#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION COUNCIL MEETING

JULY 10, 2019

9:45 A.M.

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE 450 COLUMBUS BOULEVARD HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	Verbatim proceedings of a meeting of
2	the Department of Economic and Community Development,
3	Historic Preservation Council Meeting, held at the State
4	Historic Preservation Office, 450 Columbus Boulevard,
5	Second Floor, South Conference Room F, Hartford,
6	Connecticut on July 10, 2019 at 9:45 a.m
7	
8	
9	
10	CHAIRPERSON SARA NELSON: Good morning,
11	Fiona and Walt.
12	DR. WALTER WOODWARD: Good morning.
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: We're ready to call
14	the meeting to order.
15	MS. FIONA VERNAL: All right. Thank you.
16	DR. WOODWARD: Excellent.
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Good morning. I'm
18	calling the July 10th Historic Preservation Council
19	meeting to order. A quorum is present.
20	For those of you, who are members of the
21	public today, you'll find copies of the agenda for this
22	meeting and the sign-in sheet next to the door.
23	The meeting will be divided into two
24	parts. Part one will be conducted from now until 10:15,

1	and, at 10:15, there will be a 15-minute recess, which
2	will allow people to come into the room, who are here for
3	agenda item number IX, which is 95, 97-99 Howe Street,
4	New Haven.
5	Should there be sufficient time before the
6	end of part one, we will vote to move agenda item XI
7	forward.
8	MS. VERNAL: Excuse me.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yes?
10	MS. VERNAL: Did we want to say anything
11	about Brian?
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Fiona, yes, but not
13	at this point.
14	MS. VERNAL: Okay.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: The following is the
16	Historic Preservation Council's policy regarding conflict
17	of interest.
18	The Historic Preservation Council votes on
19	matters, which provide leadership, service and economic
20	benefit to property owners, consultants, local
21	governments and not-for-profit organizations.
22	Given this responsibility and to maintain
23	the highest professional standards in the discharge of
24	our duties, it is important to maintain a strong Code of

1	Ethics for all Council members and Department employees.
2	In order to provide possible violations of
3	the Department of Economic and Community Development
4	ethic statement, it is necessary for Council to be aware
5	of any situations for which there is real potential or
6	apparent conflict of interest involving anyone here.
7	A conflict of interest may occur when a
8	public official's participation in agency matters results
9	in personal financial gain.
10	You all have been provided with a copy of
11	the DECD ethic statement. Have reviewed them in today's
12	agenda, I want to ask any Council members or staff to
13	disclose any affiliations, which may present a potential
14	conflict. Are there any such? Okay.
15	Karyn, you're going to clarify for the
16	record that?
17	MS. KARYN GILVARG: Yes. I thought I'd
18	wait until the hearing on the New Haven matter to clarify
19	what my role in New Haven was.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Perfect. Okay. The
21	next agenda item is number IV, with the review and the
22	approval of the June 5, 2019 minutes. Are there
23	corrections to the minutes, as presented? Margaret?
24	DR. MARGARET FABER: Just a couple. So

- item eight, threatened properties update, number one,
- 2 it's Coggshell-Robinson for the house name, C-O-G-G-S-H-
- 3 E-L-L, dash, Robinson, and that's mentioned in another
- 4 place in that same paragraph and then on the next page in
- 5 the third paragraph.
- 6 Okay and then number 15 says about I'm
- 7 congratulating Ms. Shapiro. I just wondered if her title
- 8 should be listed there for her new appointment.
- 9 MS. LIZ SHAPIRO: Can you tell me what
- page that's on? I'm sorry.
- 11 DR. FABER: I'm sorry. That's page nine.
- 12 And the other problem was on page eight and nine.
- MS. SHAPIRO: Great. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Are there any other
- 15 items? Okay. Not hearing any, is there a motion to move
- 16 to approve the minutes, as corrected?
- DR. FABER: So moved.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I saw Margaret, and
- 19 then I saw Kathy, so I'm taking it you're a second,
- 20 Kathy?
- MS. KATHLEEN MAHER: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All right. All those
- in favor?
- 24 VOICES: Aye.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All those opposed?
2	Hold on one second. Fiona and Walter?
3	MS. VERNAL: Aye.
4	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And Walter?
5	DR. WOODWARD: Aye.
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, so, that was
7	unanimous for and one abstention. All right, thank you.
8	Okay. The first agenda item, V.a., the
9	Historic Preservation Council votes to recommend the
10	award of a Survey and Planning Grant, funded by the
11	Community Investment Act of the State of Connecticut, to
12	the below-listed applicant in the amount shown.
13	All grant guidelines and State
14	requirements shall be met by the below-listed applicant
14 15	requirements shall be met by the below-listed applicant upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the
15	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the
15 16	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.
15 16 17	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.  Staff recommends the application for
15 16 17 18	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.  Staff recommends the application for funding. The applicant is the First Church of Christ,
15 16 17 18 19	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.  Staff recommends the application for funding. The applicant is the First Church of Christ,  Woodbridge. The project is consulting services of a CFR
15 16 17 18 19 20	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.  Staff recommends the application for  funding. The applicant is the First Church of Christ,  Woodbridge. The project is consulting services of a CFR  qualified conservator to examine current conditions and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the  Department of Economic and Community Development.  Staff recommends the application for  funding. The applicant is the First Church of Christ,  Woodbridge. The project is consulting services of a CFR  qualified conservator to examine current conditions and  make recommendations for the repair of historic plaster

1	Is there a motion to move?
2	MS. MAHER: Motion.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy. And a second?
4	I saw Tom. All right. Good morning, Mary.
5	MS. MARY DUNNE: Good morning. So staff
6	does recommend approval of this application. The Church
7	received a grant from us several years ago, or they
8	completed it last year, to do the structural repairs that
9	were required in the sanctuary, and, so, now they are
10	addressing the not exactly cosmetic.
11	There are plaster issues and cornice
12	issues, and then this really gorgeous, as you saw from
13	the photos, Trompe-L'Oeil painting, and, so, they need to
14	hire a conservator, and we're sort of splitting hairs
15	over what type of conservator they want; a fine arts
16	conservator, which would make sense, because of the
17	painting, and the Secretary of the Interior has a pretty
18	broad-based description of the different types of
19	conservators, so we will work with them if they're
20	awarded the grant to hire the best combination of
21	historic building experts and art conservators to make
22	sure that they get the right product.
23	The budget is high. I believe, from
24	subsequent conversations, that this may not cost more

1 than \$5,000 or \$10,000. I did, since the budget was a 2. little nebulous, you know, up to 20, up to 40, they're 3 prepared to match whatever is required, even if it were 4 to go over, you know, 40,000, but, honestly, I believe 5 something like this is only going to be \$5,000 to 6 \$10,000, so I don't know if you want to revise the 7 resolution. 8 I mean they only get reimbursed for half 9 of what they spend, so I'm not sure how you want to 10 handle that. 11 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So my experience is, 12 if they actually are doing paint sampling and putting it 13 under the microscope and doing microscopy, that that 14 budget, that their budget that they've identified might 15 actually be appropriate. MS. DUNNE: Okay. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So I would want to leave them the flexibility. 18 19 MS. DUNNE: Great. Great. And, as I 20 said, they're prepared to go over the match, as well, so 21 they can match 20 and beyond if it is going to be more 22 than that. 23 So there's no one here, but I'll be happy 24 to answer any concerns or questions.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Are there Council
2	member questions? Kathy?
3	MS. MAHER: Yeah, so, you're right.
4	Splitting hairs is fine arts conservator means
5	something very specific and it's not this.
6	MS. DUNNE: Right.
7	MS. MAHER: They would want to reach out
8	to like Canning Studios.
9	MS. DUNNE: Yeah.
10	MS. MAHER: Because they do everything.
11	They're the master craftsmen that can deal with the
12	Trompe-L'Oeil.
13	MS. DUNNE: There are several firms.
14	MS. MAHER: Yeah. Yeah.
15	MS. DUNNE: Well, exactly. And, in fact,
16	Canning I believe was involved in the HRF Grant. I mean,
17	obviously, this will have to be competitively bid, but
18	there is a small group, a, you know, pool of qualified,
19	and Canning did suggest that they pair with a fine arts
20	person and a plaster conservator. It's a lot of moving
21	parts here, but, you know, we'll make sure that they get
22	the right team.
23	MS. MAHER: So they're on the right track.
24	MS. DUNNE: Yeah.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So other questions?
2	Karyn?
3	MS. GILVARG: Mary, the imagery in the
4	Trompe-L'Oeil, none of it is religious, is that correct?
5	MS. DUNNE: Right. No.
6	MS. GILVARG: Okay.
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other questions?
8	MS. MARGUERITE CARNELL: I was just going
9	to make a comment that Canning does plaster conservation
10	in-house, so they can do that, and they will typically
11	sub-contract to a fine arts conservator for anything
12	that's basically painted on canvas.
13	MS. DUNNE: Great. It's not canvas. I
14	don't believe it is.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Any other comments or
16	questions? Okay. Not hearing any, all those in favor of
17	this motion?
18	VOICES: Aye.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Walter and Fiona?
20	DR. WOODWARD: I'm good.
21	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Fiona? Okay, so, all
22	opposed? Abstention? I'll put her as an abstention.
23	Motion carries.
24	Agenda item V.b., the Historic

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	Preservation Council votes to recommend the award of a
2	Survey and Planning grant funded by the Community
3	Investment Act of the State of Connecticut to the below-
4	listed applicant in the amount shown.
5	All grant guidelines and State
6	requirements shall be met by the below-listed applicant
7	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the
8	Department of Economic and Community Development. Staff
9	recommends the application for funding.
10	The applicant is the Mark Twain House and
11	Museum. The project is consulting services of a CFR
12	qualified historical architect to conduct a comprehensive
13	condition assessment of the exterior woodwork and paint
14	of the Mark Twain House 1874, 351 Farmington Avenue,
15	Hartford, Connecticut, and the grant amount is \$5,000.
16	A motion to move?
17	MS. MAHER: Motion.
18	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And a second?
19	MR. JEFFREY PARTRIDGE: Second.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Jeff.
21	MS. DUNNE: And, again, staff recommends
22	approval of the application. I think they're going about
23	it the right way. They're not delving right into
24	repainting. They need to take a look at the actual

1 condition of the woodwork before they actually jump into 2. the job. 3 There's no one here from Mark Twain House 4 to take any questions, but I would be happy to answer any 5 concerns or questions that you might have. 6 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I've got one, and 7 this goes back to this conversation that I think we have 8 with a lot of these Survey and Planning grants. 9 So the motion is a comprehensive condition 10 assessment, and the owner is looking for condition 11 assessment and plans and specifications for bidding, and 12 that feeds into my budget question. 13 MS. DUNNE: Well that's interesting, 14 because that seems low. They did consult three 15 qualified, and this is okay, this is appropriate 16 according to State Procurement, they did approach three qualified architects, and they got three bids, and using 17 that scope I'm kind of surprised that the total budget 18 19 cost is \$10,000. I'm not sure how you want to address 20 it. MS. MAHER: So, to that point, now points 21 for the Mark Twain, because they actually did acknowledge 22 that in the application, the other bid was \$25,000, which 23 24 seemed more realistic, because just being familiar with

1	the amount.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yeah.
3	MS. MAHER: I mean Crosskey knows what
4	they're doing, absolutely.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Right.
6	MS. MAHER: But I wasn't sure if there was
7	an in-kind component to that, because I agree with Sara.
8	It's extraordinarily low for that.
9	MR. THOMAS ELMORE: And the question I
10	have, have you seen what was submitted to the architects
11	to get a real understanding of what they're asking for?
12	MS. DUNNE: Actually, that's a good
13	question. No. We usually do that after the fact, but,
14	obviously, we would look at all three proposals, and
15	that's a good question.
16	MR. ELMORE: No. I would go back to what
17	did they give the architects, not look at the proposals.
18	MS. DUNNE: Right, so, they really
19	understand the scope of work.
20	MR. ELMORE: Right.
21	MS. DUNNE: Well, in the proposal, we'll
22	outline exactly what they're going to do, but you're
23	right. Yeah, they didn't ask for

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. ELMORE: Because I picked up on the

24

1	large discrepancy. I mean if one was 20 and one was
2	25,000, that's different, but you're looking at two and a
3	half less.
4	MS. DUNNE: Well that's why I think it's
5	important to look at the proposal, as well, because there
6	may be not add alls, but there might be additional costs
7	that aren't in the base that might add to it, so we could
8	table it, and I can gather that information and explain
9	to them what the concerns are and see if this is really
10	how they want to proceed, or if, upon reviewing the bids,
11	I notice why there's such a discrepancy, and we could
12	advise them, you know, to review their request.
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: If, in reviewing the
14	bids, you notice that the proposal is more money or is
15	more comprehensive, then we've under-funded them.
16	MS. MAHER: And that cuts their time frame
17	out, because, again, that cold weather, yeah.
18	MR. ELMORE: Sara, you know. Did you draw
19	up that? That's not easy.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I was assuming they
21	actually had drawings, but that's a whole big question.
22	MS. DUNNE: Yeah. I think it would not
23	serve the applicant if we just awarded them \$5,000, even
24	if that's how much they think they need, so we could,

1	yeah, we could just table it, and I could gather the
2	information and include that in the next package, so you
3	can see it, and then take it from there.
4	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: It's really in their
5	best interest.
6	MS. MAHER: Peter comes from a strong
7	background.
8	MS. DUNNE: Well that's why I guess
9	maybe that's why I considered this, you know, ready to
10	go, because I spoke with Peter personally, and I spoke
11	with Catherine, the Grants Manager, but, you know, I
12	don't think there's anything wrong.
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Is there anyone here,
14	who can answer these from the Mark Twain House?
15	MR. PARTRIDGE: There's no option of
16	increasing an amount, if needed?
17	MS. DUNNE: Oh, after the fact?
18	MR. PARTRIDGE: No, now.
19	MS. DUNNE: No, not now.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I think
21	clarification, to Tom's point, about what was the scope
22	that was identified by the owner, and then review. Given
23	that it's July already, they wouldn't be moving into
24	restoration work this year.

1	MS. DUNNE: They're planning I asked
2	about the other projects they were planning, and they're
3	not going to be doing them until spring of 2020 and
4	beyond, and, so, I don't know.
5	They're kind of compartmentalizing
6	everything. I don't know that this would cause them any
7	undue inconvenience.
8	MS. MAHER: Yeah, because it's just study.
9	MS. DUNNE: Yeah. They're not going to
10	undertake anything until next spring anyway.
11	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. It seems,
12	looking around the table, that that's the general
13	consensus, so, then, we would need a motion to table this
14	until the August what is the date of our August
15	meeting?
16	A FEMALE VOICE: 7th.
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. The
18	August 7th.
19	A FEMALE VOICE: Motion to table.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. To table to
21	August 7th meeting. Is there a second? Leah. Okay.
22	All those in favor of tabling?
23	VOICES: Aye.
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Fiona? Walter?

