## CERTIFIED COPY

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
3	
4	PETITION NUMBER 1598
5	Windsor Solar One, LLC Petition for a Declaratory
6	Ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 4-176 and Section 16-50k, for the proposed
7	construction, maintenance and operation of a 3.0-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric
8	generating facility located at 445 River Street, Windsor, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection
9	Interconnection
10	
11	VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE
12	Continued Public Hearing held on Tuesday,
13	April 9, 2024, beginning at 2 p.m., via remote access.
14	
15	
16	Held Before:
17	JOHN MORISSETTE, Presiding Officer
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reporter: Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061
	marior, and more

1	Appearances:
2	
3	Council Members:
4	BRIAN GOLEMBIEWSKI, Designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
5	
6 7	QUAT NGUYEN, Designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
8	ROBERT SILVESTRI CHANCE CARTER
9	THOMAS J. NEAR, Ph.D.
10	Council Staff:
11	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ. Executive Director and Staff Attorney
12	<del>-</del>
13	ROBERT MERCIER Siting Analyst
14 15	DAKOTA LAFOUNTAIN Administrative Support
16	For Petitioner Windsor Solar One, LLC:
17	PULLMAN & COMLEY  90 State Street
18	Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3702 Phone: 860.424.4315
19	BY: LEE D. HOFFMAN, ESQ. lhoffman@pullcom.com
20	
21	For Party Town of Windsor: UPDIKE, KELLY & SPELLACY, P.C.
22	Goodwin Square 225 Asylum Street, 20th Floor
23	Hartford, Connecticut 06103  BY: STEFAN SJOBERG, ESQ.
24	
25	

1	Appearances: (Cont'd)
2	
3	Party:
4	LISA and KEITH BRESS
5	166 Eastwood Circle Windsor, Connecticut 06095
6	Grouped Intervenors:
7	
8	LESLIE D. HARRISON 41 Early Dawn Circle Windsor, Connecticut 06095
9	
10	WILLIAM WILLIAMS JENNIFER WILLIAMS
11	69 Sunrise Circle Windsor, Connecticut 06095
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. MORISSETTE: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This continued evidentiary hearing is called to order this Tuesday, April 9, 2024, at 4 2 p.m. My name is John Morissette, member and presiding officer of the Connecticut Siting Council.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephones now. A copy of the prepared agenda is available on the Council's Petition Number 1598 webpage, along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this public hearing, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures. Other members of the Council are Mr. Silvestri, Mr. Nguyen, Mr. Golembiewski, Dr. Near and Mr. Carter.

Members of the staff are Executive Director Melanie Bachman, Siting Analyst Robert Mercier and administrative support Dakota LaFountain.

This evidentiary session is a continuation of the public hearings held on February 8th and March 19, 2024. It is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon a petition from Windsor Solar One, LLC for a declaratory ruling pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 4-176 and 16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 3.0-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located at 445 River Street in Windsor, Connecticut and the associated electrical interconnection.

Please be advised that the Council does not issue permits for stormwater management. If the proposed project is approved by the Council, a Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, also known as DEEP, Stormwater Permit is independently required. DEEP could hold a public hearing on any Stormwater Permit application.

Please also be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration of property value.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited at the Windsor Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

The Council will take a 10 to 15 minute

break at a convenient juncture at around 3:30 p.m.

Now we will continue with the Town of Windsor's appearance. In accordance with the Council's March 21, 2024 continued evidentiary hearing memo, we will begin with the appearance of the Town of Windsor.

Will the town present its witness panel for the purposes of taking the oath, and we'll have Attorney Bachman administer the oath.

ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette. Can everyone hear me okay?

MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, we can. Thank you.

name is Stefan Sjoberg. I'm an attorney with Updike, Kelly & Spellacy, and I'll be representing the Town of Windsor in these proceedings. Our witness panel today, we have Eric Barz, town planner for the Town of Windsor. We have Todd Sealy, assistant town planner for the Town of Windsor. We have Suzanne Choate, town engineer for the Town of Windsor. Our fourth witness, Gregory Tocci, senior principal consultant with Cavanaugh Tocci Associates, will not be participating for purposes of today's hearing. I

1 offer them to be sworn in at this time. 2 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 3 Sjoberg. 4 Attorney Bachman, please swear in the 5 witnesses. 6 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. 7 Morissette. Can the witnesses please raise their 8 right hand. 9 ERIC BARZ, 10 TODD SEALY, 11 SUZANNE CHOATE, 12 having been first duly sworn by Attorney Bachman, testified on their oaths as follows: 13 14 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you. 15 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 16 Bachman. 17 Attorney Sjoberg, please begin by 18 verifying all the exhibits by the appropriate 19 sworn witnesses. 20 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Thank you, Mr. 21 Morissette. We have reevaluated the town's 22 exhibits previously submitted to the Council in 23 conjunction with the petitioner's Late-Filed 24 exhibits and deemed Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 to no 25 longer be applicable to this petition.

clarification, I'm referring to the example of the proposed berm with the vegetation and the two maps with the property line information. So for purposes of the record, I would ask that Exhibits 3, 4 and 5 to no longer be included.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney Sjoberg. Please continue.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Sure. So I have three exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman III, subsection B. They include Item Number 1, Town of Windsor request for party status, Item Number 2, Town of Windsor responses to petitioner interrogatories, and Item Number 6, Town of Windsor prefile testimony of Eric Barz. I offer them subject to verification by the witness panel.

Mr. Barz, did you prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman III, subsection B, specifically Items 1, 2 and 6?

THE WITNESS (Barz): I did.

ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Do you have any corrections, modifications or amendments to offer to any of those exhibits?

THE WITNESS (Barz): No, I have no

1 modifications. 2 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Is the information 3 contained in those exhibits true and accurate to 4 the best of your knowledge? 5 THE WITNESS (Barz): Yes. 6 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: And do you adopt the 7 information contained in those exhibits as your 8 testimony in this proceeding? THE WITNESS (Barz): I do. 10 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Mr. Sealy, did you 11 prepare or assist in the preparation of exhibits 12 listed in the hearing program under Roman III, 13 subsection B, Items 1 and 2? 14 THE WITNESS (Sealy): I did. 15 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Do you have any 16 corrections, modifications or amendments to offer 17 to any of those exhibits? 18 THE WITNESS (Sealy): I do not. 19 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Is the information 20 contained in those exhibits true and accurate to 21 the best of your knowledge? 22 THE WITNESS (Sealy): They are, yes. 23 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: And do you adopt the information contained in those exhibits as your 24 25 testimony in this proceeding?

1 THE WITNESS (Sealy): I do. 2 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Ms. Choate, did you 3 prepare or assist in the preparation of exhibits 4 listed in the hearing program under Roman III, 5 subsection B, specifically Items 1 and 2? 6 THE WITNESS (Choate): I did. 7 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Do you have any 8 corrections, modifications or amendments to offer to any of those exhibits? 9 10 THE WITNESS (Choate): I do not. 11 Is the information ATTORNEY SJOBERG: 12 contained in those exhibits true and accurate to 13 the best of your knowledge? 14 THE WITNESS (Choate): Yes. 15 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: And do you adopt the 16 information contained in those exhibits as your 17 testimony in these proceedings? 18 THE WITNESS (Choate): Yes. 19 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Mr. Morissette, I 20 offer them as full exhibits. 21 MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney MR. 22 Sjoberg. 23 Does any party or intervenor object to 24 the admission of the Town of Windsor's Exhibits 25 B-1, 2 and 6 and excluding 3, 4 and 5?

1 Attorney Hoffman. 2 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: No objection, sir. 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Lisa 4 Bress? 5 MS. BRESS: No objection. 6 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. And I 7 believe Leslie Harrison is representing the 8 grouped resident intervenors; is that correct? MS. HARRISON: Yes. No objection. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 11 The Exhibits B-1, 2 and 6 are hereby admitted. 12 (Town of Windsor Exhibits III-B-1, 13 III-B-2 and III-B-6: Received in evidence -14 described in index.) 15 MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now begin with 16 the cross-examination of the Town of Windsor by the Council starting with Mr. Mercier followed by 17 18 Mr. Silvestri. 19 Mr. Mercier, good afternoon. 2.0 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 MR. MERCIER: Good afternoon. Thank 22 I just have a couple of questions regarding 23 the proposed site. And as you're aware, the 24 petitioner is proposing to host sheep at the site, 25 most likely from April to October, if the project

```
1
   was approved. If the project was approved, does
2
   the town have any concerns regarding the potential
3
   for sheep grazing at the site?
4
               THE WITNESS (Choate):
5
               ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Did you hear us
6
   okay?
7
               MR. MERCIER: I believe that was "no";
8
   is that correct?
9
               ATTORNEY SJOBERG: That's correct.
10
               MR. MERCIER: Thank you. Also,
11
   regarding the revised landscape plan, although
12
   this information wasn't taken in by the petitioner
13
   yet and sworn to, have you had an opportunity to
14
   review the revised landscape plan that was
15
   submitted by email on April 2nd from the
16
   petitioner?
17
               THE WITNESS (Sealy): (Pause) Oh, yes.
18
   Sorry.
19
               MR. MERCIER: Does the town have any
20
   further comment on the landscape plan that was
21
   submitted on April 2nd?
22
               THE WITNESS (Sealy): I do not, no.
23
               MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no
24
   other questions.
25
               MR. MORISSETTE:
                                Thank you, Mr.
```

1 Mercier. We'll now continue with 2 cross-examination of the Town of Windsor by Mr. 3 Silvestri followed by Mr. Nguyen. 4 Mr. Silvestri, good afternoon. 5 MR. SILVESTRI: Good afternoon, Mr. 6 Morissette. And good afternoon, all. I have a 7 few questions on the segment of River Street that 8 runs north and south. And am I correct that there 9 are no utility poles on that section of the road, 10 only light poles? 11 THE WITNESS (Barz): I believe that is 12 correct. 13 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Thank you. 14 you recall when those light poles were put in and 15 the utilities were run underground? 16 THE WITNESS (Barz): That would have 17 been done when the Strawberry Hills development 18 was built back in the mid-eighties. 19 MR. SILVESTRI: Mid-eighties. Thank 20 And just one last question on that. Was you. 21 there any set reason why that section went 22 underground? 23 THE WITNESS (Barz): It is the standard 24 in the zoning regulations that all the utilities 25 be put underground unless there are engineering

1 challenges that make it impractical. 2 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you 3 for your responses. 4 Mr. Morissette, I'm all set. 5 you. 6 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 7 Silvestri. We'll now continue with 8 cross-examination by Mr. Nguyen followed by Mr. 9 Golembiewski. 10 Good afternoon, Mr. Nguyen. 11 MR. NGUYEN: Good afternoon, Mr. 12 Morissette. I have no questions. Thank you. 13 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Nguyen. 14 We'll now continue with cross-examination by Mr. 15 Golembiewski followed by Dr. Near. 16 Mr. Golembiewski, good afternoon. 17 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Good afternoon, Mr. 18 Morissette. I don't have any questions either. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 20 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Thank you. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now continue 22 with cross-examination by Dr. Near followed by Mr. 23 Carter. 24 Dr. Near, good afternoon. 25 DR. NEAR: Good afternoon, Mr.

