Trust, 9 many of them I think are incorrect, you can't service the 10 debt on that project that was presented. You have to 11 finance this or you have to have a return on investment. And right off the get-go, \$400,000 in net cash flow, 12 13 you're going to have 21 years before you pay back the 14 money without a return. 15 And then you start getting into some of 16 the numbers and what it's really going cost to repair 17 those buildings, which we have a pretty good idea because of how many people have come through here and looked at 18 19 other projects such as the Wauregan, such as the Mills 20 which you're going to hear about today right in town, financially they don't work -- \$30-some odd million in 21 22 the Mill and they want a \$1 million assessment on their 23 property and that was mostly public money. 24 projects don't always work.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: You're out of time.
2	MR. RIVERS: I appreciate you being here,
3	thank you for your time, please let this project go
4	forward. This is critical for this community, thanks
5	(applause).
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I'm going
7	to read down the list, Jim Bellano.
8	MR. JIM BELLANO: Good morning, thanks for
9	coming here today. My name is Jim Bellano, I'm the
10	Economic Development Director for the Town of Windham.
11	One of my charges in my capacity is to
12	enhance business development and grow the tax base for
13	the entire town, and that certainly includes our Main
14	Street. And to a large extent we have town-wide
15	commercial property values, we're up about 14 percent in
16	the most recent eval that was done.
17	And even on Main Street some values are
18	rising and we do see tenants becoming property owners.
19	And maybe they'll buy historic buildings be good stewards
20	of those buildings. They're moving from tenant to Main
21	Street stakeholder. I expect you'll be hearing from some
22	of them today. But historic Main Street still lags
23	behind the rest of the town primarily because of the
24	decade old difficulty in developing The Hooker and Hale

1	Buildings.
2	We know where these buildings are, they're
3	in the middle of Main Street, they take up a large part
4	of Main Street. They're the keystone to the economic
5	future of the downtown. And as you're aware, the two
6	buildings are not on the National Register. They're
7	contributing buildings to a District that's on the
8	National Register.
9	For CEPA purposes that may be a
10	distinction without a difference, but we have an
11	excellent track record in historic preservation. You've
12	heard about the 44 and 45 buildings still existing and
13	you've also heard about other projects we have done and
14	the town has always supported those.
15	From an Economic Development perspective,
16	the revitalization of the entire downtown Historic
17	District is one of my priorities. I really don't have
18	the luxury to prioritize two buildings over the other 42,
19	it's got to be the whole District. And talking about Mr.
20	Kelly's development, some of the economic impact from
21	that development can be quantified in a sense.
22	Our Assessor tells us that when those
23	buildings come on-line, and I mean on-line because they
24	are also in an enterprise zone, a state designated

1 enterprise zone which means it's another ability to 2. encourage private investment, it should add another half 3 a million dollars to the town tax base. I'm talking 4 about this project not the project that was presented. 5 What can't be quantified though is the 6 intent, what a project like that would mean to the entire 7 Main Street District. You know, both the National Main Street Center and Connecticut Main Street Center talk 8 9 about the primary imperative to revitalizing downtown is 10 feet on the street. When you put 150 units, and he's talking 11 about doing more, on Main Street and you put retail 12 13 there, that's going to revitalize the entire District and 14 the other 42 buildings that will be left standing. So 15 feet on the street is something we're very concerned with. 16 17 Secondly and more importantly they've talked about opportunities zones. These properties are 18 19 in a newly created opportunity zones. Last week I went 20 to an Adaptive Reuse Summit for Historic Buildings, some of you may have been there. I know some SHPO people were 21 there, some Trust people were in attendance. Opportunity 22 zones were a prominent topic at the seminar. 23 24 Nationally about 48 percent of opportunity

1	zones lie within Historic Districts. The number is
2	probably similar if not even higher in Connecticut. A
3	woman named Merrill Hoopengardner, hopefully I'm
4	pronouncing her name right, President of the National
5	Historic Trust, gave a presentation entitled Opportunity
6	Zones and Advocacy.
7	One slide of her presentation stood out in
8	particular that was entitled OZ Limitations. Now the
9	slide wasn't talking about limitations on opportunity
10	zones as a tool for investment, it was talking about
11	limitations on opportunity zones working with the current
12	historic preservation funding models.
13	The first bullet point was telling
14	regulations, present limitations for OZ investments to
15	easily be combined with other financing tools such as new
16	market tax credits or historic tax credits. I assume
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.
18	MR. BELLANO: I assume it was presented
19	today from the Trust's presentation it was a prudent and
20	
	feasible alternative talking about mixing federal
21	feasible alternative talking about mixing federal historic tax credits with opportunity zones and new
21 22	
	historic tax credits with opportunity zones and new

1 only have a minute left I'd just say the Governor is 2. promoting the enterprise zones, so is DECD. And to be 3 kind the historical process is long, it's costly, it's 4 small in scale compared to market rate. 5 I'll just finish up by saying we have many 6 historic buildings on Main Street, we'd love to work with 7 SHPO and the Trust with them, I certainly have a list. You know, our Main Street is at a tipping point and we're 8 9 really trying to push forward so I would ask you to not push back in the other direction on this. 10 11 This is the right development for this 12 Thank you (applause). area. 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I just 14 want to clarify for the record that when there is contributing as part of National Register District or 15 16 individually listed, it is the same just so you know. 17 Okay, Matt Vertefeuille? I apologize if I butchered your name. 18 19 MR. MATTHEW VERTEFEUILLE: T take no 20 offense it's Vertefeuille; I'm sorry for my voice. After 21 the walkthrough we did on February 21st, I developed a 22 lung infection and pneumonia because of the exposure to 23 the mold within the buildings, so my voice is a little 24 bit weak today.

1 My name is Matthew Vertefeuille, I'm a 2. third generation Willimantic born. I live in the 1890s 3 Reverend Evan George Victorian in the Hill Section right 4 over here under restoration, walking distance to this room and to the Town Hall. I live there with my wife and 5 6 my two young sons who I'm raising here as well. 7 I've restored houses I've renovated and converted; the historic 1887 Guilford Smith Farm in South 8 9 Windham. I acted as designer, contractor and owner. 10 consider myself to be a preservationist of historic 11 architecture. But I also act as Director of Development 12 for the Town of Windham. I'm ZEO wetland's officer and 13 head of the code enforcement department. 14 A big part of my job is to proactively meet with new developers and businesses to find out where 15 16 they fit within our regulations and to work side by side 17 with them and guide them through regulatory meetings, paperwork and requirements for their project. 18 19 Local zoning regulations help to protect 20 these historic structures. The incentive is the reuse of 21 the buildings. Sometimes it is not possible to do the overwhelming cost associated with the unknowns of 22 historic restorations, we understand that. Our 23 24 regulations also guide new construction so it doesn't

ruin the fabric of our Historic District. 1 2 We've taken great steps creating binding 3 architectural guidelines for properties built within our 4 historic downtown, so if you're building a new building 5 architecturally it has to fit in with our downtown. 6 Schide from The Historic Trust pointed out that historic 7 preservation is working here. And I agree, it is working 8 here. We've had some great successes. 9 We -- I have worked on several different projects in town to preserve local historic buildings 10 11 that remind us of where we came from. The Path Academy, the ACT School, the old Capital Theater, Windham Mills, 12 13 516 on Main Art Space, the Hurley Murray Building, these 14 projects are all historic restorations all working through historic tax credits here in town. 15 16 These projects have been transformative 17 but come at a cost. Each has shown that probability was not achieved, many have gone bankrupt, all have 18 19 struggled. We've seen the buildings change hands time 20 and time again to escape the debt and it's still not escaped. The Windham Mills was a \$35 million project, it 21 was recently valued at about \$6 million. It's a great 22 23 example of a bigger scale project but how using these 24 credits doesn't necessarily make it profitable or prudent

