

STATE OF CONNECTICUT  
SITING COUNCIL

Re:   The Connecticut Light and Power Company and            )  
      The United Illuminating Company Application for a        )  
      Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and            )  
      Public Need for the Construction of a New 345-kV        )  
      Electric Transmission Line and Associated Facilities    )  
      Between Scovill Rock Switching Station in                )  
      Middletown and Norwalk Substation in Norwalk,        )  
      Connecticut Including the Reconstruction of             )  
      Portions of Existing 115-kV and 345-kV Electric        )  
      Transmission Lines, the Construction of the Beseck     )  
      Switching Station in Wallingford, East Devon            )  
      Substation in Milford, and Singer Substation in        )  
      Bridgeport, Modifications at Scovill Rock                )  
      Switching Station and Norwalk Substation and the        )  
      Reconfiguration of Certain Interconnections            )     Docket 272

July 19, 2004

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ROGER ZAKLUKIEWICZ REGARDING THE  
POTENTIAL USE OF HVDC**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

Q.     Would you please identify yourself and the other member of the panel who will respond to cross examination regarding the potential use of high voltage direct current (“HVDC”) for a portion of the Middletown to Norwalk Project (the “Project”)?

A.     I am Roger Zaklukiewicz, Vice President, Transmission Projects, of Northeast Utilities Service Company (“NUSCO”). I am presenting this testimony on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company (“CL&P”) and The United Illuminating Company (“UI”) (together, I refer to CL&P and UI in this testimony as “the Companies”). Mr. Reigh Walling of GE Power Systems Energy Consulting (“GE”), who has previously testified before the Connecticut Siting Council (“Council”) in this docket, will be on the witness panel with me in the July hearings. Mr. Walling’s resume has

12 previously been submitted to the Council. Mr. Walling is an internationally known  
13 expert on HVDC who is employed by GE and is familiar with the Project and the  
14 Connecticut electric system from his earlier work on harmonics and resonances. As the  
15 Council will recall, Mr. Walling testified at the June hearings.

16

17 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

18 A. The purpose of this testimony is to discuss the Companies' further consideration  
19 of HVDC as part of the Project.

20

21 Q. Did the Companies initially consider HVDC for use in the Project?

22 A. Yes. The choice of transmission technology is discussed in my April 8, 2004 pre-  
23 filed testimony at pages 19-23, my March 9 pre-filed testimony at pages 36-37, and in  
24 Section G.4.3 of Volume 1 of the Companies' October 9, 2003 Application. As stated on  
25 page G-14 of Volume 1, the Companies initially rejected an HVDC component because  
26 the operational complexities of an HVDC line rendered the technology technically  
27 inferior to an AC solution. Moreover, as discussed in my April 8, 2004 testimony, there  
28 are a number of significant disadvantages to HVDC that render it less desirable than an  
29 AC solution for meeting the reliability needs of Southwest Connecticut that the Project is  
30 designed to address. HVDC would also be significantly more expensive than AC, and  
31 land would need to be acquired for the converter stations necessary to convert HVDC to  
32 AC, and vice versa, at each connection of the HVDC line to the AC system.

33

34 Q. Public Act 04-246 was enacted after the Application was filed. In light of the  
35 emphasis of this legislation on the installation of 345-kV transmission lines underground,  
36 as well as questions from Council members about HVDC, did the Companies renew their  
37 consideration of HVDC?

38 A. Yes. The Companies' previous consideration of the potential use of HVDC  
39 technology was based upon work by Black & Veatch and by the Companies themselves.  
40 After the June hearings, the Companies asked GE to undertake a preliminary evaluation  
41 of the technical feasibility of HVDC alternatives for the portion of the Project from  
42 Beseck to East Devon, in light of the body of knowledge that GE has accumulated with  
43 respect to Connecticut's transmission system in the course of performing and in light of  
44 Mr. Walling's HVDC expertise.

45

46 Q. Have Mr. Walling and GE completed this preliminary evaluation of HVDC?

47 A. Yes. I have attached to my testimony the Report dated July 2004, entitled  
48 "Preliminary Evaluation of the System Compatibility of an HVDC Transmission  
49 Alternative for the Beseck-East Devon Segment of the Middletown – Norwalk  
50 Transmission Project" ("Report"). The initial Report has a "particular focus on the  
51 impact of this alternative on ac system resonances which have been previously identified  
52 as an issue for the Middletown – Norwalk transmission project." (Report, p. 2)

53

54 Q. Based on the Report, do the Companies believe that HVDC is technically feasible  
55 for the portion of the route between Beseck and East Devon?

56 A. The Report concludes that “HVDC options do not appear to be a technically  
57 viable alternate for providing a 1200 MW transmission path from Beseck to East Devon.”

58

59 Q. Is electric system resonance a major reason that the Report concludes that HVDC  
60 is not technically feasible?

61 A. Yes. The electric system resonance impacts set forth in the Report for both  
62 conventional HVDC and voltage source converter HVDC (“VSC-HVDC”) are  
63 significant, leading to system resonances at extremely low multiples of the normal  
64 frequency.

