



middletown | norwalk

February 23, 2004

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. S. Derek Phelps  
Executive Director  
Connecticut Siting Council  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

**Re: Docket No. 272: The Connecticut Light and Power Company and The United Illuminating Company Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction of a new 345-kV electric transmission line and associated facilities between the Scovill Rock Switching Station in Middletown and the Norwalk Substation in Norwalk, including the reconstruction of portions of existing 115-kV and 345-kV electric transmission lines, the construction of Beseck Switching Station in Wallingford, East Devon Substation in Milford, and Singer Substation in Bridgeport, modifications at Scovill Rock Switching Station and Norwalk Substation, and the reconfiguration of certain interconnections**

Dear Mr. Phelps:

Enclosed are an original and 15 copies of Addendum #3 to the December 16, 2003 Supplemental Filing by The Connecticut Light and Power Company and The United Illuminating Company pursuant to Section VIII(Q) of the Council's Application Guides for Terrestrial Electric Transmission Line Facilities dated September 9, 2003. Due to their size, the three reports with appendices will be sent individually via e-mail to the Siting Council and the electronic service list.

Very truly yours,

Anne Bartosewicz, Project Director  
The Connecticut Light & Power Company

John J. Prete, Project Director  
The United Illuminating Company

Enclosure  
cc: Service List



**Connecticut  
Light & Power**

The Northeast Utilities System



The United Illuminating Company

## SERVICE LIST

**Docket: 272**

Ms. Pamela B. Katz  
Chairman  
Connecticut Siting Council  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

Anthony M. Fitzgerald, Esq.  
Brian T. Henebry, Esq.  
Carmody & Torrance, LLP  
50 Leavenworth Street  
P. O. Box 1110  
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110

Linda L. Randell, Esq.  
Bruce L. McDermott, Esq.  
Wiggin and Dana, LLP  
One Century Tower  
New Haven, CT 06508-1832

Norwalk Assoc. of Silvermine  
Homeowners  
c/o Leigh Grant  
99 Comstock Hill Road  
Norwalk, CT 06850

The Honorable Robert W. Megna  
State Representative – 97<sup>th</sup> District  
40 Foxon Hill Rd. #54  
New Haven, CT 06513

The Honorable Al Adinolfi  
State Representative – 103<sup>rd</sup> District  
235 Sorghum Mill Dr.  
Cheshire, CT 06410

Eric Knapp, Esq.  
Branse & Willis, LLC  
41-C New London Turnpike  
Glen Lochen East  
Glastonbury, CT 06033-2038

Julie Donaldson Kohler, Esq.  
Hurwitz & Sagarin, LLC  
147 North Broad St.  
Milford, CT 06460

Peter G. Boucher, Esq.  
Halloran & Sage, LLP  
225 Asylum Street  
Hartford, CT 06103

Janice M. Small, Esq.  
Town Attorney  
Wallingford Town Hall  
45 South Main Street  
Wallingford, CT 06492

Ms. MaryAnn Boord  
First Selectwoman  
Durham Town Hall  
30 Townhouse Rd.  
Durham, CT 06422

Mr. Louis S. Ciccarello  
Corporation Counsel  
P. O. Box 798  
Norwalk, CT 06856-0798

Town of Westport  
c/o Ira W. Bloom, Esq.  
27 Imperial Ave.  
Westport, CT 06880

The Honorable Mary G. Fritz  
State Representative – 90<sup>th</sup> District  
43 Grove St.  
Yalesville, CT 06492

David A. Ball, Esq.  
Cohen & Wolf, P.C.  
1115 Broad Street  
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Deborah L. Moore, Esq.  
Legal Department  
Meriden City Hall  
142 East Main St.  
Meriden, CT 06450

Atty. Michael C. Wertheimer  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

The Honorable Raymond Kalinowski  
State Representative – 100<sup>th</sup> District  
P.O. Box 391  
Durham, CT 06422

Ms. Melanie J. Howlett  
Associate City Attorney  
Office of the City Attorney  
999 Broad Street  
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Ms. Trish Bradley, President  
Mr. Ed Schwartz, Treasurer  
Communities for Responsible Energy, Phase II  
45 Ironwood Lane  
Durham, CT 06422

Mr. Bruce Johnson  
Litigation Attorney  
Office of Consumer Counsel  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051

The Honorable Themis Klarides  
State Representative – 114<sup>th</sup> District  
23 East Court  
Derby, CT 06418

Lawrence J. Golden, Esq.  
Pullman & Comley, LLC  
90 State House Square  
Hartford, CT 06103-3702

Anthony M. MacLeod, Esq.  
Whitman, Breed, Abbott & Morgan, LLC  
100 Field Point Road  
Greenwich, CT 06830

Arthur W. Gruhn, P.E.  
Chief Engineer, Bureau of Engineering  
And Highway Operations  
Department of Transportation  
2800 Berlin Turnpike, P.O. Box 317546  
Newington, CT Connecticut 06131-7546

Monte E. Frank, Esq.  
Cohen & Wolf, P.C.  
158 Deer Hill Avenue  
Danbury, CT 06810

Robert E. Earley  
Connecticut Business & Industry Assoc.  
350 Church Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-1106

Timothy P. Lynch  
Deputy City Attorney  
City Attorney's Office  
245 deKoven Drive, P.O. Box 1300  
Middletown, CT 06457-1300

The Honorable William A. Aniskovich  
State Senate—12<sup>th</sup> District  
15 Grove Avenue  
Branford, CT 06405

The Honorable Kenneth A. Flatto  
First Selectman  
Independence Hall  
725 Old Post Rd.  
Fairfield, CT 06824

Andrew W. Lord, Esq.  
Murtha Cullina LLP  
CityPlace I, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor  
185 Asylum Street  
Hartford, CT 06103-3469

Richard J. Buturla, Esq.  
Town Attorney  
Berchem, Moses & Devlin, P.C.  
75 Broad Street  
Milford, CT 06460

The Honorable Derrylyn Gorski  
First Selectwoman  
Bethany Town Hall  
40 Peck Road  
Bethany, CT 06524-3378

David J. Monz  
Updike, Kelly & Spellacy, P.C.  
One Century Tower  
265 Church Street  
New Haven, CT 06510

David A. Reif  
Jane K. Warren  
Joel B. Casey  
McCarter & English, LLP  
CityPlace I  
Hartford, CT 06103

Mitchell R. Goldblatt  
First Selectman  
Town of Orange  
617 Orange Center Road  
Orange, CT 06477-2499

Joaquina Borges King  
Assistant Town Attorney  
Hamden Government Center  
2750 Dixwell Avenue  
Hamden, CT 06518

William J. Kupinse, Jr.  
First Selectman  
Easton Town Hall  
225 Center Road, P.O. Box 61  
Easton, CT 06612

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

SITING COUNCIL

Re: The Connecticut Light and Power Company and The ) Docket 272  
United Illuminating Company Application for a )  
Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public )  
Need for the Construction of a New 345-kV Electric )  
Transmission Line and Associated Facilities Between )  
Scovill Rock Switching Station in Middletown and )  
Norwalk Substation in Norwalk, Connecticut Including )  
the Reconstruction of Portions of Existing 115-kV and )  
345-kV Electric Transmission Lines, the Construction of )  
the Beseck Switching Station in Wallingford, East )  
Devon Substation in Milford, and Singer Substation in )  
Bridgeport, Modifications at Scovill Rock Switching ) February 20, 2004  
Station and Norwalk Substation and the  
Reconfiguration of Certain Interconnections

ADDENDUM # 3 TO  
SUPPLEMENTAL FILING

The Connecticut Light and Power Company (“CL&P”) and The United Illuminating Company (“UI”) (together, the “Companies”) submit this addendum to their Supplemental Filing to the Connecticut Siting Council (“Council”) dated December 16, 2003. This submission is made pursuant to Section VIII (Q) of the Council’s Application Guides for Terrestrial Electric Transmission Line Facilities, which provides that “the Applicant[s] shall provide supplemental information for the Council to make a reasonable comparison between the Applicant [s’] proposed route and any reasonable alternative route recommended by the site municipalities pursuant to C.G.S. section 16-50l.”

In Part 5 of their Supplemental Filing of December 16, 2003, the Companies advised that certain thermal load flow studies relating to the possible use of the existing 387 line between

Scovill Rock Switching Station in Middletown and East Shore Substation in New Haven as a component of the SWCT 345-kV loop had been commissioned from PowerGEM. The Companies filed the first of these studies, dated December 31, 2003, as part of their Addendum #1 to the Supplemental Filing, dated January 8, 2003. On January 30, 2004, the Companies filed four more studies as part of Addendum #2 to their Supplemental Filing. The Companies have now received two additional PowerGEM studies, both dated February 16, 2004.<sup>1</sup> These two studies model the East Shore Alternative using previously modeled assumptions, but with an additional assumption of 700 MW transfers between New England and New York. Previous studies assumed zero net transfers between New England and New York.

In addition, ISO-New England's Southwest Connecticut (SWCT) Working Group has completed a study entitled "Comparison of Middletown to Norwalk Project vs. East Shore Alternative," dated February 18, 2004 ("ISO-NE SWCT Working Group Comparison Study"). This study analyzes the results of all of the PowerGEM studies of the East Shore Alternative and compares those results to the Working Group's own modeling of the Middletown to Norwalk Project.

Hard copies of the text of the two new PowerGEM studies and of the ISO-New England SWCT Working Group Comparison Study are attached hereto. Electronic copies of this filing and of the three studies, including the appendices, will be provided (in separate e-mails) to the Council and to the electronic service list.

The ISO-New England SWCT Working Group Comparison Study concludes: "The East Shore Alternative as studied was found to be an unacceptable substitute to the Middletown to

---

<sup>1</sup> "Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion: East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH /UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, NE - NY +/- 700MW" and "Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion: East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH /UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Reconductored NE - NY +/- 700MW"

Norwalk Project because it does not meet NERC, NPCC or NEPOOL criteria.” (p. 13)

Moreover, the study concludes that reconductoring of the 387 line would be insufficient to achieve such compliance, since modeled overloads occur due to the fact that “this alternative does not build a new source into SWCT.” (*Id.*, p. 9)

The Companies’ December 16 Supplemental Filing identified numerous studies that might be required for a full evaluation of the East Shore Alternative, and then noted: “If any of the study results disqualify the East Shore alternative from further consideration, subsequent studies would not be required.” (*Id.*, p. 14). Based on the ISO-New England SWCT Working Group Comparison study, the Companies have concluded that the East Shore Alternative as studied has been disqualified from further consideration, so that further thermal studies are not required. Thus, the PowerGEM and ISO-NE SWCT Working Group Comparison study results have confirmed the Companies’ statement at page G-18 of Volume 1 of the Application that utilizing the existing 387 line “would not substantially reduce new 345- kV line construction because, in order to meet national and regional reliability standards, a second 345-kV line would have to be built on separate structures on the Beseck to East Shore ROW.” In addition, as described in the application, substantial understreet and underwater construction would be required for the line segment between East Shore and East Devon. This construction would introduce additional technical and operational complexity to the transmission system. As with other routing issues, the Companies’ personnel will be available at the spring hearings to respond to questions.



**Southwest Connecticut Reliability Study**

**Comparison of Middletown to Norwalk Project vs. East Shore Alternative**

**February 18, 2004**

**Presented by the**

**ISO-NE Southwest Connecticut Working Group**

## **Executive Summary**

This study documents the results of testing performed by the ISO-NE SWCT Working Group to compare the proposed Middletown to Norwalk Project with an alternative referred to as the East Shore Alternative.