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	DR. WOODWARD: Aye.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Fiona? All
3	those opposed? We'll put her down as an abstention.
4	Motion carries.
5	Agenda item V.c., the Historic
6	Preservation Council votes to recommend the award of a
7	Survey and Planning grant funded by the Community
8	Investment Act of the State of Connecticut to the below-
9	listed applicant in the amount shown.
10	All grant guidelines and State
11	requirements shall be met by the below-listed applicant
12	upon receipt of a grant, as administered by the
13	Department of Economic and Community Development. Staff
14	recommends the application for funding.
15	The applicant is the Stonington Cemetery
16	Association. The project is Consulting Services of a CFR
17	qualified structural engineer to prepare a condition
18	assessment of the Billings Family Mausoleum 1896,
19	Stonington Connecticut, and the grant amount is \$4,500.
20	Is there a motion to move?
21	DR. FABER: So moved.
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret. And a
23	second?
24	MS. MAHER: Second.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy.
2	MS. DUNNE: Great. Staff does recommend
3	approval of this application, as well. The Stonington
4	Cemetery was listed on the National Register with funding
5	from our Hurricane Sandy Disaster Relief Assistance
6	Grant. The Billings' Mausoleum is a contributing
7	resource to the I guess it's a district.
8	So we do recommend approval. There was
9	concern about the disposition of the dome on my part,
10	especially considering some of the materials in the
11	application, but the plan is to, while they did have to
12	lower it to protect it, they feel certain they can do all
13	of the investigation with it sitting there and possibly
14	even the repair with it sitting there, and their ultimate
15	plan is to restore and reinstate the dome.
16	Actually, we do have Lynn Callahan and her
17	colleague from the Stonington Cemetery Association to
18	answer any questions that I cannot.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Are there Council
20	member questions? Tom?
21	MR. ELMORE: I'm curious. Where does the
22	dome go?
23	MS. DUNNE: I had that question, too, and
24	I did ask that question.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Can we ask them to
2	come up to the table, because we're transcribing? Thank
3	you. Could you just give us your name?
4	MS. LYNN CALLAHAN: Lynn Callahan.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, thank you.
6	MS. CALLAHAN: Stonington Cemetery
7	Association. The dome originally sat I think in a
8	steeple. We don't have pictures or original plans, and
9	this is a J & R Lamb design idea, to have a colored glass
10	dome or even a sort of a half circle in a building to
11	cast reflective light on the floor of the building.
12	In this case, the dome, as you can see
13	from the photos, is not representational. There are some
14	pictures online in Churches of these big domes with
15	pictures of Saints.
16	This one is simply a design, a colored
17	glass design, and it's not stained glass. It's colored
18	glass, leaded colored glass, but, in the late 1990s, and
19	I was not a Board member at that time, there was worry
20	and concern that the dome would fall, because the
21	structural members had rotted, because there's leaking,
22	so the dome was lowered to the floor, and you can see
23	it's encased, and I have other photos, if you'd like, so
24	it was encased in sort of a wood corset structure, so

1	it's protected, but even then, because of moisture in the
2	building, the wood that comprises the dome is sort of
3	orange. Orange section shape is beginning to rot a
4	little bit.
5	We have contacted, also, a glass
6	restoration specialist, and I don't know if he's on your
7	list or not. This is a recent conversation. His name is
8	Fabio Pizzol from Studio Pizzol in Niantic, and I brought
9	information about him with me, too, that I can leave with
10	you.
11	It's a matching grant. I have some
12	figures of \$7,000 or \$8,000 from the engineering firms to
13	do the assessment, but then I think, if there's another
14	assessment of the glass, that that will cost additional,
15	but this is a matching. We're asking for matching funds.
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Are there
17	other questions for Ms. Callahan? Margaret?
18	DR. FABER: I just did have the same
19	concern about why the dome was appraised at one stage.
20	That worried me. So is there a guarantee? I mean it's
21	obviously a character-defining feature of the mausoleum
22	and must remain, so I wondered, you know, is there any
23	guarantee that it's not going to be sold?
24	MS. CALLAHAN: The reason that there was

1	an appraisal is because some years ago there was sort of
2	a rumor that this was a tiffany dome, so there was a lot
3	of pressure on the Board to divest of it, to raise money
4	to preserve the building or the rest of the cemetery, so
5	the appraisal was done to let the community know that
6	there wasn't a \$12,000 piece of glass sitting inside this
7	building.
8	DR. FABER: Okay, good.
9	MS. CALLAHAN: So the dome, itself, has no
10	really monetary value, except it's an intrinsic part of
11	the structure. It's just very hard for the organization
12	to make decisions about restoration without an
13	assessment.
14	DR. FABER: Thank you very much for your
15	time.
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Kathy?
17	MS. MAHER: So it's a wonderful story. I
18	appreciated the story that went along with it, but there
19	is no issue with the family?
20	So I was just wondering. I said it was a
21	wonderful story, but because the family has really not
22	been actively participating in the restoration, so is
23	there complete authority to actually move forward with
24	this work without a family blessing?

1	MS. CALLAHAN: The family, at the end of
2	the 1990s, when the Cemetery Association began to
3	seriously think about restoration, contacted any family
4	member, and there's a long list, and there's
5	documentation, and, ultimately, and there's legal
6	paperwork, the mausoleum is the property of the
7	Stonington Cemetery Association.
8	MS. MAHER: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Any other comments or
10	questions? Okay. Not hearing any, all those in favor of
11	this?
12	VOICES: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Fiona and Walt? All
14	those opposed? Two abstentions. Motion carries.
15	So the time is 10:10. Can you do the SHPO
16	report in five minutes?
17	MS. SHAPIRO: Sure.
18	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. I need to have
19	a vote to re-order the agenda to hear agenda item XI, the
20	Report of the State Historic Preservation Office, before
21	we break at 10:15. All those in favor?
22	VOICES: Aye.
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Fiona? Walt?
24	DR. WOODWARD: Aye.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Fiona? It's
2	supposed to be unanimous. We'll assume she's in favor.
3	Did she get dropped from the call?
4	MS. SHAPIRO: I don't think so. I didn't
5	hear it. Hi, everyone. So I'll just give you a little
6	bit of an update. You know we're looking to hire for an
7	architectural preservationist to fill Liz
8	Opon's(phonetic) job.
9	The application period has closed.
10	They're reviewing the applicants now with H.R., and we
11	expect to see a list Monday/Tuesday of next week, the
12	15th/16th.
13	SHPO is going to be talking about
14	interview questions this afternoon. We have an interview
15	team, and we're hoping, I'm hoping to be interviewing
16	before I leave on vacation on the 26th of July, and it
17	would be amazing if we could. We will have them
18	3
10	scheduled if not, and have them move forward with the
19	
	scheduled if not, and have them move forward with the
19	scheduled if not, and have them move forward with the interviews at that point, so we're excited about that and
19 20	scheduled if not, and have them move forward with the interviews at that point, so we're excited about that and on target to maybe have someone in before the month of
19 20 21	scheduled if not, and have them move forward with the interviews at that point, so we're excited about that and on target to maybe have someone in before the month of August is over.

1	Historic Preservation to explore the idea of having a
2	seminar, a symposium on the intersection of historic
3	preservation and climate change. We read about this all
4	the time. Sara sent an article this morning from the New
5	York Times, but we want to be including legislators in
6	that discussion, and the goal of the day is hopefully to
7	move forward with, you know, one or two policy
8	recommendations that really that we can be everybody
9	can be on the same page, environmentalists and
10	preservationists and our legal teams and legal advisors,
11	so it's kind of very interesting work, and this is a
12	knotty problem that, as you know, states are struggling
13	with, not just the coastline states, but all states.
14	And then the last thing would be is we're
15	on track to finish the Hurricane Sandy project by
16	September, and we'll give you a more complete update on
17	that at our next meeting in August.
18	Mary, do you have anything to add for
19	SHPO? Just quickly, for the museums, we'll be having a
20	scoping meeting for the Eric Sloan Museum project next
21	week, which means we will be starting in September.
22	We'll know more about that next week.
23	And the Noah Blake Cabin Project at the
24	Friends of Eric Sloan have been working on is they're

really they have been moving ahead. They worked in the 1 2. pouring rain. The week that it rained all week they were 3 there, and it was a little terrifying for me, but they were there, and then they were working over July 4th, so 4 the cabin is moving right along, so that's exciting, but 5 6 I'll remind you that the museum is closed right now to 7 prepare for the renovation. Newgate Prison, the most interesting thing 8 9 is we've been able to accommodate, due to seasonal staff, a lot of school and camp visits, which we didn't expect. 10 11 We thought we would try that this year, but every time I 12 talked to site supervisor Morgan Bengel, she has 13 scheduled more, and they just had a group of kids from 14 second grade to eighth grade, and they had eight staff and stations and different experiences, and I think that 15 16 she said that there were nearly 100 kids that came on site for four hours. 17 I haven't heard how that's gone, nor have 18 I heard from any staff, but I'm excited about that. That 19 20 means there is nothing horrible, and they're probably still all working. 21 22 And it's been exciting. You know how 23 we've been talking about social justice and working with 24 the people who work in re-entry. A lot of our work

1	started with the MCPH conference in March, but we're now
2	working with a group, called Hang Time, which started in
3	Bridgeport. It's a re-entry services group that is
4	affiliated with the Peace Center of Connecticut, but
5	we're working with them and the Department of Corrections
6	and the re-entry collaborative in Hartford to look at a
7	re-entry hall of change that would be a permanent feature
8	and would be at Newgate Prison, but, also, at other
9	sites, so there would be multiple satellite sites around
10	the state to really showcase what formerly incarcerated
11	people have done when they've come out of prison, so
12	we're very excited about that.
13	Prudence Crandall Museum they finished an
13 14	Prudence Crandall Museum they finished an inventory of the library and the rare book collection.
14	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.
14 15	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply
14 15 16	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to
14 15 16 17	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to be looking like there, we may have a lot of interesting
14 15 16 17 18	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to be looking like there, we may have a lot of interesting conversations about the collection and whether it
14 15 16 17 18 19	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to be looking like there, we may have a lot of interesting conversations about the collection and whether it actually is supporting the mission as we move forward.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to be looking like there, we may have a lot of interesting conversations about the collection and whether it actually is supporting the mission as we move forward.  We also found some really interesting
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inventory of the library and the rare book collection.  Some of it is not so rare as we thought, but is simply old, and, as we re-evaluate what our mission is going to be looking like there, we may have a lot of interesting conversations about the collection and whether it actually is supporting the mission as we move forward.  We also found some really interesting artifacts that were collected when the museum was

1	intent, and, so, we have some really challenging material
2	that we're going to be working through with colleagues
3	across the country with their help.
4	And at Henry Whitfield Museum, they're
5	moving right along. New security system. All the
6	museums participated in Make Music Day on June 21st,
7	except for the Eric Sloan Museum. It was a dicey weather
8	day, and it was a Friday night on June 21st, so that
9	impacted some visitation, but it's a great event, so I
10	think things are moving ahead, and we're having a really
11	nice successful season.
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, Liz.
13	MS. SHAPIRO: You're welcome.
14	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Right on time.
15	MS. MAHER: Sara, can you send the New
16	York Times article again?
17	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I will send it to all
18	the Council members. So it is 10:16, so we are going to
19	take the break from now until 10:30. We will reconvene
20	this meeting at 10:30, where we will hear agenda item IX
21	for 95, 97-99 Howe Street, New Haven.
22	(Off the record)
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you for being
24	patient. We'll reconvene part two of the Historic

1	Preservation Council's meeting.
2	Before we get into the agenda item number
3	IX, there are a couple of background things. One is we
4	need to reconfirm if we still have a member present on
5	the line. Fiona, are you still on the line?
6	MS. VERNAL: I'm still here.
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, thank you. All
8	right and for all of you, who are visitors today,
9	welcome, and thank you for taking time to come up here to
10	be present for these proceedings.
11	I'm going to go over some format for how
12	this type of agenda item unfolds. The first thing that
13	will happen is I will read a motion, which will be moved
14	and seconded, and a copy of the motion is actually on the
15	table as you came in.
16	Council will hear an introduction from
17	Todd Levine, who is our SHPO staff coordinator for these
18	agenda items.
19	He will be immediately followed by the
20	representative for the property owner in this case, MOD
21	Enterprises, via his attorney, followed by our statutory
22	partner of the Connecticut Trust for Historic
23	Preservation, and both the owner and our statutory
24	partner are allowed up to 20 minutes to make a

1	presentation to the Council.
2	Council members will have an opportunity
3	to ask questions of each of both the owner and the
4	Connecticut Trust after their presentations, and, when
5	that portion of the meeting has happened, then we draw
6	upon members of the public, who have come to speak to us,
7	and the reason that we were asking you to identify if you
8	were speaking in favor of or against the motion was that
9	we looked to call people in alternating order, so both
10	viewpoints are equally presented in the time that we
11	have, and we will be calling your name in the order in
12	which you have signed in on this sheet that's in front of
13	me, and I just want to make sure that everybody has
14	actually signed into this sheet who wants to speak. Is
15	that true? Okay, good.
16	All right, so, when we call on you to make
17	a comment, you will be given five minutes to do so. We
18	ask that you come to the head of the table here and speak
19	more closely into the microphone.
20	We ask that you identify your name and
21	your affiliation for the record, and only those people,
22	who have signed in, will be called to actually speak.
23	If you have any written statements that
24	you've brought, please give those to any one of the SHPO

1	members, and maybe you could raise your hand. In the
2	back there. And they will make sure that copies of your
3	statements are actually given to the Council.
4	Council members and staff do not respond
5	to questions made during the presentation of public
6	comments, and you're invited to stay for the meeting
7	while we deliberate, and we will deliberate after we have
8	heard from all of the members of the public, who have
9	traveled here today to speak to us, so that's the sort of
10	overall housekeeping.
11	And, also, by way of background, as
12	Council members know, a letter of invitation was sent to
13	the property owner in June inviting them to today's
14	Council meeting.
15	That letter had appended to it a list of
16	11 questions, which are items that are useful in
17	documenting a lack of prudent and feasible alternative to
18	demolition. Part of what our questions will be will be
19	related to that list of questions.
20	And in the interest of complete and
21	transparent disclosure, any presentations that we
22	received in advance of our meeting were made available to
23	all of the parties, so, for example, any information that
24	the owner has submitted was made available to Council

