CONNECTICUT ENERGY ADVISORY BOARD

Mailing Address: Office of Policy and Management
450 Capitol Avenue
ATTN: CEAB
Hartford, CT 06106

May 27, 2005

DEGETVED)
MAY 2 7 2005

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Mr. Harold W. Borden Vice President and General Counsel PSEG Power LLC 80 Park Plaza Newark, NJ 07102

RE: Docket No. F-2005: <u>Connecticut Siting Council Review of the Ten-Year Forecast of</u>
Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources

Dear Mr. Borden:

The Connecticut Energy Advisory Board (CEAB) requests that PSEG Power LLC respond to the enclosed interrogatories in the above captioned matter.

Pursuant to the revised docket schedule issued by the Connecticut Siting Council on May 19, 2005, responses to the interrogatories are due on June 17, 2005. To expedite review, please file responses as they are available.

If you have questions on any of the interrogatories, please contact:

Brian Abbanat
La Capra Associates
20 Winthrop Square
Boston, Mass. 02110
(617) 367-6500
babbanat@lacapra.com

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Mary J. Healey, Consumer Counse

Vice Chairman

Connecticut Energy Advisory Board

cc: Service List

Ms. Pam Katz, Chair

Connecticut Siting Council

Information Requests From The Connecticut Energy Advisory Board To PSEG Power LLC

CSC Docket No. F-2005

- 1. Please provide the following:
 - (a) For each of the Company's existing generating facilities in service in Connecticut, please provide:
 - i. Name and location;
 - ii. In service date:
 - iii. Generation technology and fuel type; and
 - iv. 2004 capacity factor; and
 - v. A brief summary of any anticipated capacity uprates or derates; and
 - vi. Discuss the potential and any plans for site expansion and alternate use of the site.
 - (b) For all planned Company generating facilities for which property has been acquired in Connecticut, please provide:
 - i. Name and location;
 - ii. Projected Seasonal Claimed Capability;
 - iii. Projected in service date;
 - iv. Generation technology and fuel type; and
 - v. Projected capacity factor.
 - (c) For each potential repowering project in Connecticut, please provide:
 - i. Name and location;
 - ii. Projected Seasonal Claimed Capability;
 - iii. Projected in service date;
 - iv. Generation technology and fuel type; and
 - v. Projected capacity factor.
- 2. Please describe the sites in Connecticut under the Company's control that may support additional generating facilities in terms of the following:
 - i. The incremental generating capacity that could be supported;
 - ii. The proximity to necessary infrastructure (fuel and water supplies, transmission lines, etc.);
 - iii. Applicable emissions restrictions; and
 - iv. Other significant permitting restrictions.
- 3. What are the primary sources of information that the Company relies upon in assessing the need for electric power in the New England region?

- 4. Does the Company prepare an independent load forecast for the New England region, or specific areas within or beyond the region? If so, please provide a copy of the most recent forecast and describe the methodologies employed in preparing its forecast.
- 5. Please state whether the Company has developed its own current forecast of capacity deficits in Connecticut. If so, please provide such forecast (and any studies on which that forecast is based).
- 6. Please identify each planned generating unit for which the Company has submitted a request for a system impact study to ISO New England, and provide a status report addressing when each such study is likely to be completed.
- 7. Please provide any summary that the Company has prepared using publicly available information that describes the current status of the air permits for its Connecticut generating facilities, and identifying upcoming permitting milestones, significant limitations on operations, etc.