

September 15, 2004

**Via Hand Delivery**

Ms. Pamela B. Katz, P. E.  
Chairman  
Connecticut Siting Council  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

**Re: Docket No. 272**

Dear Ms. Katz:

The Towns of Durham and Wallingford ("Towns") concur with the position of the Towns of Woodbridge, Milford, Orange, and Cheshire that the Council should not consider the East Shore route at the hearings scheduled for September 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup>, as set out in Attorney David Ball's letter of even date herewith, for the reasons stated therein. However, the Towns write separately to restate their objection to the Council's consideration of the topic EMF mitigation at the September hearings. It would not be a proper use of the Towns' limited resources to require them to litigate the issue of EMF mitigation, until the Reliability and Operability Committee ("ROC") selects a preferred route and a preferred configuration.

Given that the degree and the form of EMF mitigation needed will flow directly from that selection, the Council should defer its review of EMF mitigation until the ROC's final report.

Very truly yours,

THE TOWNS OF DURHAM AND  
WALLINGFORD

BY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter G. Boucher

PGB/pab  
cc: Docket No. 272 service list