The East Shore Alternative as studied was found to be an unacceptable substitute to the Middletown to Norwalk Project because it does not meet NERC, NPCC, or NEPOOL criteria. The East Shore Alternative does not strengthen the power supply into SWCT by introducing a new source; it simply connects the load in SWCT to an already heavily loaded 387 line. The most notable overload in this report is the one on the 387 line. Even with the assumed reconductoring of the limiting portion of the 387 line, the line continues to overload. In addition, an outage of this line yields substantial overloads on the remaining corridors serving SWCT and the 345-kV across the state.

Since the East Shore Alternative is not considered acceptable, continued comparative testing was not performed. In order to completely evaluate the East Shore Alternative, further analysis would have to include thermal and voltage testing at a 30,000 MW New England load level, a transfer limit comparison, and possibly 2<sup>nd</sup> contingency (N-2) testing.



## Table of Contents

<b>Volume I – Report</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.0 Introduction .....	1
2.0 Description of Alternatives .....	1
2.1 Middletown to Norwalk Project .....	1
2.2 East Shore Alternative .....	1
3.0 Study Methodology .....	1
3.1 System Modeling .....	1
3.2 Power-Flow .....	2
3.3 Voltage .....	2
3.4 Contingency List .....	2
4.0 Study Results .....	2
4.1 New Haven Harbor generation on line, existing 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) ..	3
4.2 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, existing 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) .....	5
4.3 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconducted .....	7
4.4 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconducted, 700 MW Flow from New England to New York .....	9
4.5 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconducted, 700 MW Flow from New York to New England .....	11
4.6 Voltage Analysis .....	13
5.0 Conclusion .....	13

## Appendices

Appendix A1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor On, NE-NY=0
Appendix A2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor On, NE-NY=0
Appendix B1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NE-NY=0
Appendix B2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NE-NY=0
Appendix C1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor On, NE-NY=700
Appendix C2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor On, NE-NY=700
Appendix D1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NE-NY=700
Appendix D2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NE-NY=700
Appendix E1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor On, NY-NE=700
Appendix E2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor On, NY-NE=700
Appendix F1	Thermal Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NY-NE=700
Appendix F2	Voltage Results, New Haven Harbor Off, NY-NE=700

## **1.0 Introduction**

In December 2002, the Southwest Connecticut Working Group published the “Southwestern Connecticut Electric Reliability Study”. The report identified the need to construct a 345-kV “loop” to address the reliability problems in Southwest Connecticut (SWCT). Northeast Utilities (NU) and the United Illuminating Company (UI) have filed an application with the Connecticut Siting Council to construct the Middletown to Norwalk portion of that project.

This study documents the results of testing performed by the ISO-NE SWCT Working Group to compare the proposed Middletown to Norwalk Project with an alternative referred to as the East Shore Alternative.

## **2.0 Description of Alternatives**

### **2.1 Middletown to Norwalk Project**

The Middletown to Norwalk Project begins by constructing a new switching station at Beseck Junction. This new switching station is connected to the existing 345-kV transmission at Southington via Meriden, Haddam Neck, and Millstone. From Beseck, a new 345-kV line is connected to Norwalk, after interconnecting to the proposed East Devon and Singer substations.

### **2.2 East Shore Alternative**

Similar to the Middletown to Norwalk Project, the East Shore Alternative terminates at Norwalk substation with intermediate stops at Singer and East Devon substations. All system changes at these substations are identical to that of the Middletown to Norwalk Project. However, from East Devon, a new circuit is constructed to the existing East Shore Substation in New Haven. There are no further 345-kV transmission changes associated with this alternative.

## **3.0 Study Methodology**

### **3.1 System Modeling**

All evaluations of the Middletown to Norwalk Project were performed on behalf of the ISO-NE SWCT Working Group by EPRO using PTI’s PSS/E Program. All study work performed by PowerGEM to evaluate the East Shore Alternative used PTI’s MUST program. Both programs provide similar results, yet use different solution methods to arrive at their results. While this is acceptable to perform a comparison between two alternatives, caution must be used when evaluating the results. One solution method may determine a solution for a given set of system conditions while the other may not. In such a case, the results should be noted, but not used to discount the validity of a given project.

For a valid comparison, the same generator dispatches, system loads, and NE-NY interface flows were used in this analysis as in the studies published previously.

### 3.2 Power-Flow

Both studies used the same criteria for evaluating system performance. Table 1 contains the thermal loading performance criteria applied to both studies. The use of long-time emergency (LTE) thermal ratings in planning studies recognizes the limited line switching, re-dispatch and system re-configuration options available to operators. These ratings provide adequate flexibility to system operations to address unique circumstances encountered on a day-to-day basis.

**Table 1**  
**Thermal Loading Performance Criteria**

System Condition	Maximum Allowable Facility Loading
<b>Pre-Contingency (all-lines-in)</b>	Normal Rating
<b>Post-Contingency</b>	LTE Rating

### 3.3 Voltage

Table 2 contains the voltage performance criteria used in both sets of analyses.

**Table 2**  
**Voltage Performance Criteria**

Voltage Level	Bus Voltage Limits	
	Normal Conditions	Emergency Conditions
> 115 kV	95 to 105% of nominal	95 to 105% of nominal
≤ 115 kV	95 to 105% of nominal	90 to 105% of nominal
Millstone 345 kV	100 to 105% of nominal	100 to 105% of nominal

### 3.4 Contingency List

Both studies tested all single transmission line outages, stuck breaker events, double circuit tower events, and generator outages in Connecticut, including new scenarios due to the addition of the project under evaluation.

### 4.0 Study Results

Results of testing the Middletown to Norwalk Project versus the East Shore Alternative are contained in Appendices A through F. Although testing was performed for many sets of

conditions and is documented in the Appendices, the discussion below only evaluates those cases which are necessary to provide a valid comparison of the two proposals.

#### 4.1 New Haven Harbor generation on line, existing 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock)

Results of testing at New England load of 27,700 MW with New Haven Harbor in service and NY-NE=0 MW are contained in [Appendices A1](#) and [A2](#). Table 3 shows the worst case overloads for both alternatives.

**Table 3**  
**Worst Case Overloads**  
**New Haven Harbor In Service - NY to NE Flow = 0 MW**

Dispatch	Contingency	Overloaded Element			Middletown-Norwalk Project (E/PRO)	East Shore Alternative (PowerGEM)
		From Bus	To Bus	Voltage (kV)	% of Rating <sup>1</sup>	% of Rating
<b>BASE CASE OVERLOADS</b>						
2	NONE	FLAX HILL	RYTN J B	115	100.5	
2	NONE	NORWALK	FLAX HILL	115	121.0	120.5
2	NONE	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	112.7	112.2
<b>CONTINGENCY OVERLOADS</b>						
2	SGTN4TSTK	SCOVLRK	E.SHORE	345		106.0
5	SGTN5TSTK	SOUTHGTN	SGTN B	Auto	102.0	121.1
5	1272-1721DCT	BCNFL PF	DRBY J B	115	131.0	132.1
2	1620SLINE	HADDAM	BOKUM	115		109.3
5	FROSTBR27T	SHAWSHIL	BUNKER H	115		104.3
4	DEVON2TSTK	TRMB J A	PEQUONIC	115		101.7
3	NORSING1	SINGNOR2	NORSING2	345	109.5	
5	GRNDAV2TSTK	GRAND AV	WEST RIV	115	106.8	101.7
5	GRNDAV2TSTK	GRAND AV	WEST RIV - 2	115	106.8	101.7
2	GRNDAV2TSTK	WATER ST	WEST RIV	115	100.6	
2	TRIANGLE2T	MIDDLRIV	TRIANGLE	115	NC <sup>2</sup>	223.2
2	TRIANGLE2T	PLUMTREE	MIDDLRIV	115	NC	284.3
2	TRIANGLE3T	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE	115	NC	177.4
2	1060-1270DCT	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE - 2	115	135.1	135.2
2	1440-1450DCT	SO.END	GLNBRK J	115	123.2	123.2
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	COS COB	115	124.8	124.8
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	GLNBROOK	115	102.5	102.5
3	1389-1880DCT	CRRR JCT	ASHCREEK	115	100.2	100.3
2	1416-1880DCT	FLAX HIL	RYTN J B	115	178.3	177.9
5	1867-1880DCT	GLNBROOK	ELYAVE	115	153.8	153.9
5	1867-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J A	115	101.3	101.8
5	1880-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J B	115	146.4	146.5
2	1416-1880DCT	NORWALK	FLAX HIL	115	195.6	195.3
5	1867-1880DCT	NWLK HAR	ELYAVE	115	158.1	158.4
4	1880-1890DCT	NWLK HAR	RYTN J B	115	104.4	104.3
2	1416-1867DCT	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	181.2	180.8
4	1867-1890DCT	RYTN J A	NWLK HAR	115	144.0	144.4

<sup>1</sup> For base case overloads, the “% of Rating” refers to the Normal rating, and for contingency overloads the “% of Rating” refers to the Long-Time Emergency (LTE) rating. This applies to Tables 3 through 7.

<sup>2</sup> Not converged in the analysis.

The results above show that the two projects have very similar performance characteristics. However, there are a few items which should be noted. The first and most significant issue is that the 345-kV East Shore to Scovill Rock 387 line is overloaded in the East Shore Alternative. This line is providing the majority of the power toward SWCT. In contrast to this, the Middletown to Norwalk Project provides an additional path for power to flow into SWCT.

The flow on the 387 line, which in the East Shore Alternative becomes a cornerstone of the solution, is very dependent on the status of the New Haven Harbor generator. This generator, which is defined as being outside of the SWCT electrical interface, is interconnected to the East Shore end of the 387 line; therefore, the 387 line flow increases dramatically when this 447 MW generator is out of service. Testing as described below documents the impact on both projects of having this generator out of service.

Another item of significance is the magnitude of the overload on the Southington autotransformer in the East Shore Alternative. If this contingency were to occur, automatic switching actions would mitigate small overloads. However, the severity of the overload in the East Shore Alternative may prove these actions to be inadequate.

The results of the East Shore Alternative analysis also indicate that the underlying 115-kV transmission system is forced to carry more power as compared to the Middletown to Norwalk Project. This is reflected in the fact that for various contingencies Haddam to Bokum, Shaws Hill to Bunker Hill, and Trumbull Junction to Pequonnock 115-kV lines are all overloaded in the East Shore Alternative only.

In the case of the Middletown to Norwalk Project, loss of one of the 345-kV cables between Singer and Norwalk substations causes the remaining cable to overload. The Middletown to Norwalk Project also includes series reactors that will be utilized to mitigate the overload. In the case of the East Shore Alternative, this overload does not occur.

The results of the Middletown to Norwalk Project also show minor overloads around Grand Ave. An existing Special Protection System (SPS) which reduces the output of generation at New Haven Harbor eliminates these overloads.

A few other items which need to be discussed are the Middle River – Triangle area, the area west of Glenbrook, and the lines between Norwalk, Glenbrook and Norwalk Harbor. The Middle River –Triangle area is a radial load pocket which is served from the Plumtree Substation. While the results indicate that there may be performance differences between the two alternatives, this is due to the different solution methods used. The results in this area should not be used as a means of comparison between these projects and will not be discussed further in this report.

The area west of Glenbrook is virtually a radial load pocket and there is no reason to compare the results of these two alternatives as neither project serves to address these issues. Therefore, this area will not be discussed any further.

The lines between Norwalk, Glenbrook, and Norwalk Harbor substations are over their normal rating pre-contingency and heavily overloaded following many contingencies. These lines provide the transmission path between the sources at Norwalk Harbor and Norwalk to the load being served via the Glenbrook Substation. These overloads can be remedied through the installation of cables between Norwalk and Glenbrook and between Norwalk Harbor and Glenbrook. Since neither the Middletown to Norwalk Project nor the East Shore Alternative includes these cables, further comparison of the overloads in this area is not warranted.