and feasible.
Over the past 40 years we've struggled to
reenergize our downtown. We have countless studies and
plans sitting on a shelf. We have gone after and worked
to attract developers from across the region but this is
not Hartford, this is not Westport. Our Main Street is
unique and our town is unique.
We are a small distressed municipality
which needs all the help we can get to attract a
developer like Mr. Kelly. Over the past few years we
have shown and worked with dozens of qualified developers
looking at the buildings at 819 and 833 Main Street. I
myself have walked through those buildings close to 15 to
20 times in each building.
CHFA, POCO, CIL, Women's Institute, Beacon
Student Housing, Common Ground, they've all poked,
prodded and beaten these buildings to death. I've seen
proformas from most of these companies, I've had
conversations with the principals from these companies.
All the similar conclusions, the numbers don't work.
CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.
MR. VERTEFEUILLE: Restoring or tearing
them down, historic credits, supportive affordable VA
money, there's still too much of a gap. How many times

1	do we need to demonstrate this for us not to conclude the
2	plans are not feasible? I'm going to skip right to my
3	conclusion.
4	Historic buildings are saved because we
5	want to remember our history. You'll hear today about
6	the history we don't want to remember, which is that
7	these buildings represent one 40-year vacant building
8	abandoned by the state and the other a center for
9	prostitution as you will hear from our Fire Chief and our
10	Police Chief with over 275 overdoses.
11	I'm speaking before you to appeal to your
12	objectivity, to ask that you look at the larger picture
13	of what is reasonable and prudent and what a reasonable
14	and prudent alternative plan might be. I'm asking you to
15	help us end 40 years of blight so that other historic
16	structures can survive.
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: You're out of time.
18	MR. VERTEFEUILLE: If a feasible plan was
19	available it would have shown up by now.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
21	MR. VERTEFEUILLE: Thank you (applause).
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Joe Smith.
23	MR. JOE SMITH: Joseph Smith, S-M-I-T-H,
24	good morning. I've reviewed the reports dated 28

1 February 2019 from Cirrus Structural Engineering; August 2. 10, 2009 from Bounds Caliber; and the Schadler Selnau 3 Associates, PC dated February 22, 2019. The report from 2009 included 4 5 recommendations for structural repairs that the authors 6 indicated were urgent in nature and the others with no 7 indication of urgency. The urgent items were addressed to the satisfaction of the engineers shortly after the 8 9 report was created. Some of the repairs included adding wood 10 11 structural members. These members were made from laminated and common lumber none of which were treated 12 13 for or naturally resistant to decay. These members had 14 been exposed to extremely wet conditions for an unknown 15 time and are exhibiting signs of decay. The remaining items, which included 16 17 recommendations for repointing exterior brick-bearing walls, reinforcing existing roof framing, replacing 18 19 portions of exterior brick walls and further 20 investigation of the condition of the corridor-bearing wall's footings among other items, were not addressed to 21 my knowledge. 22 23 Please note that the report indicates that 2.4 the roof at the time was well drained and did not show

1 evidence of ponding. The report from Cirrus Structural 2. Engineering is as stated in the first paragraph, a 3 cursory assessment. This report relies entirely on the report from 2009 for structural data and provides no new 4 5 empirical data in its conclusions. The executive summary included in the 6 7 building assessment from Schadler Selnau has been created by an architectural firm with no indication of 8 9 preparation or review by an engineer licensed to perform such assessment in the State of Connecticut. 10 11 The summary contains statements and conclusions relating to the condition of structural 12 13 components such as the general structural frame and the 14 brick wall face stating that they're intact and adequate in their current state. The previously mentioned report 15 indicates that the brick face has continued to 16 17 deteriorate beyond that which was observed in 2009. Both engineer's reports indicate the 18 19 immediate need to address the ponding on the roof and the 20 need to perform previously reinforcements to the rafters. 21 I've also reviewed the letter from Brad Schide of The 22 Connecticut Trust Historic Preservation dated March 4, 23 This letter states that the engineers come to the 2019. 2.4 conclusion that the building is not in danger of

1	collapse.
2	Please note that none of the reports made
3	available to this office have indicated that the authors
4	of the reports have come to this conclusion. This
5	office, which is charged by statute to determine whether
6	a structure is safe or unsafe, has determined that the
7	current condition of 819 Main Street creates an unsafe
8	structure.
9	Deterioration of structural members,
10	standing water on the roof, the conclusions and
11	recommendations of the qualified structural analysis and
12	the additional dead load in interior floors created by
13	several inches of ice on these floors.
14	In conclusion the owners of the structure
15	must either remove the structure, have a new complete
16	structural analysis performed that disputes the findings
17	of the previous qualified analysis and/or perform
18	structural repairs or upgrades to temporarily or
19	permanently support the structure. Thank you (applause).
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Rich
21	Cody.
22	MR. RICH CODY: Good afternoon, my name is
23	Rich Cody. I am the town attorney, I've been working for
24	the town since 1989, very familiar with these economic

development efforts and projects and negotiated a lot of 1 2 the agreements that none of which really came to fruition 3 through the development of properties on Main Street. 4 Also I don't usually mention this but it 5 seems appropriate here, I have an LLM in environmental law and in view of that degree I focused on CEPA and I've 6 7 litigated many cases on CEPA and understand the statute very well. And I've argued the statute and the standards 8 9 to the Supreme Court at least twice. What I'm going to focus in on my litigator 10 11 hat, I'm going to focus in on the statutory role the Council plays and that is your decision today, is whether 12 13 or not to ask the Attorney General to bring a case under 14 CEPA and demonstrate that the destruction of these 15 buildings would be unreasonable as a prima facie case. 16 And in doing so I'm going to ask you to 17 look at the evidence that you have and to decide whether or not recommending a case to the Attorney General will 18 19 carry with it the kind of evidence that will be necessary 20 in a court of law to support the case. And I'm talking about admissible testimony, I'm also talking about the 21 statements that may be made that are against interests. 22 23 One of the -- to me as a litigator, the 24 most important fact that I've seen in the records and

1 documents that have been presented to you is the simple 2. fact that this property was owned by the state and put up 3 for auction about 10 years ago. In the bid documents the 4 state admitted that the property was obsolete, and I'm talking about The Hale Building, for purposes of a 5 commercial hotel and efficiency apartment development. 6 7 This is a statement that you would have to surmount and overcome in court and it would make for an 8 9 interesting court moment indeed to watch an Attorney 10 General, an Assistant Attorney General stand up and tell 11 a Judge please ignore what we said 10 years ago. We --12 the state said that 10 years ago, please ignore that 13 after 10 years of more neglect, 10 years of more 14 abandonment of the property. I don't think you would be able to make 15 16 that prima facie case in a court. In looking at the 17 report that was presented by The Trust, there were several things that I noticed that were just missing and 18 19 some of which Mr. Vertefeuille and Mr. Smith referenced. 20 There's no mention of cost or actual rehabilitation that 21 they presented, it discusses only repairs to the 22 structure and nothing more. There's no connection 23 between fixing the structure and any functional use of 24 the property.