1 members and the Connecticut Trust, and anything from the 2. Connecticut Trust was made available to the owner and 3 their representatives. 4 Okay. I think that's it by way of 5 background. Yes. This will be an appropriate time. 6 want to recognize Karyn Gilvarg. 7 MS. GILVARG: Thank you. My name is Karyn Gilvarg. I'm a member of the Commission. I just wanted 8 9 to state that I don't believe I have any conflict of 10 interest on this item. I was, until November of '17, the Planning 11 12 Director in New Haven and, as such, served as staff to the City Plan Commission, Board of Zoning Appeals and the 13 14 Historic District, the local Historic District Commission, and was the CLG liaison for city government, 15 16 however, as I stated, I left in November '17. 17 I don't believe we saw anything to do with this, or I didn't see anything to do with this proposal 18 19 before that time, and I have no affiliation with city 20 government at present. 21 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And no affiliation with the property owner? 22 23 MS. GILVARG: The property owner or any 24 members of the development professional team.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you for
2	clarifying that. I do not believe that there is a
3	conflict.
4	When I read the motion, I'm going to tweak
5	the wording slightly to reflect some standard language
6	that we typically use in these motions, and I will
7	identify what that is.
8	The motion reads the Connecticut Historic
9	Preservation Council votes to request the assistance of
10	the Office of the Attorney General to prevent the
11	unreasonable destruction of the historic properties at 95
12	and 97-99 Howe Street, New Haven, Connecticut, this is
13	the new language, listed on the National Register of
14	Historic Places at the end of the insertion, and, as the
15	motion continues, pursuant to the provisions of Section
16	22a-19a of the Connecticut General Statutes.
17	Is there a motion to move? I saw
18	Margaret, and then a second? Kathy? Okay. And I want
19	to recognize Todd Levine.
20	MR. TODD LEVINE: Good morning, everyone.
21	Sorry for putting my back towards you.
22	For the record, my name is Todd Levine.
23	I'm the architectural historian for the State Historic
24	Preservation Office, and, as our Chair had mentioned, I

am the staff assigned to the Connecticut Environmental 1 2. Protection Act cases. 3 So April 18, 2019, we learned about the 4 threat in buildings, which are 95 and 97-99 Howe Street 5 in New Haven through a newspaper article. At that time, 6 the State Historic Preservation Office had not been 7 contacted that these were threatened buildings. We had 8 been contacted by the community, and it was our 9 understanding that there were no state or federal funding 10 or permitting associated with this project, so that 11 didn't trigger an environmental review under the Connecticut Environmental Policy Act or Section 106 of 12 13 the National Historic Preservation Act. 14 The following month, we were contacted by the Connecticut Trust for Historic Preservation, our 15 16 statewide non-profit statutory partner, who notified us that there were indeed a community effort to preserve 17 these buildings, and, in fact, they had started a 18 19 petition. By the end of the month, they had over 500 20 signatures. When the petition was submitted to our office a couple of weeks ago, about 10 days ago, they had 21 over 800 signatures. 22 23 On May 3rd, the owners of both buildings 24 applied for a demolition application, and, in New Haven,

1	there is a 90-day demolition delay ordinance.
2	On June 5th, our office reached out to the
3	owner of MOD Equities, Jacob Feldman, informed him of the
4	SEPA process and requested access to the building, so
5	that we could determine the condition. The newspaper
6	article had stated that the condition was not good for
7	the building, poor. That's one of the reasons at least.
8	Jacob told me he'd get back to me after he
9	talked to his team to see if they could give us access.
10	He did not get back to us, but we did receive and
11	then, on June 11th, we sent a letter, as Sara had
12	mentioned, inviting his team to come to this meeting.
13	On June 21st, I was contacted by MOD
14	Equities' legal counsel, Mary Jo Andrews of Shipman &
15	Goodwin, through a letter.
16	SHPO was informed that the owner was not
17	amenable to allowing us access, and they also declined to
18	fill out the questionnaire.
19	On June 21st, also on that day, the State
20	Review Board had their quarterly meeting, where they
21	voted that both resources continued to contribute to the
22	National Register, Dwight Street district. Thank you.
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, Todd. So
24	now I'd like to recognize Mary Jo Andrews.

1	MS. MARY JO ANDREWS: Thank you, Madam
2	Chairman and members of the Council. My name is Mary Jo
3	Andrews. I'm an attorney at Shipman & Goodwin here in
4	Hartford, and I represent MOD equities, which is the
5	owner of 95 and 97-99 Howe Street in New Haven.
6	My client is very sorry he can't be here
7	today. He's on a family vacation out of state, so he's
8	asked me to come and represent him.
9	MOD has owned these properties since 2016.
10	We, in fact, have no indication of the history of how
11	they became so deteriorated, neither do we know the
12	history of why they've been, as the SHPO staff report
13	states, been largely vacant for the past decade. The
14	buildings were in this rundown shape when MOD purchased
15	them.
16	MOD's plan was to build a six-story, 30-
17	unit apartment building that would fit in with the
18	neighborhood of old and new buildings of various styles
19	and various scales.
20	The neighborhood includes a six-story The
21	Novella Apartments, six-story Off Broadway Apartments, a
22	seven-story Seabury Housing Co-Op and a proposed seven-
23	story 97-unit apartment complex that would be right
24	across the street.

1	The buildings are in, quote,
2	"significantly deteriorated condition," according to the
3	project architect, Samuel Gardner. 95 has had a major
4	modification with a large bay window on the front façade.
5	The façade materials are not original, and
6	most of the original material from the interior of the
7	building has been removed, and there's been a window
8	that's been converted to a door.
9	97-99 has non-original rotting porches.
10	There are windows replaced with French doors. The
11	interior is extremely rundown. The kitchens and
12	bathrooms are circa 1970s/1980s, and the existing stairs
13	require bracing and certainly don't meet building code,
14	fire code, or the Americans with Disability Act
15	requirements.
16	I would refer you to Architect Gardner's
17	letter that was in our materials that we submitted to you
18	for photographs of the properties, as well as information
19	about the condition of the building and the variety of
20	age, scale and style of the neighborhood.
21	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And that letter was
22	made available, and Council members did see it.
23	MS. ANDREWS: Thank you. Before
24	finalizing its plans and submitting to the city, MOD did

1	months of due diligence in the community, including
2	meeting with the Dwight Community Management Team, a
3	neighborhood group, the City of New Haven Economic
4	Development Department, the City of New Haven City Plan
5	Department, Chapel West Special Services District, Alder
6	Frank Douglas, and, realizing that these buildings were
7	on the National Register, MOD also met with and had
8	considerable correspondence with the New Haven
9	Preservation Trust.
10	Throughout these meetings, there was not
11	one expression of objection to the demolition. In fact,
12	the questions that they received over and over again at
13	these meetings had to do with affordable housing and the
14	use of local contractors. Those were the issues that
15	were important to these groups.
16	Ms. Elizabeth Holt, the Director of
17	Preservation Services at the New Haven Preservation
18	Trust, during this period toured the buildings and
19	reviewed the plans. Quotes from Ms. Holt from a New
20	Haven independent article and from correspondence she
21	wrote to the New Haven City Plan Commission in support of
22	the project include that 97-99 is, quote, "in pretty bad
23	condition."
24	Quote, "The unfortunate fact is that, for

1	many, many years, this building has not been taken care
2	of. If they were to sell the property and move on and
3	decide to not develop here, who is going to buy it and do
4	the work to save it, or is it going to remain a blighted
5	building for another decade? We're just trying to be
6	realistic and support a design for a new building that
7	will be appropriate for the neighborhood."
8	Quote, "Virtually every building can be
9	rehabbed. The question is who is going to do it with
10	little likelihood of a reasonable return?"
11	Arguing these buildings should be
12	preserved is hypothetical without a willing investor.
13	The Preservation Trust regrets their possible demolition,
14	but it is not a solution to let them continue in their
15	steady decline.
16	Even Mayor Harp recognized in one of her
17	weekly radio programs the difference between Howe Street,
18	with its mix of new apartment buildings, and streets like
19	Dwight Street and Linden Place and other streets in the
20	area that have kept their older character. Quote, "I
21	think we're fine," she said of the MOD project.
22	Again, after thorough due diligence, even
23	including the Preservation Trust, MOD heard no objection
24	to its plan, therefore, it proceeded in March to submit

1 its application to the New Haven City Plan Commission, 2. which unanimously approved the project. 3 Prior to receiving City approval, MOD did 4 receive correspondence from the Friends of Dwight 5 Historic District, a group MOD is not familiar with, 6 asking to meet after Easter or Passover, and MOD 7 responded that it would be available to meet, but MOD 8 never heard anything again from the Friend's group. 9 When MOD applied for its demolition permit 10 in early May, this is when the opposition to the 11 demolition truly began, with articles in newspapers, which did not seek input from MOD, and with the local 12 Historic District Commission meeting, to which MOD was 13 14 not even invited. 15 As you can tell from your packet, some of 16 the opposition is focused on the design of the building 17 and whether it fits in with the character of the 18 neighborhood. 19 If MOD had known this, it could have 20 discussed the concerns and taken them into account in the design of the project, but, again, after months of 21 outreach, none of this was known until after the project 22 23 was approved. 24 In fact, I have some color renderings of

1 the project that I'd like to pass out. Thank you, Todd. 2. The materials in your packet just included black and 3 white renderings, elevations, so these color renderings 4 give you a bit of a better idea of what the project is, 5 as proposed. 6 So, last month, the SHPO's Office 7 contacted MOD and offered, among other things, quote, "to 8 provide expert support in exploring alternatives to 9 demolition, including the potential for state and local 10 grants." 11 We have since then been in regular touch with the SHPO's Office. MOD offered to incorporate the 12 13 buildings into the design, with the buildings being the 14 main part in front and the new construction behind the 15 buildings, but connected to the historic buildings. 16 This would be a hugely-expensive 17 undertaking for MOD. They would lose the value of the costs that they had expended over the past year and a 18 19 half of development. 20 The historic buildings, besides being a very inefficient design, could be extremely costly to 21 22 rehab, given their deteriorated state. The cost of the project would likely 23 24 double, and MOD would have to start over from scratch,

1	get approval from its investors, and go through the City
2	approval process again. It would be a major financial
3	setback, but it could be done, and this is what we had
4	hoped, if Historic Preservation tax credits would be
5	available for the project, so MOD approached the SHPO
6	with this proposal, really hoping that we had a plan that
7	would work for everyone.
8	Unfortunately, the way the regulations for
9	the tax credits were, the SHPO had to tell us that the
10	tax credits could not apply to the entire project, but
11	can only apply to the footprint of the historic building.
12	Unfortunately, this would not be enough to
13	make the project work financially, given the huge
14	increase in costs to start all over and incorporate the
15	buildings.
16	Unfortunately, the project can't go
17	forward if they will lose money. Their investors would
18	pull out.
19	MOD has done everything right. It vetted
20	the project with the neighborhood groups, the Alder,
21	various City agencies and the New Haven Preservation
22	Trust.
23	It only went to the City for approval when
24	it had a good faith belief that there was no opposition,

1	and it would still change the project to incorporate the
2	buildings if tax credit support were available to offset
3	some of the major increased costs. MOD would change the
4	project if it were at all financially feasible.
5	If the project is stopped from going
6	forward, query whether there is another developer out
7	there, who would be able to save these rundown and long-
8	vacant buildings.
9	As Ms. Holt of the Preservation Trust
10	said, quote, "Who is going to do it with little
11	likelihood of a reasonable return?"
12	Because it is not financially feasible to
13	incorporate the historic buildings into the project, MOD
14	would like to propose the following to mitigate the loss
15	of these buildings.
16	Have a professional report done on the
17	buildings, including the architecture and the social
18	history, documenting Reverend Beman living there and the
19	Girls School; sell the buildings for a dollar to an
20	organization that would relocate or dismantle and store
21	the buildings; provide up to \$150,000 towards the
22	relocation or storage of the buildings; donate to the
23	Preservation Trust or another organization any
24	salvageable portions of the historic fabric; and

commemorate the buildings through a plaque or other 1 2. exhibit display to be located at the site. 3 MOD is a responsible developer and often 4 preserves historic buildings. Two examples in New Haven 5 are 315 Whitney Avenue and 516 Orange. 6 MOD has been an active New Haven developer 7 and understands the history of New Haven and wants to be It would 8 considered a responsible corporate citizen. 9 save these buildings if it were financially-feasible to 10 do so. Thank you. 11 I also, if -- I think I still have time 12 left. 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yes. 14 MS. ANDREWS: I'd like to read a letter 15 that I received this morning from the City of New Haven, 16 Office of Economic Development, from Stephen Fontana, the 17 Deputy Director of Economic Development, addressed to Ms. 18 Nelson. 19 I wish to provide this letter concerning 20 the redevelopment of two parcels at 95-99 Howe Street, New Haven, Connecticut. 21 22 The Economic Development Department has 23 been working closely with MOD Equities on a proposal for 24 a six-story, 30-unit residential building at 95-99 Howe

1	Street in New Haven.
2	Over the past year, MOD has met several
3	times with personnel in the City's Economic Development
4	and City Plan Departments, both to discuss its plans and
5	to gather feedback and input on how best to follow the
6	City's Land Use approval process and to respect the
7	perspectives of local residents, particularly given the
8	fact that the existing buildings are subject to the
9	City's 90-day delay of demolition ordinance.
10	To that end, the City encouraged MOD to
11	contact the New Haven Preservation Trust, the local
12	Alder, Mr. Frank Douglas, the local community management
13	team, and to respond to any neighborhood residents, who
14	expressed any concerns about the project.
15	As I understand it, MOD has responded to
16	and made a good faith effort to work collaboratively with
17	all of these parties, as documented in various media
18	stories and meeting minutes.
19	On April 17, 2019, the New Haven City Plan
20	Commission unanimously approved MOD's site plan for its
21	proposed redevelopment. The project is as-of-right and
22	requires no special permit or exceptions.
23	In its report, the CPC included 13
24	standard conditions of approval for this project, but

1 included no conditions regarding the site's structures, 2. themselves. 3 The report specifically noted that the 4 developer's plans have been reviewed with and by various 5 City departments and that those plans have been found to meet the requirements of the City Ordinances, regulations 6 7 and standard details. 8 As a general point, Howe Street currently 9 is experiencing a lot of growth, and the investment that 10 this project represents will help it evolve into an 11 attractive multi-amenity corridor, with new apartment buildings to complement the existing cohort of schools, 12 13 human services providers, shops and restaurants. 14 City staff will continue to welcome new projects, such as MOD's proposal, that are in keeping 15 16 with the City's comprehensive plan and create lasting 17 value for the community at large. If you have any questions, please feel 18 19 free to call me or e-mail me. Sincerely, Stephen 20 Fontana, Deputy Director, Economic Development. 21 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you, Ms. 22 Andrews. 23 MS. ANDREWS: Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Are there questions