#### **4.2 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, existing 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock)**

As stated above, the results indicate that acceptability of the East Shore Alternative was highly dependent upon the availability of generation at New Haven Harbor. The Middletown to Norwalk Project had not been previously tested with this generator out of service as the status of this generation was expected to have little impact on the Project. To ensure that both projects were being evaluated against the same criteria, both projects were tested with the New Haven Harbor generator out of service in this comparison.

Table 4 below shows a comparison of the worst case overloads as a result of this testing. [Appendices B1](#) and [B2](#) document the results of testing with a New England load of 27,700 MW, New Haven Harbor out of service, and NE-NY=0 MW.

**Table 4**  
**Worst Case Overloads**  
**New Haven Harbor Out of Service - NY to NE Flow = 0 MW**

Dispatch	Contingency	Overloaded Element			Middletown-Norwalk (E/PRO)	East Shore Alternative (PowerGEM)
		From Bus	To Bus	Voltage (kV)	% of Rating	% of Rating
<b>BASE CASE OVERLOADS</b>						
2	NONE	SCOVL RK	E. SHORE	345		117.3
2	NONE	FLAX HILL	RYTN J B	115	103.9	102.8
2	NONE	NORWALK	FLAX HILL	115	124.4	123.2
2	NONE	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	116.1	114.9
<b>CONTINGENCY OVERLOADS</b>						
2	SGTN4TSTK	SCOVL RK	E. SHORE	345		124.5
5	1460-387DCT	FRSTBDGE	SOUTHGTN	345		106.0
5	1460-387DCT	SOUTHGTN	MERID362	345		105.5
5	SGTN5TSTK	SOUTHGTN	SGTN B	Auto	104.3	126.8
2	1618-321DCT	BALDWNJA	FROST BR	115		107.1
5	1272-1721DCT	BCNFL PF	DRBY J B	115	131.9	133.5
2	1460-387DCT	GLEN JCT	SOUTHGTN	115		120.1
5	1620SLINE	HADDAM	BOKUM	115	109.8	123.4
2	1261LINE	HADDAM	BOKUM -2	115		107.3
5	FROSTBR27T	SHAWSHIL	BUNKER H	115		111.9
2	SCOVK8TSTK	SOUTHGTN	WLNGF PF	115		102.1
3	387+AUTOS	WLNGF PF	WALLFRDJ	115	119.6	
5	SGTN7TSTK	E.MERIDN	NO.WALLF	115	108.0	
3	NORSING1	SINGNOR2	NORSING2	345	108.6	
2	TRIANGLE2T	MIDDLRIV	TRIANGLE	115	NC	226.4
2	TRIANGLE2T	PLUMTREE	MIDDLRIV	115	NC	287.9
2	TRIANGLE3T	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE	115	NC	188.7
2	1060-1270DCT	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE -2	115	135.2	135.3
2	1440-1450DCT	SO.END	GLNBRK J	115	123.2	123.2
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	COS COB	115	124.8	124.8
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	GLNBROOK	115	102.5	102.5
3	1389-1880DCT	CRRA JCT	ASHCREEK	115		100.3
2	1416-1880DCT	FLAX HIL	RYTN J B	115	181.9	180.9
5	1867-1880DCT	GLNBROOK	ELYAVE	115	154.5	154.3
5	1867-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J A	115	102.9	102.9
5	1880-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J B	115	148.8	148.2
2	1416-1880DCT	NORWALK	FLAX HIL	115	199.3	198.2
5	1867-1880DCT	NWLK HAR	ELYAVE	115	160.3	159.7
4	1880-1890DCT	NWLK HAR	RYTN J B	115	104.4	104.3
2	1416-1867DCT	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	184.8	183.8
4	1867-1890DCT	RYTN J A	NWLK HAR	115	143.5	144.2

Results with New Haven Harbor generation out of service show the inherent weakness of the East Shore Alternative. First and foremost, the 387 line between Scovill Rock and East Shore is 17% over its normal rating prior to a contingency and up to 24.5% over its emergency rating following system contingencies. To resolve these overloads, either a second 345-kV line into SWCT would have to be built or the 387 line must be upgraded.

Even if the 387 line were to be upgraded, the loss of this line causes the 345-kV Southington to Frost Bridge 329 line and the 345-kV Meriden to Southington 362 line to become overloaded. This is because these lines provide the only remaining 345-kV path from the generation in eastern Connecticut to supply the load in SWCT. In the case of the Middletown to Norwalk Project, following the loss of the 387 line, the proposed 345-kV Beseck to East Devon line remains in service in addition to the path through Southington. The Middletown to Norwalk Project creates an additional line into SWCT and therefore does not have similar overloads.

In addition, the 115-kV transmission overloads associated with East Shore Alternative are significant. The 115-kV corridors containing multiple circuits from Frost Bridge and Southington toward the Devon Substation have overloads.

In the Middletown to Norwalk Project, there are only two 115-kV circuits overloaded which are not overloaded in the East Shore Alternative. These are the circuits between Wallingford and Wallingford Jct. and between East Meriden and North Wallingford.

#### **4.3 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconducted**

The results in Table 4 show that the 387 line is overloaded. As part of the evaluation of the East Shore Alternative, it was noted that approximately 10 miles of this circuit consists of 2156 ACSR conductor. This segment has significantly less capability than the remainder of the circuit which was constructed using bundled 2-954 ACSR. Therefore, the East Shore analysis was performed again for the same set of conditions used in Section 4.2, assuming the limiting 10 miles of conductor was replaced with bundled 2-954 ACSR. The results are listed in [Appendices B1](#) and [B2](#). Table 5 shows the worst case overloads with a New England load of 27,700 MW, New Haven Harbor out of service, and NE-NY=0 MW, and the limiting portion of the 387 line reconducted.



**Table 5**  
**Worst Case Overloads**  
**New Haven Harbor Out of Service - NY to NE Flow = 0 MW - 387 Line Reconductored**

Dispatch	Contingency	Overloaded Element			Middletown-Norwalk (E/PRO)	East Shore Alternative w/Recond. 387 Line (PowerGEM)
		From Bus	To Bus	Voltage (kV)	% of Rating	% of Rating
<b>BASE CASE OVERLOADS</b>						
2	NONE	SCOVLRK	E.SHORE	345		101.1
2	NONE	FLAX HILL	RYTN J B	115	103.9	103.5
2	NONE	NORWALK	FLAX HILL	115	124.4	123.9
2	NONE	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	116.1	115.7
<b>CONTINGENCY OVERLOADS</b>						
2	SGTN4TSTK	SCOVLRK	E.SHORE	345		106.9
5	1460-387DCT	FRSTBDGE	SOUTHGTN	345		106.1
5	1460-387DCT	SOUTHGTN	MERID362	345		105.5
5	SGTN5TSTK	SOUTHGTN	SGTN B	Auto	104.3	124.8
2	1618-321DCT	BALDWNJA	FROST BR	115		103.8
2	SCOVKR8TSTK	BALDWNJB	BUNKER H	115		101.4
5	1272-1721DCT	BCNFL PF	DRBY J B	115	131.9	133.4
2	1460-387DCT	GLEN JCT	SOUTHGTN	115		120.1
5	1620SLINE	HADDAM	BOKUM	115	109.8	121.4
2	1261LINE	HADDAM	BOKUM -2	115		105.6
5	FROSTBR27T	SHAWSHIL	BUNKER H	115		110.3
2	SCOVKR8TSTK	SOUTHGTN	WLNGF PF	115		104.5
3	387+AUTOS	WLNGF PF	WALLFRDJ	115	119.6	
5	SGTN7TSTK	E.MERIDN	NO.WALLF	115	108.0	
3	NORSING1	SINGNOR2	NORSING2	345	108.6	
2	TRIANGLE2T	MIDDLRIV	TRIANGLE	115	NC	226.3
2	TRIANGLE2T	PLUMTREE	MIDDLRIV	115	NC	287.7
2	TRIANGLE3T	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE	115	NC	188.4
2	1060-1270DCT	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE -2	115	135.2	135.2
2	1440-1450DCT	SO.END	GLNBRK J	115	123.2	123.2
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	COS COB	115	124.8	124.8
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	GLNBROOK	115	102.5	102.5
3	1389-1880DCT	CRRA JCT	ASHCREEK	115		100.6
2	1416-1880DCT	FLAX HIL	RYTN J B	115	181.9	182.1
5	1867-1880DCT	GLNBROOK	ELYAVE	115	154.5	154.3
5	1867-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J A	115	102.9	102.8
5	1880-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J B	115	148.8	148.2
2	1416-1880DCT	NORWALK	FLAX HIL	115	199.3	199.4
5	1867-1880DCT	NWLK HAR	ELYAVE	115	160.3	159.6
4	1880-1890DCT	NWLK HAR	RYTN J B	115	104.4	104.3
2	1416-1867DCT	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	184.8	185.0
4	1867-1890DCT	RYTN J A	NWLK HAR	115	143.5	143.4

Even with reconductoring the limiting portion of the 387 line, the resulting line rating is below that which is necessary to serve the load under these conditions. The line is over its normal rating with all transmission facilities in service and over its LTE rating following system contingencies.

In addition, there was little or no beneficial impact on the other overloads in the system, most of which occur when the 387 line is removed from service. Therefore, reconductoring the 387 line will not eliminate these remaining overloads, which are simply due to the fact that this alternative does not build a new source into SWCT.

#### **4.4 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconductored, 700 MW Flow from New England to New York**

Although the limitations of the East Shore Alternative have already been discussed, further analysis was performed varying flows between New England (NE) and New York (NY). Table 6 shows a comparison of the worst case overloads with a New England load of 27,700 MW, New Haven Harbor generation out of service, and NE-NY=700 MW. The full results of this testing are located in [Appendices D1](#) and [D2](#). [Appendices C1](#) and [C2](#) document similar conditions with generation at New Haven Harbor in service. These conditions were not discussed as they were not found to be the most limiting.

**Table 6**  
**Worst Case Overloads**  
**New Haven Harbor Out of Service - NE to NY Flow = 700 MW - 387 Line Reconductored**