1	There's no mention of environmental
2	remediation of asbestos and lead and you're going to find
3	asbestos and lead abatement costs there, so. There's no
4	mention of availability of actual funds. Courts in CEPA
5	cases look to actual evidence not speculation or
6	conjecture. The idea that there could be financing,
7	could be grants isn't going to be enough. They have to
8	be proven to be available.
9	Importantly courts evaluating a CEPA case
10	also look at time periods in which the alternatives can
11	be evaluated. In the report by The Trust there's no
12	mention of time involving conducting the activity of the
13	rehabilitation, there's no mention of time to acquire
14	financing and there's no mention of time to do the
15	construction.
16	I negotiated for the property that was
17	down on the bottom across Main Street, it's a vacant
18	property that the town owns and Mr. Vertefeuille noted
19	that he worked with CIL. They wanted to put a
20	public/private partnership together and use grants. We
21	negotiated an agreement in principle, they were looking
22	for a five-year due diligent period.
23	Five-year due diligent period just to
24	determine whether or not the financing would work. This

1 is an unreasonable amount of time. They walked from the 2. deal, they said it wasn't enough time, they decided they 3 couldn't get the financing and that's for a vacant parcel 4 of land that you don't have to worry about the 5 environmental remediation for. So putting on my litigator hat I'm going 6 7 to ask you to look objectively at the evidence and ask 8 yourselves have we given them qualification in this 9 particular case to the Attorney General? Thank you 10 (applause). 11 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Victor 12 Funderburk. Thank you for 13 MAYOR VICTOR FUNDERBURK: 14 being here. I'm going to read a short statement. We heard a lot of facts and figures here today but I want to 15 16 talk a little more about the heart of the matter. As a long-time resident I want to talk about the vacant 17 buildings at 819 and 833 Main Street. 18 19 My name is Victor Funderburk, the Mayor of 20 Windham, Connecticut. I would like to welcome you to our 21 Town Hall and to thank you for this opportunity to speak 22 about our current efforts at economic development for our 23 residents. 2.4 Today both personally and professionally,

1 I ask you to clear the way for the removal of these 2. buildings which continue to be an impediment for economic growth. In the early 1970s, as an intern and a teacher 3 4 in Hartford for Hartford High School while earning a 5 Master's degree in urban education at the University of 6 Hartford, I developed an intense interest in urban 7 problems. 8 In the evenings and on weekends I worked 9 as a volunteer with the Revitalization Corps painting 10 apartments, tutoring, organizing and learning that with 11 work you can change lives. In 1973, I came to Windham and have enjoyed 46 years of active participation in the 12 13 Windham community. I have been a modest part of positive 14 change over those years. From 1973 to 2010, I was a biology teacher 15 16 at the middle school and the high school and learned to overcome obstacles in money and resources to help my 17 students. In 1985, working with 20 other people, we 18 19 founded the Windham Theater Guild. You are looking at 20 the only living producer of the opera The Frogs of 21 Windham. Today the frogs on the bridge downtown and a successful community Burton Leavitt Theater located on 22 23 Main Street attest to our success. 2.4 Last year we produced 10 plays along with

1	the community chorus of Broadway music. I want to add
2	this has been an enormous community effort when we have
3	so many people involved now. Collaboration works. I
4	received a Bachelor's degree in history from the
5	University of Connecticut in 1970. Few had the passion
6	or respect I have for history and its role in our lives.
7	My house is full of history books.
8	I highly value historic preservation, I
9	supported efforts to preserve The Mills and The Textile
10	Museum, but we are here today to discuss two buildings
11	that are an abomination. For four decades I have been
12	upset with The Hooker Hotel as a dilapidated humiliation
13	of Thomas J. Hooker's legacy with its awful history of
14	drug use, prostitution, abandonment and today sagging
15	floors and an unstable wall.
16	My dream has been that this building will
17	be torn down and the town will put a placard to reclaim
18	and reeducate the community about the true history of
19	Thomas J. Hooker. The other building, The Nathan Hale,
20	has small rooms of concrete and is reported to be filled
21	with asbestos. Abandoned for a long time this building
22	too is an insult to our hero from Coventry, Nathan Hale.
23	Having an interest in town administration
24	along the way, I earned a Master's degree in public

1	administration from the University of Connecticut. While
2	continuing to teach I served on many Committees working
3	to improve our community. Since 2000, I served on the
4	Windham Collaborative, The Thread City Development
5	Corporation and the last 11 years on the Windham Economic
6	Development Commission.
7	During that time we heard from many
8	Committees, engineers and owners of The Nathan Hale and
9	The Hooker Buildings. The result was always the same,
10	economically impossible and not feasible to restore. And
11	so the buildings have sat for so many years in the heart
12	of our Main Street damaging efforts for economic
13	development.
14	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.
15	MAYOR FUNDERBURK: In 2017, I was elected
16	Mayor of Windham. I ran because I saw it as a chance to
17	help economic development to improve the lives of all who
18	live in Windham. I won in all three voting areas of town
19	not because of me, but because of a shared commitment to
20	a genuine desire for growth and change.
21	I come here today to ask you to help us in
22	this effort. As a more prosperous town we will be better
23	able to preserve the 42 other aging buildings in town

1 preserve those buildings while we make more history with 2. historic changes in our downtown. 3 I thank you for listening (applause). 4 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. 5 Devino (sic). 6 MR. TOM DeVIVO: It's DeVivo and --7 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: My apologies. MR. DeVIVO: -- no, that's okay. Thank 8 9 you for coming to coming to Windham today. You know, I come from a unique position. I'm one of the former 10 11 owners of those two structures. Yet today when Mr. Kelly was here, it's 12 13 the second time I ever met him, he never showed me his 14 plans. I think it was no quid pro quo that he would help 15 me demo in any way. But basically and I run a business, 16 we're businessmen, my brother and myself. And we want 17 the best for Windham, we want what every Windham resident 18 wants. 19 When we purchased those buildings we 20 wanted to see vitality on Main Street, we want to see 21 feet on the street. But I'm also a Councilmember and with me are the rest of the Council, they're all sitting 22 23 -- many of them are sitting here in the front row. I've 2.4 been on the Board of Planning & Zoning, I've been

Chairman of Economic Development. 1 2. These buildings can't be redeveloped in 3 the way that The Historic Trust thinks that they should 4 My brother and my mother and I, we all purchased 833 5 and 819 Main Street fully aware of those challenges. 6 CHFA actually owned them, owned The Hotel Hooker and they 7 own the YMCA building across the street, but they owned 8 them. 9 They were there with Common Ground, Common 10 Ground was going to put supportive housing. The Town 11 Council stood up and said we like supportive housing, we like Common Ground. We thought it would be a good deal 12 13 for Main Street, Willimantic. They couldn't put 14 financial structure together no matter what they did. 15 CHFA actually evicted the people that 16 lived in there or found suitable housing for them 17 somewhere else in Columbia up at the Columbia Hotel actually. They said that there was structural issues in 18 19 the building and they had to leave quickly. Lots of 20 people in the community that were gossipers said it's a ruse, there's no structural problems. There are 21 structural problems. 22 23 The basement had to be jacked up with two 24 by fours holding the whole basement. You go up to the

- top floor of 819 Main Street, it's got huge waves in the floor. The building is like -- it sinks in the middle of the building creating great distress on the back and front walls.

 When they talked about saving the façade,
 - When they talked about saving the façade, the façade was put on in the 70s. There's no front -- wooden front porch, the furnace in the building came out of St. Mary's School when it burned down, okay. I know the history of this town, I'm multi-generational here. That building is in trouble.

833 Main Street, in 1978 it's been vacant most of the time I ever remember. It wasn't -- it's not super historical looking it's just a brick building. To compare it against factory buildings that were built to be a factory in Windsor and compare it to two buildings that were built to be hotels, they're not even comparable when I saw those things.

