



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Web Site: portal.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

February 9, 2021

Lee D. Hoffman, Esq.
Pullman & Comley LLC
90 State House Square
Hartford, CT 06103-3702

RE: **PETITION NO. 1426** - East Windsor Solar One, LLC petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 4.9-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located west of the Ellington town boundary at 341 East Road, East Windsor, Connecticut and associated electrical interconnection.

Dear Attorney Hoffman:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than February 23, 2021. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available. At this time, consistent with the Council's policy to prevent the spread of Coronavirus, please submit an electronic copy only to siting.council@ct.gov. However, please be advised that the Council may later request one or more hard copies for records retention purposes.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Sincerely,

s/Melanie Bachman

Melanie Bachman
Executive Director

MB/CW

c: William Herchel, East Windsor Solar One, LLC
Bryan Fitzgerald, East Windsor Solar One, LLC

Petition No. 1426
Interrogatories
Set Two
February 9, 2021

59. Of the letters sent to abutting property owners, how many certified mail receipts were received? If any receipts were not returned, which owners did not receive their notice? Were any additional attempts made to contact those property owners?
60. Referencing the Town of East Windsor's comments and attachment dated December 1, 2020:
- a) What is the distance and direction of the nearest portion of the proposed facility to the Jessie Lane subdivision?
 - b) Has the Petitioner discussed the proposed facility with the current owner and/or developer of the Jessie Lane subdivision? Has the current owner and/or developer of the Jessie Lane subdivision provided any comments on the proposed facility?
 - c) Do any of the photosimulations provided in the record show potential views from the Jessie Lane subdivision? If so, which photosimulation(s)? If not, please provide photosimulations and a narrative characterizing the potential views of the proposed facility from Jessie Lane subdivision.
 - d) Please provide an aerial photograph identifying the proposed facility and the Jessie Lane subdivision.
61. Since the Petitioner's December 11, 2020 letter with attached Public Outreach Record, did the Petitioner receive any additional abutter or neighbor comments on the proposal? If so, provide a summary of the comments received.
62. Do the proposed solar modules pass the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) test? Please submit the specifications that indicate the proposed solar modules are not characterized as hazardous waste. If the project is approved, would the Petitioner commit to the installation of solar modules that pass the TCLP test?
63. Referring to the response to Council interrogatory number 45, what is the status of the DEEP Stormwater Permit?
64. Referring to the response to Council interrogatory number 22, at what point would procurement of the solar panels be accomplished?
65. Referring to the response to Council interrogatory number 29, please confirm that Skylark and Ellington Airports are federally-obligated airports. If not, would Bradley International Airport be the nearest federally-obligated airport? What is the distance of Bradley International Airport from the proposed facility?