Dispatch	Contingency	Overloaded Element			Middletown-Norwalk (E/PRO)	East Shore Alternative w/Recond. 387 Line (PowerGEM)
		From Bus	To Bus	Voltage (kV)	% of Rating	% of Rating
<b>BASE CASE OVERLOADS</b>						
2	NONE	SCOVL RK	E.SHORE	345		107.3
2	NONE	FLAX HILL	RYTN J B	115	102.7	101.9
2	NONE	NORWALK	FLAX HILL	115	123.1	122.3
2	NONE	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	114.9	114.0
<b>CONTINGENCY OVERLOADS</b>						
5	SGTN4TSTK	SCOVL RK	E.SHORE	345		115.0
5	1460-387DCT	FRSTBDGE	SOUTHGTN	345		120.4
5	318LINE	HADAUTO	MILLSTNE	345		103.4
2	MONTVSTBKR	SCOVL RK	KLEEN	345		100.3
2	1460-387DCT	SOUTHGTN	MERID362	345		114.5
5	SGTN5TSTK	SOUTHGTN	SGTN B	Auto	111.3	133.5
2	1460-387DCT	BALDWNJA	FROST BR	115		114.3
2	1460-387DCT	BALDWNJA	STEVENS N	115		104.3
2	1460-387DCT	BALDWNJB	BUNKER H	115		105.5
5	1272-1721DCT	BCNFL PF	DRBY J B	115	131.9	133.2
2	1460-387DCT	GLEN JCT	MIX AVE	115		102.1
2	1460-387DCT	GLEN JCT	SOUTHGTN	115		131.1
5	SCOVK8TSTK	BOKUM	GREEN HL	115		102.3
5	1620SLINE	HADDAM	BOKUM	115	111.6	125.2
5	1261LINE	HADDAM	BOKUM -2	115		108.8
5	FROSTBR27T	SHAWSHIL	BUNKER H	115		111.5
2	387LINE	SOUTHGTN	WLNGF PF	115		110.4
3	387+AUTOS	WLNGF PF	WALLFRDJ	115	120.8	
5	SGTN7TSTK	E.MERIDN	NO.WALLF	115	108.2	
2	DEVSING1	DEVSING2	SINGDEV2	345	110.9	
3	NORSING1	SINGNOR2	NORSING2	345	119.8	
2	TRIANGLE2T	MIDDLRIV	TRIANGLE	115	NC	226.2
2	TRIANGLE2T	PLUMTREE	MIDDLRIV	115	NC	287.6
2	TRIANGLE3T	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE	115	NC	188.0
2	1060-1270DCT	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE -2	115	135.1	135.2
2	1440-1450DCT	SO.END	GLNBRK J	115	123.2	123.2
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	COS COB	115	124.8	124.8
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	GLNBROOK	115	102.5	102.5
3	1389-1880DCT	CRRA JCT	ASHCREEK	115		100.3
2	1416-1880DCT	FLAX HIL	RYTN J B	115	180.5	179.9
5	1867-1880DCT	GLNBROOK	ELYAVE	115	153.8	154.0
5	1867-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J A	115	102.1	102.4
5	1880-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J B	115	147.8	147.5
2	1416-1880DCT	NORWALK	FLAX HIL	115	197.9	197.2
5	1867-1880DCT	NWLK HAR	ELYAVE	115	158.3	158.8
5	1880-1890DCT	NWLK HAR	RYTN J B	115	104.3	104.4
2	1416-1867DCT	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	183.4	182.8
5	1867-1890DCT	RYTN J A	NWLK HAR	115	141.9	143.8

With a 700 MW flow from NE to NY, the East Shore Alternative yields numerous 115-kV and 345-kV overloads. The most significant changes in overloads occur on the 345-kV system. The following 345-kV lines are overloaded in the East Shore Alternative which are not overloaded with the Middletown to Norwalk Project:

- 329 line between Frost Bridge and Southington
- 348 line between the Haddam autotransformer and Millstone
- 353 line between Scovill Rock and Kleen Energy
- 387 line between East Shore and Scovill Rock (over its normal and emergency rating)
- 362 line between Meriden and Southington

While some minor upgrades may eliminate a few of the overloads associated with the East Shore Alternative, these results demonstrate that this alternative does not provide acceptable system performance without significant system upgrades to serve as part of a long range plan for Connecticut.

#### **4.5 New Haven Harbor generation out of service, 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock) reconducted, 700 MW Flow from New York to New England**

Continuing with varying NE to NY flows, flows were changed to NY-NE=700. All other conditions are as listed in Section 4.4. Worst case overloads are compared in Table 7. Again, results with New Haven Harbor in service are not discussed for these conditions as they were not the most limiting; although they are documented in [Appendices E1](#) and [E2](#).

**Table 7**  
**Worst Case Overloads**  
**New Haven Harbor Out of Service - NY to NE Flow = 700 MW - 387 Line Reconductored**

Dispatch	Contingency	Overloaded Element			Middletown-Norwalk (E/PRO)	East Shore Alternative w/Recond. 387 Line (PowerGEM)
		From Bus	To Bus	Voltage (kV)	% of Rating	% of Rating
<b>BASE CASE OVERLOADS</b>						
2	NONE	FLAX HILL	RYTN J B	115	105.6	104.8
2	NONE	NORWALK	FLAX HILL	115	126.0	125.2
2	NONE	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	117.7	116.9
<b>CONTINGENCY OVERLOADS</b>						
5	SGTN5TSTK	SOUTHGTN	SGTN B	Auto		114.6
2	1618-321DCT	BALDWNJA	FROST BR	115	110.9	107.6
2	1618-321DCT	BALDWNJA	STEVENS	115	102.2	
5	1272-1721DCT	BCNFL PF	DRBY J B	115	131.9	133.4
2	1460-387DCT	GLEN JCT	SOUTHGTN	115		111.0
5	1620SLINE	HADDAM	BOKUM	115	107.5	117.2
2	1261LINE	HADDAM	BOKUM -2	115		101.8
5	FROSTBR27T	SHAWSHIL	BUNKER H	115		108.8
5	LONGMT5TSTK	NORHR138	NWLK HAR	Auto	109.3	122.5
5	LONGMT5TSTK	NRTHPT P	NRTHPRT1	138		111.4
3	387+AUTOS	WLNGF PF	WALLFRDJ	115	118.0	
5	SGTN7TSTK	E.MERIDN	NO.WALLF	115	108.2	
2	TRIANGLE2T	MIDDLRIV	TRIANGLE	115	NC	226.4
2	TRIANGLE2T	PLUMTREE	MIDDLRIV	115	NC	287.8
2	TRIANGLE3T	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE	115	NC	188.7
2	1060-1270DCT	PLUMTREE	TRIANGLE -2	115	135.4	135.3
2	1440-1450DCT	SO.END	GLNBRK J	115	123.2	123.2
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	COS COB	115	124.8	124.8
2	SOUTHEND6T	WATERSDE	GLNBROOK	115	102.5	102.5
3	1389-1880DCT	CRRA JCT	ASHCREEK	115		100.5
2	1416-1880DCT	FLAX HIL	RYTN J B	115	183.8	183.7
5	1867-1880DCT	GLNBROOK	ELYAVE	115	155.1	154.7
5	1867-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J A	115	103.8	103.4
5	1880-1890DCT	GLNBROOK	RYTN J B	115	150.0	149.0
2	1416-1880DCT	NORWALK	FLAX HIL	115	201.1	201.0
5	1867-1880DCT	NWLK HAR	ELYAVE	115	161.9	160.7
5	1880-1890DCT	NWLK HAR	RYTN J B	115	104.3	104.4
2	1416-1867DCT	RYTN J A	NORWALK	115	186.7	186.5
5	1867-1890DCT	RYTN J A	NWLK HAR	115	143.4	143.9

These conditions show that the East Shore Alternative results in more 115-kV overloads than the Middletown to Norwalk Project.

In both system alternatives, overloads are shown on equipment associated with the 138-kV Norwalk Harbor to Northport 1385 underwater cable to Long Island. However, these overloads appear following a failure of the 5T circuit breaker at Long Mountain to operate. One of the projects associated with implementation of the Bethel to Norwalk 345-kV Project is the

reconfiguration of the Long Mountain Substation to prevent this contingency from occurring. Therefore this contingency and the associated overloads can be disregarded.

#### **4.6 Voltage Analysis**

Voltage comparisons were performed between each of the options studied. These are documented in [Appendices A2, B2, C2, D2, E2, and F2](#). In most cases, performance was similar between the two alternatives. In many cases with the East Shore Alternative a stuck 8T circuit breaker at Scovill Rock resulted in system-wide low voltages. The results of this contingency should be ignored as relatively minor configuration changes at Scovill Rock substation could eliminate this contingency.

#### **5.0 Conclusion**

This study documents the results of testing performed by the ISO-NE SWCT Working Group to compare the proposed Middletown to Norwalk Project with an alternative referred to as the East Shore Alternative. The East Shore Alternative as studied was found to be an unacceptable substitute to the Middletown to Norwalk Project because it does not meet NERC, NPCC, or NEPOOL criteria. The East Shore Alternative does not strengthen the power supply into SWCT by introducing a new source; it simply connects the load in SWCT to an already heavily loaded 387 line. The most notable overload in this report is the one on the 387 line. Even with the assumed reconductoring of the limiting portion of the 387 line, the line continues to overload. In addition, an outage of this line yields substantial overloads on the remaining corridors serving SWCT and the 345-kV across the state.

Since the East Shore Alternative is not considered acceptable, continued comparative testing was not performed. In order to completely evaluate the East Shore Alternative, further analysis would have to include thermal and voltage testing at a 30,000 MW New England load level, a transfer limit comparison, and possibly 2<sup>nd</sup> contingency (N-2) testing.



# PowerGEM

Power Grid Engineering & Markets

## Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative : Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, NE-NY $\pm$ 700 MW

**Prepared for:**

**The United Illuminating Company**

**and**

**Northeast Utilities**

Prepared by:

Johnny R. Willis  
PowerGEM  
jwillis@power-gem.com

PowerGEM Report 10021.001-5  
February 16, 2004







# PowerGEM

## Power Grid Engineering & Markets

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. DATABASE .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1. POWER FLOW BASE CASES .....	6
2.2. CONTINGENCY FILE.....	7
<b>3. METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS FILES.....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1. SOFTWARE .....	8
3.2. PERFORMANCE CRITERIA .....	8
3.3. SOLUTION OPTIONS .....	8
<b>4. TRANSMISSION LOADING RESULTS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1. BASE CASE RESULTS.....	9
4.2. POST-CONTINGENCY RESULTS.....	9
4.2.1. <i>Table of Results</i> .....	9
4.2.2. <i>Discussion of Results</i> .....	10
<b>5. TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1. TABLES OF RESULTS.....	12
5.2. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.....	12
<b>6. REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>15</b>

## Executive Summary

This report summarizes power flow analysis conducted for The United Illuminating Company (UI) and Northeast Utilities (NU) for one option for expanding the New England 345 kV transmission system into southwest Connecticut (SWCT). The transmission option examined, called "East Shore 27-OH/UG" in this report, is for a 345 kV transmission path from East Shore to Norwalk substations, with interconnecting substations between these stations.<sup>1</sup> The "East Shore 27-OH/UG" transmission option, which consists primarily of underground cables with one section of overhead line, is described as follows:

From	To	Distance	Transmission
East Shore	Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	7 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, three parallel cables
Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	East Devon	9.4 miles	345 kV overhead bundled 1590 ACSR conductor, single circuit
East Devon	Singer	8 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables
Singer	Norwalk	15 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables

The focus of this report is to examine the effect of ±700 MW transfers between New England (NE) and New York (NY), as well as the status of generation at the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) for a 27.7 GW New England load level. When NHHS is off-line, the generation deficiency is made up by the Kleen Energy generation project, which is expected to be connected to the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line very near the Scovill Rock Substation.

Companion reports (References 1 thru 4) summarize similar analyses with zero power transfer from New England to New York. Reference 5 is a study similar to this study except that the existing 387 line has been reconducted.

In addition to the variations in NE-NY transfer and the status of NHHS generation, power flow analysis was conducted with four southwest Connecticut generation dispatches. Loading and voltage performance of the Connecticut system was monitored for the 115 kV and 345 kV transmission systems. In total, this results in sixteen dispatch conditions studied.

---

<sup>1</sup> A planned 345 kV transmission expansion from the Plumtree to Norwalk substations, which is called Bethel to Norwalk, is assumed to be in-service in this analysis.

The East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line is also above normal rating in six of sixteen base cases, in the range of 5% to 25%. Several 115 kV lines were also above normal rating in at least one base case dispatch.

The table below summarizes the number of overloaded lines in the study area for the various conditions analyzed:

SWCT Dispatch ID	Number of Overloaded Branches					
	NE-NY 0 MW (Note 1)		NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off
	<b>2B-5B</b>	<b>6B-9B</b>	<b>10B-13B</b>	<b>14B-17B</b>	<b>18B-21B</b>	<b>22B-25B</b>
<b>345 kV Line</b>	1	3	3	4	0	1
<b>115 kV Line</b>	23	24	24	28	23	24
<b>345/115 AutoTrans</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>138 kV CT-LI Tie (2)</b>	0	0	0	0	2	2

(1) Based on the results of studies in References 3 and 4.

(2) Overloads are of transformers at each end of the cable (line 1385).