1	that Council members have? Margaret?
2	DR. FABER: So my primary question, are
3	the buildings structurally sound? I know you have an
4	opinion from your architect, but has a structural
5	engineer entered the site and evaluated and written a
6	report?
7	MS. ANDREWS: We have not had a structural
8	engineer do that kind of report for us, or we would have
9	submitted it to you.
10	DR. FABER: So I know the Connecticut
11	Trust probably wanted to enter the building, but they
12	weren't allowed with their structural engineers. Is that
13	the case?
14	MS. ANDREWS: We were asked if we would
15	allow access to the buildings after all of the opposition
16	came forward, and my client decided that, first, it's not
17	
	required to do that, and, second, if they were going to
18	required to do that, and, second, if they were going to do that, they would want to have their own studies done
18 19	
	do that, they would want to have their own studies done
19	do that, they would want to have their own studies done and that that was an expense they did not want to
19 20	do that, they would want to have their own studies done and that that was an expense they did not want to undertake, given where we are now in the process.
19 20 21	do that, they would want to have their own studies done and that that was an expense they did not want to undertake, given where we are now in the process.  DR. FABER: Okay, so, we don't have that

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Christine?
2	MS. NELSON: You considered incorporating
3	the structures as an alternative and found that it was
4	feasible, but not prudent. Can you talk more about the
5	mechanics of that process?
6	MS. ANDREWS: Well they did not we have
7	not gotten into any plans for doing that or even talked
8	to our architect about doing it. It was just that we,
9	conceptually, we'd be willing to take the two buildings
10	and incorporate them into the project as a whole, with
11	the idea of still coming out with 30 units, and the
12	number of units has to do with the economic value of the
13	project, so you could probably get six.
14	There are six units in 97-99 right now, so
15	you can probably rehab it and get six units, and then
16	they would build the rest behind it, and then have to
17	work with the SHPO to find a way to connect the buildings
18	and make that work sensitively.
19	MS. NELSON: Okay, so, it wasn't
20	investigated? There's no expert testimony, as to whether
21	or not
22	MS. ANDREWS: There isn't. Once we found
23	out that the tax credits couldn't be used for the entire
24	project, that became not an option.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I have a question,
2	and it gets to communication, which I know you know so
3	well and is so important.
4	When you talk about the public outreach
5	meetings and soliciting input, was the question directly
6	and sort of baldly stated are you in favor of retaining
7	these buildings or tearing down and putting new
8	buildings?
9	MS. ANDREWS: Well I was not there at any
10	of these meetings, but I suspect, because I've been at
11	many meetings like this, community meetings for
12	development projects, that I suspect that what happened
13	was that MOD put on a presentation about its project and
14	showed the plans and then opened it up for questions.
15	I would suspect that that's what happened,
16	that they wouldn't have addressed specific questions like
17	that, you know? Are you going to be upset if we don't
18	include affordable housing, or, you know, we're going to
19	go down that road?
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I saw
21	Kathy's hand first, and then Karyn.
22	MS. MAHER: Thank you. Remind me again
23	when was the purchase of the properties?
24	MS. ANDREWS: 2016.

1	MS. MAHER: So they were aware that this
2	site was on the National Register at that time and
3	everything that goes with the National Register?
4	MS. ANDREWS: That's a good question.
5	There's an obligation in the statutes for notice of
6	buildings being on the National Register to be included
7	on the land records.
8	MS. MAHER: Yes.
9	MS. ANDREWS: And, so, in that case, they
10	would have found out in a title search of the property,
11	however, in my 30 years of practicing Historic
12	Preservation law, I have found that that statute is
13	honored in the breach, and I have often come across title
14	searches where there's property on the National Register
15	and it is not included on the land records.
16	MS. MAHER: So it would be interesting if
17	we could find that out, because they seem like a very
18	thorough operation, but they would actually have known
19	that there were restrictions prior to the purchase.
20	MS. ANDREWS: Right. The restriction
21	being 22a-19a, which is why they vetted the project with
22	the community, to find out if there was opposition.
23	I mean there wasn't a 106 requirement,
24	there wasn't a SEPA requirement, so it would be, the only

1	restriction would be the Protection Act that we're
2	talking about today, and that's why, in doing their due
3	diligence, they did so much outreach to find out if there
4	was opposition to the project and went forward with it,
5	only when they in good faith felt that there wasn't.
6	MS. MAHER: That's interesting. I do
7	agree with Margaret that there is somewhat of a conflict
8	that the architect, the developing architect, is the one
9	that's making the statement, so it would be very good to
10	have a structural historic preservation architect and
11	engineer go and assess the property.
12	I think that it's very admirable that
13	they're offering a solution to the demolition, however,
14	that does take the properties off the National Register,
15	in that case, if they're moved, so I think that is also
16	something to consider, so that changes the situation.
17	And, I'm sorry, one more thing. I'd like
18	a little more information, as to what the tax credit
19	situation looks like. Have they explored new market tax
20	credits? Have they explored the opportunities? There's
21	more than just a historic tax credit that could make this
22	a viable project, so I was just wondering if there has
23	been investigation on that.
24	MS. ANDREWS: Well in talking to the

1	SHPO's Office, obviously, the first thing we talked about
2	was the tax credits, and then, after that, we talked
3	about whether there were other grant opportunities or
4	things like that.
5	I mean MOD is not a municipality, MOD is
6	not a non-profit, so that cuts off, cuts them off from a
7	lot of the money, the funds that are available for
8	historic restoration.
9	MS. MAHER: Not the markets. The renewal
10	energies, so there are opportunities.
11	MS. ANDREWS: Well I didn't, in discussing
12	this with the SHPO's Office, I did not learn of any of
13	those opportunities.
14	MS. MAHER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Karyn?
16	MS. GILVARG: Just to follow-up a little
17	bit, Mr. Gardner, the architect, who opined the buildings
18	were in poor condition, does he have familiarity with
19	historic preservation? Is he qualified under the CFR?
20	MS. ANDREWS: You know, I meant to ask him
21	if he was qualified and forgot to do that. He has told
22	me that he is familiar with doing historic preservation
23	work in buildings and has done quite a bit of it.
24	I did not check with him on his specific

1	qualifications under the federal regs.
2	MS. GILVARG: And he, to your knowledge,
3	he did not bring any other professionals in, a structural
4	engineer for instance?
5	MS. ANDREWS: Again, we did not ask him to
6	do that.
7	MS. GILVARG: Okay and my other question
8	is, in the period when you were meeting with the
9	community groups before the City approvals, was there a
10	discussion about the fact that this building is a
11	contributing building in a National Registry district?
12	MS. ANDREWS: Unless someone brought it
13	up, probably not. Unless someone brought up the fact
14	that these were historic buildings and they shouldn't be
15	demolished, you know, I doubt it was brought up.
16	I mean, as I said, the things that they
17	heard over and over again were different concerns. They
18	were affordable housing and local contractors. That's
19	what they heard over and over again at these meetings.
20	MS. GILVARG: And the information about
21	Reverend Amos Beman, did that come up during those pre-
22	approval meetings, or was that a more recent?
23	MS. ANDREWS: Again, that came up with the
24	opposition. When the petition came forward and when the

newspaper articles came out and when the Historic 1 2. District Commission had its meeting, that's when that 3 information came out, and that's when we learned of that 4 information. That information was not, I believe, in the nomination for the Historic District. 5 6 MS. GILVARG: So you're not sure if your 7 client knew that the building was on the National 8 Register? 9 MS. ANDREWS: Oh, no. We definitely knew it was on the National Register, and that was one reason 10 11 we felt it was important to vet this with the 12 Preservation Trust. 13 MS. GILVARG: Okay, but maybe not all the 14 details? MS. ANDREWS: Well we did not know all of 15 16 the social history. Again, if it wasn't in the 17 nomination form or if the Preservation Trust didn't tell us about it, we would have no way of knowing. We did not 18 19 do independent historical research on the building. 20 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy? 21 MS. MAHER: So just to clarify, going back to my question, so you're not sure if they knew when they 22 23 purchased this property if it was on the National 24 Register, but, at some point, they did learn?

1	MS. ANDREWS: Correct. Correct.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Leah?
3	MS. LEAH GLASER: I don't know if this is
4	the right time, but I guess I'm just sort of I mean
5	there seems to be a lot of miscommunication. I'm
6	confused by like the Preservation Trust.
7	First, we're supporting it, but then we
8	have a letter in here including the Commission opposing
9	the demolition of the buildings. I just feel like there
10	must have been I'm trying to figure out like when
11	people actually understood that putting in this new
12	development meant the demolition of these buildings.
13	MS. ANDREWS: Well you couldn't know that
14	the buildings were not going to be demolished if you
15	attended any of the meetings and looked at the plans,
16	because it's clear, when you saw the size of the building
17	and there were some other plans that were included in
18	your packet, so it was clear the buildings were coming
19	down.
20	Ms. Holt actually toured at least 97-99.
21	I don't know whether she toured 95 Howe Street.
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret?
23	DR. FABER: So in reading the packet, it
24	seems in the beginning, when they first started the

meetings, that they only referenced one of the buildings 1 2. being demolished, and I think that might have created 3 some confusion. 4 MS. ANDREWS: Again, you could not attend 5 a meeting and not know that both of the buildings were not coming down. It was just -- and, apparently, Jacob -6 7 - they're going to call the building 95 Howe Street. can see in the packet that I just distributed of 8 9 renderings where there's a close-up of the front door and 10 it says 95 Howe, so he may have been referring to it as 11 95 Howe. That's what the project name is going to be, 12 but there's no way you could look at those two historic 13 buildings, the little, tiny 95 Howe Street and the much 14 larger 97-99 Howe Street, and not realize that both of 15 them were coming down. 16 DR. FABER: You're saying the plans. MS. ANDREWS: Anybody, who came to the 17 buildings, excuse me, to the meetings, that would have 18 19 been the format of the meetings, is a presentation by the 20 developer showing the plans, the layouts, the renderings. 21 That was the purpose of it, was to share the project with 22 these community groups. 23 DR. FABER: Yeah. It just seemed that 24 there was some confusion. People were saying, oh, we

1	L (	didn'	t :	know	there	were	two	buildings.

- 2 So my question really isn't that. You
- know, the idea that maybe demolition by neglect, and I
- 4 realize that they inherited these buildings neglected,
- 5 and I'm wondering if the Feldmans have done anything to
- 6 stabilize them.
- 7 MS. ANDREWS: I know that the back porch
- is coming down, so I'm sure they've done what they've
- 9 needed to do to stabilize it, but I don't know.
- 10 DR. FABER: But no active maintenance, as
- 11 far as you know?
- MS. ANDREWS: I really can't say. I
- really don't know. I did not ask my client that
- 14 question.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I'd just like to
- 16 follow-up to Margaret's question. Are the buildings
- 17 currently open in any way to the weather at this point?
- 18 MS. ANDREWS: No, not that I know of.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay.
- MS. ANDREWS: You mean is there a hole in
- 21 the roof, or windows open, windows broken, or something
- like that? I don't believe so.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And I just want to
- 24 clarify. This doesn't really get to the heart of the

1	motion, which is about prudent and feasible, but I just
2	want to make sure. Is this rendering the latest intent,
3	because it's slightly different than the drawings?
4	MS. ANDREWS: Correct. This is what got
5	approved.
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, so, not
7	MS. ANDREWS: Yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: It's in the details.
9	MS. ANDREWS: Which is why I wanted to
10	bring it.
11	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Other
12	questions? Kathy?
13	MS. MAHER: You know, there's a letter to,
14	you know, support saving the buildings from an Alderman,
15	a broad range of community representatives, scholars,
16	historians.
17	It would be interesting to know who they
18	really reached out to, as far as the community is
19	concerned, in general, or did they really go to the
20	representatives of the historic interests to gain good
21	and accurate information?
22	If they say they did a broad community
22	If they say they did a broad community outreach to people, was that really good data that they

1 strong representation to find, you know, to find this not 2. a prudent demolition. 3 MS. ANDREWS: Well they went to every 4 organization that the Economic Development Commission 5 recommended they go to, and then they went to every -- so 6 they went to every one of those, which included the 7 neighborhood and included the Preservation Trust. They did not know about the Friend's group 8 9 until the Friend's group reached out to them after their 10 application had already been submitted and after they had done their due diligence, including with the Preservation 11 12 Trust, and, at that point, they offered to meet with the 13 Friend's group, but the Friend's group never got back to 14 them, and, at that point, they were not invited to speak 15 to any of the reporters for the articles. They were not invited to the Historic 16 17 District Commission meeting. They didn't even know it was happening. They learned about the social history of 18 19 the building through the media. Didn't learn about it 20 any other way. 21 And, again, as I say, it was not in the nomination. It was not in the National Register 22 23 nomination the things that are public documents about 24 these buildings.

1	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I just had a
2	question. I'm hearing everything what you're saying, in
3	terms of all of this information kind of coming in sort
4	of late in the game for you for all the work that you've
5	done.
6	Given that there's a whole lot of new
7	information surfacing, is there a willingness that the
8	owner would have to slow the process down, to perhaps
9	withdraw the demolition permit application to allow more
10	complete conversations to happen, or is there a timeline
11	that they're wedded to? I'm just trying to see.
12	MS. ANDREWS: Well, certainly, from a
13	financial point, there's a timeline they are wedded to.
14	Time is money in the development world, and they are
15	they planned everything, and their contractors are all
16	lined up for an August 3rd demolition of the buildings
17	after the 90 days is over.
18	That said, we understand what the
19	Protection Act is and that that can stop that whole
20	process, so we are certainly, if the conversations if
21	the conversations can be around some of the other sources
22	of funding that you mentioned, or I forget who mentioned
23	it, a way to make it financially feasible to do it, then
24	that would be very helpful, and they'd be very willing to

1 listen to that. 2 As I said, when I called Todd to first 3 talk about the tax credits, when my client had said, 4 well, we'll do it, you know, but it just has to be, the 5 tax credits have to apply to the project, and it was kind of crushing to learn that they wouldn't apply as a whole 6 7 project, that they could only apply to the footprint of the historic buildings, because if you could apply to the 8 9 project, we wouldn't be here today. We would be sitting with other people from the SHPO's Office working on tax 10 11 credit applications. The bottom line is it's going to be 12 13 financially feasible, so if we were to learn more about 14 the social history, I think that would inform our 15 willingness to do the mitigation, the, you know, the 16 plaque or even an exhibit about the social history of the 17 building the way the National Park Service has outside exhibits that could be right there at the site and tell 18 19 the social history of the properties, but if that doesn't 20 come along with a way to make the project more financially feasible, a developer has investors, you 21 22 know? 23 If they're going to lose money, the 24 investors are going to pull out, and then the project,

1	you know, the project certainly can't be done, and then I
2	don't know what happens to the buildings.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: May I ask what they
4	bought the properties for?
5	MS. ANDREWS: I do not know.
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Leah?
7	MS. GLASER: When they bought these
8	properties, did they have these plans in mind? I always
9	find it odd that a developer would go into a historic
10	district planning to do new development.
11	MS. ANDREWS: Well, as I say, I'm not sure
12	they knew that it was in a historic district when they
13	bought the properties, because it's not always on the
14	land records.
15	In fact, more often than not, it's not on
16	the land records, so I suspect that they bought the
17	properties with the idea of doing a small apartment
18	building complex.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Margaret?
20	DR. FABER: Have they actively tried to
21	sell the properties? I mean once they learned they're on
22	the National Register, did they go ahead and try to?
23	MS. ANDREWS: No. We've been trying to
24	make it work. I've been back and forth on the phone with

- 1 Todd, you know? I tried to tell him we'd do a -- we'd
- 2 save the façade, and he told me that was facadic to me
- 3 (laughter) and that was not going to work, and then we
- 4 moved to, well, we'll save the whole building and just
- 5 attach it to new construction in the back, but, again, it
- 6 had to be financially-feasible.
- 7 DR. FABER: So they haven't just thought
- 8 we'll sell them to a --
- 9 MS. ANDREWS: No. Still --
- DR. FABER: Still working on it?
- 11 MS. ANDREWS: And I'm not sure how
- 12 saleable they are either at this point.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Well thank you very
- 14 much. Just for Council members to know, we're about
- 15 11:25, so we want to make sure that we leave enough time
- 16 for everybody else, who is here.
- 17 I'm next going to call on the Connecticut
- 18 Trust, and, again, the Trust can have up to 20 minutes
- 19 for a presentation.
- 20 COURT REPORTER: Identify yourself,
- 21 please?
- 22 MR. BRAD SCHIDE: Brad Schide. I'm the
- 23 Circuit Rider for the Connecticut Trust for Historic
- 24 Preservation.