Morissette and all. And like my colleague before me, I do not have any questions at this time.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. We'll now continue with cross-examination by Mr. Carter followed by myself.

Mr. Carter, good afternoon.

MR. CARTER: Good afternoon, Mr.

Morissette. And good afternoon, all. I hope you're all enjoying this beautiful weather. I also don't have any questions for the town. So thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. With that,
I do not have any questions either. So we will
continue with the cross-examination of the Town of
Windsor by the petitioner.

Attorney Hoffman, good afternoon.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Good afternoon. I can't believe it, but I think I will have more cross-examination than the Council today.

I would direct the town to its response to Interrogatory Number 12. The last paragraph of that response, which is right above Interrogatory 13, talks about the town staff suggesting that Amazon place the noisy trucks on the opposite -- noisy truck docks, excuse me, on the opposite side

1 of the building. Do you see that? 2 THE WITNESS (Barz): Yes. 3 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Do you know how 4 noisy those trucks are approximately? 5 THE WITNESS (Barz): Not off the top of 6 my head, no. 7 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you. 8 And going to Interrogatory 13, you said that 9 Amazon was held to the requirements set forth in 10 the zoning regulations, but the question was about 11 TCLP testing. Do the town zoning regulations 12 require any TCLP testing for anything? 13 THE WITNESS (Barz): Not that I'm aware 14 of. 15 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you. 16 And shifting to Interrogatory 15, the second 17 paragraph states that the town required all 18 environmental testing that is required within its 19 zoning regulations. What environmental testing is 20 required within the town's zoning regulations? 21 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Respectfully, I'm 22 going to object to this. I don't see the 23 relevancy given that the proceeding that we're 24 under is not subject to zoning regulations in the 25 town.

1 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: If I may respond, 2 Mr. Morissette? 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Certainly. 4 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: The fact is, is that 5 the Siting Council does at least take the zoning 6 regulations into account, and the town has pointed 7 to its zoning regulations elsewhere during these 8 proceedings. And so I'm just trying to get a 9 comparison between the two. This will be my last 10 question about the zoning regs. 11 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 12 Hoffman. 13 Attorney Bachman, do you have any 14 comment on this? 15 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: We certainly do take 16 the town's regulations into account, Mr. 17 Morissette, in any jurisdictional matter. And so 18 it has been brought up during the proceeding, and 19 I think that Mr. Attorney Hoffman's question is 20 appropriate. 21 Thank you, Attorney MR. MORISSETTE: 22 Bachman. 23 Therefore, Attorney Sjoberg, we do 24 believe that the question is appropriate. And if 25 the witnesses could provide a response, please.

THE WITNESS (Barz): There is no mandatory environmental testing required within the zoning regulations other than the Planning and Zoning Commission may require such analysis.

With that, I do want to move to correct an inadvertent error in the record, but we have to cast our mind back to the first day of hearing.

At that day of hearing -- and these questions are going to be predominantly for Mr. Barz, I think.

At that first day of hearing, one of Windsor Solar One's witnesses, Mr. Fitzgerald, testified that the zoning for the Amazon project, when it was constructed, was industrial, but that's not 100 percent accurate, is it, Mr. Barz?

THE WITNESS (Barz): I'm trying to recall. There's a finger of agricultural land, zoned land that might be on the property that was left at the time of the rezoning to provide a buffer from the Strawberry Hills neighborhood. I think that's what you're looking for.

attorney Hoffman: That's exactly correct, sir. So if I can refresh your recollection, on October 11, 2016 the town planning and zoning commission put on its agenda

for a zone boundary change from agricultural and
industrial to warehouse for the Amazon site. Does
that ring a bell?

THE WITNESS (Barz): Yes.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. And then on November 9, 2016, the planning and zoning commission ruled on that zone change to warehouse and decided that changing the zone to warehouse from agricultural and industrial was inappropriate because industrial would be more protected. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Barz): I have a vague recollection of that. I don't specifically remember that, but it sounds plausible.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. Do you have a recollection of your testimony before the town planning and zoning commission on December 13, 2016?

THE WITNESS (Barz): That was eight years ago. I don't.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Fair enough. But at that time you presented to the zoning commission to change the land use for the Amazon project from agricultural and industrial to industrial. Do you recall that?

1 THE WITNESS (Barz): I believe so. 2 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. Thank you. 3 have no further questions. 4 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 5 Hoffman. We'll now continue with 6 cross-examination of the Town of Windsor by Lisa 7 Bress. 8 Lisa, good afternoon. 9 MS. BRESS: Good afternoon. I don't 10 have any questions for the Town of Windsor. I'll 11 be completely honest. I did not recognize that I 12 would have an opportunity to cross-examine the 13 town of Windsor, so I don't have any questions 14 prepared. I'm sorry. 15 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Ms. Bress. 16 We'll now continue with cross-examination of the 17 Town of Windsor by Leslie Harrison. 18 Ms. Harrison. 19 MS. HARRISON: Thank you very much. 20 like Lisa Bress, did not know that I would have 21 this opportunity so I had no questions prepared 22 either. Thank you. 23 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, 24 Ms. Harrison. 25 We'll now continue with the appearance

1 by Keith and Lisa Bress. Will the party present 2 its witness panel for purposes of taking the oath. 3 Attorney Bachman will administer the oath. 4 Keith and Lisa Bress, please. 5 MS. BRESS: No witnesses, thank you, 6 iust myself. 7 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Very good. 8 Lisa Bress, have you offered the exhibits listed 9 under the hearing program as Roman numeral IV-B-1 10 and 2 for identification purposes? 11 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Mr. Morissette, 12 could we please put Ms. Bress under oath before we 13 ask her to verify the exhibits. 14 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. I'm moving 15 ahead too fast here. Thank you, Attorney Bachman. 16 Please administer the oath. 17 BRESS, LISA 18 having been first duly sworn by Attorney 19 Bachman, testified on her oath as follows: 20 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 22 Bachman. 23 Ms. Bress, now that you are sworn in, 24 you have offered the exhibits listed under the 25 hearing program as Roman numeral IV-B-1 and 2 for

1 identification purposes. 2 THE WITNESS (Bress): I don't know what 3 they are, but if I submitted them to the Council, 4 I absolutely did. 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Let's see here. Your 6 request, Exhibit Number 1 was a request for party 7 status. 8 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes. 9 MR. MORISSETTE: And number 2 is your 10 prefile testimony, dated February 1, 2024. 11 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes, sir. Thank 12 you for that. 13 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Are there 14 any objections to marking the exhibits for 15 identification purposes only at this time? 16 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: No objection from 17 the town. 18 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: No objection from 19 Windsor Solar One, sir. 20 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Ms. Bress, 21 do you object? 22 MS. BRESS: Not at all, sir. 23 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Ms. Bress, 24 did you prepare or assist in the preparation of 25 Exhibits IV-B-1 and 2?

1	THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes.
2	MR. MORISSETTE: Do you have any
3	addictions, clarifications, deletions or
4	modifications of those documents?
5	THE WITNESS (Bress): No, sir.
6	MR. MORISSETTE: Are these exhibits
7	true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
8	THE WITNESS (Bress): Absolutely, yes.
9	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. And do you
10	offer these exhibits as your testimony here today?
11	THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes, I do.
12	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Does any
13	party or intervenor object to the admission of
14	Lisa Bress's exhibits?
15	Attorney Hoffman.
16	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: No objection, Mr.
17	Morissette.
18	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
19	Sjoberg?
20	ATTORNEY SJOBERG: No objection.
21	MR. MORISSETTE: And Ms. Harrison.
22	MS. HARRISON: No objection.
23	MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. The
24	exhibits are hereby admitted.
25	(Bress Exhibits IV-B-1 and IV-B-2:

1 Received in evidence - described in index.) MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now begin with 2 3 cross-examination of Ms. Bress by the Council, 4 starting with Mr. Mercier followed by Mr. 5 Silvestri. 6 Mr. Mercier. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 MR. MERCIER: Yes. Thank you. 9 Bress, did you have a chance to review the 10 landscape plan that was sent to you by the 11 petitioner on April 2nd by email? 12 THE WITNESS (Bress): The new one, sir? 13 That's correct. MR. MERCIER: 14 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes, I have. 15 MR. MERCIER: Do you have any comments 16 regarding this revised landscape plan? 17 THE WITNESS (Bress): I, again, not 18 fully understanding the proceeding, I had a set of 19 questions that I thought I would be able to ask of 20 the petitioner. So yes, I do have some comments 21 about it. 22 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Fair enough. 23 you have any concerns regarding the proposal to 24 potentially graze sheep at the site? 25 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes, I do. Ι

have concerns about the site plan, and I do have concerns about the potential grazing of sheep at the site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MERCIER: What concerns might you have regarding the sheep?

THE WITNESS (Bress): The concerns that I have regarding the sheep have to do with the information that Leslie Harrison shared, a couple of things. One was the information regarding no shelter for the animals during that period of That's quite a few months. And I'm just time. wondering, like, will they be wandering the property, will they be just all over the place, will they be contained, will they be subject to predation from our coyotes and bears and animals around. I'm also concerned about the waste that will be produced. It could be smelly. And if the sheep are going to be grazed in the northern area or area close to the residents, I have some very strong concerns about what that might smell like for a number of months. So those are my concerns, safety of animals, smell, protection for the animals, and those are my concerns in that regard.

As far as the site plan, I can tell you those concerns, but I don't know if this is the

proper time.

MR. MERCIER: Yes. What are your concerns regarding the site plan?

THE WITNESS (Bress): My concerns regarding the site plan revolve around watering and who is responsible for that. My concerns around the site plan are who is going to monitor the design and maintenance for the landscaping.

I have additional concerns about the site plan in that there is in the site plan an opportunity for it to be, plants to be monitored for a year, but there's no irrigation there and there is no -- so if there is any death in the plants, there's no requirement by the petitioner to come back in and replace them after a year.

And as a gardener, avid gardener, I've often put in plants, shrubs, trees, and after a year, despite watering them regularly every day, sometimes every other day, they're dead.

So my concern in that regard is if there's no, you know, something in the site plan that says that someone is going to regularly water on a certain schedule, who is going to be responsible for that, who is going to oversee that, and if the plants die, how are we going to

replace them if the year is over. Those are some of the concerns I had there.

I also had some concerns about the way the trees were going to be installed. There's no indication in there that balled and burlapped trees will have the wires removed. It says that, you know, tree substitutions can be approved by the landscape architect and approved by the owner's representative. Well, while I'm pleased to see the owner's involvement, I don't see how that doesn't prevent Verogy from changing the entire plan due to costs or other factors after the project is approved.

I mean, Number 7 clearly says they can propose any tree substitutions whatsoever, and there is absolutely no just cause needed or no -you know, it doesn't really -- there's no
guarantee in this plan, in this written plan that
says that they couldn't substitute the trees.
This plan is beautiful, but there's no, nothing
that says that it's going to be taken care of
after a year, and there's nothing in this plan
that says that there is a remedy or there's going
to be no substitutions just in case, you know, if
it's too expensive or they find afterward that

it's not working for them or they don't want to do it, there's nothing in this plan that says that they're required. So that's the concern I have there about that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then I have some other continued things about, you know, again, where the inverters are placed and, you know, that there's no buffering there. I have some concerns about the fact that the visual -- I'm sorry, I don't know if I'm allowed to tell you this, but I also have some concerns about the visual simulation. There's no panels in the visual simulation. So how can a comparison be made about the visual screening and the plantings' adequacy when there's no visualization of the panels placed behind them. mean, to me I'm looking at something, I'm saying, well, that looks very lovely and the trees look lovely, but where are the panels? How do I even know how this is going to look in reference to the panels.