A review of the table indicates that NNHS off and NE-NY 700 MW results in the most overloads.

The table below summarized the number of bus voltage violations in the study area for the various conditions analyzed:

SWCT Dispatch ID	Number of Bus Voltage Violations					
	NE-NY 0 MW (Note1)		NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off
	<b>2B-5B</b>	<b>6B-9B</b>	<b>10B-13B</b>	<b>14B-17B</b>	<b>18B-21B</b>	<b>22B-25B</b>
<b>345 kV Violations</b>						
<b>115 kV Violations</b>	9	10	9	7	9	10

(1) Based on the results of studies in References 1 and 2.

There are three contingencies that trip the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line (387 line), with the most severe being a stuck breaker contingency that trips the Scovill Rock to Haddam Neck and Scovill Rock to East Shore 345 kV lines including the Cross-Sound Cable (SCOVKR8TSTK). Though not converged to normal mismatch criteria, results indicate that for some dispatch and transfer conditions loss of the 387 line results in widespread 345 kV and 115 kV low voltage violations in the study area.

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes power flow analysis conducted for The United Illuminating Company (UI) and Northeast Utilities (NU) for one option for expanding the New England 345 kV transmission system into southwest Connecticut (SWCT). The transmission option examined, called “East Shore 27-OH/UG” in this report, is for a 345 kV transmission path from East Shore to Norwalk substations, with interconnecting substations between these stations.<sup>2</sup> The “East Shore 27-OH/UG” transmission option, which consists primarily of underground cables with one section of overhead line, is described as follows:

From	To	Distance	Transmission
East Shore	Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	7 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, three parallel cables
Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	East Devon	9.4 miles	345 kV overhead bundled 1590 ACSR conductor, single circuit
East Devon	Singer	8 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables
Singer	Norwalk	15 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables

The focus of this report is to examine the effect of ±700 MW transfers from New England to New York, as well as the status of generation at the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) for a 27.7 GW New England load level. When NHHS is off-line, the generation deficiency is made up by the Kleen Energy generation project, which is expected to be connected to the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line very near the Scovill Rock Substation.

Companion reports (References 1 thru 4) summarize similar analyses with zero power transfer from New England to New York. Reference 5 is a study similar to this one except that the limiting portion of the existing 387 line has been reconducted.

The objective of this study is to analyze and document the performance of this transmission configuration for steady-state base case and post-contingency transmission power flows and voltages. Performance is examined for a 27.7 GW New England load level.

The following Appendices are included in this report:

**Appendix A**            “East Shore 27-OH/UG” Transmission Modeling Data  
**Appendix B**            Power Flow Base Case One-Line Diagrams

---

<sup>2</sup> A planned 345 kV transmission expansion from Plumtree to Norwalk substations, which is called Bethel to Norwalk, is assumed to be in-service in this analysis.

<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>Contingency File</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>Generation Dispatches</b>
<b>Appendix E</b>	<b>Summary of Overloads</b>
<b>Appendix F</b>	<b>Summary of Voltage Violations</b>

## 2. Database

This section discusses the data developed and used in the study.

### 2.1. Power Flow Base Cases

Four power flow base cases, which included the approved Bethel to Norwalk 345-kV project in service, were utilized. PowerGEM revised each of the four cases to add the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” transmission project. Details regarding the modeling of these circuits are provided in Appendix A. In addition to this, the rating of the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line was increased to reflect the line rating by reconfiguring the East Shore Substation and removing the 345/115 kV autotransformers from the 387 line path. The line ratings used were 1240 MVA normal and 1604 MVA long-time emergency.

Each of the four base cases provided had different Connecticut generation dispatches (designated dispatches 2, 3, 4, and 5). For each of these cases other base case variations were prepared: a) 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station generator on-line or off-line and b) NE-NY ±700 MW transfers.

For all of these cases, when the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) was turned off it was replaced by generation from the Kleen Energy project. Both generators are outside the SWCT interface. Appendix D contains a list of the on-line generation. Significant changes to the four SWCT dispatch cases (2, 3, 4, and 5) were restricted to New England generation.

Thus a total of sixteen base cases were developed for the analysis in this report. To help organize the work and clearly identify the results, case or “dispatch” identifiers were assigned as summarized in the table below.

SWCT Generation Dispatch ID	Case or “Dispatch” Identifier			
	NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line
2	10B	14B	18B	22B
3	11B	15B	19B	23B
4	12B	16B	20B	24B
5	13B	17B	21B	25B

The “B” in the identifier signifies that the modeling of the 387 line assumes the existing conductor of the line is used.

A one-line diagram showing power flows and voltages on the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” (and Bethel to Norwalk) transmission for each of the sixteen base cases is included in Appendix B. Also, the generation dispatch changes to create the New York to New England and New England to New York power transfers is included in Appendix B.

## **2.2. Contingency File**

A contingency file was modified as appropriate for this study, including those contingencies required to model the Kleen Energy project on the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line, and is contained in Appendix C.

- Loss of multiple 345 kV underground cables on the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” configuration between Orange and East Shore, or loss of parallel cables between Devon and Norwalk, is not considered. (Simultaneous loss of one cable from Devon to Singer and Singer to Norwalk is included as a contingency).
- For loss of the Orange to East Devon 345 kV overhead line, all three 345 kV underground cables from Orange to East Shore were opened.

### **3. Methodology and Results Files**

This section describes the technical approach to the study, performance criteria, solution assumptions, and the format of the results.

#### **3.1. Software**

Set up of the power flow base cases used PTI's PSS/E software (Rev. 28). Base case and contingency analysis was conducted using PTI's MUST software (Rev. 5). Results from the MUST program are stored in Excel spreadsheets.

#### **3.2. Performance Criteria**

The criteria for checking overload and voltage performance were as follows:

- Buses and transmission branches in Connecticut 115 kV and above were monitored.
- For base case loading performance, transmission lines and transformers were checked against 100% of their normal ratings.
- For post-contingency loading performance, overloads of transmission lines and transformers were checked against 100% of the long-time emergency ratings.
- Buses 230 kV and above were checked for voltages less than 95% and greater than 105%. Buses in the 115 kV system were checked for voltages less than 90% and more than 105%.

#### **3.3. Solution Options**

For the analysis, tap-changing transformer and phase-shifting transformer adjustments were held fixed. For contingencies involving loss of generation/load the imbalance was made up by the system swing generator located outside New England.



## 4. Transmission Loading Results

The results of the analysis for transmission system loading violations, for both the base case and contingency conditions, are provided below.

### 4.1. Base Case Results

Loading on the 387 line for base case conditions is an important consideration for this transmission alternative, and is summarized in the following table. One-lines showing the flows on this line for each case are in Appendix B.

SWCT Generation Dispatch ID	Base Case Loading of 387 Line (% of normal rating)			
	NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line
2	<b>105%</b>	<b>125%</b>	89%	<b>109%</b>
3	76%	95%	60%	79%
4	60%	79%	44%	63%
5	<b>103%</b>	<b>123%</b>	87%	<b>106%</b>

As indicated in the table, the 387 line is overloaded in the base case for six of the sixteen base case conditions studied.

Base case overloads for all circuits in the study system are summarized in the table below.

From bus	To bus	CKT	NE-NY 700 MW						NY-NE 700 MW				
			NHHS On			NHHS Off			NHHS On		NHHS Off		
			10B	11B	13B	14B	15B	17B	18B	19B	22B	23B	25B
73107	SCOVL RK 345	73663 E. SHORE 345 1	105.4		103.1	124.8		122.5			108.5		106.2
73169	RYTN J A 115	73172 NORWALK 115 1	111.9	107.2		114.6	109.2		113.4	108.8	116.3	111.2	
73172	NORWALK 115	73207 FLAX HIL 115 1	120.2	115.7		122.8	117.6		121.7	117.2	124.5	119.6	
73207	FLAX HIL 115	73271 RYTN J B 115 1				102.5			101.1		104.1		

As the table indicates, in addition to the 387 line there are three 115 kV transmission lines that experience base case overloads.

### 4.2. Post-Contingency Results

#### 4.2.1. Table of Results

A summary of the overload results is shown in Table 1. The values shown are the percentage overload over the long-time emergency rating. If a table entry is blank, there is no overload. More detailed results are provided in Appendix E.

Any transmission line or transformer in the study area at 115 kV or above that experiences a post-contingency overload in this study is listed in the first column of Table 1.

The second column is the maximum overload over all of the cases examined.

The remaining columns, one for each of the generation dispatches studied, show the **maximum overload of the branch in %** (considering all contingencies) for each dispatch. If the entry is shaded, this is the dispatch for which the maximum overload occurs. If multiple entries are shaded, then each of them is at or very near the maximum overload. If a Table 1 entry is blank, then the branch is not overloaded for that dispatch. To find more detail, for example which contingency causes the overload, and whether other contingencies overload the branch, the reader should refer to Appendix E.

#### 4.2.2. Discussion of Results

There are four existing 345 kV lines that experience post-contingency overloads (the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line also experiences base case overloads, as discussed above) as well as one new circuit in the transmission alternative under study. These are summarized in the table below:

<b>Maximum Post-Contingency Overloads 345 kV Transmission</b>		
<b>Line Name</b>	<b>Contingency Rating</b>	<b>Max Overload</b>
Frost Bridge to Southington (existing O/H Line)	1446 MVA	20.5%
Southington to Meriden (existing O/H Line)	1912 MVA	15.1%
Scovill Rock to East Shore (existing O/H Line)	1604 MVA	34.3%
Haddam Auto to Millstone (existing O/H Line)	1524 MVA	5.5%
Norwalk to Singer (underground cable in transmission alternative in this study)	794 MVA	1.8%

One 345/115kV transformer at Southington experiences post-contingency overloads in almost all of the dispatches studied, with the maximum overload being 35.8 percent.

Thirty-one 115 kV transmission lines indicate post-contingency overloads for at least one generation dispatch.

Finally, for dispatches 21B and 25B the transformers associated with the Connecticut to Long Island AC cables (1385 line) are overloaded by up to 24%, which occurs when New York is exporting power to New England.

A general review of Table 1 indicates, for the most part, more overloads and overloads of higher severity for some circuits, when New England is exporting 700 MW to New York as opposed to the reverse transfer of 700 MW from New York to New England.