65 Table 2 of the Report indicates that with conventional HVDC between Beseck  
66 and East Devon, the first resonant frequencies at the East Devon Bus would be between  
67 1.5 and 2.1 times 60 Hz, both well below the 3.0 number that ISO-New England has  
68 stated generally should be the minimum first resonant frequency for the system.

69 For VSC-HVDC (sometimes referred to colloquially as “DC Light”), the first  
70 resonant frequencies would be between 1.4 and 2.0, as shown on Table 3 (p. 12) of the  
71 Report, again well below 3.0.

72

73 Q. Why would the system operate at such a low first resonance frequency if HVDC  
74 is used?

75 A. Neither a conventional HVDC system nor a VSC-HVDC facility by itself  
76 strengthens the electric grid in Southwest Connecticut (i.e., adds short circuit strength to  
77 the bulk power system). In addition, unlike an AC transmission line, neither  
78 conventional HVDC nor VSC-HVDC technology allows the short circuit strength at one

79 location on the AC system to be transferred to another part of the bulk power system. As  
80 the Report states (at p. 2), “replacing the proposed 345 kV ac transmission link between  
81 Beseck and East Devon with an HVDC system severs the remaining portion of the ac  
82 loop from its strongest source of short-circuit strength.”

83

84 Q. Can this harmonics problem be resolved?

85 A. I cannot answer that definitively at this time. The Companies are talking to ABB  
86 Group (“ABB”), the major supplier of VSC-HVDC, regarding whether there is a means  
87 of addressing this issue. While it is clear that the configuration studied – DC  
88 underground from Beseck to East Devon, and AC underground from East Devon to  
89 Norwalk – does not work from the standpoint of harmonics, it is not clear whether  
90 sufficient capacitance can be removed without having to reduce further the number of  
91 miles of 345-kV underground cable that can be employed or determining whether there  
92 are other technologies that can be employed that meet the design and operating  
93 requirements identified in Section G of Volume 1 of the Application.

94

95 Q. How will these discussions with ABB be pursued?

96 A. The Companies have asked ABB to consider the harmonics problem as well as  
97 other potential operational challenges associated with VCS-HVDC. The Reliability and  
98 Operability Committee, which was formed after the June hearings, has the express  
99 purpose of determining how to achieve the maximum amount of underground on the  
100 Middletown to Norwalk Project, consistent with reliability needs of the electric system,  
101 and is therefore the appropriate forum for pursuing these discussions. The Reliability and

102 Operability Committee, comprised of technical personnel from ISO-New England and  
103 the Companies, has added consideration of VCS-HVDC to its planned work.

104

105 Q. Is harmonics (system resonance frequency) the only significant concern regarding  
106 the use of HVDC?

107 A. No. If sufficient capacitance can be removed from the system so that the system  
108 resonant frequency is 3 times 60 Hz or greater with VSC-HVDC, then other studies will  
109 need to be undertaken. The Report identifies voltage stability and transient stability as  
110 issues that would need to be addressed. It should be noted that the Reliability and  
111 Operability Committee has previously identified that a number of studies, including  
112 harmonics, transient, thermal and voltage, stability and short circuit, could be necessary  
113 for any “case” considered by the committee. These types of studies are listed in the  
114 Study Cases document attached to the agenda for the first weekly teleconference (July 5,  
115 2004) on the status of the studies of the Reliability and Operability Committee.

116 In addition, the power flow response of HVDC is limited compared to an AC  
117 system. Using an HVDC line increases the contingency load on AC lines in the area, as  
118 discussed at pages 6-7 of the Report. Multiple parallel converter stations at each end of  
119 the HVDC line would also be required. Finally, as stated in the Report, the line losses for  
120 VSC-HVDC are far greater than for either an AC system or a conventional HVDC line.  
121 With a transfer of 1,200 MW between Beseck and East Devon, the report estimates the  
122 incremental line losses at 64 MW for VSC-HVDC compared to AC.

123 HVDC does not resolve existing system constraints such as short circuit currents  
124 or the conditional dependency of generation at Bridgeport and Devon. Other solutions

125 would be necessary for these matters, which may require new transmission lines.  
126 Further, as discussed in my April 8, 2004 pre-filed testimony, HVDC greatly complicates  
127 and constrains expansion to meet future system needs. Power rating of an HVDC system  
128 cannot be easily expanded, and accordingly should be oversized upon its initial  
129 installation to allow for future load growth. In addition, the ability to tap an HVDC line  
130 to add a substation to serve future load growth or to interconnect a generating unit would  
131 need to be addressed.

132 We also need further information regarding overload capability of HVDC. As the  
133 Council is aware, an AC facility has a short-time and a long-time overload capability. It  
134 is my understanding that unlike AC, a conventional HVDC converter terminal facility has  
135 very limited overload capability. ABB would need to verify whether any overload  
136 capability exists with its HVDC Light converter facility.

137

138 Q. Does this complete your testimony?

139 A. Yes, this completes my pre-filed testimony on HVDC as of the information  
140 known to date. I will be available throughout the July hearings, and Mr. Walling will be  
141 available to respond to questions regarding HVDC and to provide any updated  
142 information gained from the Reliability and Operability Committee's continued work on  
143 this subject.