And then in another visual simulation, which again I appreciated, but as an abutter, my son being an abutter and as party to this hearing, the visual simulations were not done from the vantage point of the abutters. The visual

simulation is done from a corner of the property on the street totally to the side of all the abutters. So I'm looking again at a beautiful visual simulation of a corner, not of a visual simulation from the properties that this project is abutting. So again, my concern would have been can we have a visual simulation of actually what we're going to see from the abutters' property. That's not in here.

So those are some of the concerns I have. I mean, there's many more. But if I don't have an opportunity, I'm not sure whether I'm going to have an opportunity to ask those questions again. But thank you for your question.

about the landscape and the footprint in relation to traffic and the ability of trucks to make the turn from Poquonock Avenue to River Street and from down Kennedy Road to River Street and then up River Street. Those are very, very sharp turns. And my limited understanding of solar panels is they're very long and very large. So I am just even concerned about how those panels are going to maneuver with those trucks around those corners on a two-lane road like River Street and enter this

1 property for months and months at a time while 2 construction is going on. 3 So again, I don't want to take too much 4 of the Council's time, but these are some of the 5 questions I have about the new site plan. And 6 thank you so much for your question. 7 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. 8 MR. MORISSETTE: Ms. Bress, for your 9 information, the petitioner will be appearing this 10 afternoon to be cross-examined on the exhibits 11 that were filed, including the visual and 12 landscaping plan. 13 THE WITNESS (Bress): Mr. Morissette, 14 I'm so grateful for that information. Thank you. 15 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 16 Mr. Mercier, please continue. 17 MR. MERCIER: I have no other 18 questions. Thank you. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Thank you, 20 Mr. Mercier. We'll now continue with 21 cross-examination by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mr. 22 Nguyen. 23 Mr. Silvestri. 24 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. 25 Morissette. Actually, Mr. Mercier posed the

1 questions that I was going to pose. So I thank 2 him, and I thank Ms. Bress for her responses. 3 Thank you. 4 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll 5 continue with cross-examination of Ms. Bress by 6 Mr. Nguyen followed by Mr. Golembiewski. 7 Mr. Nguyen. 8 Thank you, Mr. Morissette. MR. NGUYEN: 9 I have no questions for Ms. Bress. Thank you. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now 11 continue with Mr. Golembiewski followed by Dr. 12 Near. 13 Mr. Golembiewski. 14 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. 15 Morissette. I don't have any questions at this 16 point. Thank you. 17 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now continue with Dr. Near followed by Mr. Carter. 18 19 Dr. Near. 20 DR. NEAR: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 21 I have no questions at this time for Ms. Bress. 22 Thank you for being here, Ms. Bress. I appreciate 23 your presence. 24 THE WITNESS (Bress): Thank you too. 25 Thank you, Dr. Near. MR. MORISSETTE:

1 We'll now continue with Mr. Carter followed by 2 myself. Mr. Carter. 3 MR. CARTER: Thank you. Ms. Bress, 4 thank you for your time. I have no questions. 5 THE WITNESS (Bress): Thank you, Mr. 6 Carter. 7 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Carter. 8 Ms. Bress, I do not have any questions 9 either. We will now continue with 10 cross-examination by Attorney Hoffman. 11 Attorney Hoffman. 12 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. 13 Morissette. 14 Ms. Bress, I just have a couple of 15 questions. While I understand that Keith Bress is 16 an abutter, you're not an abutter to the facility, 17 correct? 18 THE WITNESS (Bress): I requested 19 permission, clearly explaining that I was not an 20 abutter. My son has a disability and he requested 21 that I represent him, and the Council graciously 22 accepted and allowed that to happen. 23 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: I'm not disagreeing with that at all, Ms. Bress, but you and your son 24 25 are both intervenors in this proceeding, you both

1 have independent rights of one another, and so I'm 2 trying to distinguish between the two of you. So 3 you're not an abutter but Ms. Bress is, correct? 4 THE WITNESS (Bress): Correct, but we 5 were able to apply as abutters. 6 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And we never 7 objected to -- well, you're not able to apply as 8 an abutter, he was, but anybody in the Town of 9 Windsor can apply? 10 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes. 11 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you live 12 about four miles from the site, give or take? 13 THE WITNESS (Bress): Eight minutes. 14 I'm not sure how many miles, yes. 15 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Fair enough. 16 you live south of Route 305, right? 17 THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes, we spend 18 quite a bit of time there. 19 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. When you're 20 visiting your son as you're looking out from the, 21 towards the solar panels, can you see the Amazon 22 facility from Mr. Bress's house? 23 THE WITNESS (Bress): No. 24 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: You can't see it at 25 all?

THE WITNESS (Bress): No, I didn't even see it when we went to look for the house because it's to the left and up the hill so the tree cover was tremendous and I had -- if you can believe this, I should have known, but I had no idea that it was there, which is kind of weird.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And can you hear it from the house?

THE WITNESS (Bress): Nope, haven't heard anything so far. He's only been there since September 1st, so we haven't heard anything as of yet.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And I know that Mr. Bress works from home for at least part of the time. Does he also work outside the home?

THE WITNESS (Bress): At this time he is working part-time outside the home.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. And what livestock does the current property owner have on the property where the solar facility is being considered?

THE WITNESS (Bress): I couldn't speak to that except to know that when we've driven past there, I've been in Windsor for over 32 years, the only livestock I've ever seen there has been cows

occasionally in the field.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Okay. So he's got cows there?

THE WITNESS (Bress): I don't know if they're still grazed in that section because I haven't seen them since he's moved in, but I do know that they're somewhere on the property.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Thank you. And at the conclusion of your testimony you say that as town councilor you led the effort to create the first clean and sustainable energy task force in Windsor.

THE WITNESS (Bress): Correct.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: How many renewable energy facilities was that task force responsible for constructing?

THE WITNESS (Bress): The task force does not construct renewable energy facilities. The task force was commissioned to do a study. And it had about eight members, various community members of different expertise, and they were commissioned to do a two-year study to propose initiatives to the town council on best practices for clean and sustainable energy.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And did they

1 recommend an increase in renewable energy? 2 THE WITNESS (Bress): Honestly, it was 3 two years ago. I'm not certain what's exactly in 4 the document, but I would -- I don't know, to be 5 honest, but I would guess, it was very lengthy, 6 like 50 pages, and there were a variety of 7 projects recommended. There's been solar installed on top of local buildings and the 8 9 firehouse. So I'm sure there were renewable 10 energy suggestions in there, yes. 11 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Outstanding. Thank 12 you. I have nothing further. 13 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 14 Hoffman. We'll now continue with cross-examination of Ms. Bress by Attorney 15 16 Sjoberg. 17 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. The town does not have any questions 18 19 for Lisa Bress. Thank you. 20 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 21 Sjoberg. We'll continue with cross-examination of 22 Lisa Bress by the grouped resident intervenors, 23 Leslie Harrison. 24 MS. HARRISON: Yes. Based on her 25 submitted testimony, I don't have any questions

for Ms. Bress.

I did have one, and that is I heard
Attorney Hoffman say that you're not an abutter,
but I heard you say that you spent a great deal of
time or some period of time at your son's new
home. And you also, and I have personal knowledge
of this, you also appeared at a Strawberry Hills
community meeting.

THE WITNESS (Bress): Yes.

MS. HARRISON: And I wondered if you could talk about what you heard at that meeting and what the general sentiment was.

THE WITNESS (Bress): Well, I attended that meeting in a different --

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Mr. Morissette, I'd like to object to that. That's hearsay.

MS. HARRISON: I thought she stated that in her letter.

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: What she stated in her letter I was going to allow to ask, but if she's testifying as to what other people said, it is by definition hearsay. Those people aren't here. They had a chance to attend the public comment meeting that the Siting Council put forward and they did not.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney Hoffman.

Attorney Bachman, your opinion on this?

ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. Certainly, if part of it is in the letter, anything Ms. Bress may have stated. Yes, it is hearsay, anything anyone else has said, but if she could just give a brief summary of general comments that she may have heard, I think that would be acceptable. Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Bress): Sure. I'm happy to make it brief. Basically I attended in a different capacity. I was invited because I was, my son was purchasing a home there or had purchased the home, but I was invited as deputy mayor of Windsor. So I will just say that at that meeting, as I stated in my letter, without going into detail about all the concerns, that there were many, I would say 30 or more, residents at that meeting that had specific concerns regarding the installation of a utility scale solar project in their neighborhoods.

And Ms. Bachman, I think I can get into detail or nothing further, but there was great concern about many of the issues that Leslie is

nicely raising, and I'm trying to raise, all of the things we're raising are based on the concerns by those residents.

And I will just say one more thing that is not hearsay. I've received tons of emails from people who are actually afraid to participate in this proceeding because they do not feel confident to participate nor to speak publicly nor to even email. So I just want the Council to know that the reason Leslie and I go on so long is because we've heard from constituents and we're trying to share that honestly and sincerely with the Council and the petitioner.

MR. MORISSETTE: Anything else, Ms. Harrison?

MS. HARRISON: Since I wasn't planning to ask questions of Ms. Bress, I do not have any others at this time. Thank you very much for the opportunity.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. We will now continue with the appearance of the grouped resident intervenors. Will the groped resident intervenors present its witness panel for the purposes of taking the oath. That would be Leslie Harrison, William and Jennifer Williams.

1 MS. HARRISON: This is Leslie Harrison. 2 I have no witnesses other than myself. 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Attorney 4 Bachman, could you please administer the oath to 5 the witness, Leslie Harrison. 6 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. 7 Morissette. I do see Jennifer and William 8 Williams on the screen here. And since their 9 request for intervenor status are grouped, I would 10 just hope that we could swear them all in at the 11 same time. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Certainly. William 13 and Jennifer? 14 JENNIFER WILLIAMS: Yes. Hi. We're 15 here. 16 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 17 We're going to swear you in as well. 18 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Could the witnesses 19 please raise their right hand. 20 LESLIE HARRISON, 21 JENNIFER WILLIAMS, 22 WILLIAM WILLIAMS, 23 having been first duly sworn by Attorney 24 Bachman, testified on their oaths as follows: 25 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you.