**Table 1**

**Highest Overload: 27.7 GW NE Load, Dispatches 10B - 25B, Existing 387 Line**

345 kV Line					Max Ovid.	NE-NY 700 MW								NY-NE 700 MW										
345/115 kV Autotransformer						NHHS On				NHHS Off				NHHS On				NHHS Off						
CT-LI cable (line 1385) transformer					10B	11B	12B	13B	14B	15B	16B	17B	18B	19B	20B	21B	22B	23B	24B	25B				
115 kV Line																								
73104	FRSTBDGE	345	73106	SOUTHGTN	345	1	20.5	2.5			4.2	19.7			20.5									
73106	SOUTHGTN	345	73122	MERID362	345	1	15.1				15.1				14.4									
73106	SOUTHGTN	345	73154	SGTN B	115	2	35.8	27.8	19.1	14.9	29.9	33.6	24.1	20	35.8	9.3	0.6		11	14.7	5.7	1.6	16.5	
73107	SCOVLRK	345	73663	E.SHORE	345	1	34.3	15.4			14.7	34.3	6		34.3					13.1			12.4	
73121	HADAUTO	345	73110	MILLSTNE	345	1	5.5					3.5			5.5									
73162	WATERSDE	115	73163	COS COB	115	1	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	
73162	WATERSDE	115	73168	GLNBROOK	115	1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	
73164	BALDWNJA	115	73187	STEVENS	115	1	4.5					4.5								2.2				
73164	BALDWNJA	115	73202	FROST BR	115	1	14.5					14.5			9.5					10.9				
73166	NORHR138	138	73171	NWLK HAR	115	1	24.1												17.8				24.1	
73167	SO.END	115	73294	GLNBRK J	115	1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	
73168	GLNBROOK	115	73169	RYTN J A	115	1	3.4				1.1				2.2								3.4	
73168	GLNBROOK	115	73237	ELYAVE	115	1	54.7				53.2			51.1	53.7			51.6	54.2			51.9	54.7	
73168	GLNBROOK	115	73271	RYTN J B	115	1	49.1	18.5	15.1	37.3	45.8	20.4	16.7	38.7	47.5	20.1	16.6	39	47.4	21.9	18.2	40.4	49.1	
73169	RYTN J A	115	73171	NWLK HAR	115	1	45.2				44	42.8			44.1	42.7			45.2	43.8			44.5	43.9
73169	RYTN J A	115	73172	NORWALK	115	1	85.5	80.7	75.5			83.6	77.5			82.3	77.1			85.5	79.8			
73170	PLUMTREE	115	73176	TRIANGLE	115	1	89.2	77	75	73.4	75.8	88.5	86.3	74.6	87.7	78.2	75.1	71.8	75.4	89.2	76.8	72.8	85.4	
73170	PLUMTREE	115	73176	TRIANGLE	115	2	35.4	35.1	35	34.8	35	35.3	35.3	35	35.2	35.3	34.9	34.5	34.8	35.4	35.2	34.7	35	
73170	PLUMTREE	115	73268	MIDDLRIV	115	1	188.0	184.1	183.6	183.2	183.7	187.8	187.2	183.6	187.5	184.5	183.5	182.5	183.5	188	184.1	182.9	187.2	
73171	NWLK HAR	115	73237	ELYAVE	115	1	60.7				49.1	56.4			50.5	57.8			51.9	59.1			52.7	60.7
73171	NWLK HAR	115	73271	RYTN J B	115	1	4.5				4.3	4.2			4.2	4.5			4.3	4.2			4.2	4.4
73172	NORWALK	115	73207	FLAX HIL	115	1	100.0	95.1	89.9			98	92			96.8	91.6			100	94.3			
73183	SHAWSHIL	115	73185	BUNKER H	115	1	13.4	5.5			5.7	13.2			13.4	2.7			2.9	10.2			10.4	
73188	BCNFL PF	115	73192	DRBY J B	115	1	33.4	29.9	27.6	26.5	32.1	31.4	28.1	27	33.3	29.9	27.6	26.5	32.1	31.3	28.1	27	33.4	
73196	GLEN JCT	115	73198	SOUTHGTN	115	1	31.3	2.5			2	31.3			29.8					11			10.3	
73196	GLEN JCT	115	73675	MIX AVE	115	1	2.2					2.2												
73198	SOUTHGTN	115	73631	WNGF PF	115	1	11.5					11.5			10									
73207	FLAX HIL	115	73271	RYTN J B	115	1	82.7	77.7	72.4			80.7	74.6			79.3	74.1			82.7	76.8			
73224	TRMB J A	115	73700	PEQUONIC	115	1	2.3				2.3							0.8						
73228	BALDWNJB	115	73185	BUNKER H	115	1	6.0					6			3.7									
73230	HADDAM	115	73231	BOKUM	115	1	27.4	13.1			12.9	27.3	3.3		27.4	4.9			4.8	19			19	
73230	HADDAM	115	73231	BOKUM	115	2	10.7					10.7			10.7					3.4			3.4	
73231	BOKUM	115	73265	GREEN HL	115	1	2.8					2.1			2.8									
73268	MIDDLRIV	115	73176	TRIANGLE	115	1	126.5	123	122.6	122.3	122.8	126.3	125.8	122.6	126.1	123.4	122.6	121.9	122.6	126.5	123	122.1	125.9	
73317	NORSING2	345	73315	SINGNOR2	345	1	1.8																	
73669	GRAND AV	115	73681	WEST RIV	115	1	2.8													0.6				
73669	GRAND AV	115	73681	WEST RIV	115	2	2.8													0.6				
73701	CRRA JCT	115	73703	ASHCREEK	115	1	0.9	0.4	0.9			0	0.5				0.4				0.2			
75053	NRTHPT P	138	75051	NRTHPT1	138	1	12.9												7.1				12.9	

## 5. Transmission Voltage Results

### 5.1. Tables of Results

A summary of the most severe 115 kV low voltage violations is provided in Table 2 (shown later in this report) for all contingencies except SCOVK8TSTK.

Low voltage violations in the 345 kV system for the SCOVK8TSTK contingency are provided in the table below:

345 kV Bus Voltage Violations Contingency SCOVK8TSTK		
	Dispatch	
Bus Name	14B	17B
DEVON	0.063	0.056
E. SHORE	0.073	0.067
FRSTBDGE	0.047	0.047
HADAUTO	0.009	0.011
LONG MTN	0.040	0.036
MERID362	0.019	0.022
NORWALK	0.061	0.050
PLUMTREE	0.062	0.052
SINGER	0.062	0.053
SOUTHGTN	0.032	0.034
Values are violation below the 0.95 low voltage limit for 345 kV buses		

More detailed results on the voltage analysis are provided in Appendix F. Since violations of high voltage limits were minor, they are not included in Table 2 but are included in Appendix F.

The values in Tables 2 indicate the amount, in per-unit, that the bus voltage is below the low voltage criteria. More detailed information on the results of the voltage analysis may be found in tables in Appendix F, *including explanations on interpreting values in the tables.*

### 5.2. Discussion of Results

Focusing on the results in Table 2, all low voltages for contingencies not involving loss of the 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV) are for 115 kV buses. The worst voltages are for conditions when NHHS is off-line (shaded area in Table 2). However, most buses that experience post-contingency under-voltages with NHHS off-line also experience under-voltages for other dispatch conditions.

In addition to the SCOVK8TSTK contingency, there are two other contingencies involving loss of the 387 line that result in low voltages:

- 387LINE: This contingency trips the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line including the Cross Sound Cable.

- 1460-387DCT: This is a contingency tripping the East Shore to Branford Railroad 115 kV line as well as the 387 line including the Cross Sound Cable.

The SCOVK8TSTK contingency, which trips the Scovill Rock to Haddam Neck 345 kV line, and the Scovill Rock to East Shore 345 kV line, including the Cross Sound Cable to Long Island, is the most severe of these in terms of voltage performance. This contingency results in widespread low voltages in the 345 kV system for two SWCT dispatches when (1) NHHS is off-line and (2) there is a NE-NY transfer of 700 MW. It is notable that the pre-contingency loading on the 387 line for these two conditions is the highest of all the conditions studied in the report, making loss of it a more severe condition. There were many low 115 kV voltages as well, which are not shown above, but are contained in Appendix F. In addition to the 345 kV and 115 kV low voltages, the power flow program was not able to converge to its normal tolerance, which can be an indication of voltage instability.

**TABLE 2**  
**Worst 115 kV Bus Low Voltage Violations, Dispatches 10B - 25B, Existing 387 Line**  
**(excludes contingency SCOVK8TSTK)**

	NE-NY 700 MW									NY-NE 700 MW							
	Worst Viol	NHHS On				NHHS Off				NHHS On				NHHS Off			
		10B	11B	12B	13B	14B	15B	16B	17B	18B	19B	20B	21B	22B	23B	24B	25B
BALDWINB	0.047	0.024	0.016	0.016	0.036		0.017	0.017	0.047	0.023	0.015	0.015	0.035	0.029	0.016	0.015	0.042
BCNFL PF	0.012				0.007								0.005				0.012
BUNKER H	0.047	0.023	0.016	0.016	0.036	0.03	0.016	0.016	0.047	0.023	0.015	0.015	0.034	0.028	0.016	0.015	0.042
CONGRESS	0.023								0.023								0.013
ELMWST A	0.027				0.005		0.001						0.003	0.019			0.027
ELMWST B	0.030		0.001		0.007		0.003	0					0.005	0.021			0.03
FREIGHT	0.048	0.025	0.017	0.017	0.037	0.031	0.018	0.018	0.048	0.024	0.016	0.016	0.036	0.03	0.017	0.017	0.043
SO. NAUG	0.011				0.006								0.005				0.011

Note: No 345 kV voltage violations were found for contingencies not involving loss of the 387 line.

## 6. References

1. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, New Haven Harbor Station On-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-1 Revised, dated January 28, 2004.
2. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, New Haven Harbor Station Off-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-2, dated January 28, 2004.
3. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Re-conducted, New Haven Harbor Station On-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-3 dated January 28, 2004
4. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Re-conducted, New Haven Harbor Station Off-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-4 dated January 28, 2004
5. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Re-conducted, NE-NY ± 700 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-6 dated February 16, 2004.



# PowerGEM

Power Grid Engineering & Markets

Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion  
East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative :  
Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load,  
387 Line Reconductored, NE-NY  $\pm 700$  MW

**Prepared for:**

**The United Illuminating Company**

**and**

**Northeast Utilities**

Prepared by:

Johnny R. Willis  
PowerGEM  
jwillis@power-gem.com

PowerGEM Report 10021.001-6  
February 16, 2004







# PowerGEM

## Power Grid Engineering & Markets

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. DATABASE .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1. POWER FLOW BASE CASES .....	6
2.2. CONTINGENCY FILE.....	7
<b>3. METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS FILES.....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1. SOFTWARE .....	8
3.2. PERFORMANCE CRITERIA .....	8
3.3. SOLUTION OPTIONS .....	8
<b>4. TRANSMISSION LOADING RESULTS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1. BASE CASE RESULTS.....	9
4.2. POST-CONTINGENCY RESULTS.....	9
4.2.1. <i>Table of Results</i> .....	9
4.2.2. <i>Discussion of Results</i> .....	10
<b>5. TRANSMISSION VOLTAGE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1. TABLES OF RESULTS.....	12
5.2. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS.....	12
<b>6. REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>15</b>

## Executive Summary

This report summarizes power flow analysis conducted for The United Illuminating Company (UI) and Northeast Utilities (NU) for one option for expanding the New England 345 kV transmission system into southwest Connecticut (SWCT). The transmission option examined, called “East Shore 27-OH/UG” in this report, is for a 345 kV transmission path from East Shore to Norwalk substations, with interconnecting substations between these stations.<sup>1</sup> The “East Shore 27-OH/UG” transmission option, which consists primarily of underground cables with one section of overhead line, is described as follows:

From	To	Distance	Transmission
East Shore	Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	7 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, three parallel cables
Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	East Devon	9.4 miles	345 kV overhead bundled 1590 ACSR conductor, single circuit
East Devon	Singer	8 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables
Singer	Norwalk	15 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables

In addition to the above transmission alternative, the study assumes re-conductoring of the limiting portion of the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line (387 line) from a single 2156 ACSR conductor to a bundled 2 x 954 ACSR conductor. This raises the normal rating of the line from 1240 MVA to 1488 MVA, and the long-time emergency rating from 1604 MVA to 1912 MVA. It also results in a reduction in the impedance of the line of about 9%.

The focus of this report is to examine the effect of ±700 MW transfers between New England (NE) and New York (NY), as well as the status of generation at the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) for a 27.7 GW New England load level. When NHHS is off-line, the generation deficiency is made up by the Kleen Energy generation project, which is expected to be connected to the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line very near the Scovill Rock Substation.

Companion reports (References 1 thru 4) summarize similar analyses with zero power transfer from New England to New York. Reference 5 is a study similar to this study except that the existing 387 line conductor is modeled.

---

<sup>1</sup> A planned 345 kV transmission expansion from the Plumtree to Norwalk substations, which is called Bethel to Norwalk, is assumed to be in-service in this analysis.