1 I'll be sharing my time with David Goslin 2. of Crosskey Architects as part of our 20 minutes. 3 We're here -- actually, I was very happy 4 to hear that they are so agreeable to negotiate, because 5 the reason we're here is because we've heard they have 6 not been willing to talk, so what we're here for is to seek the Attorney General's involvement to obviously stop 7 the unreasonable destruction of the buildings, but what 8 9 we have found and what Todd and I have found, as soon as the Attorney General gets involved, people all start to 10 11 want to sit down and talk, so that's actually the reason 12 we're here today. 13 We've got a huge neighborhood. I was not 14 part of the march and all the hearings that you've been 15 hearing about, but I think you will hear some testimony 16 of people who were there, who had a little different take 17 on what the developer said and did not say. And, again, I'm not going to read what I 18 19 sent to you, but, in my package, we did and we are going 20 to talk about an alternative, because I know the Attorney General we need to have a prudent and feasible 21 alternative, and it can't be seven units, which is really 22 what the site is, and we did come up with a scenario, as 23 24 Dave will talk about, to get to the 30 units and save one

1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

real.

- of the buildings, not both of them, but we can talk more 2. about that, and Dave will. 3 So we were called in in actually May of 4 this year. As I said, we're not part of some of the earlier discussions, and we have not been inside the 5 building. 6 7 These are contributing resources in the National Register District. The facts on the ground are 8 9 this. By the time I got involved, as you heard, in April, the developer does have site plan and zoning 10 approval to build the 30 units. There's no question 11 12 about it. They have the approval. 13 The other facts on the ground was, and 14 Sandra has expressed concern, why there was so much opposition. A lot of times, until a demo permit is 15 16 actually filed, we don't even know if the project is
  - A lot of times, there's site plan approvals, and they lag for 10, 12 years. In this particular instance, why there's so much opposition late was because we just got word of the demo permit in early May, which I think is when they officially applied. Demo delay, as you heard, is 90 days.
- 24 That runs out in early August, and that's why we're here

1 today. Basically, I just, on the ground, as of August, 2. with no involvement, they can do -- they can take down 3 buildings and build that new construction, so that's why 4 we're here, and that's why we're trying to get a little 5 more negotiation. 6 I do want to talk a little about the 7 I know you guys aren't -- this is not a zoning zoning. 8 hearing, but I do want to be clear. This is a BA zone, 9 and Dave will layout two scenarios and show you this zone is encouraging high density, all right, and this zone, in 10 11 and of itself, is probably a direct threat to all historic, smaller historic buildings on Howe Street, but 12 13 probably throughout the City. 14 The City is very aware now, and we have 15 made them aware, and you'll hear some testimony today. 16 We are working on a preservation ordinance or expanded 17 LHD. Basically, the point is that we've got to 18 19 get protections, and we can't be calling on this meeting, 20 to this group every time we've got buildings threatened, and, let me tell you, there's going to be a lot more 21 22 threatened. 23 And let me just be very honest to you 24 what's going on. New Haven is a hot market. Everybody

1 has been to New Haven. It's hot. I mean there's real 2. estate going up. There's buildings. There's cranes. 3 They're running out of space, okay? 4 So when the developers start to run out of 5 space, where do they look? They're starting to look to 6 the neighborhoods, so what you have right now this is a 7 start. I'm not saying there's been huge assaults yet, but this -- Dwight neighborhood is a very desirable 8 9 neighborhood. People walk Downtown from here. I mean it's a great site. Everybody wants to be here. 10 What this is is a direct threat, however, 11 because developers now know that, and it's a higher 12 13 density that is allowed in that zone. 14 If you leave the buildings the way they 15 are, you really can't add a whole lot of density, and, 16 you know, again, Dave will go through that. So I do want to say one of the culprits 17 I don't think anybody is anti-development here. I 18 19 don't think you're going to hear anybody say they don't 20 want to see anything happening here, and they don't want 21 the developer necessarily to go away. 22 What they're saying is the zoning right now does not have a process. For example, as Ms. Andrews 23 24 said, it was as of right. If you guys know what that

1	means, that basically means there was no hearing. There
2	was a public meeting in April that approved it, and there
3	was a site plan that was submitted to City Plan, and all
4	they have to have is a fully-engineered site and some
5	schematic designs, and you've seen the design.
6	And, by the way, that design is not
7	consistent with anything in the Dwight neighborhood. It
8	is very consistent, however, with Downtown, so what you
9	have to think through is that this developer I'm not
10	casting any aspersion on the developers or what they're
11	capable of doing, but this design is Downtown New Haven,
12	so what I'm trying to tell you is what's happening is the
13	developers have no more space, and, so, they're looking -
14	- because it's a hot market, they want to start
15	encroaching in all the neighborhoods, and this is kind of
16	the start of that.
17	Now I want to say that, you know, you
18	heard the latest. I think we're over 800 names on this
19	petition, and, again, I wasn't part of some of those
20	earlier hearings, but I can't believe this is just
21	again, and I think part of the reason this is all coming
22	late for the developer is because of the demo permit,
23	okay?
24	When the demo permits go in, as Todd and I

know, that's real. That's a real project, because now 1 2. you've got these buildings coming down. And, by the way, 3 we don't really know how it's financing any of this and 4 whether it will be financed or whether it will take five 5 to 10 years to build while a vacant lot is sitting there, 6 so we have no assurances of what exactly is going back outside of some of these drawings. 7 8 As I said, we're working on a preservation 9 ordinance. We've met with the City a couple of times. 10 The City is very open to discussions. They recognize 11 this is approved. There's nothing they can do about it. 12 It's done, but they do recognize now that their plans for zoning the City is probably not taking into account some 13 14 of the smaller historic buildings, so we are working with them now, so we don't have to be coming to this meeting 15 16 constantly to try to stop every developer doing business. 17 Go to the next slide there. So I'm not going to spend a whole lot of time on the history. I 18 19 think you got tons in the public record there, but you'll 20 hear some more comments on it. This looks -- is it the original porch 21 that's on there now? Of course not, but the bones and 22 23 the structure of the Italian-age structure is still 24 there, and we'll look at those photos next. Go to the

1	next.
2	So let me just, so we can look at both of
3	the buildings, I just want to be clear. This is 95 Howe
4	Street. The building, 97, is right to the right of it in
5	the second picture there.
6	This is a Greek Revival. Now does it look
7	like a Greek Revival? There's been a lot of altering, of
8	course. I mean the siding is not real, and there's this
9	façade, but this is a Greek Revival, and it's still a
10	Greek Revival, and that's what it is, and it's still a
11	contributing resource. Let's go to the next one.
12	This is the current condition today.
13	There's the cupula. It's vinyl sided. Yes, it is.
14	There is historic windows on the side. The back porch is
15	not original, but you can see the eaves. Go to the next
16	slide.
17	And you can see there's bars on the
18	window, but if you go inside and look at the windows,
19	those are original windows. Those are the original
20	windows. The portico, the front little porch, that's all
21	original, and that's part of what was built.
22	The front porch may not be original,
23	because some of the balusters don't look original, but,
24	nonetheless, the Italian-age structure is still present.

1	Let's go to the next slide.
2	You've already seen the drawings, and I
3	think I've gone through all this, but I just want to be
4	clear, that this does not fit in with anything on Howe
5	Street.
6	I want to be also very clear there is no
7	design review. The City was not approving any design.
8	They were approving it administratively, which included
9	whatever was sent, so it was probably the design, as
10	well, but there are no design standards of what needs to
11	be built in these neighborhoods.
12	If we can get a preservation ordinance in
13	there, we will have design standards, and we've already
14	had, and the City has been very supportive of that idea.
15	So I'm going to call up Dave. The
16	absurdity of this zoning is really why we're here, and
17	he'll go in more detail, but you can look at the first
18	scenario, and you'll look at the second scenario.
19	And, by the way, there is no way we can
20	come here and force a developer with 30 approval units to
21	go to seven units. I mean we just can't do it.
22	And, by the way, you can move 95. You
23	don't have to demolish 95, but Dave can talk about, by
24	removing 95, removing one building here and rehabbing the

-

1	So with the information we got online, we
2	came up our first scenario was scenario one, which was
3	to save the both buildings.
4	In that option, 95 Howe Street we look at
5	that. It's a relatively small structure. It could
6	either be a mixed use building that has retail/commercial
7	space on the first floor, with a one-bedroom apartment
8	above it.
9	Since we did the sketch, it probably also
10	could be converted to a single-family house, if need be.
11	That's probably what it was originally at one point in
12	time.
13	The building next to it, 97-99 Howe
14	Street, the footprint and the square footage of that kind
15	of lends itself to having two two-bedroom units per
16	floor, so there would be a total of six two-bedroom units
17	in that building.
18	So with the two buildings combined, you
19	can either have seven units or eight units. Seven units
20	with retail. To the back, we would retain the parking
21	behind the buildings. There would be 10 parking spots
22	and a spot for a dumpster.
23	With regards to ADA compliance in
24	conversion of these buildings, because the complex being

1 both buildings would contain less than 20 units, there's 2. no requirement for providing handicapped accessibility. 3 The State Code is anything, any complex or building that 4 has 20 units or more for existing buildings. 5 If it were new buildings, that would be a 6 different story. We would have to make it ADA-compliant. 7 Because it's existing, we don't have to. So that's scenario one. So, after we did 8 9 that, we kind of reassessed, and we came up with scenario 10 number two, which scenario number two is we would retain 11 97-99 Howe in the same structure as we presented in scenario one, and, on 95 Howe Street, we would either 12 remove the building, relocate it, but, based on the 13 14 dimensions of the site plan, we can get a 24-unit 15 building on that site, new construction, so, with the new 16 building and the existing building, we can achieve 30 17 units, which is what the proposed development is 18 achieving. 19 We don't have the same unit dispersion or 20 unit mix, but we'd still achieve the same unit count. 21 MS. NELSON: How high does that second building have to go? 22 23 MR. GOSLIN: Six stories, so it would be 24 six stories, four units per floor, and parking would be

1 behind. We'd get 10 parking spots to the rear, with a 2. dumpster, and we would still have a little green space behind the parking lot to meet the open space 3 4 requirements for zoning. 5 So those are our two options that we came 6 up with. I'm not sure what more I can add, given the 7 limited access and knowledge that we had on both of the 8 properties. 9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, thank you. 10 there questions for Brad and Dave? Margaret? 11 DR. FABER: I have a question. I think I 12 read somewhere there's a seven percent return? 13 MR. SCHIDE: Yeah, I did a financial run 14 to look at a possible prudent alternative. I had really 15 nothing to go on, because I couldn't get inside the 16 buildings, but, yeah, so, I looked. It was just under 17 five million in capital costs. It was about a million in rehab costs for the -- I'm doing a rehab on Portsea 18 Street in New Haven, and that's about a million, so I 19 20 assume about a million there, and it was about 2.3 million for the new construction, you know, and then 21 there was all the soft costs. 22 23 Anyway, we're just around five million, 24 and then I look -- I just took some rents, and, if you go

1	online, the rents I projected were pretty low compared to
2	what's in the market, and I just looked at operation
3	costs and just looked at cash flow over 15 years, and it
4	looked like it was about the developer would have
5	needed to make a \$1.2 million contribution towards the
6	project.
7	It does show historic tax credits in
8	there, and I found out later that that may not work, but
9	I don't know what's open. The issue is the negotiation
10	with SHPO and whether that building can be, 95 could be
11	moved versus demolished.
12	I think the issue was the fact that we're
13	demolishing it, but, anyway, that's a SHPO question. I
14	didn't have answers to that, but, yeah, so, overall, in
15	that 15-year period, it was roughly around a seven
16	percent rate of return. Very simple cash-on-cash return.
17	I wasn't trying to get real technical.
18	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other questions?
19	Okay, thank you. So this is the portion of the meeting
20	where we will be calling all of you, who have been
21	sitting there so patiently, in the order of those that
22	have signed in and in the order of alternating, which
23	there do not appear to be alternating positions.
24	So the first person, who signed in to

- speak to this agenda item, Sarah Williams? No. Okay.
- 2 Brad Schide has spoken. Dave Goslin has spoken. Oh,
- goodness. We should have said print legibly. (Laughter)
- 4 Oh, boy. O, it begins with an O. Olivia? Olivia,
- 5 what's your last name?
- 6 MS. OLIVIA MARTSON: Martson.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: That's you. That was
- 8 a little bit of shaming.
- 9 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Olivia, I did
- 10 not get your last name.
- 11 MS. MARTSON: Martson, M-A-R-T-S-O-N.
- 12 COURT REPORTER: Thank you very much.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: And just a reminder
- that you have five minutes.
- 15 MS. MARTSON: Okay. My name is -- I have
- 16 a little script, short and sweet. I do want to make one
- 17 clarification about what was brought up about contacting
- 18 MOD Equities.
- 19 I did send them a letter, and it was
- 20 returned, so I did make a formal request to meet with
- 21 them, or, you know, that I was concerned about this
- issue, and it was sent back from their address, and I did
- 23 have -- I did send a letter, also, to the City Plan
- 24 Department, and that was signed that it was accepted, and