1 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 2 Bachman. 3 Leslie Harrison and William and 4 Jennifer Williams, you have offered the exhibits 5 listed under hearing program Roman numerals V-B-1 6 and 2. Do you offer those as your exhibits? 7 THE WITNESS (Harrison): This is Leslie 8 Harrison. I presume that is my request to be an 9 intervenor. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: It's your request to 11 be intervenor dated December 11th, and William and 12 Jennifer Williams' request for intervenor status 13 dated December 13th. 14 THE WITNESS (W. Williams): Yes. This 15 is William. 16 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. 17 THE WITNESS (W. Williams): Yeah, we 18 did send that in. 19 THE WITNESS (Harrison): And this is 20 Leslie. Yes. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Is there any objection 22 to marking these exhibits for identification 23 purposes only at this time? 24 MS. BRESS: No. 25 A VOICE: No.

```
1
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Leslie
2
   Harrison and William and Jennifer Williams, did
3
   you prepare or assist in the preparation of
4
   Exhibits V-B-1 and 2?
5
               THE WITNESS (W. Williams): I don't
6
   have access to those right now.
7
               THE WITNESS (Harrison): This is Leslie
8
   Harrison. I prepared my request.
9
               MR. MORISSETTE: The question is did
10
   you prepare it? William and Jennifer, did you
11
   prepare your --
12
               THE WITNESS (W. Williams): Yeah, I
13
   prepared my request.
14
               MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Very good.
15
   Thank you. Do you have any additions,
16
   clarifications, deletions or modifications to
17
   those documents?
18
               THE WITNESS (W. Harrison): No.
19
               THE WITNESS (Harrison): This is Leslie
20
   Harrison. No, I do not.
21
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Are these
22
   exhibits true and accurate to the best of your
23
   knowledge?
24
               THE WITNESS (W. Williams): Yes.
                                                  This
25
   is William. Yes, they are.
```

1	THE WITNESS (Harrison): This is Leslie
2	Harrison. Yes, it is.
3	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. And do you
4	offer these exhibits as your testimony today?
5	THE WITNESS (W. Williams): William and
6	Jennifer. Yes.
7	THE WITNESS (Harrison): Leslie
8	Harrison. Yes.
9	MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.
10	Does any party or intervenor object to the
11	admission of the grouped resident intervenors'
12	exhibits?
13	Attorney Hoffman.
14	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: No objection, Mr.
15	Morissette.
16	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
17	Sjoberg?
18	ATTORNEY SJOBERG: No objection.
19	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Ms. Bress?
20	MS. BRESS: No.
21	MR. MORISSETTE: No objection?
22	MS. BRESS: I'm sorry. No objection.
23	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you.
24	THE WITNESS (W. Williams): No
25	objection.

1 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. The 2 exhibits are hereby admitted. 3 (Grouped Resident Intervenors Exhibits V-B-1 and V-B-2: Received in evidence - described 4 5 in index.) 6 MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now begin with 7 cross-examination of the grouped resident 8 intervenors by the Council starting with Mr. Mercier and followed by Mr. Silvestri. 9 10 Mr. Mercier. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I guess I'll 13 address the questions first to Leslie Harrison and 14 similar to the other questions I asked of the town 15 and Lisa Bress. Have you had a chance to review 16 the landscape plan that was submitted by the 17 petitioner on April 2nd by email? 18 THE WITNESS (Harrison): I have. 19 MR. MERCIER: Looking at the plan, do 20 you have any concerns regarding the planting 21 schedule that was established, you know, all the 22 different types of shrubs and trees along River 23 Road and to the north? 24 THE WITNESS (Harrison): I did have 25

some specific questions which I prepared for the

petitioner. I could use those now or I could use those when it's the appropriate time.

MR. MERCIER: If you have questions, yes, you'll have to ask the petitioner at that time.

THE WITNESS (Harrison): Okay.

MR. MERCIER: What --

THE WITNESS (Harrison): I'm sorry.

MR. MERCIER: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS (Harrison): I was pleased to see that they had added some trees and shrubs certainly along the portions south of the access road that they're planning.

I think Ms. Bress covered most of my concerns when she said things about the fact that traffic in the area would be substantial -- appears to be substantially increased not only during the construction but also, as pointed out, these are very, very small roads. The road from Poquonock Avenue is, I mean, dangerous for two passenger cars to go in each direction at the same time in the same space. I cannot imagine a truck containing solar panels, how that would get by that way. So maybe they will prohibit it from going that way. But even a turn, I mean, it's a

right angle turn two lanes wide to turn from River Street onto the other side of River Street to get to the access road. And I just -- I don't know how these panels are transported, but unless they're flown in, I don't know how that would be a safe way to do that.

And I also have concerns, as she spoke, about the lack of water. I know that the plan indicates that the contractor will be required to bring in water since there is no irrigation. But we've had a significant amount of water in the last few days and weeks here, but we've also had -- I've lived here 38 years in this very house -- and we've also had years where we've had tremendous drought. And I don't know how much it costs to haul in water, but given the planting requirements for new plants, I would think that that would be a significant amount of water that would need to be brought in, in a year that is drought plagued.

Other than that, as I wasn't prepared to speak to this as myself, I was prepared to ask the petitioner, I don't have any other concerns that come to the top of my mind. But I think Ms. Bress spoke very eloquently about concerns that I

would have had, had I had time to prepare for it.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you for that. Do you have any concerns regarding the potential for sheep grazing at the site if the site was approved?

THE WITNESS (Harrison): Yes, I do. I have significant concerns about that. Ms. Bress spoke to many of them, and I spoke to many in the last hearing when I questioned the petitioner. The first one was that I believe on the record we have heard that the petitioner sees no financial benefit one way or the other to mechanical methods of maintaining the property or to sheep or animals. And if there is no financial difference, then I would advocate for mechanical, strongly advocate for mechanical preferences to do that because of the very things that Ms. Bress spoke about.

We have had a significant number of lightning storms the last few summers. And my understanding is these panels, while grounded, are still metal, and the sheep, I was told by the petitioner I believe in the interrogatory, that many times they take shelter under the panels. Well, side strikes and other things such as that

do occur, and dead sheep smell even worse than live sheep, in my opinion.

And we do have a significant number of predators in the area. I have a game cam out in my backyard. And we have bears, we have coyotes. We even have bobcats. And I don't think that anything that is being proposed in terms of fencing would properly prevent predators from getting to the sheep. So yes, I have very strong concerns about the sheep being there, and I would much prefer to see mechanical methods of maintaining the property.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to pose the same questions to William and Jennifer Williams.

THE WITNESS (W. Williams): We don't, or at least I don't, and I think my wife doesn't, have any additional either negative or positive comments toward those things. I take no position at all on the grazing of sheep. I take no position on that.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no other questions.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr.

Mercier. We'll now continue with

1 cross-examination by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mr. 2 Nguyen. 3 Mr. Silvestri. 4 MR. SILVESTRI: I have no additional 5 questions, Mr. Morissette. Thank you. 6 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll 7 continue with cross-examination by Mr. Nguyen 8 followed by Mr. Golembiewski. Mr. Nguyen. 10 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 11 I have no questions for the group. Thank you. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now 13 continue with cross-examination by Mr. 14 Golembiewski followed by Dr. Near. 15 Mr. Golembiewski. 16 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: I have no additional 17 questions. I think their exhibits speak for 18 themselves. Thank you. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 20 Golembiewski. We'll now continue with 21 cross-examination by Dr. Near followed by Mr. 22 Carter. 23 Dr. Near. 24 DR. NEAR: I have no questions at this 25 time, Mr. Morissette. Thank you.

1	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now
2	continue with cross-examination by Mr. Carter
3	followed by myself.
4	Mr. Carter.
5	MR. CARTER: I have no questions. And
6	I would like to thank the group for their time.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Carter.
9	And with that, I have no questions. We will
10	continue with cross-examination of the grouped
11	resident intervenors by the petitioner, Attorney
12	Hoffman.
13	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: I have no questions,
14	Mr. Morissette.
15	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney
16	Hoffman. We'll now continue with
17	cross-examination by the grouped resident
18	intervenors by the Town of Windsor.
19	Attorney Sjoberg.
20	ATTORNEY SJOBERG: No questions, Mr.
21	Morissette.
22	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll
23	continue with cross-examination by Lisa Bress.
24	Ms. Bress.
25	MS. BRESS: Thank you. I have no

1 questions, Mr. Morissette. 2 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Very good. 3 We will continue with the appearance of the 4 petitioner. In accordance with the Council's 5 March 21, 2024 continued evidentiary hearing memo, 6 we will continue with the appearance of the 7 petitioner, Windsor Solar One, LLC, to verify the 8 new exhibits marked as Roman numeral II, Items 9 B-10 on the hearing program. 10 Attorney Hoffman, please begin by 11 identifying the new exhibits you have filed in 12 this matter and verifying the exhibits by the 13 appropriate sworn witnesses. 14 PARSONS, BRAD 15 STEVE KOCHIS, 16 ERIK BEDNAREK, 17 BRYAN FITZGERALD, 18 JAMES CERKANOWICZ, 19 having been previously duly sworn, continued 20 to testify on their oaths as follows: 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. 23 Morissette. So the items in Section II-B-10 are 24 A, a revised spill prevention and materials 25 storage plan; B, a revised site plan L-6.1; C, the

1 resume of Erik Bednarek; and D, an additional 2 photo simulation that was conducted. And so in 3 the interest of time, I'm just going to have one 4 witness swear each exhibit unless there are 5 objections to that so that we can continue on. 6 So Mr. Parsons, did prepare or cause to 7 be prepared Item II-B-10A, the revised spill 8 prevention and materials storage plan? THE WITNESS (Parsons): Yes, I did. 10 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And is that exhibit 11 accurate to the best of your knowledge? 12 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Yes, it is. 13 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you have any 14 changes to that exhibit? 15 THE WITNESS (Parsons): I do not. 16 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you adopt 17 that exhibit as your sworn testimony? 18 THE WITNESS (Parsons): I do. 19 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Thank you. 20 Kochis, did you prepare or cause to be prepared 21 Items II-B-10B as in "boy" and "D" as in "dog," B 22 being the revised site plan L-6.1, and D being the 23 additional photo simulations? 24 THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, I did. 25 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And are those two

1	exhibits accurate to the best of your knowledge?
2	THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, they are.
3	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Do you have any
4	changes to those exhibits today?
5	THE WITNESS (Kochis): No, I do not.
6	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you adopt
7	them as your sworn testimony?
8	THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, I do.
9	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And Mr. Bednarek,
10	did you prepare or cause to be prepared the Item
11	II-B-10C, which is your resume? Mr. Bednarek?
12	THE WITNESS (Bednarek): (No response.)
13	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Well, in that case,
14	Mr. Kochis, since I have you here, did you assist
15	in the preparation of Mr. Bednarek's resume which
16	is Item II-B-10C?
17	THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, I did.
18	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And is that accurate
19	to the best of your knowledge?
20	THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, it is.
21	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you have any
22	changes to that?
23	THE WITNESS (Kochis): No, I do not.
24	ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: And do you adopt
25	that as your sworn testimony here today?