In addition to the variations in NE-NY transfer and the status of NHHS generation, power flow analysis was conducted with four southwest Connecticut generation dispatches. Loading and voltage performance of the Connecticut system was monitored for the 115 kV and 345 kV transmission systems. In total, this results in sixteen dispatch conditions studied.

The loading analysis found that the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line is above normal rating in two base cases in the range of 5% to 7%. Several 115 kV lines were also above normal rating in at least one base case dispatch.

The table below summarizes the number of overloaded lines in the study area for the various conditions analyzed:

	Number of Overloaded Branches					
	NE-NY 0 MW (Note 1)		NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off
SWCT Dispatch ID	2C-5C	6C-9C	10C-13C	14C-17C	18C-21C	22C-25C
<b>345 kV Line</b>	0	3	2	5	0	0
<b>115 kV Line</b>	23	25	24	28	23	23
<b>345/115 AutoTrans</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1
<b>138 kV CT-LI Tie (2)</b>	0	0	0	0	2	2

(1) Based on the results of studies in References 3 and 4.

(2) Overloads are of transformers at each end of the cable (line 1385).

A review of the table indicates that NHHS off and NE-NY 700 MW results in the most overloads.

The table below summarizes the number of bus voltage violations in the study area for the various conditions analyzed:

	Number of Bus Voltage Violations					
	NE-NY 0 MW (Note1)		NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off	NHHS On	NHHS Off
SWCT Dispatch ID	2C-5C	6C-9C	10C-13C	14C-17C	18C-21C	22C-25C
<b>345 kV Violations</b>						
<b>115 kV Violations</b>	9	11	9	7	9	10

(1) Based on the results of studies in References 3 and 4.

There are three contingencies that trip the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line (387 line), with the most severe being a stuck breaker contingency that trips the Scovill Rock to Haddam Neck and Scovill Rock to East Shore 345 kV lines including the Cross-Sound Cable (SCOVrk8TSTK). Though not converged to normal mismatch criteria, results indicate that for some dispatch and transfer conditions loss of the 387 line results in widespread 345 kV and 115 kV low voltage violations in the study area.

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes power flow analysis conducted for The United Illuminating Company (UI) and Northeast Utilities (NU) for one option for expanding the New England 345 kV transmission system into southwest Connecticut (SWCT). The transmission option examined, called “East Shore 27-OH/UG” in this report, is for a 345 kV transmission path from East Shore to Norwalk substations, with interconnecting substations between these stations.<sup>2</sup> The “East Shore 27-OH/UG” transmission option, which consists primarily of underground cables with one section of overhead line, is described as follows:

From	To	Distance	Transmission
East Shore	Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	7 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, three parallel cables
Orange (cable to overhead line transition station)	East Devon	9.4 miles	345 kV overhead bundled 1590 ACSR conductor, single circuit
East Devon	Singer	8 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables
Singer	Norwalk	15 miles	345 kV underground, 2500 kcmil HPFF, two parallel cables

In addition to the above transmission alternative, the study assumes re-conductoring of the limiting portion of the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line (387 line) from a single 2156 ACSR conductor to a bundled 2 x 954 ACSR conductor. This raises the normal rating of the line from 1240 MVA to 1488 MVA, and the long-time emergency rating from 1604 MVA to 1912 MVA. It also results in a reduction in the impedance of the line of about 9%.

The focus of this report is to examine the effect of ±700 MW transfers between New England (NE) and New York (NY), as well as the status of generation at the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) for a 27.7 GW New England load level. When NHHS is off-line, the generation deficiency is made up by the Kleen Energy generation project, which is expected to be connected to the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line very near the Scovill Rock Substation.

Companion reports (References 1 thru 4) summarize similar analyses with zero power transfer from New England to New York. Reference 5 is a study similar to this one except that the existing 387 line conductor is modeled.

---

<sup>2</sup> A planned 345 kV transmission expansion from Plumtree to Norwalk substations, which is called Bethel to Norwalk, is assumed to be in-service in this analysis.

The objective of this study is to analyze and document the performance of this transmission configuration for steady-state base case and post-contingency transmission power flows and voltages. Performance is examined for a 27.7 GW New England load level.

The following Appendices are included in this report:

<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>“East Shore 27-OH/UG” Transmission Modeling Data</b>
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>Power Flow Base Case One-Line Diagrams</b>
<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>Contingency File</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>Generation Dispatches</b>
<b>Appendix E</b>	<b>Summary of Overloads</b>
<b>Appendix F</b>	<b>Summary of Voltage Violations</b>

## 2. Database

This section discusses the data developed and used in the study.

### 2.1. Power Flow Base Cases

Four power flow base cases, which included the approved Bethel to Norwalk 345-kV project in service, were utilized. PowerGEM revised each of the four cases to add the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” transmission project. Details regarding the modeling of these circuits are provided in Appendix A. In addition the 345/115 kV autotransformers were removed from the 387 line path (currently tripping of the 387 line also trips these transformers, which are in series with the line).

Each of the four base cases provided had different Connecticut generation dispatches (designated dispatches 2, 3, 4, and 5). For each of these cases other base case variations were prepared: a) 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station generator on-line or off-line and b) NE-NY ±700 MW transfers.

Further, the impedance and ratings of the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line (387 line) were revised to reflect re-conductoring. The existing line has a portion with a single 2156 ACSR conductor (Black Pond Junction to Scovill Rock Switching station) and a portion with 2x 954 bundled ACSR conductor (Black Pond Junction to East Shore Substation). The data used in this analysis for re-conductoring assumed that the 2156 ACSR conductor is replaced by 2 x 954 conductor ACSR. The data is given below.

East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV Line Modeling Data						
	Impedances (p.u)			Ratings MVA		
	R	X	B	Normal	LTE	STE
Existing Line	0.00137	0.01767	0.26688	1240	1604	1966
Re-conductored Line	0.00136	0.01618	0.28561	1488	1912	2098

The re-conductoring results in about a 9% decrease in the line impedance, and about a 20% increase in the line loading capability.

For all of these cases, when the 447 MW New Haven Harbor Station (NHHS) was turned off it was replaced by generation from the Kleen Energy project. Both generators are outside the SWCT interface. Appendix D contains a list of the on-line generation. Significant changes to the four SWCT dispatch cases (2, 3, 4, and 5) were restricted to New England generation.

Thus a total of sixteen base cases were developed for the analysis in this report. To help organize the work and clearly identify the results, case or “dispatch” identifiers were assigned as summarized in the table below.

SWCT Generation Dispatch ID	Case or “Dispatch” Identifier			
	NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line
2	10C	14C	18C	22C
3	11C	15C	19C	23C
4	12C	16C	20C	24C
5	13C	17C	21C	25C

The “C” in the identifier signifies that the modeling of the 387 line assumes that the line has been reconductored, as discussed above.

A one-line diagram showing power flows and voltages on the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” (and Bethel to Norwalk) transmission for each of the sixteen base cases is included in Appendix B. Also, the generation dispatch changes to create the New York to New England and New England to New York power transfers is included in Appendix B.

## 2.2. Contingency File

A contingency file was modified as appropriate for this study, including those contingencies required to model the Kleen Energy project on the Scovill Rock to Manchester 345 kV line, and is contained in Appendix C.

- Loss of multiple 345 kV underground cables on the “East Shore 27-OH/UG” configuration between Orange and East Shore, or loss of parallel cables between Devon and Norwalk, is not considered. (Simultaneous loss of one cable from Devon to Singer and Singer to Norwalk is included as a contingency).
- For loss of the Orange to East Devon 345 kV overhead line, all three 345 kV underground cables from Orange to East Shore were opened.



### **3. Methodology and Results Files**

This section describes the technical approach to the study, performance criteria, solution assumptions, and the format of the results.

#### **3.1. Software**

Set up of the power flow base cases used PTI's PSS/E software (Rev. 28). Base case and contingency analysis was conducted using PTI's MUST software (Rev. 5). Results from the MUST program are stored in Excel spreadsheets.

#### **3.2. Performance Criteria**

The criteria for checking overload and voltage performance were as follows:

- Buses and transmission branches in Connecticut 115 kV and above were monitored.
- For base case loading performance, transmission lines and transformers were checked against 100% of their normal ratings.
- For post-contingency loading performance, overloads of transmission lines and transformers were checked against 100% of the long-time emergency ratings.
- Buses 230 kV and above were checked for voltages less than 95% and greater than 105%. Buses in the 115 kV system were checked for voltages less than 90% and more than 105%.

#### **3.3. Solution Options**

For the analysis, tap-changing transformer and phase-shifting transformer adjustments were held fixed. For contingencies involving loss of generation/load the imbalance was made up by the system swing generator located outside New England.

## 4. Transmission Loading Results

The results of the analysis for transmission system loading violations, for both the base case and contingency conditions, are provided below.

### 4.1. Base Case Results

Loading on the 387 line for base case conditions is an important consideration for this transmission alternative, and is summarized in the following table. One-lines showing the flows on this line for each case are in Appendix B.

SWCT Generation Dispatch ID	Base Case Loading of 387 Line (% of normal rating)			
	NE-NY 700 MW		NY-NE 700 MW	
	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line	NHHS On-line	NHHS Off-Line
2	91%	<b>107%</b>	77%	93%
3	66%	82%	52%	68%
4	52%	68%	38%	54%
5	89%	<b>105%</b>	75%	91%

As indicated in the table, the 387 line is overloaded in the base case for two of the sixteen base case conditions studied.

Base case overloads for all circuits in the study system are summarized in the table below.

From Bus	To Bus	Ckt	NE-NY 700 MW					NY-NE 700 MW				
			NHHS On		NHHS Off			NHHS On		NHHS Off		
			10C	11C	14C	15C	17C	18C	19C	22C	23C	
73107	SCOVL RK 345	73663 E.SHORE 345 1			107.3			105.3				
73169	RYTN J A 115	73172 NORWALK 115 1	111.4	106.8	114.0	108.9		113.8	109.1	116.9	111.6	
73172	NORWALK 115	73207 FLAX HIL 115 1	119.8	115.2	122.3	117.3		122.2	117.6	125.2	120.0	
73207	FLAX HIL 115	73271 RYTN J B 115 1			101.9			101.5		104.8		

As the table indicates, in addition to the 387 line there are three 115 kV transmission lines that experience base case overloads.

### 4.2. Post-Contingency Results

#### 4.2.1. Table of Results

A summary of the overload results is shown in Table 1. The values shown are the percentage overload over the long-time emergency rating. If a table entry is blank, there is no overload. More detailed results are provided in Appendix E.

Any transmission line or transformer in the study area at 115 kV or above that experiences a post-contingency overload in this study is listed in the first column of Table 1.

The second column is the maximum overload over all of the cases examined.

The remaining columns, one for each of the generation dispatches studied, show the **maximum overload of the branch in %** (considering all contingencies) for each dispatch. If the entry is shaded, this is the dispatch for which the maximum overload occurs. If multiple entries are shaded, then each of them is at or very near the maximum overload. If a Table 1 entry is blank, then the branch is not overloaded for that dispatch. To find more detail, for example which contingency causes the overload, and whether other contingencies overload the branch, the reader should refer to Appendix E.

#### 4.2.2. Discussion of Results

There are five existing 345 kV lines that experience post-contingency overloads (the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV also experiences base case overloads, as discussed above) as well as one new circuit in the transmission alternative under study. These are summarized in the table below:

<b>Maximum Post-Contingency Overloads 345 kV Transmission</b>		
<b>Line Name</b>	<b>Contingency Rating</b>	<b>Max Overload</b>
Frost Bridge to Southington (existing O/H Line)	1446 MVA	20.4%
Southington to Meriden (existing O/H Line)	1912 MVA	14.5%
Scovill Rock to Kleen Energy and Manchester (existing O/H Line)	1446 MVA	0.3%
Scovill Rock to East Shore (reconductored O/H Line)	1912 MVA	15.0%
Haddam Auto to Millstone (existing O/H Line)	1524 MVA	3.4%
Norwalk to Singer (underground cable in transmission alternative in this study)	794 MVA	3.8%

One 345/115kV transformer at Southington experiences post-contingency overloads in almost all of the dispatches studied, with the maximum overload being 33.5 percent.