1	then I also sent a letter to Shipman & Goodwin to the
2	attorney that was representing them in New Haven, so I do
3	have those receipts, and I could certainly hand
4	everything over to Todd if we need it or to you guys.
5	MS. NELSON: The letter was returned why?
6	MS. MARTSON: It just says return to
7	sender, attempted, not known, and this was their mailing
8	address, and this was to MOD Equities, 129 Church Street,
9	which is the address they have on their website.
10	The other thing is I did receive returns
11	from the City of New Haven and Shipman & Goodwin at the
12	New Haven office.
13	The other thing I wanted to point out,
13 14	The other thing I wanted to point out, which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned
14	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned
14 15	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living
14 15 16	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.
14 15 16 17	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.  This was a website, Apartments.com. It
14 15 16 17 18	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.  This was a website, Apartments.com. It shows the interior of the building, and this was printed
14 15 16 17 18 19	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.  This was a website, Apartments.com. It shows the interior of the building, and this was printed out I think it was 2017, so they were actively renting
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.  This was a website, Apartments.com. It shows the interior of the building, and this was printed out I think it was 2017, so they were actively renting that unit, so I can take that.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which was discussed, was the fact that they had mentioned that these properties were vacant or no one was living there, and I printed out for you 95 Howe Street.  This was a website, Apartments.com. It shows the interior of the building, and this was printed out I think it was 2017, so they were actively renting that unit, so I can take that.  So I'm just going to go right through my

1	Historic District.
2	I also want to point out that we're not
3	just a fly-by-night organization that just popped up out
4	of nowhere. We do have a website, called the Friends of
5	the Dwight Historic District.org.
6	We've had two successes in New Haven. One
7	was saving a Queen Anne on the corner of George and
8	Orchard that was slated for demolition, and then we also
9	worked with a Novella developer, who actually bought a
10	site, moved the historic building to the vacant lot, and
11	he was able to do step down zoning on the RM-2 zone,
12	because we have a lot of problems with zoning, especially
13	the BA zone with the density issue, and we don't have
14	that preservation bonus density that they have in
15	Stamford, where you can build in the back.
16	The zoning regs are from 1935, so they're
17	very outdated.
18	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: You've got just one
19	minute.
20	MS. MARTSON: Okay. All right, so, I just
21	wanted to say one other thing, which we do support
22	adaptive reuse of the properties and propose additional
23	units that can be added to the parcel in a reasonable way
24	and is compatible with the existing structures, one that

- 1 complements the Historic District.
- 2 The other thing I wanted to bring out is
- 3 that, under our iPetitions, we have these iPetitions, on
- June 18th of 2019, Lisa M. Yates said, "I am an
- 5 architect, and I lived at 97-99 Howe Street during the
- 6 school year. No reason this contributing SHPO property
- 7 should not be saved. It helps form the character of our
- 8 City. Once it's gone, we'll never get it back."
- 9 Now that was dated June 18, 2019, saying
- 10 that someone actually lived in the building, okay? So
- 11 that's my -- it's under iPetitions. We have 100
- 12 petitions under the iPetitions, and the other ones were
- 13 all written up.
- 14 And I think that is it. The only other
- 15 thing, I think Todd --
- 16 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: You're out of time.
- MS. MARTSON: -- gave it to you. Okay,
- 18 that's it.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All right. Other
- 20 people can speak.
- MS. MARTSON: But I hope you save the
- buildings, because we love them.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you very much.
- 24 I'm going to butcher this last name. It's Diane P.?

1	COURT REPORTER: She says she's not
2	speaking.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Not speaking? Okay.
4	Joe F.?
5	MR. JOE FEKIETA: Joe Fekieta.
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay.
7	MR. FEKIETA: My name is Joe Fekieta, and
8	I was born in New Haven and have lived there for 58 of
9	those years.
10	During my time in New Haven, I have
11	witnessed the demolition of hundreds of historic
12	structures, beginning in my childhood and, unfortunately,
13	continuing today.
14	Many of the buildings that are now gone I
15	had a relationship with, because I walked, or rode by
16	them in my car, or did some kind of business or activity
17	inside.
18	Looking at them always pleased me, because
19	of the details on the cornice, around the windows or
20	integrated into the wall, and the amazing craftsmanship
21	and skill they were made with. That could never be
22	duplicated today.
23	These are monuments to a time now long
24	gone and never to return, can never be replaced, and that

1	is very sad.
2	New Haven once had a beautiful historic
3	city center, with 300 years of architecture to delight
4	the eye and stimulate the mind, much of it replaced with
5	ugly, brutish and impersonal structures that are
6	depressing to look at, irritating and difficult to live
7	with, or, worse yet, replaced with parking lots.
8	Now we have a chance to stop the policies
9	that promoted all this by saving 95, 97-99 Howe Street
10	from demolition and making the Downtown and all of New
11	Haven beautiful again, and I include the architectural
12	design that is intended to replace these two historic
13	structures as the worse examples of what we have in New
14	Haven.
15	We don't need this kind of development
16	anymore. We need quality development, and that does not
17	represent quality development, and we need to save these
18	buildings no matter what. Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. I'm going
20	to quickly check on the conference call. Walter, are you
21	still on the line? Fiona, are you on the line? Okay.
22	DR. WOODWARD: Hello?
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. All right,
24	thank you. The next person is Linda Townsend.

1	A FEMALE VOICE: I think she had to leave.
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Patricia Kane?
3	I'm going to pronounce this incorrectly. Fereshleh?
4	MS. FERESHLEH BEKHRAD: Fereshleh Bekhrad.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: My apologies.
6	MS. BEKHRAD: My name is Fereshleh
7	Bekhrad. I'm an architect, urban designer, planner and a
8	developer, who have been developing for the last 52 years
9	all over the world, and I came to the Dwight neighborhood
10	in 1993 by obtaining a building that needed renovation,
11	367 Elm Street, 22 units, and, also, took a project,
12	which was 260, 262, 268 Dwight Street, vacant, vandalized
13	convalescent home that nobody wanted to touch and
14	renovated it, totally got it from scratch to a 12-unit
15	that became a real icon, changed the windows, the
16	It was not a historic building, but it was
17	built, both of these buildings, about the turn of the
18	century or before.
19	What I'd like to say, as somebody who had
20	been now, right now, I am developing in Quinnipiac
21	River and renovated their building, which was 1770, and
22	they have nothing left to the inside and the structure
23	and everything to the outside.
24	We keep hearing this comment about as of

1 right for development and that it's not a worthy 2. financial investment. These two things by themselves do not make responsible development. We need responsible 3 4 development from everybody, from the City side, the 5 developer side, the neighborhood side, all looking to see 6 what is there, what is missing, what's the character of 7 the area, what's the architecture, what's are the uses 8 that they're needed, they're not there, and what's the 9 relationship between the buildings, and all of these things should be looked at, along with the bottom line 10 11 and as of right to make a responsible development that will last for another 100 and 200 years, and it will not 12 13 be a burden on the neighborhood because of a cheap, bad 14 design or a building that does not have proper 15 maintenance used in developing and constructing the 16 building. 17 That's basically all I wanted to say, because I am really concerned that the neighborhoods 18 19 around New Haven are not targeted for a really quick buck 20 making today and not worrying about what's going to 21 happen in five years or 10 years and how these buildings 22 are going to be slum, because of the change of the use 23 and the inability to adopt. Thank you so much. 24 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.

1	MS. BEKHRAD: And I have information you
2	want from me. If you want it, I have it here.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Susan
4	Frew?
5	MS. SUSAN FREW: Hi. I'm a New Haven
6	resident. I'm not in exactly that neighborhood, but I'm
7	also a representative of development in State Street. My
8	husband and I have been doing redevelopment since he
9	started in '78, and then I started with him in 1982, and
10	we have developed and rehabbed, gutted buildings quite a
11	bit, and we're doing one now at 81 Pearl, and we have
12	done 45 Nash, which was a reuse of a historic school from
13	1888. It's been wonderful. It can be done. It's not
14	easy, but it can be done.
15	I'm also here to speak about the history
16	of these two buildings and the significance. New Haven
17	has been always a pivotal point in history for African-
18	Americans, and whether it be the underground railroad, or
19	the great Reverend Beman, or the Amistad, or the Bobby
20	Seale Trial, we have a rich African-American history and
21	some great people to look up to, and I think that these
22	two buildings are historic for Reverend Beman, and I
23	think that we should show our sensitivity to this
24	African-American history.

1	I hope that you'll reconsider and show
2	your sensitivity towards them, too.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Dorthea
4	Green?
5	MS. DORTHULA GREEN: Good afternoon,
6	everyone. My name is Dorthula Green, and I am a resident
7	of the Dwight neighborhood, and, as they were speaking
8	earlier, talking about a meeting, I was at the meeting,
9	and there are a number of us, who are members of the
10	Dwight neighborhood, and my personal position is that,
11	you know, there could be profit, preservation and concern
12	for people, so that, as they're making these decisions
13	and plans to build in the community, the Dwight
14	neighborhood has been very a eclectic, mixed neighborhood
15	of interesting people and places, so, as we are looking
16	at preserving these buildings, I'm a part of the Friends
17	of Dwight, because we want the community, the
18	neighborhood to remain, as much as possible, friendly to
19	older buildings.
20	I live a 100-year-old house. It has its
21	issues, you know? It's our baby, but it's our home, and
22	seeing these houses being torn down or people trying to
23	deny they neglected them and say, oh, they're not worthy,
24	people were living in that house not too long, in the

1	houses that they're talking about, there were people
2	living in those houses, you know, I think a year ago, so
3	it's very strange for them to say that it's not, you
4	know, that you can't live in them, and I may be a little
5	off by that, but that's where we were.
6	Just to note that at the meeting, where
7	MOD came to present, I was at the table, because I was on
8	the committee at the time, in terms of taking notes,
9	etcetera, and when we looked at the presentation that
10	they presented, the small scale item that they brought,
11	there were not individual handouts for us to see the
12	entire process, but we understood one building was going
13	to be effected, not two, and, hence, even though they
14	came to the community, they came to the community with,
15	intentional or not intentional, with information that we,
16	as local people, who are not architects, who are not
17	developers, they came with materials that said one
18	building, as well as the fact that, yes, we do concern
19	ourselves with affordable housing, because it is a mixed
20	community, and we have seen the minimization of
21	affordable housing and that fight to start and to make
22	sure we're having housing.
23	We know the trajectory for vital signs,
24	buildings in New Haven. We know the trajectory to look

1	for housing for, upper class versus middle class and
2	lower class people, so those are things that, as a
3	neighborhood, we try to pay attention to when builders
4	are coming and when people are coming to the community,
5	so that's why it was important for us to say we need
6	affordable housing. That didn't mean that we were not
7	concerned about the historical buildings in our
8	neighborhood, because we know it's a historical district.
9	And, so, whenever someone comes through,
10	we often, you know, we run something by the group,
11	Friends, because we want to make sure that we're not
12	we don't always know the background, and we take the time
13	and the passion to look the information up to make sure
14	that we're working with, as much as possible, the
15	developers or people that are coming in to do things, but
16	we also want to make sure that they're serving our
17	community and our community is not being abused.
18	We feel that, as a neighborhood, that we
19	have a right and it's important for our neighborhood.
20	You know, we've worked hard for the neighborhood to
21	become what it is, and we want to make sure that that
22	continues, but we did not understand that, you know, we
23	didn't understand what later became the issue, hence why
24	it was after their presentation that we were like, oh,

1	wait, let's backup, so that's my take on this, and we
2	just want to preserve our neighborhood, so it's working
3	with everybody
4	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
5	MS. GREEN: people and
6	preservationists.
7	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Frank
8	Douglas?
9	MR. FRANK DOUGLAS: Hi. Good morning.
10	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Good morning.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: My name is Frank Douglas.
12	I'm the Alder for Ward 2 in New Haven, which is in the
13	Dwight neighborhood, lived in New Haven all my life, 66
14	years. My family has been in New Haven for more than 150
15	years, and I've seen a lot of change.
16	When I became the Alder for that Ward in
17	2003, I bought a beautiful home at the corner of Orchard
18	and Elm Street, developed by the Greater Dwight
19	Development Corporation, which is a very historical
20	house, itself, and when I was presented with this
21	proposal, it was to the understanding that 95, only 95
22	Howe Street was the property in question, and then it
23	turned out that there were the other two properties, the
24	other two addresses, so it kind of threw me, but they

1 presented it to us at the management team, and it was 2 just vaquely put on the table, and we didn't really 3 understand, but we were like understanding that there's a possibility, but nothing was agreed upon. 4 5 So, in saying that, I'm here to 6 acknowledge the preservation of these properties. 7 don't think that we should get rid of these properties. They're very historic to us. 8 9 And after finding out the history, itself, 10 behind them, it kind of threw me, because I appreciate 11 the American history, especially in New Haven, a lot of the properties that we don't have anymore, and I think 12 13 it's time for us to really step back and take a look at 14 that and realize that these are valuable, very valuable 15 properties. 16 So I have a letter from someone that I'd 17 like to read, and it kind of touched me, because, when I found out the history of it, myself, it kind of was bone-18 19 chilling, so I want you to listen to this. 20 My name is Charles Warner, Jr. I am the Chairman of the Connecticut Freedom Trail. The Freedom 21 22 Trail designates and documents sites related to the 23 struggle for human freedom, celebrities, I'm sorry, 24 celebrates accomplishments of Connecticut's African-

1	American and promotes heritage tourism.
2	There are more than 140 sites across 50
3	cities and towns throughout Connecticut. I give
4	testimony today to express the importance of preventing
5	the demolition of the two properties, 95 and 97 through
6	99 Howe Street, located in New Haven.
7	Not only are the buildings, themselves,
8	historical, but the people, who once occupied the
9	structures, are people who have contributed greatly to
10	the development of the New Haven community, the State of
11	Connecticut and the nation.
12	One of those people, the Reverend Amos G.
13	Beman, is a product of pre-Civil War Connecticut, born of
14	a distinguished family in 1812 in Colchester,
15	Connecticut, the grandson of a Revolutionary War Veteran
16	and son of a community Civil Rights leader and pastor.
17	Amos Beman was inspired to be a change
18	agent and leader in spiritual, personal and community
19	development. Like his father, Beman eventually became an
20	ordained minister, moving to New Haven in 1841 to pastor
21	what is now the 199-year-old Dixwell Congregational
22	Church, New Haven's first African-American Church and the
23	oldest African-American Congregational Church in the
24	country.