1 THE WITNESS (Kochis): Yes, I do. 2 ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: With that, Mr. 3 Morissette, I would offer up all four exhibits as full exhibits in this proceeding. 4 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 6 Hoffman. Does any party or intervenor object to 7 the admission of the petitioner's new exhibits? 8 Attorney Sjoberg. 9 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: No objection, Mr. 10 Morissette. 11 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Lisa 12 Bress? 13 MS. BRESS: No objection, Mr. 14 Morissette. 15 MR. MORISSETTE: And Ms. Harrison. 16 MS. HARRISON: No objections, Mr. 17 Morissette. Thank you. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. The 19 exhibits are hereby admitted. 20 (Petitioner's Late-Filed Exhibits 21 II-B-1A, II-B-1B, II-B-1C and II-B-1D: Received 22 in evidence - described in index.) 23 MR. MORISSETTE: We will continue with 24 cross-examination of the petitioner on the new 25 exhibits by the Town of Windsor. Attorney

1 Sjoberg. 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Thank you, Mr. 4 Morissette. I only have two questions, and they 5 revolve around I guess it would be Roman II-B-10D 6 as in "dog," additional photo simulations based on 7 the revised planting plan. Pending Council 8 approval, would the petitioner be open to 9 increasing the diversity of the species along the 10 frontage? 11 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Mr. Sjoberg, 12 this is Bryan Fitzgerald. Yes, the petitioner 13 would be able to increase the diversity of the 14 plantings along the frontage. 15 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: And my last 16 question, does the petitioner have any, pending 17 Council approval, does the petitioner have any 18 objections to using blue spruce and/or Scotch pine 19 as additional species along the frontage? 20 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Mr. Sjoberg, 21 this is Bryan Fitzgerald. The petitioner does not 22 object to using blue spruce or Scotch pine. 23 ATTORNEY SJOBERG: Thank you. I have 24 no further questions, Mr. Morissette.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney

25

Sjoberg. We'll now continue with cross-examination of the petitioner on the new exhibits by Lisa Bress.

Lisa.

MS. BRESS: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. I'm sorry if it sounds redundant because I did mention some of my concerns in the previous questioning, but I'm going to go through them quickly, if I can. Most of my concerns revolve around the site plan and the visual simulation. And I'm going to do the visual simulation first. And I'm going to repeat what I just said, why aren't the solar panels included in the visual simulation?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. So the solar panels are in fact included in the visual simulation. If you're looking at any one of the three views provided, either north-south or entrance, you'll see the first page is how it exists today, titled "existing conditions." The second page is titled "no screening." And from there you can see straight through to what are blue rectangles behind an agricultural style fence behind the existing vegetation that is currently in place in

the field.

And then if you scroll to the third and final page of each different view, you'll see what is titled a "vegetated buffer." And again, from the view of the photo sim, you have the existing vegetation, the new vegetation, the fence and then the panels behind it. The panels are in fact those blue rectangles that are in the field.

MS. BRESS: Okay. So I guess I didn't see the blue rectangles as the panels. And am I seeing correctly then that from your visual simulation, even though the plantings are spaced, there's not going to be a visual record of the solar panels above the fence? Because I'm not -- I didn't see anything higher than the fence in that particular visualization. I don't have it in front of me, I apologize but...

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, are you --

THE WITNESS (Parsons): I'll take that, Bryan. This is Brad Parsons. Ms. Bress, I think just based on the angle where you're seeing there, the fence that is shown is about 7 feet tall, and the panels themselves are only another foot or two higher than that proposed fence. So depending on

the actual angle that the photo was taken, it does, the panels are just slightly above the proposed fence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BRESS: Thank you. That's the point I'm trying to make. Thank you so much. So to me in the visual simulation I could not see clearly that the panels would be at least a foot above the fence and the current vegetation. Thank you so much for that answer.

So the other visual simulation question I had was as a party to the proceeding, again, I'm not sure why a visual simulation wasn't done from the vantage point of the abutters' property instead of just the northern corner that faces the street. There are six residents that are abutters and their homes are there. And my son is, as you know, is the furthest to the right. And I know presently I can see, even when there's trees coverage there, I can see through holes, large holes. So I'm wondering why a visual simulation wasn't done from the property, and can one be done that actually reflects the viewpoint from the abutters' vantage point with the current site plantings?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this

is Brad Parsons. I think the reason we did not do
a site photo from that is we cannot just enter
private property.

MS. BRESS: I knew you were going to say that.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): So that is actually specifically why we did the one from the corner up there was to be at approximately the back side, the rear side of those houses on that northern side and looking south towards the array. So basically the intent with that simulation was to try and mimic what was going on without having to enter somebody's property illegally.

MS. BRESS: I appreciate that. I will -- I'm not going to comment. I'm going to ask, if given permission, which my son and I would certainly give you, would the petitioner be willing to do an actual visual simulation with the plantings from an abutter's property so that it can be viewed properly by the Council and the public?

ATTORNEY HOFFMAN: Mr. Morissette, I'm going to object to that question as it's a hypothetical. It calls for speculation.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney

Hoffman. I will also comment that we are going to be closing the hearing today and we will not be accepting any more exhibits to be filed as part of this docket, so for them to file something in addition is not acceptable at this time.

MS. BRESS: I understand. Thank you very much, Mr. Morissette. So I'll ask a different question.

Mr. Parsons, does the current visual simulation from the corner accurately reflect what is going to be seen by the abutters in the property in the north?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this is Brad Parsons. Yes, it does accurately reflect what will be seen from the property in the north based on a three to four year growth. So the visual simulation was done showing the height of the landscaping at a, you know, three to four year growth height, so not just directly at planting but, you know, upon a little bit of maturity but not full maturity.

MS. BRESS: But will it be from the abutters' perspective and not from the street which is what the visual simulation is demonstrating?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): The visual simulation is dem -- again, Brad Parsons. The visual simulation is demonstrating the same vegetation that you see on the corner looking from the south will continue along that northern portion of the fence line as shown on the landscape plan that was included with the submission.

MS. BRESS: And how far will that landscaping go in terms of the six abutters who currently reside there, how far across will that go, has it been extended or is it still halfway through the property?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this is Brad Parsons again. It has been extended all the way past those four abutters. As you can see from the view, just take a look, get a chance to look at the revised landscape plan that was submitted, that vegetation was extended. The duration of the shift, the slight shift to the south of all those panels, that proposed landscape vegetation was extended along that whole length.

MS. BRESS: Right. But has it been extended the full length of the abutters, it's still where it was last time, correct? I don't

think you have to answer. I know the answer. Thank you. I appreciate it.

Okay. All right. I'm going to go to the plant maintenance note, please, on the site plan, Number 3. With no ground irrigation for regular watering on the site plan, who will be watering the plantings and how often?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. The petitioner is responsible for the plant maintenance. So depending on the weather, it would be watered as needed. I would add that there is irrigation that runs through the field almost past where the current location of the inverter pad is. So there is irrigation out there to the extent we needed to use that.

Again, it is the petitioner's responsibility to do that. In the past we have hired our sheep grazing contractors to water those plantings that we've made at other sites under an additional scope. So whether it's done by us or it's contracted out, that's something that the petitioner is responsible for doing.

MS. BRESS: Thank you. And I did read in your plan that there is no irrigation, so thank

you for the correction that there is some by the pad. But I did read specifically in your plan that there was no irrigation for the watering of these plants, that it would be done by a contractor. So that's what I was asking that question for.

So does the contract for this work go out to bid and will local contractors who know the area be given any preference in the bidding process? Because I think I just heard you say that the sheep person might be the waterer.

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Yes, Ms.

Bress. This is Bryan Fitzgerald. So just to

clarify quickly, are you referring to the actual

construction of the facility as far as the

contractor is concerned?

MS. BRESS: The watering, I'm still referring to the watering of the vegetation that you're putting in.

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Yes, absolutely. So we've done it multiple ways in the past. We've either worked with our construction contractor or we've hired a local nursery, for example, right, to do the initial planting, procure the trees from them, they plant them, they

water them, and they provide a one-year warranty, for example. We've done that in the past. We've had it under the scope of our contractor. And then we have hired our sheep grazing partner to water at a different project. So we would pursue it in one of those three ways.

MS. BRESS: Okay. And that definitely left up to the petitioner with no input from the municipality or the owner or the abutters, right?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): That is left up to the petitioner under the scope of our contract.

MS. BRESS: Great. Thank you. And who will be monitoring or inspecting to see that the design plan and watering is being carried out regularly?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Again, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. So the quality control is managed by Verogy project managers. Again, we are what's known as an EPC contractor, meaning, in addition to the initial development work, we'll do the engineering, procurement and construction. So we do have a construction management team in-house that reports back on weekly and daily, weekly progress, and we will manage that internally as

far as the plantings are concerned. Obviously, the stormwater is checked on by the local soil conservation districts, and the overall constructability of the array and the integrity of it would be inspected and approved by the local building inspector.

MS. BRESS: Okay. So you've sort of, I think you answered my next question which is, can a local contractor with a proven track record with the Town of Windsor or someone in the municipality be able to review the work, so you're saying the local building inspector does have an opportunity to review whether or not the design plan is being carried out?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Correct, Ms. Bress. So this is Bryan Fitzgerald. And in projects that are approved by the Siting Council, before they start construction they have to have their construction drawings, typically their civil, racking structural and electrical approved by the local building inspectors for code compliance. That's on all electrical connections, structural capability of the racking, civil designs, et cetera. So during -- prior to pulling the building permits, the local municipality

reviews all those drawings.

MS. BRESS: That's very reassuring.

Thank you. I guess my question was more though in relation to ongoing maintenance of the plantings and design. So in that case it would not be someone municipality connected, it would just be, again, the contractor that you chose to hire; is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, that's correct. So again, that's Verogy's responsibility. And in every situation it's in our best interest to keep those plantings alive.

MS. BRESS: Thank you. Okay. So

Number 3 and number 5 in the maintenance notes, as
a gardener and according to a fellow tree warden
-- not a fellow, but a tree warden friend I
consulted, the one year as I mentioned before or
growing season of watering does not ensure that
the plants or trees have been actually
established. I've had many die after a year. Can
a longer commitment of two years of watering and
plant replacement be written into the site plan to
ensure that the trees and plants are established
and alive after more than a year?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress,

Bryan Fitzgerald. We can most likely be amenable to that, to a two-year period instead of a one-year period.

MS. BRESS: I appreciate that very much. I just have a couple of questions on the planting notes. I mentioned before the balled and burlapped trees need to have -- they have wire baskets and burlap, but that needs to be removed before planting in order for the plants to establish and thrive. Can that requirement be added to Number 5? It just mentions that they're balled and burlapped, but it doesn't say that it will be removed before planting. That was something someone mentioned to me.

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. That commentary can be added in here. Number 5 under plant maintenance notes; is that correct?

MS. BRESS: Yes, sir. And then my concern about Number 7, I've already stated, and I apologize but I have to ask the question. So Number 7 clearly states that tree substitutions can be done with the approval of the owner and Verogy. And as I said, while I'm pleased to see the owner's involvement, how does that guarantee

that this design will be fulfilled, how does that prevent the design from being changed at whim for a variety of reasons, be it finances or, you know, other reasons? I'm a little concerned about

Number 7 because I feel as if, if we don't have some sort of a guarantee that what we're looking at is going to happen, then this is kind of like a waste of time.

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. And I guess the response there is that during construction there are progress reports that are delivered to the Siting Council. Upon completion of any project, there's a report delivered to the Siting Council. If we construct something that's materially different than what's on the design, including the landscaping in the landscaping plan, the Siting Council would come back and obviously order us to change that because it was different from the design.