We did spend time, we met with Ken Olson from POCO. Unfortunately he's passed away otherwise I would have come here to show us you couldn't get the density, you couldn't get the money. The funding never came up. You just couldn't make the numbers work for those two buildings to be rehabbed. It just -- it wasn't available.

1 We've walked through numerous developers, 2. CIL was one of them. There's so many folks came through 3 I can't think of every name now but they came through and 4 they never would come back. So finally after our mother 5 passed away my brother and I said we should just sell these buildings and move on. 6 7 And we listed with a real estate agent and 8 people would come with great plans and this and that and 9 finally Mr. Kelly came and said he wanted to purchase them and he has a plan that's coming to Town Hall. 10 11 Town Council has also agreed that removing these buildings is probably in the best interest of the town. 12 13 We have lots of other structures, wood 14 structures on Main Street where there's a rug thing that 15 the town -- the state spent \$50,000 putting a new roof 16 The roof -- the building is still caving in. After 17 the state spent \$50 grand to repair the roof no one ever moved in. 18 19 So it sits there, we have a carpet guy on 20 the first floor but no one moved to the second and third 21 floor. So we need development, we need solid people on 22 the street and we need -- we definitely need this 23 development and I wish only the best for Mr. Kelly. 24 Thank you (applause).

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
2	Councilmembers, we have 10 minutes left in the regular
3	scheduled meeting. I want to see first ask for a show
4	of hands of who can stay till 1:00 p.m. at least? Only
5	one okay, so we yeah, alright.
6	So I'm going to suggest that we continue
7	this meeting to 1:00 p.m. to allow more opportunity for
8	people to come forward. I just want to remind everybody,
9	I understand the very personal connections that a lot of
10	you have to these buildings. I'm going to ask you to
11	remember that we're looking for new information.
12	We are very interested in that and in the
13	interest in having as many of you speak today as
14	possible, I just want ask you to remember to if you're
15	just signaling your agreement with things that have been
16	said, to do that and let the next person speak.
17	Okay, the next person is Tom White.
18	MR. KEVIN DONAHUE: Hi, I'm Kevin Donahue
19	not Tom White. Tom White was unable to come and I am
20	standing in in his stead. I'm here representing the Town
21	of Windham Board of Finance and it's from that
22	perspective I want to chat with you.
23	I've lived in this town for a decade.
24	I've served on the Town Council and the Board of Finance.

I've been in the orbit of this town for decades before 1 2. that living in Columbia and other towns and been on 3 Boards of Finance there, so I recognize the financial 4 constraints here. 5 I live in Windham Center in the historic 1750s Dr. Hunt House, into which I have poured vastly 6 7 more money than I will ever get out, but it's a hobby and a passion. And I recognize that real estate development 8 9 is neither of those two things so I want to kind of focus my talk on the concept of balance. 10 11 We at the Board of Finance are the hub of the wheel when it comes to need in this town. 12 We see 13 every budget and every need. We see the library, we see 14 the school, we see the town agencies. All have needs, needs that are in excess of our ability to pay. 15 16 And it's always a question of balance, 17 what can we do to improve the organism of our town in the best possible way? How do we balance the various needs 18 which is of course what you have to do today, is think 19 20 about that balance? And I'd like you to kind of think 21 about some of the things that we think about on the Board of Finance. 22 23 We recognize that we have a limited tax 24 base and grand list. We're a poor town -- 164,000,

1 169,000, struggling downtown with many missing teeth. 2 Businesses struggling and in particular our schools are 3 struggling. Our schools are aching for more money than 4 our taxpayers can afford and so our town has many, many 5 needs. 6 I recognize that many of our neighbors 7 from other towns come here and love the quaint appearance of many of our structures and etc., but they're kids 8 9 don't go to our schools. They don't pay our taxes and 10 our town has to support the needs of a very poor, poor 11 town as best we can. 12 So the Board of Finance as a group has 13 come up with a resolution noting that we're deeply 14 concerned with the future -- with the present and the future of the town. Our goal is to balance, balancing 15 16 the present and the future moving forward in the best 17 possible way. Our -- we recognize the loss of 18 19 manufacturing employment in town and how that stands from 20 an economic -- how this impacted our town. We note that the town in general, as you've heard already, has a very 21 22 strong interest in economic development and has done many 23 things to forward that goal.

The town has consistently supported

2.4

1 historic rehab as Matt has pointed to you and has a long 2. history of that. And we also note in the town -- the 3 Board of Finance notes the condition of these buildings 4 that you've heard about today. 5 So on January 16th the Board of Finance 6 had overwhelmingly passed a resolution in support of this 7 project recognizing that it is in the best interest of the town to move forward in that area. We are very 8 9 interested in the fact that this is privately owned. 10 As our State Rep has noted, we have a 11 significant number of supported housing, we need to 12 increase our grand list. We need to do that to support 13 the many needs that we see on a regular basis. 14 supporting our grand list and growing our town are 15 critically important to us. 16 We see towns -- we see our businesses on 17 Main Street in the neighborhood with these buildings struggling. I have friends who own buildings there and 18 19 whose businesses are right on the edge. They're doing 20 what they can to maintain a business on Main Street but 21 it's hard because we don't have the foot traffic that we 22 need and the foot traffic that this project promises to 23 have.

We also note that state funding has not

24

been that effective and I think you heard that from Mr. 1 2. Rivers. I would point out The Hurley Building, to look 3 for, and count on, state funding has been a fool's errand 4 for us for generations and I think that we need to see 5 t.hat.. 6 So we are looking -- in following up on 7 that point, we also note that disallowing this project would in effect hurt Main Street and hurt the private 8 9 owners of these other 44 buildings that are crumbling and 10 in need of private development. So for that many reasons 11 we feel while economic development is crucial, it's our number one goal here in town and on the Board of Finance 12 13 in increasing our grand list. 14 We recognize that historic preservation is 15 important but right now by way of balance, this is by far 16 the most important thing we could do in this town and the 17 most important that we've done or looked at in generations. So we strongly -- and the Board of Finance 18 19 strongly support this effort (applause). 20 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Dennis O'Brien. 21 22 MR. DENNIS O'BRIEN: Thank you very much 23 for coming to Windham, it's a real pleasure to have you 24 I'm Dennis O'Brien, I've lived in Windham since --

1	or Willimantic since 1974.
2	I grew up in the City of New Britain.
3	I've been an attorney for almost 47 years, was in legal
4	aid for 23 years as Director of Litigation for the
5	statewide legal aid program, Connecticut Legal Services,
6	working with Racine Pakulski, who I think some of you
7	know, for more than 15 years.
8	I also was a Judge in the children's
9	court, Probate Court, for 12 years. I've had a lot of
10	experience here in Windham working with the community
11	especially the low income community. And the thing that
12	I want to oh, I should say in full disclosure. You
13	heard from State Representative Johnson earlier.
14	I am married to State Representative
15	Johnson, she is my wife. That's the most important
16	things that I can tell you about me. But in any event, I
17	retired from the Probate Court two years ago, I'm in my
18	mid-70s. A few years ago my wife talked me into
19	accepting Dawn Niles's invitation to take the position on
20	the Town Council in the Town of Windham, and I've been
21	there ever since.
22	Her concern was that she had received
23	she had gotten the state to bond over \$1 million, \$1.3
24	million for a new Senior Community Center in this town

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

1 but then that sat on the table for five years and nothing 2. was being done about it. So she said Dennis, you should go on the Town Council, shake them up and get them going. 3 4 So I did go on there and we have a new -- Dawn and I are 5 on the Town Council, we've got a new Town Manager, Jim 6 Rivers who you heard from. 7 And low and behold now we're in the 8 process of building a new Senior Community Center. We're 9 also in the process of renovating our high school, we're 10 also in the process of doing many other important 11 projects in town including a new parking garage downtown 12 using state money. The state's been very good to us in 13 the last several years thanks to our local officials and 14 our state delegation. 15 Okay I've lived here a long time, 16 supported all the historic preservation projects that 17 have come down the pike until this one came -- until this issue came up. I was stunned when I first heard that a 18 19 private developer was interested in investing millions of 20 dollars in The Hooker and Hale Buildings because we don't 21 see a lot of private developers coming into this town to 22 invest in it. We haven't for ages, at least certainly 23 not at this level.