1	While in New Haven, Mr. Beman was active
2	on the Underground Railroad, the leader of the
3	Connecticut Temperance Society and the leader in the
4	earliest state in national conventions for African-
5	Americans.
6	Reverend Beman advocated for the abolition
7	of slavery and was nationally leading a leading voice
8	in calling for Americans to get the rights to vote.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Just one minute.
10	MR. DOUGLAS: The Amos Beman collection is
11	currently housed at Yale University's Beinecke Library.
12	Amos Beman has left an indelible mark on the conscience
13	and the consciousness of communities throughout the State
14	of Connecticut from Colchester to Middletown to Hartford
15	and to New Haven.
16	Having a physical marker to serve as a
17	reminder of his work and a beacon of ideals is priceless.
18	Connecticut is fortunate to have ties to such I'm
19	sorry. Connecticut is fortunate to have ties to much
20	early American history. It is our duty to preserve these
21	treasures.
22	Saving the Howe Street properties is a way
23	for us to honor the past while developing the future.
24	Sincerely, Charles Warner, Jr., Chairman of the

1	Connecticut	Freedom	Trail	Commission.

- 2 So I just want you all to just think about
- 3 that. This is chipping away at our history. Like
- 4 someone said before me, there's not much space left in
- 5 the neighborhood, in our neighborhood, so these buildings
- 6 are really important, and if we could preserve them, it
- 7 would be well-noted, and people would really appreciate
- 8 it.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
- 10 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you very much.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Jesse
- 12 Nasta?
- 13 MR. JESSE NASTA: Good morning. My name
- 14 is Jesse Nasta. I'm a Professor of African-American
- 15 studies and history at Western University in Middletown,
- 16 Connecticut, and I was asked to speak here today a little
- 17 bit about the Beman family.
- 18 I'm echoing some of what was just said by
- 19 the Freedom Trail. I just want to reiterate, and I know
- 20 many of you are aware of the Bemans, but really just
- 21 reiterate that no family in the State of Connecticut
- 22 better represents the century-long struggle for racial
- 23 equality and justice than the Beman family.
- 24 The Bemans are it. I'd say they are our

state's biggest representatives. As you've heard, 1 2. Reverend Amos Beman, who lived at 97 to 99 Howe, was the 3 grandson of Cesar and Sarah Beman. 4 Cesar Beman fought in the American 5 Revolution, and that's how he gained his freedom here in 6 Connecticut. Beman's father was one of the founders of 7 the First AME Zion Churches in Connecticut. 8 Reverend Amos Beman was the pastor of the 9 oldest Congregational, black Congregational Church in Connecticut, so, you know, when I teach and when I speak 10 about this, a lot of people in Connecticut don't even 11 know that thousands of African-Americans were enslaved 12 13 right here in this state before the Revolution. 14 They think that slavery was something that only happened in the U.S. south, and, yet, Amos Beman's 15 16 grandparents were one of 5,000 African-Americans enslaved 17 right here in Connecticut on the eve of the American Revolution. 18 19 They don't know that, until 1870, African-20 Americans could not vote in this state. They don't know 21 that the state Constitution of 1818 specifically exempted African-Americans from voting and allowed white men the 22 23 same privilege. 2.4 Year-after-year, Amos Beman led petitions

1	to the General Assembly here in Harford, asking to be
2	given the same right that all white men were given, just
3	by virtue of being white, and that all black men were
4	denied, just by virtue of being black, and those
5	petitions are a few blocks from here in the Connecticut
6	State Archive.
7	So I guess, as an educator and someone,
8	who wants this history to be known and celebrated, I
9	think that this house has an educational purpose, that
10	nothing can educate like a home where Amos Beman lived
11	and where he did this incredible work from.
12	You know, I'm based in Middletown.
13	Reverend Beman's father, Jehiel Beman, had a house in
14	Middletown. Reverend Beman's brother, Leverett Beman,
15	actually, as some of you might already know, laid out a
16	district where African-American, free African-Americans
17	can own property in Middletown. It's now the Leverett
18	Beam Historic District, which is on the Freedom Trail,
19	but, as I said in my letter of support, his father's home
20	in Middletown is now an apartment complex. It was torn
21	down.
22	His brother Leverett's home is now a
23	parking lot. This might be the only surviving Beman home
24	in the state, and I think, for that reason alone, it's

1	worth preserving, that it's not like there are other
2	Beman homes that have survived. This could very well be
3	it, so I think that alone.
4	I think, like I said, the fact that most
5	people don't know about this history of slavery and
6	racial prescription in this state makes it even more
7	important to have these structures, and I think, you
8	know, it's sad, because the argument that the public
9	didn't there wasn't an outcry until after they knew
10	Reverend Beman lived there, well, that's the purpose of
11	the house, to educate.
12	We can't blame the public for not knowing
13	what they don't know. It's our job to preserve these
14	homes, so that they can know it, right?
15	So I feel like that's a backwards
16	rationale, so the educational value alone of these homes
17	makes them so important, and preserving them, itself, is
18	an act of racial justice and historic justice and social
19	justice, so that's my argument on behalf of the Bemans.
20	More broadly speaking, as others have
21	said, I mean to have a 19th Century neighborhood intact
22	is something that can't be retrieved once it's gone
23	either, right, that this is out of proportion and out of
24	character with the neighborhood, so I think the fact

1	that, you know, someone could buy a home without perhaps
2	even knowing it's on the National Register for Historic
3	Places requires intervention, and, as has been said
4	before, I hope this is just the beginning to create
5	ordinances that prevent these Band-Aids from having to be
6	put on again and again and these fires that have to be
7	put out again and again, so that there's more of a
8	forward-looking strategy to prevent this kind of
9	demolition, so thank you so much for listening.
10	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
11	(APPLAUSE)
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Sven Martson?
13	MS. MARTSON: Oh, he's left. He's my
14	husband.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Patricia
16	Wallace?
17	MS. PATRICIA WALLACE: I'm Patricia
18	Wallace. I live at 66 Edgewood Avenue in New Haven, and
19	I'm a member of the Friends of the Dwight Historic
20	District.
21	I guess I want to just celebrate the fact
22	that we're having this conversation today. SHPO's
23	strategic plan calls for special sensitivity on sites
24	that are important to the history of minorities and women

1 and others, who are perhaps less in the forefront in 2. historic preservation. This is an opportunity to put 3 flesh on those bones. I'm a social worker. My professional life 4 5 has included significant periods of working with nonprofit developers. I was heartened to hear that the 6 7 developers have an interest in historic tax credits as an 8 overall part of their financing package, but it sounds as 9 if they have not considered the possibility of being able to access those through partnership with a non-profit 10 11 development corporation. 12 I most recently worked for Neighborhood 13 Housing Services of New Britain. They have a historic 14 property on Hart Street in New Britain, and NHS of New Britain actually was in collaboration with Crosskey and a 15 16 major development corporation in a partnership that would have -- would leverage those kinds of resources, and it 17 is very routine for those kinds of partnerships to be 18 19 created specifically for that purpose. Linda Townsend Maier, who is the Director 20 21 of the Greater Dwight Development Corporation, couldn't 22 stay, but I asked her if the development corporation in 23 the neighborhood would be open to talking with MOD about 24 such a partnership. She said that they would.

1	In addition, there's a Neighborhood
2	Housing Services in New Haven. They are providing
3	technical assistance to the Friends of the Dwight
4	Historic District right now.
5	We initiated a survey to identify which
6	properties that are individually owned, not owned by an
7	LLC, in the district, appear to have some issue of light,
8	major or minor, and we have distributed a resource
9	handout to every single one of those property owners in
10	the last month to give people information about where
11	they can receive help with technical support, financial
12	support, other kinds of assistance from three
13	organizations that we have recruited to provide such
14	help; NHS of New Haven, the Community Action Agency and
15	so on.
16	So we are trying, as a neighborhood group,
17	entirely volunteer, to wrap our arms around the district
18	and to bring resources to people, so that they know that
19	they don't need to sell a property if they would prefer
20	to keep it and where to find the help that they need.
21	I think that a collaborative relationship
22	between the developer and the neighborhood would be
23	optimal, and we are working with the City to try to come
24	up with better ways to enhance this kind of approach.

1	So thank you for listening. I really hope
2	it will be possible to preserve these buildings.
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Victoria
4	Vebell? No? Jane Comins?
5	MS. JANE COMINS: Yes. I'm very happy to
6	be here. My name is Jane Comins. I live at 76 Edgewood,
7	just around the corner from the properties in question.
8	I walk by them every day.
9	I live in an adaptive reuse home in the
10	neighborhood that used to be a commercial Laundromat or
11	something like that, but I want to also thank, in
12	addition to all of you being here and giving us your time
13	this morning, which we're terribly grateful, I want to
14	thank my friends, neighbors and
15	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You need to
16	speak into the microphone.
17	MS. COMINS: I'm sorry. I want to thank
18	my fellow neighbors and friends and the Alder for coming,
19	Linda Thompson Maier, who is the head of the Dwight
20	Community Management Team and serving on that, so I want
21	to Jesse and everyone for coming out. It really means
22	a lot to me personally, but thank you. And the
23	Connecticut Trust and New Haven Preservation, so thank
24	you.

1	The buildings have never been condemned.
2	There were no violations on record with the City of New
3	Haven. They did have tenants as recently as December
4	2008, just a few months before this process started.
5	There are is it Todd?
6	A MALE VOICE: '18. 2018.
7	MS. COMINS: Yes, 2018. Could we go back
8	to one of Brad's slides? There are two immaculate
9	well this property right here, 101 Howe, is immaculate
10	COURT REPORTER: I just need to have you
11	on the microphone.
12	MS. COMINS: I apologize.
13	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
14	MS. COMINS: That property is an
15	immaculately-maintained single-family residence, and
16	there's two properties at 103 and 107 not in this
17	picture, but that are, also.
18	They're multi-family, and one is a
19	commercial café, a Turkish café, but they're
20	immaculately-maintained.
21	And then, at 37, if you can go to another
22	oh, no. That slide will be fine, but behind 95 Howe,
23	behind this brick building that I think MOD also owns,
24	there's another single-family residence that abuts the

1 back of this property line and would abut the 2. development, and that's 37 Edgewood, and I believe it's 3 being used by a Yale-University non-profit for their 4 purposes. I don't want to mislead you and say there's a family living there. I do believe there's a single family 5 6 living adjacent to this. 7 The entire block -- I'm not an architect. I'm a layperson. The entire block down Edgewood, Elm and 8 9 then behind the properties on Lynwood is intact. Everything is still there as it stood since the 1920s, 10 11 when the last part of the building was built. 12 It's all occupied. Commercial law 13 students, a lot of young professionals working in the 14 neighborhood. The history of the properties, the women's 15 16 history, the African-American, the social history, the 17 architecture and streetscape is very important to me. That's why I moved into the neighborhood approximately 10 18 19 years ago when I moved to New Haven. You can walk 20 everywhere. 21 I agree with Joe and several other people, who said that I believe this is going to set a precedent 22 for developers. The Historic District needs to take a 23 24 stand and protect ourselves and not welcome them in.

1	I wanted to say I do support development
2	of the property, although I oppose the demolition. The
3	demolition of these two buildings would erase my
4	neighborhood's cultural heritage and abandon 175 years of
5	our history simply to turn a profit.
6	And then I want to say we know the cost of
7	the new buildings, but let's not forget the value of the
8	old ones. What are historic districts for if not to
9	protect the properties within them? Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you.
11	(APPLAUSE)
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Elizabeth Holt?
13	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Your last
14	name, please?
15	MS. ELIZABETH HOLT: Holt, H-O-L-T. Hi.
16	I'm Elizabeth Holt, the Director of Preservation Services
17	at New Haven Preservation Trust.
18	I believe you all have the official
19	statement that I made and submitted and had read at the
20	Historic District Commission meeting, but I feel the more
21	prudent statement for me to make right now is to clarify
22	that I and the New Haven Preservation Trust never
23	approved, condoned, or otherwise supported the demolition
24	of these buildings.

1	I was contacted November of last year, so
2	very, very early on, to tour the buildings and have a
3	look at what was being proposed, and the first thing I
4	said to Jacob was, I said, this isn't going to go well,
5	so I was very aware that this was not an ideal situation.
6	The quotes that I made were simply in
7	frustration speaking to the reality of the situation,
8	which Brad Schide has addressed, and, also, I have been
9	working with the City to address the lack of preservation
10	protections for buildings like these, so, again, my
11	position was never that these were beyond saving or that
12	they should not be saved, that they weren't worthy of
13	preservation.
14	Since greater concerns have arisen, I have
15	not spoken any further with the developments, so at a
16	time when they should have been speaking to a
17	preservation professional, they no longer did so.
18	So just to reiterate a few of the points
19	that have been made, there's a real risk that, in
20	neighborhoods like this, demolitions are going to begin a
21	chain reaction of developers buying properties just to
22	demolish the buildings, but historic buildings,
23	regardless of their condition, should not be seen as just
24	potential vacant land.

