## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

## EMPLOYEE SERVICE RATING NEHCEU, DISTRICT 1199, P-1 AND NP-6 BARGAINING UNITS REV. 5/2017

Type of Service Rating							
Initial Probationary	🗆 Annual		Promotional	🗆 Other	r (Specify)		
Employee Name		Class Title			Period Covered		
Division I		Depart	Department		Date of Last Rating		
horizontal lin	e which most close	ly coincid	erformed during the current es with your overall judgeme e its value to you, the emplo	nt on each	job element. The	care and accuracy with	
·			bod		Less Than Good*		
Job Elements	Excellent		Good	Fair		Unsatisfactory	
Knowledge of Work							
Consider the employee's grasp of procedures, techniques and instructions necessary to perform job and the degree to which skills have been mastered.	Thorough knowledge of all aspects of work. Can perform without assistance.		Knowledgeable in most phases of work. Can perform with little or no assistance.	Marginal grasp of the essential knowledge required to perform job. Requires much instruction and guidance.		Demonstrates little or no understanding of job and is unable or unwilling to master skills required to perform job satisfactorily.	
Quantity of Work							
Consider the volume of work produced under normal conditions and the rate of progress on assignments.	Rapid worker. Rate of progress on assignments and volume of output is consistently above average. Well organized.		Work output is at acceptable levels. Works at a steady pace. Work done timely.	Works slowly. Only occasionally is output considered average.		Very slow worker. Quantity of output is well below average of others in the same job classification. Does not utilize time effectively or efficiently.	
Quality of Work							
Consider the accuracy, thoroughness, and appearance of work assignments without regard to volume.	Extremely accurate worker. Consistently superior in all phases of this category.		Work is complete, well presented and accurate. Seldom needs revisions and/or corrections. Rarely repeats mistakes.	Work generally requires revisions and/or corrections. Often repeats mistakes. Repeatedly has difficulty adhering to applicable instructions.		Work is frequently incomplete or needs to be redone. Often repeats same kinds of mistakes. Work is messy in appearance and/or poorly arranged.	
Cooperation							
Consider manner of handling work relationships.	Goes out of way to cooperate.		Gets along well with associates.	Shows reluctance to cooperate.		Very poor cooperation.	
Judgement Does employee think intelligently and make decisions in a logical manner.	Thinks quickly, logically outstanding.		Judgement usually logical and reliable.	Inclined to be illogical.		Poor, unreliable.	
Other Elements							
Consider other elements of job performance which are not included above, yet are job related i.e. attendance, physical performance on job, supervisory ability, affirmative action responsibilities.**							

\*Comments from the rater should be attached only in the following instances: the employee has received a "Less Than Good" rating in a job element, and/or has been disciplined during the rating period; the employee has demonstrated improvement to achieve "Good" performance in an area that was "Less Than Good" in the last service rating.

\*\*If comments pertaining to supervisory ability are appropriate, ability to delegate authority, to get work done though subordinates, and observance of personnel and affirmative action policies should be considered.

Employee may add a rebuttal to the Service Rating. Refer to Article 38, Section Three of the collective bargaining agreement for directions, parameters and timeframes.

## **OVERALL EVALUATION OF EMPLOYEE:**

Excellent	Employee regularly exceeds the requirements of the position. No more than two (2) of the				
	employee's job element ratings can be "good," the remainder must be at the level of "excellent."				
Good Good	Employee meets all position requirements and may exceed them at times. Most of the employee's				
	ratings must be "good." A "fair" rating in one job category is still overall "good" rating, but is				
	indicative of a performance problem that has to be corrected.				
🗖 Fair	Employee has occasionally failed to meet the requirements of the position through inconsistent				
	performance. The employee must be rated "fair" in two or more job elements and have no				
	unsatisfactory ratings.				
Unsatisfactory	Employee has failed to meet the requirements of the position. The employee must be rated				
	"unsatisfactory" in one or more job elements.				

RATED BY:	SIGNATURE:	TITLE	DATE				
REVIEWED BY:	SIGNATURE:	TITLE	DATE				
APPROVED BY:	SIGNATURE:	TITLE	DATE				
EMPLOYEE:	SIGNATURE:	TITLE	DATE				
The employee's annual increment will be denied unless marked improvement in the employee's performance results in an amended rating not later than two weeks prior to the increase date.							
NOTE TO EMPLOYEE: Your signature confirms that you have seen the report and discussed it with your supervisor. It does not indicate your agreement with or approval of the rating.							

Pursuant to Article 38 section 2 of the collective bargaining agreement, "All employees covered by this agreement shall be given copies of their completed service ratings at the time the Employee or Union Delegate signs the service rating".