We have difficulty drawing private

24

1 investment. Most of the investment in our town comes 2. from state dollars. We get a lot of money from the 3 state, we don't get enough, we could use more. Let me 4 say something that nobody has said before. 5 We said we were a small town, okay. Our 6 population is less than 25,000. Of that population 7 you're talking about a lot of children whereas in the surrounding town there's a lot of empty seats in 8 9 elementary schools. We don't have that, we got a lot of 10 kids here. 11 We are a low income town, very low income, 12 our poverty rate according to CRC is 25 percent. 13 Coventry's for example, a neighboring town, not a wealthy 14 The poverty level is three percent. We're different. We're also different from Hartford and 15 16 Norwalk as Matt had first pointed out. 17 Okay, I've been to all those towns. I was Director of litigation for Legal Aid, I litigated 18 19 in Stamford, Hartford, Norwalk, New Haven, Bridgeport, 20 Waterbury, everywhere. Those towns have one advantage over us, there's a lot of historic preservation that goes 21 on there and a lot of them have to review within those 22 23 towns, but those towns are not a town of less than 25,000 24 people with the kind of poverty that we have.

1 We don't have wealthy people in this town. 2. When I was Judge in Probate -- you've heard about the 3 estate tax. In Fairfield County 50 percent of the people 4 who die -- and the estate tax is a few million dollar 5 threshold. In 12 years as at the Probate Court I saw 6 two, two estates above that level. 7 We are a low income town. We cannot 8 afford to ignore an opportunity to bring private 9 development into this town. If this development is not accepted and it doesn't go forward somehow, we're going 10 11 to have a reputation that we're not welcoming private 12 development. 13 And I learned from expert witnesses in 14 cases I've handled in the federal court that a town's 15 reputation means everything. This is a once in a 16 lifetime opportunity for the Town of Windham and the City 17 of Willimantic. We need to do this and we need to do this 18 19 mostly for the people in our town who suffer from poverty 20 from economic deprivation. Those are the people that 21 really need help in this town. It needs the town's 22 economy to take off. We're working very hard to make it 23 take off and we need your help. 24 I agree with Attorney Cody, I've litigated

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

1 in courts all over the east and even in the Supreme Court 2. and I can tell you I think that if this case is brought 3 forward to the court I don't think it will succeed, I 4 really don't. 5 And I don't think the Attorney General 6 will bring it because I've litigated with the Attorney 7 General many, many times. But of course that is up to 8 you. 9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Time is up. 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you very much for your 11 time (applause). 12 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Okay, we 13 have a half hour left. We still have a considerable 14 number of people, we are going down the list in the order in which people have signed-in. The next person is 15 Charlie Krich. 16 17 MR. CHARLIE KRICH: Good afternoon, welcome to town. It's a place that I love dearly. I 18 19 hope you'll have the time to walk through some of the 20 streets and byways here before you leave. There's just 21 great things (indiscernible) other places. I also own an 22 old home in the Prospect Hill Neighborhood's Historic

We have three Historic Districts in

23

2.4

District here.

1	Windham, one of them the center of Main Street itself
2	was is a Historic District. And if you do go to the
3	Prospect Historic District a picture of my house is
4	there. It's like my baby pictures, we all have pictures
5	we want to share of our grandkids.
6	That's been my grandkid there, it's been a
7	labor of love for me to be able to do that. I loved what
8	Kevin Donahue said because that's so much how I see it.
9	I'm a member of the Town Council that voted almost
10	unanimously nine to one to support the developer here.
11	It's not that we did that lightly because
12	we just want to see things go. We know through all of
13	our lives here how difficult that property has been to
14	market. There's just been no prior success, it's going
15	to take a private developer to do this.
16	One thing that and it's going to take
17	the balance that Kevin talked about and that balance
18	particularly is the scale that this project is going to
19	have. The only thing that hasn't been mentioned is that
20	we look at the three phases of the development. One
21	phase that was described as being vacant, yeah it's
22	vacant because that building fell down.
23	So I hope that we don't just focus on two
24	buildings but on the scale of what that could possibly do

to revitalize the rest of that whole area which is not 1 2. easy to say, a thing our Town Manager didn't mention 3 either, but there's a façade of the building that just 4 fell down this year. 5 You have the one on Walnut Street but 6 that's only a few steps from where we're sitting here 7 right now. A lot of these buildings are in delicate condition and I think we have a project. What we'd do as 8 9 Kevin had mentioned would encourage other people to take 10 ownership of some of these buildings in bringing them 11 back. 12 And I would as a member of the Council 13 urge you again to do what we requested, which is if you 14 would not stand in the way of this development and allow 15 them to proceed. I think we've been good stewards of 16 buildings here and this development will give us an 17 ability to continue what we've always been doing 18 (applause). 19 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. 20 (Applause) Dawn Niles. 21 MS. DAWN NILES: Hello, my name is Dawn 22 Niles. I currently serve on the Town Council for the 23 Town of Windham. I also serve on the Board of Selectmen 24 for the Town of Windham and on the Planning & Zoning

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

Commission for the Town of Windham and I currently am in charge of constituent services for House Democrats for the Speaker of the House.

I'm here to basically say that -- I mean,

I'm here to basically say that -- I mean, a lot of people talked to you about what we think of The Hotel Hooker now and it's falling down. I actually -- my mom used to work in The Hotel Hooker as the bookkeeper when it was the hotel and it's beautiful, but it's not anymore.

It's gone from being a place that people wanted to go and stay in to a place that we just want to forget. It is called Heroin Town. We've had enough of this negativeness. The building is falling apart. As part of the Town Council and the Board of Selectmen, we've given tax credits across the board for the Windham Mills, we've tried to bring things back.

We worked to make sure that two renovations on Main Street, the YMCA and the Capital Theater, were renovated as new and one of them actually, I can't remember which one, had the façade come down as we were trying to renovate it. As Charlie mentioned, we had a building with the façade starting to come down on Walnut Street.

