



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2930

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Web Site: portal.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

April 21, 2022

Paul R. Michaud, Esq.
Principal/Managing Attorney
Michaud Law Group LLC
101 Centerpoint Drive, Suite 230
Middletown, CT 06457
pmichaud@michaud.law

RE: **PETITION NO. 1487** – TRITEC Americas, LLC petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 1.97 megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located at 254 Putnam Road, Pomfret, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection.

Dear Attorney Michaud:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than May 12, 2022. Please submit an original and 15 copies to the Council's office and an electronic copy to siting.council@ct.gov. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, the Council requests all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Please be advised that the original and 15 copies are required to be submitted to the Council's office on or before the May 12, 2022 deadline.

Copies of your responses are required to be provided to all parties and intervenors listed in the service list, which can be found on the Council's website under the "Pending Matters" link.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Sincerely,

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

C: Service List

MB/RM

Petition No. 1487
TRITEC Americas, LLC
Pomfret, Connecticut

Interrogatories – Set 2

47. Interrogatory response 21 and the revised Decommissioning Plan states there will be 18 new utility poles instead of 12 as initially shown on the site plans. Revise the site plans to clearly show the location of all 18 utility poles.
48. How many utility poles does Eversource require? What is the purpose of each pole owned by Eversource? If equipment is installed on each pole, can this equipment be consolidated onto fewer poles? Explain.
49. How many utility poles does TRITEC require? What is the purpose of each pole? If equipment is installed on each pole, can this equipment be consolidated onto fewer poles? Explain.
50. What is the additional cost to install a pad mounted interconnection? Would TRITEC be willing to install a pad-mounted interconnection to reduce the number of utility poles?
51. The number of proposed panels in the Petition narrative and on the attached site plans do not match. Clarify.
52. What is the estimated annual capacity factor of the proposed Project? (how much energy is actually produced compared with its maximum output rating?)
53. Petition Appendix G – Phase 1A Cultural Reconnaissance Survey p. 1, states two stormwater basins would be constructed; however, the site plans do not show any stormwater basins. Clarify.
54. Does construction of the project require temporary sediment traps given that the amount of disturbance is 14.2 acres? If yes, revise the site plans to show locations. If no, explain why they are not necessary.
55. Petition Appendix G – Environmental Assessment p. 29 states the Stormwater Management Report was submitted under a separate cover. Provide a bulk paper copy and an electronic copy of the report.
56. Based on the TCLP Certificate for the Talesun modules provided in Interrogatory response 45, it appears these panels exceed the regulatory thresholds for lead and would be considered hazardous waste upon disposal. Would TRITEC be willing to substitute these panels for panels that are deemed non-hazardous waste under current regulatory criteria?