1	If there's still a building standing, then
2	something should be done to save it, and I think it's
3	prudent that we act now to prevent the demolition of both
4	these buildings and other historic buildings in New
5	Haven. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Maisa
7	Tisdale?
8	MS. MAISA TISDALE: My name is Maisa
9	Tisdale, and I'm the President of the Mary and Eliza
10	Freeman Center for History and Community in Bridgeport,
11	Connecticut.
12	I'm here to support the Dwight Historic
13	District in New Haven and its efforts to prevent the
14	demolition of 95 Howe Street and 97-99 Howe Street, the
15	home of African-American minister Amos Gerry Beman, which
16	later housed a Ladies Seminary run by Reverend and Mrs.
17	Heman Bangs, one of the four most Methodist preachers of
18	the day.
19	We were founded a grassroots historic
20	preservation organization in 2009, and we own the Mary
21	and Eliza Freeman houses built in 1848.
22	We are creating a national African-
23	American historic site in the south end of Bridgeport,
24	consisting of a museum and education center, digital

1	humanities and housing.
2	The Freeman Center the Freeman houses
3	were once owned by sisters Mary and Eliza Freeman, and
4	they're the only two original structures remaining of an
5	antebellum settlement of free people of color in Little
6	Liberia. This independent seafaring town was founded by
7	Connecticut Blacks and Paugussetts around 1822, when this
8	state and nation still had slavery.
9	Research suggests that Little Liberia
10	residents sought to create a free settlement on
11	Connecticut land when the U.S. and Connecticut still had
12	slavery.
13	Bridgeport's first free lending library, a
14	school for colored children and other businesses, a
15	resort hotel for wealthy blacks, cited in a letter to
16	Frederick Douglass, all existed in Little Liberia, and
17	these sisters were accomplished business women.
18	When Mary Freeman died, the only
19	Bridgeporter of greater wealth was P.T. Barnum. Our
20	houses are on the National Register of Historic Places.
21	The Beman House is listed on the National
22	Register of Historic Places as part of the West
23	Village/Dwight Street Historic District, yet nowhere in
24	that nomination is the name Amos G. Beman ever mentioned,

1	a testament to the fact that the significance of
2	Connecticut's African-American abolitionists to U.S.
3	history has remained untaught and unvalued by this state
4	for generations, and Connecticut's determination to
5	continue chattel slavery, its complicity in the slave
6	trade, its determination to deny African-Americans the
7	right to vote long after other New England states
8	permitted it was fastidiously scrubbed away, replaced by
9	a myth of a white underground railroad that freed hapless
10	blacks, and this story, the story of Reverend Amos G.
11	Beman, emblematic of this struggle for abolition and
12	enfranchisement, human rights and the economic strength
13	of black people, was lost from the historical narrative.
14	It's for this reason that Amos Beman's
15	house must stand. If Amos Beman had been given his
16	rightful place in U.S. history and the truth of his
17	involvement in the underground railroad had been told,
18	this house would never have been sold to a developer to
19	be replaced by apartments in the first place. It would
20	have been revered and interpreted like those of other
21	American heroes.
22	When places like these are demolished, our
23	collective memory dies, and prejudices are perpetuated.
24	Reverend Beman's story leads us to explore multiple and

1	significant stories of black self-determination,
2	leadership and strategic agency, Colored Conventions, the
3	establishment of black settlements, abolition.
4	So I'm going to skip down, because I have
5	how long left?
6	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One minute.
7	MS. TISDALE: So let's let Reverend Beman
8	talk to you in his own words. It just so happened that,
9	a week before I heard about the demolition, I was reading
10	his writings, because he and a representative of Little
11	Liberia and another man they authored these statements in
12	threes.
13	He says we know that, in your hands under
14	God, I found the keys of our political destiny, that it
15	is for you to say whether we shall enjoy the same rights
16	and privileges, which other men enjoy, and whether this
16 17	and privileges, which other men enjoy, and whether this invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed
17	invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed
17 18	invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed or not.
17 18 19	invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed or not.  We approach you, believing that you were
17 18 19 20	<pre>invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed or not.  We approach you, believing that you were to be influenced by truth and reason, that you were alive</pre>
17 18 19 20 21	<pre>invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed or not.  We approach you, believing that you were to be influenced by truth and reason, that you were alive to the interests and honor of this state, that the spirit</pre>
17 18 19 20 21 22	invidious mark of political degradation shall be removed or not.  We approach you, believing that you were to be influenced by truth and reason, that you were alive to the interests and honor of this state, that the spirit of freedom has still an altar in your hearts and a home

1	all men are created free and equal and endowed by the
2	Creator with certain inalienable rights, among which are
3	life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, a declaration
4	which your fathers wrote and with our sealed, and while
5	you are unwilling to write hypocrite upon their tombs, we
6	are unwilling longer to remain silent from disfranchised,
7	upon that soil from which, in those rights for which,
8	either as slave or soldiers slaves, they toiled to gain
9	for this country.
10	All the surviving structures tied to
11	African-Americans should be considered endangered and
12	carefully preserved.
13	It's rare to find structures in northern
14	cities, since the historic black communities were raised
15	during urban renewal. It is even more rare to find
16	surviving African-American historic sites that retain
17	original materials and artifacts so significant that they
18	find their way into Yale University's Beinecke rare book
19	library.
20	Black preservationists face a daunting
21	task; saving rare buildings that are the only existing
22	witnesses to a larger historical narrative wiped from
23	modern memory.
24	

1	freedom from misrepresentation and myth; Beman and his
2	tie to Little Liberia, Little Liberia and its tie to
3	Brooklyn's Weeksville, a narrative that stretches to free
4	black communities across the Atlantic, the assertion of
5	Pan Africanism in London, and the extensive Colored
6	Convention Movement right here in the U.S.
7	These houses must stand to guarantee that
8	research will continue and our history will be truthfully
9	taught.
10	We're dedicated through this movement to
11	save the Beman house. I could not be more dedicated as
12	an individual, and the Freeman Center is willing to stand
13	and fight and help further this movement to save this
14	house, and somewhere else Reverend Beman will by any
15	means necessary.
16	Anyway, I have a whole bunch of the
17	writings of Beman and his colleagues at the Colored
18	Conventions. I'd like to leave them with you.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you very much.
20	MS. TISDALE: You're welcome.
21	(APPLAUSE)
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, so, that
23	concludes the public testimony via the sign-in sheet.
24	So it is 12:29. I'd like to just make

1	sure that Council members can stay until 1:00, so that we
2	can complete this discussion. And I want to just see.
3	Walter, are you still on the line?
4	DR. WOODWARD: I am.
5	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay, thanks. All
6	right, so, that's quite a story of these buildings in
7	front of us.
8	I don't know where you would all like to
9	start with the considerations. The first, perhaps,
10	question I should ask is do you feel that you have enough
11	information to make a decision, or do you feel that there
12	are questions that still need to be asked? Tom?
13	MR. ELMORE: Can you please remind us what
14	our decision is?
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So our decision is,
16	the motion is a vote to request the assistance of the
17	Office of the Attorney General to prevent the
18	unreasonable destruction of the historic properties at 95
19	and 97-99 Howe Street.
20	DR. WOODWARD: Sara?
21	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yes?
22	DR. WOODWARD: This is Walt. Could I ask
23	one question?
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Of course.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	DR. WOODWARD: Yeah. In the
2	documentation, it looked like the documentation made it
3	fairly clear that Amos Beman had, in fact, been a
4	resident of these homes, but it also said that it hadn't
5	been confirmed. Are we sure now that this was one of the
6	sites in which he lived?
7	MR. LEVINE: Hey, Walt. This is Todd.
8	Yes. I went back and, working with the community and the
9	New Haven Museum, was able to confirm that, you know, 95
10	percent I'm certain that he lived there 1846 to 1847.
11	There were a change of addresses in 1860
12	and 1865, so it made it a little difficult to track down,
13	but we're fairly confident that he did, indeed, live
14	there.
15	DR. WOODWARD: Yeah, and that's what it
16	looked like in the paper documentation, too. That's all
17	I needed to know. Thank you.
18	MR. LEVINE: You're welcome.
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Thank you. Karyn?
20	MS. GILVARG: Sara, the State Review Board
21	also took some action vis-à-vis this?
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So the State Review
23	Board reviewed these properties vis-à-vis the National
24	Register and still noted them to be contributing

1	resources to this district.
2	MR. LEVINE: So there's thresholds for the
3	Connecticut Environmental Protection Act, one of which is
4	that, if they're contributing, that they continue to
5	contribute.
6	MS. GILVARG: Despite alterations?
7	MR. LEVINE: Despite alterations and time.
8	MS. GILVARG: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Kathy?
10	MS. MAHER: I think that there's a
11	significantly new paradigm that we're looking at now.
12	It's unfortunate the MOD Equity people aren't here to
13	have heard some of the testimony today, all the testimony
14	today, so that needs to be evaluated. We cannot lose the
15	humanities in the historic preservation that we're
16	charged with.
17	That was one of our obligations with our
18	new plan, so I think that this absolutely needs to move
19	forward to the AG to reexamine the situation, and I think
20	we need to consider that property to be listed
21	individually on the National Register, in light of the
22	significant history, and that should be our next charge,
23	to have them listed.
24	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Other comments?

1	Christine?
2	MS. NELSON: I think in support of the
3	motion to forward this to the AG's Office is the fact
4	that the conversation is not over, we talked about why is
5	this all kind of happening at the last minute, so I think
6	it really matters. It's that it's here right now, and
7	the developer has said that they're willing to take the
8	responsibility of researching and working to find a
9	solution, and I think that that can only happen in the
10	next step, and, so, that would be the reason to vote
11	positively for that motion.
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One thing I just want
13	to clarify for new members. On occasion, when there's
14	been a willingness on the part of the owner to withdraw a
15	demolition permit and to engage in good faith
16	communication with regard to new and emerging
17	information, that Council has actually taken an action to
18	table, should the owner be willing to ensure that the
19	buildings would not come down during the negotiations.
20	And I'm just going to look to Ms. Andrews
21	to see whether that is something that you would be
22	willing to, an action you would be willing to take on
23	behalf of your owner today.
24	MS. ANDREWS: I would have to talk to him,

1	and what would you be talking about, in terms of time?					
2	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So the demolition					
3	permit expires on August 3rd, and there's a lot of					
4	information, sounds like communication and conversations					
5	that need to be had.					
6	It's summertime. People are on vacation,					
7	so, in providing you enough time, it would seem that					
8	maybe to give you two months, and then have this matter					
9	tabled for two months and then resume the hearing and					
10	take a vote at that time, but there may be opportunities					
11	to learn more additional information, have alternate					
12	financial streams identified.					
13	MS. MAHER: Make new partnerships.					
14	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Make new					
15	partnerships, yeah.					
16	MS. ANDREWS: I just don't have the					
17	authority to make that decision on his behalf. I wish I					
18	could.					
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: So if we excused you					
20	out into the hallway, there's no way for you to reach					
21	him?					
22	MS. ANDREWS: I could try.					
23	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: I'm just, you know,					
24	trying to be as flexible as we can.					

1	MS. ANDREWS: I could try. I could see if						
2	I could reach him.						
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. I'm going to						
4	actually suggest that we take a five-minute recess to						
5	allow Ms. Andrews time to make that phone call.						
6	(Off the record)						
7	MS. ANDREWS: I was not able to reach him,						
8	and I don't have the authorization to agree to						
9	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: To withdraw the						
10	demolition permit?						
11	MS. ANDREWS: Yeah. Yeah.						
12	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Which is unfortunate,						
13	because this is July 10th, and the demolition permit is						
14	August 3rd, so it's, then, a foregone						
15	MS. ANDREWS: I know the timing is just						
16	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay.						
17	MS. ANDREWS: I don't feel like I have the						
18	authorization to do that.						
19	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. All right,						
20	well, thank you for trying. All right, so, that is an						
21	avenue that we sometimes do go down. Not available at						
22	this time.						
23	Is there anything else that you all are						

thinking of that would inform this conversation? Yeah?

24

MS. GILVARG: I wasn't going to add 1 2. anything to that, except I wanted to support Kathy's 3 statement about perhaps at least 97-99 is worthy of 4 individualizing. There's an awful lot of information 5 that's come to light. I think that the Connecticut Trust, 6 7 despite not being able to enter the building, did a very 8 commendable job of proving that there is a prudent and 9 feasible alternative, that there likely is a prudent and feasible alternative that yields the same number of units 10 11 with a rehab building and possibly sacrificing 95 or maybe not even. Maybe just moving what's in the lot. 12 13 I think, given that we have been unable to 14 contact the owner, if we move forward with seeking the Attorney General's intervention in this matter, we'll 15 16 probably need to -- they will probably need to look at some kind of an injunction to prevent the demolition from 17 going forward on August 3rd, if we agree that these 18 19 resources are worthy of protection. 20 I would also say that, if we do go forward in that direction, it would be important to direct the 21 owner to protect the buildings in the intervening time 22 23 while additional conversations go forth. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: One of the things

1	that I was hearing from some of the statements that
2	people were making today is that there are opportunities
3	for partnerships that may not be explored yet, and I go
4	to what the wording of this motion is, to prevent the
5	unreasonable destruction, and, so, the time to explore
6	other opportunities.
7	I don't think they have been all
8	completely explored. That's a longwinded way of saying
9	that. Other comments?
10	MS. MAHER: I think that MOD has a
11	remarkable opportunity, with such incredible community
12	support, to do something magnificent on that site that
13	will serve the greater community, so this is an
14	opportunity.
15	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Walter, is
16	there anything you'd like to add to the conversation?
17	DR. WOODWARD: Not really. You know, the
18	importance of the site is absolutely clear, and I don't
19	think the builder has acted in good faith.
20	I'm not sure they were aware of the
21	importance of the site, but I think it's readily apparent
22	now, and I would hope that there would be some workable
23	resolution of this, but, in the absence of having that,
24	you know, I think we have to try to protect the

1	buildings.	That	would	be	mγ	position.

- 2 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Do you all feel, at
- 3 this point, ready to take a vote? Okay. On the motion
- 4 of the Connecticut Historic Preservation Council votes to
- 5 request the assistance of the Office of the Attorney
- 6 General to prevent the unreasonable destruction of the
- 7 historic properties at 95 and 97-99 Howe Street, New
- 8 Haven, Connecticut, listed on the National Register of
- 9 Historic Places, pursuant to the provisions of Section
- 10 22a-19a of the Connecticut General Statues, all those in
- 11 favor?
- 12 VOICES: Aye.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All those opposed?
- 14 Walter?
- DR. WOODWARD: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay. Motion
- 17 carries. One abstention. Thank you, all.
- 18 (APPLAUSE)
- 19 CHAIRPERSON NELSON: It was Kathy that
- seconded. Folks, I just want to remind you that we're
- 21 still conducting business, so if you have conversations,
- could you take them outside, please?
- Todd, can you clear the room, because
- 24 we're still in a meeting? We are still in a meeting.

1	Can you let people know?
2	New business. We have new business, old
3	business. We don't have any old business. Liaison with
4	Public and Private Agencies and the Public Forum.
5	Just under new business, because Fiona
6	brought that up about Brian Jones, it would be our
7	intention that, at the next meeting that we have in
8	person, which is the September meeting, that we would
9	have a resolution and an opportunity to talk about all of
10	Brian's contributions and what he's done in the whole
11	community of archeology and beyond, so we wanted to give
12	that enough time, without the press of other things, to
13	really do it in a thoughtful way, so I just wanted to
14	address that comment that Fiona made.
15	Liaison with Public and Private Agencies,
16	Jane and Mary?
17	All right, Public Forum? Okay, so, the
18	next matter is a motion to adjourn.
19	DR. FABER: So moved.
20	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Okay.
21	MS. MAHER: Seconded.
22	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: All right.
23	A MALE VOICE: I have a question. So,
24	based on our vote

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	COURT REPORTER: Is this off the record,
2	or is this part of it?
3	CHAIRPERSON NELSON: Yeah, this is off the
4	record.
5	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned at 12:54
6	p.m.)

#### **AGENDA**

#### PART 1

	PAGE
Call to Order	2
Review of Public Comment Procedures	2
Code of Conduct/Conflict of Interest	3
Review and Approval of Minutes	4
State Historic Preservation Grants - Action Items	6
PART 2	
Threatened Properties - Action Items	28
Report on State Historic Preservation Office/Museum Activities	22
Old Business	119
New Business	119
Liaison with Public & Private Agencies	119
Public Forum	119
Adjournment	120