24 We -- as a Town Council we have been

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

working really, really hard to move this town forward and 1 2. we watched all these developers that have come in that 3 want to have all these breaks and tax credits and let's 4 face it people, the State of Connecticut doesn't have a 5 lot of money to be handing out right now. We are nickel and diming everything on the 6 7 state level never mind trying to help some of this stuff. 8 We have a private developer that's come in who wants to 9 sink some money into this town that we so badly need. nickel and dime our budget -- we're in budget season 10 11 right now and we're talking about \$5,000 here \$5,000 12 there. 13 Why? Because we're doing our best to keep 14 our tax base down because we have no development happening. This would help us move forward. The Town 15 16 Council voted overwhelmingly for Resolution No. 2745. ask you please, do not hinder our development (applause). 17 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Randall 18 19 Prose. 20 MR. RANDALL PROSE: Hi, I'll make it brief 21 because I want this development to go through as well. I'm a teacher at the high school. I fell in love with 22 this town 12 years ago, bought a house, a 1880 and 23 24 another 1920. I had a lot of fun ripping up shaq carpets

1	and tearing paneling down restoring those beautiful
2	Victorian homes.
3	A couple of years ago I ran for Town
4	Council and got elected and this town is on its way back.
5	In the last two years we've really I was wondering
6	what to say and the kids are like coach I also coach
7	the football team, track team. They were like go get
8	them, be honest, don't write a speech go talk from the
9	heart.
10	In the last two years this community has
11	dug deep. We voted to renovate our high school, we voted
12	for a brand new Rec Center, we've got a parking garage to
13	redevelop Main Street, built a stage, cinema for the Rec
14	Center for our students, and we need this tax base.
15	We need this private investor, our schools
16	are struggling. The community has dug really deep to
17	support their own community but this would really help so
18	please let this development go through (applause).
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I'm
20	reading handwriting I may not be able to read clearly,
21	Nectaus Martinez?
22	FEMALE VOICE: He's not here.
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, Marc Schrivner.
24	MR. MARC SCHRIVNER: Good morning, I'll

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

1 make this brief. Welcome to Willimantic. Undoubtedly 2 you will hear and have already heard some passionate 3 testimony today on both sides of the issue. 4 Regardless of our position, all of us that 5 are sitting out here, most of us are friends and we all love Willimantic. We may not agree on the issues but we 6 7 agree that we have a lot of potential here in this 8 community. I'm sure you can see that passion. 9 I'd like to share with you my opinion and perspective as Chief of the Willimantic Fire Department. 10 I live here in Willimantic, I live about a mile away from 11 here and I've worked for the Willimantic Fire Department 12 13 for 20 years. I'm very familiar with these buildings. 14 They directly abut my building, the public safety complex. I not only live one mile but because I 15 live one mile from the building I can walk downtown. 16 do have a work vehicle that I drive to the firehouse 17 every day and I park it right behind 819 Main Street. 18 19 just about touch it where I park each morning when I come 20 to work. And because I live and work here, I walk 21 by these buildings almost daily either for work purposes 22 or for leisure. 833 -- in my opinion 833 Main Street, 23 24 The Nathan Hale, has been disused since prior to my

1 I've been here 20 years at the Fire Department tenure. and it's simply sat idle for the entire time. 2. 3 There's just been no activity in the 4 building in fact this morning I was discussing that 5 building with our administrative assistant, Liz Lucas 6 (phonetic) who today is her 41st anniversary on the job 7 and she only has a vaque recollection of the building being in use during her career. 8 9 She's been here 41 years and can you 10 imagine that that after her 41 years she only has some 11 vague recollection the last time the building was used? In my role I did speak with the Connecticut Fire Academy. 12 13 At one point they had prior went to the 14 state discussing the building, had looked at the building for use for the Fire Academy for training purposes and 15 16 they thought it to be unsuitable. You know, on a regular 17 basis myself and the building official you heard from earlier and others, the Fire Marshal, we constantly are 18 19 keeping an eye on these buildings for public safety 20 reasons for concern for things falling from them. 21 819 Main Street, The Hotel Hooker, was very active in the early part of my career. I've looked 22 23 over the records prior to coming today and found that 24 while it was open we responded to 350 emergency

1 responses, 273 of those were medical related which you've 2. heard from many were overdoses. 3 The majority of the other emergencies were 4 fire alarm, sprinkler activation. Most of these occurred 5 prior to the facility being closed. It was primarily 6 occupied and frequented as you've heard by our neediest 7 residents, people in the lowest end of the socioeconomic scale. 8 9 In most cases they look to the ambulance 10 for their first access to medical care, last chance for 11 survival from addiction-related emergencies. The access 12 to advance care or lack thereof was too late to prevent a 13 poor outcomes in many cases. Thankfully those residents 14 have been relocated to more suitable housing at the 15 Cedarwoods Complex on Cameo Drive. 16 But that building is vague to our 17 collective memory here in the community for those experiences. It's my understanding that 819 Main Street 18 19 is in very poor condition as you've heard and we're 20 growing at the Fire Department very concerned for its 21 proximity and its risk to us in the public safety 22 complex. 23 I recently asked Joe Smith, the building 2.4 official, if the building was in danger of falling onto

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

1 our building and he said he just wasn't sure. 2. specified today that he's very concerned for that 3 building to come down. As someone who appreciates the 4 risk -- the rich history of our community, I understand the affection for these buildings. 5 I feel some of the same affection and it's 6 7 greatly attenuated though by the stain of our unfortunate 8 branding as Heroin Town some years ago, which had its 9 epicenter right next to the firehouse and The Hotel Hooker. As a public safety professional, I believe that 10 11 the time for preservation for these buildings has long past and they need to be raised for the safety of the 12 13 community. 14 After watching these buildings deteriorate in my 20-year career with little hope for outside 15 16 investing as you've heard, I think it's unrealistic to 17 keep doing what we have been doing while expecting different outcomes. It would be very unfortunate for 18 19 these buildings to sit unused for even another year. 20 Our Fire Department administrative 21 assistant will likely retire in two years, I just told 22 you she's 41 years on the job so she'll retire in a 23 couple of years with 43 years of service. 24 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

MR. SCHRIVNER: And I'll be eligible for 1 2. retirement in fewer than five. It would be a real shame 3 if she and I both retired from service here in Windham 4 with no developer in sight and no change in these 5 buildings. 6 I recommend that the current developer be 7 allowed to raise the buildings, redevelop the lot within the confines of our zoning rules and allow our community 8 9 to turn the page on this chapter of our history. Thank 10 you (applause). 11 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Roberto 12 Rosado. 13 MR. ROBERTO ROSADO: Good afternoon, my 14 name is Roberto Rosado. I'm the Willimantic Police Department Chief of Police. I'm also a town resident, 15 16 therefore I have a personal and professional interest in 17 the community. I have over 21 years of law enforcement 18 19 experience all with the Willimantic Police Department and 20 am one of the few remaining officers in this town that 21 was here when The Hooker Hotel was operating. We have a 22 public safety concern with The Hotel Hooker and The 23 Nathan Hale Building. 2.4 The concerns are related to crime, overall

1	unsafe structure, poor conditions to part of our downtown
2	area that present dangers to our community. This
3	community is still scarred from the violent crime and
4	drug activity that existed since The Hotel Hooker opened
5	its doors for living arrangements to transient
6	populations since the early 70s.
7	This Hotel Hooker era labeled our
8	community Heroin Town. I don't have the crime statistics
9	for the entire era but I reviewed data from 2001 to 2003,
10	which is a two and a half year span. In that span it
11	brings back terrible memories. We had over 280 calls for
12	service which seems like we were going there constantly,
13	almost daily.
14	Environmental causes such as the criminal
15	association of individuals and deplorable living
16	conditions at The Hotel Hooker are one of several
17	theories that lead to crime. The crime and nuisance that
18	occurred at The Hotel Hooker since the 70s diminished any
19	historical value it had.
20	Today people remember the label Heroin
21	Town and it's one of the reasons why people have a fear
22	to come into the community and visit our downtown or move
23	here. The Nathan Hale and Hotel Hooker buildings'
24	condition are poor and do not meet minimum standards for

human habitation and the properties are determined to be 1 2. a danger to the public. 3 Over several years there have been other 4 structures in the downtown area in close proximity to The 5 Hotel Hooker and The Nathan Hale buildings that had 6 debris falling from the roofs or the facades. 7 the Tin Tsin Building, located at 804 Main Street, was raised because of exigent circumstances related to 8 9 structural deficiencies. 804 Main Street still remains vacant, it's 10 11 a vacant lot today. Recently the bricks from the façade 12 of the Inglesia Bautista de Willimantic located at 32 Walnut Street, again, fell on Walnut Street. A portion 13 14 of that road from Main Street to Meadow Street had to be 15 closed down for several days and today the sidewalk still remains closed. 16 17 We cannot have that or we cannot afford 18 that on Main Street in town. The project as proposed 19 will bring a breath of fresh air to this community and 20 allow the Town of Windham to move forward in a positive 21 way. Crime rates in the town have been reduced by a number of strategies over the years and this development 22 can help in the same fashion. 23 24 Raising the buildings and building new