MS. BRESS: Thank you. That was my next question, so you answered it. My question was does the Siting Council have any input after it is approved. Thank you so much.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this

is Brad Parsons. I'd just like to add just to clarify why that note is there. It is there because at certain specific times certain species might not be available, but it is always the intent to replace any species that might be unavailable at the time with a like species or similar species. That is the intent. So not changing an evergreen tree with a deciduous tree, it would be a like evergreen tree.

MS. BRESS: Thank you. And I appreciate it. I'm a gardener, so I actually did know the reason, and I do understand that, but I was just concerned that there might be significant changes to this beautiful plan. And I wanted to know that there was some opportunity, and you answered that question that the Siting Council could do that, and your intent is to follow the plan as much as possible. I do appreciate that.

Okay. Just a few more questions and then I'm done. Why -- let's see, not why. I know, and I don't know if this is an inappropriate question, although we are referencing the site plan, but it doesn't have to do with the vegetation. I just wanted to ask a follow-up question. Are the inverters enclosed or do they

have any buffers or vegetation around them to mitigate noise emissions or is it the same as last time?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. It is the same as the design you saw last time.

MS. BRESS: Okay. And are the fans still facing the north, have they not been changed or repositioned to face Amazon or where there are no residential homes, is it the same?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. The fans, looking at the orientation of the pads which are north to south, and the inverters will be mounted along that orientation, correct, the fans would either be facing east or west, not north.

MS. BRESS: Okay. Because I think last time honestly, and, you know, my memory is pretty good, we had said that, but then there was a change to the plan and then someone answered and said that the fans were now facing north and south. So I just want to make sure I know which way they are, and that's basically because of noise mitigation. I just was hoping that they would be faced away from people and more toward

Amazon. So are you saying now that you think the orientation is east and west now?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): That's correct, the orient -- go ahead, Brad.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad

Parsons. I will confirm that the orientation of
the inverters are east and west. And as noted in
our noise study that was provided, the project
would be in compliance with all state and local
federal codes with regard to noise as well.

MS. BRESS: Okay. Thank you so much. Okay. So the other questions that I have are the distances to the panels and so on. In this new, this site plan, have they changed or are they the same?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this is Brad Parsons. The location of the panels have not changed as part of this plan. It was just updated to the landscaping.

MS. BRESS: Okay, that's what I
thought. So the distances -- and how about -this question I didn't ask last time though. I
asked about the distances to the panels, but how
close is the site entrance where construction
vehicles enter to the residence across the street

1 and the residence in the north? 2 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Bear with me, 3 one second, Ms. Bress. 4 MS. BRESS: No rush. And I can come 5 back to it, if it's helpful to the Council. 6 THE WITNESS (Cerkanowicz): Ms. Bress, 7 this is James Cerkanowicz with the petitioner. 8 can state that the approximate distance from the 9 entrance, proposed entrance of the site, is 10 roughly 160 feet to the nearest residence. 11 MS. BRESS: Thank you very much. Let's 12 So, can I ask this question, after the 13 landscaping changes, how much farmland is being 14 preserved at the moment on this new plan on the 15 site -- reserved, I should say, for farming? 16 know the patch is there for the farmer to grow his 17 stuff, his feed, but I was just curious how much 18 is actually being reserved or protected. 19 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, 20 this is Bryan Fitzgerald. We'll get a quick 21 calculation for you. 22 MS. BRESS: Okay, I can move on. So 23 based on that, I was just asking would this site 24 plan involve any other changes to the existing 25

environment which due to their location or nature

could result in conversion of farmland to nonagricultural use? That's my concern there, like could anything in this site plan or changes to the environment result in the conversion of some of the farmland, prime farmland to nonagricultural use?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. Bress, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. So our original plan, as all are aware, is to use the sheep grazing here to keep the area that is fenced in from the panels, the affected area of the project, in productive agricultural use, a concept and a method that the Department of Agriculture had signed off on to keep the land in farmland status effectively. So that is our goal currently.

I think it was mentioned previously that Mr. Morissette mentioned that the Siting Council has exclusive jurisdiction. If they had mandated us not to do sheep grazing, then that's something we would have to abide by.

MS. BRESS: I appreciate that, but I think you may have misunderstood my question. My question was more about the existing environment with the panels being placed, due to their location or nature, would the panels being there

1 result in conversion of farmland to nonagricultural use at the end of the life of this 2 3 project? THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): This is 4 5 Bryan Fitzgerald, Ms. Bress. At the end of the 6 life of this project? 7 MS. BRESS: Or at any time. 8 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): At any time 9 it's not our belief that it would. 10 MS. BRESS: Okay. And then at the end 11 of the project --12 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Then at the 13 end of the term, we have financial assurances in 14 the lease agreement with the landowner to ensure 15 the entire system is decommissioned and removed so 16 that the land could in fact go back to a more 17 traditional agricultural use, row crop, hay 18 farming, et cetera. 19 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Bress, this 20 is Brad Parsons. We don't control the use of the 21 land after our lease is up as well. 22 MS. BRESS: Okay. But there is nothing 23 in the panels or in the way the panels perform or 24 in the location of the panels that might cause an 25

inability to use that farm as farmland again?

1 THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad 2 Parsons. There is nothing to our knowledge that 3 would cause that to happen. 4 The other thing I would also just like 5 to state. You mentioned prime farmland before. 6 This project is not located on any prime farmland. 7 MS. BRESS: Okay. So it's not 8 designated as prime, but it is just farmland? 9 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Correct. 10 MS. BRESS: Okay. I thought I read 11 that in there. Okay. So thank you. Thank you 12 for that. THE WITNESS (Parsons): And there is 13 14 also -- sorry, this is Brad Parsons -- I did have 15 a calculation, give or take 6 and a half to 7 16 acres on available remaining area on the north side of the river that the farmer would be using. 17 18 MS. BRESS: Okay. And how many acres 19 is the facility taking up? 20 THE WITNESS (Parsons): I have that 21 information. Just a second. 22 THE WITNESS (Cerkanowicz): This is 23 James Cerkanowicz. The fenced limits, within the 24 fenced limits of the project is 598,872 square 25 feet, and then I would need to just convert that

to acreage in a second here.

MS. BRESS: Thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Ms. Bress, if I could interrupt.

MS. BRESS: Yes, sir.

MR. MORISSETTE: Your questioning this afternoon is limited to the Late-Filed exhibits that were filed on March 28, 2024. I have allowed you some leeway in asking questions beyond what was filed. So this is just to make you aware that you are to stay within the limits of those Late-Filed exhibits.

MS. BRESS: I appreciate that, Mr. Morissette. And I had questions about whether or not it had to be strictly restricted to the vegetation or could it be, because a new site plan was presented, could we ask questions about the site plan. So thank you so much for clarifying that. And thank you for the leeway. I don't believe I have any additional questions about the vegetation.

I do have additional questions regarding some of the features of the site plan, but I think I could, in order to be respectful to the Council, I will reserve those concerns or

1 questions -- as soon as I get the acreage 2 answer -- I will reserve those questions or 3 concerns for my ability to do -- I don't know what 4 it's called, the wrap-up or whatever. So thank 5 you very much. If I could just hear, if you 6 wouldn't mind me hearing the question to the 7 acreage that's being used for the facility, I will 8 end my questioning there. 9 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): This is 10 Bryan Fitzgerald. The acreage for the facility is 11 approximately 13.5 noted in Section 6 of the 12 petition, by the way, too. 13 MS. BRESS: Thank you. And thank you, 14 Mr. Morissette, for your indulgence and your 15 explanation. I appreciate it. 16 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Ms. Bress. 17 I would like to say there is no wrap-up, per se. 18 When we close the hearing, you will have the 19 opportunity to file briefs --20 MS. BRESS: Yes, that's what I meant. 21 I couldn't remember the word. 22 MR. MORISSETTE: -- associated with the 23 record but no additional testimony will be 24 allowed.

MS. BRESS:

I understand that.

25

77

Thank

you. Honestly, I don't think then, if it's about
the vegetation, my following questions were
basically about the DEEP report or, you know, the
site in relation to the DEEP stuff. So I will
stop here. And thank you again for the
opportunity to ask additional questions.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now continue with cross-examination of the petitioner by the grouped resident intervenors, Leslie Harrison.

Leslie.

MS. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. I don't have too many.

In terms of the visual simulation, I did have a question about all of the photos that were provided. Were they all taken at street level?

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve
Kochis of VHB. The north view and the south view
were taken from approximately a 5-foot viewing
height. The entry view was taken from Google
Street View because of our interest in showing the
site with full leaf-on as one of the alternatives.
And accordingly, I'm not entirely sure of the
height, but I would guess that it was probably the

height of the Google Street View camera mounted on top of a car.

MS. HARRISON: Thank you, Mr. Kochis. The reason for my questioning is I don't see anything in the visual simulation that would indicate how residents in two-story buildings, of which there are many in the Strawberry Hill condominium complex, would see. I don't think the fence would cover it, and I can't remember which gentlemen from WSO spoke to say that the panels would protrude another foot above the fence, but there were no -- am I correct there were no visual simulations that were taken from, say, some kind of a bucket truck that would more closely represent what those of us that have two-story buildings will see.

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis. That's correct, no visual simulations were performed outside of what has been put into evidence so far.

MS. HARRISON: Could someone from WSO make some kind of statement about what kind of glare we might be seeing looking out our second-story windows and how long that would take place given that we're on, or at least I am, on

1 River Street, west side of River Street?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Harrison, this is Brad Parsons with Verogy and Windsor Solar One. As part of our submission, I believe it was our follow-up to the interrogatories, a glare analysis was completed for this project and that included locations both at basically first floor level as well as second floor level on various locations on the western side of River Street at the locations of those condominiums, and there was no glare as part of that ultimate study result.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. How about what I will visually see not including glare? Will I see, for instance, I see Mr. Kochis's picture here on the screen, and I see a whole bunch of solar panels behind him. Is that what I will see out my window, second-story window?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad Parsons. Ms. Harrison, that photo is taken from ground level as well. You will see solar panels on the site.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS (Cerkanowicz): This is James Cerkanowicz. I'd also just like to note

that that is a fixed tilt panel array in his background and that the tracking system is smaller in terms of how the panels are grouped and tends to be less visible from my personal experience of seeing where we have another site where we recently developed the trackers.

MS. HARRISON: Thank you very much.

Okay. Under the -- moving to the planting plan
listed as site plan 6.1. Under the plant
maintenance notes I believe Mr. Fitzgerald already
answered this question, can you remind me who the
contractor will be? I don't need a name, but it's
basically an overseeing position, is that correct,
overseeing others doing tasks?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. So Verogy

acts as the EPC contractor for the engineering,

procurement and construction, so the direct

oversight of all construction, including the

planting of the landscaping plan, would be managed

and overseen by project managers employed by

Verogy.

MS. HARRISON: Thank you. That's what I thought you were going to say. So in Item 4 under plant maintenance notes, I believe it

currently states water shall be applied in sufficient quantity to thoroughly saturate the soil and the root zone of each plant.

Will documentation be provided to the contractor as to how much water should be applied to each different type of plant so to ensure compliance with the directive, "thoroughly saturate"?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. The

landscaping plan herein as well as the final

construction drawings would be provided to the

actual contractor responsible for doing the work.

