




June 15, 2005

Mary J. Healey
Consumer Counsel
Vice Chairman
Connecticut Energy Advisory Board
Office of Policy and Management
450 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106



Dear Ms. Healey:

RE: Docket No. F-2005 Connecticut Siting Council Review of the Ten-Year
Forecast of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources

Please see the responses below to your request of May 27, 2005 that PSEG Power Connecticut LLC respond to interrogatories in the above captioned matter.

1) (a) (i) (ii) (iii) Bridgeport Harbor Station, Bridgeport, CT

- (1) Bridgeport Harbor 2 – 130 MW Oil Steam Turbine (1961)
- (2) Bridgeport Harbor 3 – 372 MW Coal Steam (1968)
- (3) Bridgeport Harbor 4 – 10 MW Oil Peaking Turbine (1967)

(iv) Capacity factor – 2004

- (1) Unit 2 – 3.3%
- (2) Unit 3 – 82%
- (3) Unit 4 – 0.1%

(v) There are no anticipated uprates or derates for these units in Bridgeport

(vi) There are no plans for plant additions or alternate uses.

- 1) (a) (i) (ii) (iii) New Haven Harbor Station, New Haven, CT
- (1) New Haven Harbor – 448 MW Oil/Gas Steam Turbine (1975)
- (iv) Capacity factor 2004 = 12.88%
- (v) There are no anticipated uprates or derates for this unit in New Haven.
- (vi) There are no plans for plant/capacity additions or alternate uses.
- 1) (b) PSEG Power Connecticut LLC owns no other property in Connecticut, and it has no plans to add capacity at either of its existing sites.
- 1) (c) There are no repowering plans for any of the units at these sites.
2. While it might be possible to build some additional capacity in either Bridgeport or New Haven, we have no plans to do so. If we were to consider it, there are significant limitations that would have to be carefully analyzed, which we have not done. Generally, we are aware of electric transmission and natural gas supply limitations at both sites.
3. Since we are not considering adding capacity at either of our sites, we are not currently attempting to assess future needs for electric power in New England.
4. Our company does not develop or prepare an independent load forecast for New England.
5. Our company has not developed any forecast of capacity deficits in Connecticut.
6. We have no planned units at this time so we have not requested any system impact studies.
7. We have no summary describing the status of air permits for the Connecticut plants.

Very truly yours,



Harold W. Borden
Vice President and General Counsel

HWB:pc