1	structures as proposed for housing and business
2	opportunities will create a larger population of
3	residents and businesses to our downtown area.
4	Empirical studies have shown that when you
5	design the environment to increase the population and
6	area through zoning, building structures or housing it
7	will lead to the probability of a reduction in crime.
8	Lower crime rates are important to the
9	Willimantic Police Department and the Town of Windham for
10	several reasons. It's important to shed the stigma of
11	our Heroin Town, increase the property values, reduce
12	fears and improve our overall community.
13	This project design as proposed will make
14	the downtown area look more appealing for people to move
15	here
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.
17	MR. ROSADO: or visit our downtown
18	area. It also has a potential to address many public
19	safety concerns that I have. Thank you for giving me the
20	opportunity to provide this testimony. Thank you
21	(applause).
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I'm
23	looking at the time, it's 10 of 1:00. We are working on
24	the sign-in sheet in the order in which people have

1	signed in. I'd like to do a quick show of hands for
2	those of you remaining in the room who are opposed to
3	or for I should say, the demolition.
4	Could you just raise your hands? I'm just
5	trying to get a sense of numbers who have not testified.
6	FEMALE VOICE: Oh, have not testified?
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yes.
8	DR. WOODWARD: Could you ask that question
9	again?
10	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Let me let me
11	sorry, I'll try to be clear. For those of you remaining
12	in the room who have not yet testified, how many of you
13	are speaking to allowing the demolition to go forward?
14	Twelve. How many of you in the room who have not spoken
15	will be speaking to prevent the demolition?
16	Okay, we are we will not be able to
17	hear everybody in the time that we have allotted. It is
18	important that we actually hear from everybody, in this
19	type of situation what we would do is we would continue
20	the meeting and hold it over to our next scheduled
21	meeting.
22	I think given the amount of people who are
23	here, I think that's in the best interest so I would like
24	to continue to hear as many people who are present here,

continue this until our what would be our April 3rd I believe meeting, the first Wednesday in April. MALE VOICE: Is that here or in Hartford? CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate	believe meeting, the first Wednesday in April. MALE VOICE: Is that here or in Hartford? CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	1	again, working down the sign-in sheet. But then to
MALE VOICE: Is that here or in Hartford? CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	MALE VOICE: Is that here or in Hartford? CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	2	continue this until our what would be our April 3rd I
CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	3	believe meeting, the first Wednesday in April.
6 yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? 7	yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up? MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	4	MALE VOICE: Is that here or in Hartford?
MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments (indiscernible). CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The location would be
CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	6	yet to be determined. I'm sorry, could you speak up?
9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, 10 written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between 11 panel members.) One of the things that I have been made 12 aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder 13 if the owner could speak to the timing. 14 If we continue this meeting, if we 15 continue it to this location so that we can allow the 16 full public process to occur, which has only partially 17 occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any 18 action towards the demolition to allow the public 19 process? 20 MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we 21 agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes, 10 written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between 11 panel members.) One of the things that I have been made 12 aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder 13 if the owner could speak to the timing. 14 If we continue this meeting, if we 15 continue it to this location so that we can allow the 16 full public process to occur, which has only partially 17 occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any 18 action towards the demolition to allow the public 19 process? 20 MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we 21 agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have 22 enough information to make a decision. We appreciate 23 that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	7	MALE VOICE: Can we submit comments
written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	8	(indiscernible).
panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially cocurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	panel members.) One of the things that I have been made aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Absolutely, yes,
aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	10	written comments would be accepted. (Whispering between
if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially ccurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	if the owner could speak to the timing. If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially ccurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	11	panel members.) One of the things that I have been made
If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially cocurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	If we continue this meeting, if we continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially cocurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	12	aware of is that the owner may be preceding I wonder
continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	continue it to this location so that we can allow the full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	13	if the owner could speak to the timing.
full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	full public process to occur, which has only partially occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	14	If we continue this meeting, if we
occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	15	continue it to this location so that we can allow the
action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	action towards the demolition to allow the public process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	16	full public process to occur, which has only partially
process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	process? MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	17	occurred at this point, do you agree to not take any
20 MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we 21 agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	18	action towards the demolition to allow the public
21 agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have	agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	19	process?
	enough information to make a decision. We appreciate that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	20	MS. ELKOW: No we don't. We've wait we
22 enough information to make a decision. We appreciate	that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	21	agreed to wait till this hearing and we think you have
		22	enough information to make a decision. We appreciate
that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a	couple people in opposition. You've got the papers from	23	that the public needs to be heard, you can hear from a
couple people in opposition. You've got the papers from		24	couple people in opposition. You've got the papers from

1	the Trust but we're not going to wait.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, thank you.
3	MS. MAHER: So in light of that I would
4	make a recommendation to move this to the Attorney
5	General (applause).
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The Council has not
7	had an opportunity to hear all the rest of the
8	information. Do you wish to close the public test the
9	submission of public testimony in order to Margaret?
10	MS. FABER: Would it be possible for us to
11	stay later and continue? I know it's getting late.
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Let me a show of
13	hands who can stay another half hour.
14	MALE VOICE: I'll buy pizza.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One, two, three, four
16	well let me explain. Councilmembers have actually
17	travelled from all across the state to be here and are
	craveried from arr across the state to be here and are
18	also working professionals as well.
18 19	
	also working professionals as well.
19	also working professionals as well. So we're trying to come up with some
19 20	also working professionals as well. So we're trying to come up with some workable alternatives. Okay, those of you who can stay
19 20 21	also working professionals as well. So we're trying to come up with some workable alternatives. Okay, those of you who can stay till 1:30? One, two, three, four, five, six we don't

1	So you talk about applying for the
2	building permit, do you agree to not take down the
3	buildings until we complete the process?
4	MS. SHAPIRO: She should say it out loud.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Can you just come up?
6	MS. ELKOW: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: In the time that's
8	remaining
9	MS. ELKOW: We would be fine with whoever
10	wants to speak in opposition to take whatever time is
11	remaining if that's
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: that's exactly
13	what I was going to ask for.
14	MS. ELKOW: that's fine, yeah.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So I'm going to ask
16	at this point for somebody who is in support of
17	maintaining these buildings to give some of the testimony
18	related to actually saving the buildings so that we can
19	begin to hear a balance.
20	That gentleman who raised his hand first
21	can I invite you forward?
22	MR. BRUCE CLOUETTE: Madame Chair and
23	Councilmembers, I am Bruce Clouette, 483 Woodland Road,
24	Mansfield. Although not a Windham resident, I consider