Thirty-one 115 kV transmission lines indicate post-contingency overloads for at least one generation dispatch.

Finally, for dispatches 21C and 25C the transformers associated with the Connecticut to Long Island AC cables (1385 line) are overloaded by up to 23% which occurs when New York is exporting power to New England.

A general review of Table 1 indicates, for the most part, more overloads and overloads of higher severity for some circuits, when New England is exporting 700 MW to New York as opposed to the reverse transfer of 700 MW from New York to New England.

Table 1

Highest Overload: 27.7 GW NE Load, Dispatches 10C - 25C, Reconductored 387 Line

345 kV Line			NE-NY 700 MW																NY-NE 700 MW							
345/115 kV Autotransformer			NHHS On				NHHS Off				NHHS On				NHHS Off											
CT-LI cable (line 1385) transformer			10C	11C	12C	13C	14C	15C	16C	17C	18C	19C	20C	21C	22C	23C	24C	25C								
115 kV Line			Max Ovld																							
73104	FRSTBDGE	345 73106 SOUTHGTN 345 1	20.4	2.4			4.2	19.7			20.4															
73106	SOUTHGTN	345 73122 MERID362 345 1	14.5					14.5			14.2															
73106	SOUTHGTN	345 73154 SGTN B 115 2	33.5	26.0	17.8	13.7	28.0	31.3	22.5	18.6	33.5	7.8		9.6	12.9	4.4	0.5	14.6								
73107	SCOVL RK	345 73114 KLEEN 345 1	0.3					0.3			0.1															
73107	SCOVL RK	345 73663 E.SHORE 345 1	15.0					14.9			15.0															
73121	HADAUTO	345 73110 MILLSTNE 345 1	3.4					1.6			3.4															
73162	WATERSDE	115 73163 COS COB 115 1	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8	24.8								
73162	WATERSDE	115 73168 GLNBROOK 115 1	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5								
73164	BALDWNJA	115 73187 STEVENSN 115 1	4.3					4.3																		
73164	BALDWNJA	115 73202 FROST BR 115 1	14.3					14.3			9.1				7.6											
73166	NORHR138	138 73171 NWLK HAR 115 1	22.5										16.0					22.5								
73167	SO.END	115 73294 GLNBK J 115 1	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2	23.2								
73168	GLNBROOK	115 73169 RYTN J A 115 1	3.4				1.2				2.4			2.1				3.4								
73168	GLNBROOK	115 73237 ELYAVE 115 1	54.7			50.4	53.5			50.9	54.0			51.4	53.8			51.7	54.7							
73168	GLNBROOK	115 73271 RYTN J B 115 1	49.0	18.5	15.1	37.3	45.8	20.4	16.7	38.7	47.5	20.0	16.6	39.0	47.4	21.9	18.2	40.4	49.0							
73169	RYTN J A	115 73171 NWLK HAR 115 1	44.6			43.3	43.7			43.3	43.8			44.6	42.7			43.8	43.9							
73169	RYTN J A	115 73172 NORWALK 115 1	86.5	80.0	74.7			82.8	77.0			82.9	77.6			86.5	80.5									
73170	PLUMTREE	115 73176 TRIANGLE 115 1	88.7	76.7	74.8	73.4	75.6	88.0	86.1	74.5	87.3	78.0	75.0	71.8	75.2	88.7	76.6	72.7	85.1							
73170	PLUMTREE	115 73176 TRIANGLE 115 2	35.3	35.1	35.0	34.8	34.9	35.2	35.2	35.0	35.1	35.2	34.9	34.5	34.8	35.3	35.2	34.7	34.9							
73170	PLUMTREE	115 73268 MIDDLELRV 115 1	187.8	184.0	183.6	183.2	183.7	187.6	187.1	183.6	187.3	184.4	183.5	182.5	183.4	187.8	184.1	182.8	187.0							
73171	NWLK HAR	115 73237 ELYAVE 115 1	60.7			48.5	57.2			49.8	58.8			51.4	58.1			52.1	60.7							
73171	NWLK HAR	115 73271 RYTN J B 115 1	4.4			4.3	4.2			4.2	4.4			4.3	4.2			4.2	4.4							
73172	NORWALK	115 73207 FLAX HIL 115 1	101.0	94.4	89.2			97.2	91.5			97.5	92.1			101.0	95.0									
73183	SHAWSHIL	115 73185 BUNKER H 115 1	11.5	4.0			4.2	11.3			11.5	1.5			1.8	8.7			8.8							
73188	BCNFL PF	115 73192 DRBY J B 115 1	33.4	29.8	27.5	26.4	32.0	31.2	28.0	26.9	33.2	29.8	27.6	26.5	32.0	30.9	28.1	26.9	33.4							
73196	GLEN JCT	115 73198 SOUTHGTN 115 1	31.1	2.3			1.8	31.1			29.3					11.0			10.1							
73196	GLEN JCT	115 73675 MIX AVE 115 1	2.1					2.1																		
73198	SOUTHGTN	115 73631 WLNGF PF 115 1	10.4					10.4			9.4															
73207	FLAX HIL	115 73271 RYTN J B 115 1	83.7	77.0	71.7			79.9	74.1			80.0	74.6			83.7	77.5									
73224	TRMB J A	115 73700 PEQUONIC 115 1	3.0			3.0								1.4												
73228	BALDWNJB	115 73185 BUNKER H 115 1	5.5					5.5			3.2															
73230	HADDAM	115 73231 BOKUM 115 1	25.2	11.3			11.1	25.1	1.7		25.2	3.4			3.4	17.2			17.1							
73230	HADDAM	115 73231 BOKUM 115 2	8.8					8.7			8.8					1.8			1.8							
73231	BOKUM	115 73265 GREEN HL 115 1	2.7					2.7			2.3															
73268	MIDDLELRV	115 73176 TRIANGLE 115 1	126.4	123.0	122.6	122.3	122.7	126.2	125.8	122.5	126.0	123.3	122.6	121.8	122.6	126.4	123.0	122.1	125.8							
73317	NORSING2	345 73315 SINGNOR2 345 1	3.8		3.8																					
73669	GRAND AV	115 73681 WEST RIV 115 1	3.8				3.8							1.5												
73669	GRAND AV	115 73681 WEST RIV 115 2	3.8				3.8							1.5												
73701	CRRA JCT	115 73703 ASHCREEK 115 1	0.6	0.1	0.6				0.3			0.1	0.6			0.2	0.5									
75053	NRTHPT P	138 75051 NRTHPRT1 138 1	11.4											5.3					11.4							

## 5. Transmission Voltage Results

### 5.1. Tables of Results

A summary of the most severe 115 kV low voltage violations is provided in Table 2 (shown later in this report) for all contingencies except SCOVK8TSTK.

Low voltage violations in the 345 kV system for the SCOVK8TSTK contingency are provided in the table below.

345 kV Bus Voltage Violations		
Contingency SCOVK8TSTK		
	Dispatch	
	14C	17C
DEVON	0.074	0.057
E. SHORE	0.085	0.069
FRSTBDGE	0.055	0.048
HADAUTO	0.015	0.012
HADDM NK	0.001	
LONG MTN	0.047	0.037
MERID362	0.026	0.023
NORWALK	0.071	0.051
PLUMTREE	0.071	0.053
SINGER	0.073	0.054
SOUTHGTN	0.039	0.035
Values are violation below		
the 0.95 low voltage limit for 345 kV buses		

More detailed results on the voltage analysis are provided in Appendix F. Since violations of high voltage limits were minor, they are not included in Table 2 but are included in Appendix F.

The values in the table above and Table 2 indicate the amount, in per-unit, that the bus voltage is below the low voltage criteria. More detailed information on the results of the voltage analysis may be found in tables in Appendix F, *including explanations on interpreting values in the tables.*

### 5.2. Discussion of Results

Focusing on the results in Table 2, all low voltages for contingencies not involving loss of the 387 line (East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV) are for 115 kV buses. The worst voltages are for conditions when NHHS is off-line (shaded area in Table 2). However, most buses that experience post-contingency under-voltages with NHHS off-line also experience under-voltages for other dispatch conditions.

In addition to the SCOVK8TSTK contingency, there are two other contingencies involving loss of the 387 line that result in low voltages:

- 387LINE: This contingency trips the East Shore to Scovill Rock 345 kV line including the Cross Sound Cable.
- 1460-387DCT: This is a contingency tripping the East Shore to Branford Railroad 115 kV line as well as the 387 line including the Cross Sound Cable.

The SCOVK8TSTK contingency, which trips the Scovill Rock to Haddam Neck 345 kV line, and the Scovill Rock to East Shore 345 kV line, including the Cross Sound Cable to Long Island, is the most severe of these in terms of voltage performance. This contingency results in widespread low voltages in the 345 kV system for two SWCT dispatches when (1) NHHS is off-line and (2) there is a NE-NY transfer of 700 MW. It is notable that the pre-contingency loading on the 387 line for these two conditions is the highest of all the conditions studied in the report, making loss of it a more severe condition. There were many low 115 kV voltages as well, which are not shown above but are contained in Appendix F. In addition to the 345 kV and 115 kV low voltages, the power flow program was not able to converge to its normal tolerance, which can be an indication of voltage instability.

**TABLE 2**  
**Worst 115 kV Bus Low Voltage Violations, Dispatches 10C - 25C, Reconductored 387 Lune**  
**(excludes contingency SCOVK8TSTK)**

115 kV Bus	Worst Viol	NE-NY 700 MW							NY-NE 700 MW							
		NHHS On				NHHS Off			NHHS On				NHHS Off			
		10C	11C	12C	13C	15C	16C	17C	18C	19C	20C	21C	22C	23C	24C	25C
BALDWINB	0.046	0.023	0.016	0.016	0.036	0.016	0.016	0.046	0.023	0.015	0.015	0.034	0.028	0.016	0.015	0.040
BCNFL PF	0.011				0.006							0.005				0.011
BUNKER H	0.045	0.023	0.015	0.016	0.035	0.016	0.016	0.045	0.022	0.015	0.015	0.034	0.027	0.016	0.015	0.040
CONGRESS	0.018							0.018								0.009
ELMWST A	0.023				0.004	0.001						0.003	0.017			0.023
ELMWST B	0.025				0.006	0.003						0.005	0.019			0.025
FREIGHT	0.047	0.025	0.017	0.017	0.037	0.018	0.018	0.047	0.024	0.016	0.016	0.036	0.029	0.017	0.017	0.042
SO.NAUG	0.010				0.006							0.004				0.010

Note: No 345 kV voltage violations were found for contingencies not involving loss of the 387 line.

## 6. References

1. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, New Haven Harbor Station On-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-1 Revised, dated January 28, 2004.
2. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, New Haven Harbor Station Off-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-2, dated January 28, 2004.
3. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Re-conductored, New Haven Harbor Station On-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-3 dated January 28, 2004
4. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, 387 Line Re-conductored, New Haven Harbor Station Off-Line, NE-NY 0 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-4 dated January 28, 2004
5. Southwest Connecticut Transmission Expansion, East Shore to Norwalk 345 KV OH/UG Alternative: Transmission Loading and Voltage Analysis @ 27.7 GW Load, NE-NY ± 700 MW, PowerGEM Report 10021.001-5 dated February 16, 2004.