Judicial Review Council

At a Glance

JUDITH ROSSI, Executive Director
Established – January 1, 1978
Statutory authority – Conn. Gen. Statutes §51-51k, et seq.
Central office - 505 Hudson Street, Hartford, CT 06106
Number of employees - 1 full-time, 1 part-time
Recurring operating expenses - \$112,972

Mission

The mission of this agency is to help enforce high standards of judicial conduct on and off the bench in order to preserve the integrity of the court system and promote public confidence in the courts. It is recognized that judges, family support magistrates and worker's compensation commissioners must be free to exercise their discretion without fear of disciplinary proceedings.

However, they also must be held accountable for misconduct.

Statutory Responsibility

To investigate and act upon complaints of judicial misconduct made against state judges, family support magistrates and workers' compensation commissioners.

Public Service

Any person can present a complaint to the Judicial Review Council by obtaining a complaint form from any Superior Court clerk's office, by writing or calling the Judicial Review Council office, or by downloading the complaint form on the Council's website (www.ct.gov/jrc). The complaint, which must be in writing, sworn to and signed, must state facts that substantiate the alleged misconduct by a judge, family support magistrate, or workers' compensation commissioner within the jurisdiction of the Council. Every complaint received is screened by staff to determine that the above requirements are met; every complaint is then

circulated to Council members for investigation and discussion at a subsequent monthly Council meeting.

Improvements/Achievements 2016-2017

- Pending complaints at beginning of fiscal year 17
- Received 97 complaints;
- Considered **114** complaints (97 received combined with 17 pending at beginning of fiscal year);
- Dismissed **76** complaints after investigation as no factual basis (including 1 complaint which was withdrawn by Complainant and subsequently dismissed);
- Dismissed 16 complaints barred by statute of limitation;
- Dismissed 2 complaints due to death of respondent;
- 1 complaint withdrawn by complainant;
- Pending complaints at end of fiscal year -19;
- 1 Probable Cause Hearing held;
- No Public Hearings held.