1 Willimantic to be an important part of my life. 2. It's where we buy all our pet food, auto parts, vacuum cleaner supplies and natural foods. 3 4 out to eat a lot and three of our top favorite 5 restaurants are right here in Willimantic. Both Donna 6 and I participate in numerous Willimantic activities 7 including Victoria Days and the Theater Guild of course. For 40 years I've worked in historic 8 9 preservation but I'm speaking just for myself not on 10 behalf of any organization or my employer, Archeological 11 Historical Services. I love Main Street, Willimantic because it is a real place, a unique place, not a 12 13 faceless commercial strip. 14 The late 19th and early 20th Century 15 buildings arranged along Main Street are a big part of 16 that uniqueness testament to the fact that this was not a place created overnight but rather built up by the hard 17 work of many generations. The historic context of these 18 19 two buildings is similar though 40 years apart. 20 When it opened in the 1880s, Seth Hooker's 21 hotel had steam heat, large rooms, an elevator and an intercom system. It was considered the finest hotel in 22 23 eastern Connecticut eclipsed only in the 1920s when The 24 Nathan Hale was built. Some people have said nothing has

1 happened with these buildings for years, and that's true. 2 Decades of inaction by previous owners, 3 most notably the State of Connecticut, have brought us to 4 where we are. But historic preservation never just 5 happens, it's always the result of creativity, vision, 6 ambition and perseverance. One of my projects from the 7 1980s was the rehabilitation of Burritt Hotel in New 8 Britain. 9 It's similar to The Nathan Hale in that it 10 is a brick colonial revival-style 1920s hotel but just a 11 little larger. The Burritt was rehabbed into 65 units of 12 senior housing, 30 plus years later it is still fully 13 rented and generates about \$177,000 a year in local 14 property taxes. Last night in the Willimantic Chronical someone cited Northampton as an aspirational model for 15 16 Willimantic. I'm assuming no irony was intended. 17 In the early 1970s, most people considered Northampton a complete dump. The community however 18 19 pulled itself together in large part by embracing its 20 historic architecture not tearing it down, today one of the centerpieces of The Hotel Northampton, another brick 21 colonial revival hotel from the 1920s. It's currently 22 23 used as a luxury hotel, fine restaurant and event venue. 24 So New Britain preserved its historic hotel and so did

RE: HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING MARCH 6, 2019

Northampton, which makes you wonder why not Willimantic? 1 2 What pains me is that in following this 3 issue in the Chronical I have not heard one local leader, 4 not the Mayor, not the Town Manager, not the Economic 5 Development Commission, not the Council, not even the 6 editor of the Chronical, express one bit of interest in 7 seeing these buildings rehabilitated. From the start all you heard was tear them 8 9 down and something wonderful will take their place, but 10 you won't find the preservation solution if you're not 11 looking for one. It may surprise you but I am not asking the state to intervene. For one thing, the State of 12 13 Connecticut played a large role in creating this problem. 14 But more importantly, I think the state 15 should only intervene when there is broad community 16 support and in this case, the community seems divided at 17 best. I know that all the local leaders I just mentioned are good people who have the town's best interest at 18 19 heart but I can't believe that creativity, ambition and 20 perseverance make historic preservation possible in other places but not here. 21 22 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute. 23 MR. CLOUETTE: What I would like to see 24 happen is for the town and the current property owner to

1 just give it one more try to see what a historic 2. rehabilitation, at least of The Nathan Hale, would entail 3 and to consider new construction with retention of the 4 historic facades. 5 A couple of more months in a bona fide 6 good faith effort to make preservation work. Thank you 7 for listening to me, I just couldn't let this go without speaking on it (applause). 8 9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Okay, we are at 1:00. We have -- there are still 40 people left 10 as many of you are aware. 11 12 But given that the representative for the 13 developer has stated that they will move forward with an 14 application for demolition as well as demolition before this meeting could be continued to our next regularly 15 16 scheduled meeting which is the first week in April, we've had one Councilmember suggest to bring it to a vote -- to 17 call a vote now. 18 19 Do all of you feel ready to take a vote at 20 this point knowing that there is still some information 21 that we haven't heard? Margaret. I think I feel comfortable --22 MS. FABER: 23 I would love to hear some more from the opposition since 24 we haven't -- we've only heard one person.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And we will lose our
2	quorum if we
3	MS. FABER: I see.
4	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: - so that's why we're
5	doing this now. Brian.
6	DR. JONES: And just for a point of
7	clarification, this is to decide whether we feel there's
8	a case to bring to the Attorney General's office.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So the motion on the
10	table is to request the assistance of the Office of the
11	Attorney General to prevent unreasonable destruction.
12	DR. WOODWARD: Madame Chair
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Walter.
14	DR. WOODWARD: I don't know how we can
15	make an honest evaluation without hearing from both sides
16	of this argument. And just by virtue of the way people
17	have signed up, we have heard predominately all one
18	position.
19	And because of the I find many of the
20	arguments that I've heard today persuasive, but I'm not
21	I feel like my hand is being forced to go against what
22	I've heard because of the unwillingness to hear both
23	sides of an argument.
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I understand, I think

1	that that's the sentiment that I'm seeing around the
2	table. Brian.
3	DR. JONES: Is there a possibility of
4	getting the written testimonies in and taking another
5	week or so to be provided with those and voting somehow
6	outside this session?
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: No, you can't vote
8	outside of the session.
9	DR. JONES: Okay.
10	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The owner was not
11	willing to grant us additional time. Margaret.
12	MS. FABER: So my question is, is there
13	any legal means that we can employ to get a temporary
14	stay while we're considering the situation without a
15	demolition delay?
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: If we are referring
17	the matter that's for the Office of the Attorney General
18	
19	MS. FABER: For the delay, okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: yup.
21	Alright, the motion before the Council,
22	the Historic Preservation Council votes to request the
23	assistance of the Office of the Attorney General to
24	prevent the unreasonable destruction of the historic

1	properties at 819 and 833 Main Street, Willimantic,
2	Connecticut pursuant to the provisions of Section 22a-19a
3	of the Connecticut General Statutes.
4	All those in favor? I need to have clear
5	hands. One, two, three, four, five this is for
6	referring, one, two, three, four. All those opposed
7	two, so the motion carries. (Applause)
8	The meeting is adjourned.
9	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at

10 1:03 p.m.)

AGENDA

	PAGE
Call to order	2
Review of Public Comment Procedures (Part One) (Part Two)	2 19
Code of Ethics/Conflict of Interest	3
Review and Approval of Minutes February 6, 2019	4
Local Historic District/Property Study Reports (a) 39 Cross Highway, Westport, CT (b) 15 Hyatt Lane, Westport, CT	4 8
Report on SHPO/Museum Activities	12
Liaison with Public & Private Agencies	18
Threatened Properties - Action Items Hooker and Hale Buildings	20
Presentation by Todd Levine of HPC	23
Presentation by Connecticut Trust for Historic Preservation (Brad Schide)	26
Elizabeth Acly (Cirrus Engineering)	35
Presentation by RUC Holdings (Pamela Elkow, Esquire) (Richard Garber, GRO Architects)	51, 60 56
Public Forum	73
Discussion by Councilmembers	129
Adjournment	139

INDEX OF PUBLIC SPEAKERS

	PAGE
State Representative Susan Johnson	73
Jim Rivers	78
Jim Bellano	83
Matthew Vertefeuille	87
Joe Smith	91
Rich Cody	94
Mayor Victor Funderburk	98
Tom DeVivo	102
Kevin Donahue	106
Dennis O'Brien	110
Charlie Krich	115
Dawn Niles	117
Randall Prose	119
Marc Schrivner	120
Roberto Rosado	125
Bruce Clouette	132