So they would read it as we're reading it today

and be directed to thoroughly saturate the soil

and the root zone of each plant.

MS. HARRISON: So the contractor would hire people that know what thoroughly saturate means for each different species that's planted?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, yes. Again, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. In any event, the construction oversight team at Verogy would instruct those contractors to again follow the landscape plan to a T here to ensure ultimate success of the landscaping plan.

1 MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you. Would 2 the contractor be modifying whatever directions 3 are provided based upon whether there's been an 4 inch of rain or more in the preceding week? 5 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. 6 Harrison, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. If the 7 contractor doing the work were to modify anything, 8 it would have to be with Verogy's approval first. 9 So to your point, if there was sufficient 10 rainfall, that would first have to be approved by 11 Verogy to ensure that the watering plan has been 12 executed appropriately. MS. HARRISON: Okay. And if there was 13 14 no rain in the previous week, would the contractor 15 be required to thoroughly saturate the rootball 16 more than once in the week that follows? 17 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms. 18 Harrison, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. Yes, to the 19 extent that is precisely what the plan describes. 20 MS. HARRISON: Okay. The plan just says that they have to, you know, if there's been 21 22 an inch of rain, it's okay. I don't see any 23 specific directions as to what to do if there 24 hasn't been an inch of rain. 25 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Yes. And

from our experience, sometimes it's a decision that gets made by the contractor specifically doing the watering. Like I've mentioned previously today, sometimes that's done by a sheep grazing partner. They've got an agricultural background, so it works out quite well that way. They understand thoroughly what you're describing here right now. And if that plant needs to be saturated, it would be saturated.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you. And can the plan be modified to specifically state what period of calendar time will be used to constitute a week, is it Sunday to Saturday, Monday to Sunday so that we could monitor when we've had an inch of rain as to what day that was? THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. I would move forward under the assumption that the week is Monday to Sunday.

MS. HARRISON: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): A typical work week is Monday to Friday, obviously, but I think Monday to Sunday should suffice for this situation.

MS. HARRISON: With all due respect,

could that be added to the plan specifically, please?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, yes, we could add that note in there.

MS. HARRISON: Thank you. Under the section entitled planting notes, this one is probably just me not being a gardener, but Item Number 4 states a 3-inch deep mulch per specification shall be installed under all trees and shrubs and in the planting beds. I assume that means on top of the soil, not under the tree and under the shrub?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Ms.

Harrison, that is correct. It is, as described,
once the tree has been planted the mulch bed there
is installed. And while I'm not a landscape
architect myself, one did put this together, and
that's typically to keep moisture in the soil for
a longer period of time.

MS. HARRISON: Right. I had to read it two or three times for "under all the trees," thinking that you were talking about planting and you were going to lay mulch in the hole and then put the tree on top of it, and I was pretty sure that, my limited understanding, that probably

1 wasn't what you intended to do. So what you just 2 described is what I thought you actually meant. 3 Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Harrison, 5 this is Brad Parsons. Just to direct you back to 6 our site plans that were submitted under the last 7 Late-File exhibit, there are details on L-6.2 that 8 show just that. 9 MS. HARRISON: 6.2? I only saw 6.1. 10 THE WITNESS (Parsons): That was all 11 that was required as part of this Late-File. 12 MS. HARRISON: You mean the previous 13 Late-File? 14 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Correct. 15 MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you. I'm 16 sorry. Staying under the planting notes in Item 5 17 it states, and Lisa approached this from a 18 different direction, it says all trees shall be 19 balled and burlapped, unless otherwise noted in 20 the drawings or specification, or approved by the owner's representative. In the current L-6.1 21 22 drawing is there any plant that is not to be 23 balled or burlapped? I couldn't find anything on 24 the plan that indicated such. 25 THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad

Parsons. There is no plan to have -- all the plants will be balled and burlapped because they will likely come from a nursery. I think that 4 note is meant to represent if you were potentially transplanting a tree on site, you wouldn't ball and burlap a tree that you had just taken --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HARRISON: Moved. Okay. Thank you very much. And am I correct that I cannot see anything, I cannot see or read anything in this L-6.1 document that is the actual specification that is cited in Item 5? Item 5 says unless otherwise noted in the drawings, which I can see, or specification. Is the specification a note or is there some other thing that I can't see?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Harrison, this is Brad Parsons again. In this case, the specifications are the notes. So what you see here is that. There is no intent to have, you know, all these trees are intended to be balled and burlapped and coming from a nursery.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Because I noted that in Item 6 there was a part of that note that said specifically if there was any deviation between the drawing and the specification, the specification would take precedence. And I just

wasn't sure if there was something that I couldn't see that was different than that.

Okay. In Item 10 it currently states areas designated loam and seed shall receive a minimum of 6 inches of loam and specified seed mix. Are those areas currently identified on the planting plan?

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis. There are no specific loam and seed areas within the site plan.

MS. HARRISON: So does that mean that they're not shown or does that mean that there aren't going to be any?

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis again. That's intended that there will likely be no loam and seed to 6-inch depths for this site plan.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Did I misunderstand in a previous one of these hearings that there was going to be some kind of planting seed mix that would entice pollinators?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Harrison,
this is Brad Parsons. So yes, I think with regard
to the loam and seed within the landscaping plan
there was no specific area designated in that

situation. However, from an overall standpoint, yes, it is shown on the site plans prior to this L-6.1 that the area will be seeded with a seed mix that has pollinator friendly species.

MS. HARRISON: So this L-6.1 that we're looking at today is, we'd have to look backwards to find information about that, it's not all contained on this L-6.1 plan that we're looking at today?

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis. The idea here is there's no specific loam and seed. I believe the intent here is to not import any loam to the site. It's to use the existing topsoil. It will be, a seed mix will be broadcast onto the site to promote vegetative growth, but the idea is they're not bringing in any loam. So when I answered that we're not going to do loam and seed, we're really not bringing loam in, but we will be seeding it.

MS. HARRISON: Could I respectfully ask that the plan be modified to document that as cogently as you just did, please?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad

Parsons. I think we can identify and/or move the

location where the seeding is already identified

on the plans and move it to the landscape plan should the Council choose to have that done.

MS. HARRISON: Right. And I think
Mr. Kochis also said no bringing in of loam, so I
would think that that sort of documentation would
need to be adjusted perhaps.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Ms. Harrison, this is Brad Parsons. I think these are just standard notes that landscapers put on most of their plans. Obviously if we need to remove that, but there is no specific area that is called out as designated loam and seed, so therefore that note would not apply on this specific plan.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you. And I think Lisa Bress asked this question, I think the answer was no, around the equipment pad there's been no consideration of additional plantings on at least the three sides that face residential buildings to help absorb and deflect any of the noise.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad Parsons. Ms. Harrison, that is correct, no additional plantings around the inverters have been proposed. And as previously stated, our noise study was completed and to show that the

project was in compliance.

MS. HARRISON: Okay. Thank you very much. That concludes my questions. I appreciate the opportunity to ask them.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Ms. Harrison.

We'll now take a 12-minute break and we will come back at 3:50 to resume cross-examination by the Council. Thank you, everyone. We'll see you at 3:50.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 3:39 p.m. until 3:50 p.m.)

MR. MORISSETTE: We're back on the record. We will continue with cross-examination of the petitioner by the Council, starting with Mr. Mercier followed by Mr. Silvestri.

Mr. Mercier.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I just had a question on site plan L-6.1, the new revised landscape plan. And earlier we talked, there was testimony regarding the mulching that was Note 3 under the planting notes. Is the mulching, is that something that's going to be annually replenished or is that just for the planting phase of the project?

1 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Mr. Mercier, 2 this is --3 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Go ahead, 4 Bryan. 5 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Sure. Mr. 6 Mercier, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. I was going to 7 mention this is for construction, so that would be 8 for the construction. Any annual replenishment 9 would have to be taken up in the operations and 10 maintenance plan. 11 Okay. Well, is the MR. MERCIER: 12 intent to replenish the mulch annually after the 13 plantings take hold? 14 THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Again, this 15 is Bryan Fitzgerald. Mr. Mercier, I guess I would 16 defer to our landscape architect, but to answer 17 that direct, it could be more of a see-as-you-go. 18 And that might not be what you want to hear, but 19 if the plants are taking off and growing and 20 perform in the way we'd ideally like to see them 21 perform, it might not be necessary anymore on an 22 annual basis. 23 MR. MERCIER: Okay. How would you 24 envision them growing, do you anticipate them 25 forming a dense coverage where nothing, you know,

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

2122

23

24

25

will be, can grow under them such as like grass or weeds, so it's just going to be bare dirt or just remnants of mulch with weeds or something?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Mr. Mercier, this is Bryan Fitzgerald. As far as how we anticipate it to grow, I would envision pretty much what you see in the visual simulations. was at the direction of the landscape architect at a three to four year growth height. But the planting plan also includes a number of shrubs, not necessarily ground dwelling shrubs or so, but areas to kind of fill those gaps from the soil level up or from grass level up. But it's our understanding that vegetation would take over there and sometimes with or without mulch, whether it be weeds taking over or grasses taking over, what we see is that the field sometimes to naturally extend into that area under the trees.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you. At what point of the construction would the plantings occur, would it be, you know, before stabilization of the site, you know, with the grass seed and pollinator mix or is it going to be after?

THE WITNESS (Fitzgerald): Mr. Mercier, that's a great question. And I think it's

probably a two-part answer because it can be dependent on when and if we're granted the ability to start construction, and by that I mean when we would have the ability to start. Obviously, it would be best to plant these trees in the late fall or early, early spring and not in the middle of the summer. So we'd have to kind of see how that progressed.

But Brad, correct me if I'm wrong if you think there would be any impacts to stabilization.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad. That's correct, I mean, we would still stabilize the site prior to or at least do a temporary stabilization of the site prior to the start of construction, and then time of year would be the point with when we would actually plant the plantings.

MR. MERCIER: Yes. I guess my question would be if you did them, you know, near the end of the project before stabilization, would the E&S control fencing be in the way or are you going to put it near the street or is the fencing going to be hugging the perimeter fencing that's supposed to be installed? I wasn't sure how that portion

would work out, whether you'd have to take down the erosion control fencing to install the plantings and then reinstall it if it's not stabilized, would you have any insight as to where the --

THE WITNESS (Kochis): I'll take that one. This is Steve Kochis. What we typically do on the sites that reach that level, what we've found working with multiple contractors, is we'll reach a period of stabilization at the site that's agreeable to the conservation district and/or CT DEEP, who will be the erosion control overseers, along with the qualified inspector and VHB as engineer of record, and we will work with them to remove the portions of silt fence without risk of erosion that we need to, to install the plantings.

And I'll also add, if it adds some clarity, that Note 3 under planting notes right now does state that no plant materials will be installed until all grading and construction has been completed in the area. So that kind of suggests that the planting is going to happen at the end of the construction period.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Since you just mentioned some erosion and sedimentation controls,

I understand DEEP has just updated their E&S controls. The old version was 2002 and now the effective date of the new version is March 30, 2024. I guess, first of all, is your site beyond 50 percent design?

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis. I would say yes it is beyond 50 percent design. And we're working with CT DEEP to understand the nature of when the new manuals will become effective, especially in regards to projects like this that have been in development for years and as far as if any changes would need to be made since we're going to be going for a CT DEEP Stormwater General Permit sometime later this year.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. So you're under consultation with them regarding whatever guideline they decide to use.

THE WITNESS (Kochis): This is Steve Kochis. Yes, we'll continue to coordinate with CT DEEP and make sure that we're meeting the appropriate manual. And if any changes are needed, they would be included in our D&M plan for this project.

MR. MERCIER: Great. Thank you. One

other question I have is regarding the plant schedule up in the upper right corner of the landscape planting plan. You have several species of trees, you have Red Oak, some Balsam Fir, White Spruce. I just heard maybe there might be some Scotch Pine and Blue Spruce potentially. As these grow in height over the years, is there any type of shading concerns, would you have to top any of these trees, would there be any kind of potential shading; and if so, at what potential heights would you have to cut them off at?

Parsons. I think when we discussed the selection of these species with the landscape architect when they were posing them, and we believe that while we don't expect to quote-unquote "top" any trees here with this, I think if, you know, selective trimming was required that we may need to do that. However, it's our understanding that likely by the time that these at their 35-year life that will still be within a point where there will be minimal shading impacts with regard to any of the proposed landscaping.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no other questions.

1 MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now continue 2 with cross-examination of the petitioner by Mr. 3 Silvestri followed by Mr. Nguyen. 4 Mr. Silvestri. 5 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. 6 Morissette. I have a few questions regarding the 7 spill prevention and materials storage plan. 8 if we could turn to page 2 on that, under 9 reporting it says complete an incident report for 10 each spill. Question I have, where would one 11 obtain an incident report? 12 THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad 13 I think they would follow whatever the Parsons. 14 DEEP guidelines for -- the intent was for them to 15 follow whatever DEEP guidelines there are for 16 spill reporting. 17 MR. SILVESTRI: So would that be 18 included should the project be approved within 19 this spill prevention and materials storage plan? 20 THE WITNESS (Parsons): That is not a 21 problem to add that, sir. Again, Brad Parsons. 22 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Thank you. And 23 under spill cleanup it has obtain the Spill Response Kit from the designated location on site. 24 25 Any idea where that designated location might be

at this point?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad
Parsons again. No, we don't have any specific
idea on where exactly that designated location is.
Usually it is something that is part of our
contractor's responsibility when they are on site,
and they tend to do job briefings every morning
where those things are identified.

MR. SILVESTRI: And that would probably fall in line as to where any refueling or fuel storage would also go, would that be correct?

THE WITNESS (Parsons): That would be

THE WITNESS (Parsons): That would be correct, Mr. Silvestri.

MR. SILVESTRI: All right. Next question I have regards the entry view vegetated buffer depiction that you have. And I'm not sure where we left off with our last discussion on the planting plan, but did we talk about supplementing it with some low growing shrubs? So, for example, where I look at the pickup truck that's parked there, you have a few low growing shrubs on the right side. I'm curious if that could be extended further to the right to kind of cover some of the gaps that are there.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Bear with me,

Mr. Silvestri. This is Brad. I'm just trying to pull up that one visual again.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm not sure what the plants are, if they're Rhododendrons or Bayberries or Holly. They look nice to fill in the gaps close to the truck, and I'm curious if it could be extended. We talked the last time about not being able to put more evergreens in because the evergreens in the back are going to spread out, but I'm curious in the foreground if more plantings could be put there.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): I'm actually looking. I'm trying to -- this is Brad. I'm trying to actually cross-reference our landscape plan right now with the visual simulation and trying to actually count what's been put there. And it may actually appear that not all the plantings that are on the landscape plan are in and filling that gap area, from what I can tell here, or maybe it's just the angle. It also might be the angle of which the photo was taken.

MR. SILVESTRI: I tried to coordinate the two and I couldn't. When I look at L-6.1, there's a bunch of little circles that are there that I have no idea what they are.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): This is Brad.

I can say when we look at L-6.1 there, you see the
three larger proposed trees with the inverted half

4 circles?

MR. SILVESTRI: Yes.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): Those are the three taller trees you can see in that visual simulation photo.

MR. SILVESTRI: Correct.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): And obviously when you're looking at that third tree from the south on L-6.1, you can definitely see that there should be shrubs in front of that third tree. So again, I'm not sure if it's just the angle at which we're looking at that or whether those were mistakenly omitted from the visual simulation.

MR. SILVESTRI: So it's possible that the visual simulation would be enhanced based on what you have on L-6.1.

THE WITNESS (Parsons): I believe so, sir, from looking at it. The way that I'm seeing this and trying to correlate the visual simulation that's there, it does appear to me that the shrubs on the north side of the, what I'll call the circle with the dot there on L-6.1, just south of

1 the driveway, that there's six shrubs there. And it does appear to me that all six of those are 2 3 It's hard to kind of count in there, but 4 the ones on the south side of that larger circle 5 with the dot in the center of it, that those may 6 not be showing up on the visual screening itself. 7 MR. SILVESTRI: That's what I don't see 8 at that point. And like I say, if it's on L-6.1, 9 it should be on your entry view vegetated buffer 10 depiction which I think would be nice to fill in 11 the gaps that I see there. So that's my whole 12 point of trying to get there. 13 THE WITNESS (Parsons): Yeah. And I 14 think it should be there, sir. It might have just 15 been something that was missed in the revised plan 16 when this was updated. 17 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Morissette, that's all I have. And I thank you, 18 19 and I thank the panel. 20 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 21 Silvestri. We'll now continue with 22 cross-examination by Mr. Nguyen followed by Mr. 23 Golembiewski. 24 Mr. Nguyen.

MR. NGUYEN:

25

I have no questions, Mr.

1 Morissette. Thank you. 2 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Nguyen. 3 We'll now continue with cross-examination by Mr. 4 Golembiewski followed by Dr. Near. 5 Mr. Golembiewski. 6 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. 7 Morissette. I have no questions. 8 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 9 Golembiewski. We'll continue with 10 cross-examination by Dr. Near followed by Mr. 11 Carter. 12 Dr. Near. 13 (No response.) 14 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. We'll move on. 15 Dr. Near is not with us. We'll move on to Mr. 16 Carter. 17 Mr. Carter, any cross-examination? 18 MR. CARTER: Just one thing actually. 19 I just want to look at the last page of the spill 20 prevention plan. I just noticed a typo I just 21 wanted to get cleared up. Under section 4, site 22 and emergency contact info under local fire 23 department I just wanted to note that fire marshal 24 just has one "L." 25 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Carter.

MR. CARTER: And that's all that I have. Thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. And I have no follow-up questions for the petitioner. So that concludes our hearing for today. Therefore, before closing this evidentiary record in this matter, the Connecticut Siting Council announces that briefs and proposed findings of fact may be filed with the Council by any party or intervenor no later than May 9, 2024. The submission of briefs and proposed findings of fact are not required by this Council; rather, we leave it to the choice of the parties and intervenors.

Anyone who has not become a party or intervenor but who desires to make his or her views known to the Council, may file written statements with the Council within 30 days of the date hereof.

The Council will issue draft findings of fact, and thereafter parties and intervenors may identify errors or inconsistencies between the Council's draft findings of fact and the record. However, no new information, no new evidence and no arguments and no reply briefs without our

permission will be considered by the Council. Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed in the Windsor Town Clerk's office. I hereby declare this hearing adjourned. And thank you everyone for your participation. Go out and enjoy the beautiful day out there. Thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 4:07 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I hereby certify that the foregoing 105 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the CONTINUED REMOTE HEARING held before the CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL IN RE: PETITION NUMBER 1598, Windsor Solar One, LLC Petition for a Declaratory Ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes, Section 4-176 and Section 16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 3.0-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located at 445 River Street, Windsor, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection, which was held before JOHN MORISSETTE, PRESIDING OFFICER, on April 9, 2024.

Lisa Warelle

Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter

1	INDEX	
2		
3	PARTY - TOWN OF WINDSOR	
4	WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 7)	
5	ERIC BARZ TODD SEALY	
6	SUZANNE CHOATE EXAMINERS:	PAGE
7	Mr. Sjoberg (Direct) Mr. Mercier (Start of cross)	8 11
8	Mr. Silvestri Mr. Hoffman	13 15
9		
10	PARTY - KEITH AND LISA BRESS	
11	WITNESS: (Sworn on page 21) LISA BRESS	
12	EXAMINERS:	PAGE
13	Mr. Morissette Mr. Mercier (Start of cross)	21 24
14	Mr. Hoffman Ms. Harrison	32 36
15		
16	GROUPED RESIDENT INTERVENORS	
17	WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 40) LESLIE HARRISON	
18	WILLIAM WILLIAMS	
19	JENNIFER WILLIAMS EXAMINERS:	PAGE
20	Mr. Morissette Mr. Mercier (Cross)	41 44
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Index: (Cont'd)	
2		
3	PETITIONER - WINDSOR SOLAR ONE, LLC	
4	WITNESSES: (Previously sworn) JAMES CERKANOWICZ	
5	BRAD PARSONS BRYAN FITZGERALD	
6	STEVE KOCHIS ERIK BEDNAREK	
7	EXAMINERS:	PAGE
8	Mr. Hoffman (Direct) Mr. Sjoberg (Start of cross)	51 55
9	Ms. Bress Ms. Harrison	56 78
10	Mr. Mercier Mr. Silvestri	91 98
11	MI. DIIVEBCII	30
12	TOWN OF WINDSOR EXHIBITS	
13	(Received in evidence)	
14	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
15 16	<pre>III-B-1 Town of Windsor request for party     status, dated December 8, 2023</pre>	11
17	III-B-2 Town of Windsor responses to	11
18	petitioner interrogatories, dated February 1, 2024	
19	<pre>III-B-6 Town of Windsor prefiled testimony   of Eric Barz, dated February 2, 2024</pre>	11
20		
21	LISA BRESS EXHIBITS (Received in evidence)	
22	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	PAGE
23	IV-B-1 Bress request for party status,	23
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	dated December 11, 2023 IV-B-2 Prefiled testimony of Lisa Bress, dated February 1, 2024	23

1	Indea	c: (Cont'd)	
2			
3			
4	GI	ROUPED RESIDENT INTERVENOR EXHIBITS (Received in evidence)	
5	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
6	inte	Leslie Harrison request for ervenor status, dated	44
7	V-B-2	ember 11, 2023 William and Jennifer Williams Lest for intervenor status,	44
9		ed December 13, 2023	
10			
11	I	PETITIONER WINDSOR SOLAR ONE, LLC	
12		LATE-FILE EXHIBITS (Received in evidence)	
13	EXHIBIT	DECONTON	DACE
	FYUTDII	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
14	II-B-1A	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan	54
14 15 16	II-B-1A Mate	Revised Spill Prevention and	
15 16	II-B-1A Mate	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting	54
15	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54
15 16 17	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek	54 54 54
15 16 17 18	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54
15 16 17 18 19	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54
15 16 17 18	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	II-B-1A Mate II-B-1B II-B-1C II-B-1D	Revised Spill Prevention and erials Storage Plan  Revised site plan L-6.1 planting plan  Resume of Erik Bednarek  Photo simulations of the facility	54 54 54