

Dear Ms. Bachman,

Per Attorney Melone's interrogatory, please find the attached letters from Bridgeport residents that oppose NuPower-Doosan petition 1406A. Some were obtained from the CSC. For others, I was copied by the sender.

There may be others, but these give a good sampling of reasons Bridgeport residents oppose the fuel cell tower. For additional comments from other Bridgeporters, you may view the UnPower Nupower group Facebook page.

Please note that I have not seen a single letter or comment of support from a South End resident. With the climate crisis crashing down upon us, most residents believe it is absolutely foolhardy to build another fossil-fuel power plant. In fact, they are irate. Connecticut is the only state in the union that classifies natural gas-powered fuel cells as renewable ... a decision driven by Connecticut's fuel cell industry lobby and politics, not science or benefit to the community.

Sincerely,

Joe Provey

cc: Bruce McDermott, Walter Bonola, Dan Donovan, Thomas, Melone

This is in reference to petition number 1406A

Good morning,

as a full-time professor at UB I have been privy to the attempt to beautify the entrances to the University to help attract potential students. This power plant site proposed to be built on Iranistan Ave. would do the exact opposite. I also do not agree, as a Doctor, having these fuel cells so closely located to a high density population, if there were to be an accident it could be devastating and cause loss of life to many. The gases emitted and noise pollution would contribute to health costs over time that are incalculable. My late husband was a prominent artist in the community, he and his friends were involved in successful art projects to increase the desirability of living in Bridgeport, this power-plant would take away from all of their efforts.

Please take all of this into consideration and if the plant is to be built have it be built away from a populated community.

thank you for your time and consideration,

Sincerely,

Dr. Cindy Anderson

178 Morehouse Street, Bridgeport CT 06605

From: Kate Rivera <kateriverabpt@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 10:21 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: proposed Nupower/Doosan Energy environmental injustice

Dear Siting Council Members,

My name is Kate Rivera. I am a South End of Bridgeport resident. I am vehemently opposed to the proposed fuel cell tower on Iranistan Avenue. The South End bears the brunt of environmental injustice in the greater Bridgeport area. We are home to I-95, a gas plant, 2 other fuel cells, severe flooding, the UI, a garbage dump, a nearby trash burning plant, a solar panel park and until last week a coal plant! This is environmental racism and classism. We have some of the worst air quality in the entire country.

I do not want the fuel cell tower to be built in the South End. We are certainly doing more than our part in supplying infrastructure to surrounding towns.

The proposed fuel cell benefits the South End in zero ways. The thermal loop was a nice idea but it never came to fruition and does not exist.

The South End is a RESIDENTIAL area experiencing a resurgence. This would destroy the momentum we have built.

The proposed fuel cell would create:

-additional air pollution

-noise pollution

-an eye sore on the entrance to the South End

-possible danger to existing infrastructure of I-95, the train and city streets

Please DENY the fuel cell application.

Sincerely,

Kate Rivera

Kate Rivera, M.A.

Social Justice Advocate

203-556-4873

(she/her/hers)

Begin forwarded message:

From: Anna Knorovska Marc <annakmarc@yahoo.com>
Subject: Petition 1406A
Date: June 1, 2021 at 4:10:26 PM EDT
To: "siting.council@ct.gov" <siting.council@ct.gov>
Cc: Joe Provey <joeprovey@gmail.com>
Reply-To: Anna Knorovska Marc <annakmarc@yahoo.com>

To whom it may concern:

I am writing this email to express my concerns in regard to building the Fuel Cell Tower at 600 Iranistan Avenue in Bridgeport, CT.
As a resident of Seaside Village, which was been built over 100 years ago and is on the National Register of Historic Places, I highly oppose building this tower in our neighborhood for numerous reasons.

First and foremost, this tower should not be built in the middle of a residential neighborhood. More pollution will negatively affect the health of children, families, and seniors living in the area.

Second, the fuel tower is proposed to be built in close proximity to Seaside Park, which is one of the largest beaches and parks in Connecticut. Seaside Park is the most popular location for Bridgeport residents to visit all year long. Large number of residents walk to the beach and Iranistan Avenue is the path that is always taken. The selected location will not be safe for children, families and other residents to pass this tower every time they want to go to the beach. In addition, having this tower built in such close proximity (approx. 1 mile) to Long Island Sound is not environmentally friendly. It will negatively affect plant and animal life.

Please reconsider building the Fuel Cell Tower. Many residents in our neighborhood are not aware and/or don't have resources to oppose building the fuel cell tower. Please do not take advantage of those in our community who might not have the resources to research this issue properly and they are not able to oppose such a proposal. It is NOT right to build this structure just because you have not received an adequate number of petitions to oppose this.

Thank you for your time reading this letter.

Sincerely,

Anna Knorovska

80 Sims Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

Best,
Anna Knorovska

Begin forwarded message:

From: MaryAnn Provey <proveymaryann@gmail.com>
Subject: Petition 1406A Fuel Cell Tower 600 Iranistan
Date: June 4, 2021 at 11:44:20 AM EDT
To: siting.council@ct.gov

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing as the President of Seaside Village Homes, as well as a very concerned resident of Bridgeport. I strongly oppose, along with the leaseholders in the village, putting the 21-fuel-cell tower at 600 Iranistan Avenue. During my 9 years on the board and 8 years as president, we have worked tirelessly to bring our village back to its historic beauty. Things have finally started improving here in the South end and building a monstrous fuel tower will be a gigantic step backwards. There are numerous places in the city that the fuel cells can go instead of smack in the middle of a residential area leading to our village, Seaside Park and Bridgeport University. Many of the units here will look out onto this tower, it just isn't right. This site is on a bike route, it's squeezed between Route 95 and the railroad tracks, and it's in a flood zone. Did Newpower offer alternative sites as stipulated in CGA guidance on applying for a power plant? We hope so, because this one makes no sense.

My mind goes towards a family living on Iranistan about 70 feet from the proposed tower. They have transformed their house over the last few years. They have taken such pride and have worked so hard taking it from an eye sore to making it into their home. I've watched them paint, renovate, put up fencing, plant flowers, hang Christmas lights, improving the neighborhood for us all. If the cell tower goes forward, they will look directly out onto this monstrosity. They will have to deal with noise, light pollution and the emissions from the tower, never mind it devaluating her real estate investment.

The South End is overburdened with pollution and ugliness all along our beautiful coastline. Seaside Park which was designed by Fred Olmstead, who also designed Central Park in NY, is a true jewel of Bridgeport and surrounding communities.

I am pleading with you for all the residents at Seaside Village Homes and South End neighborhoods; please don't let this project go forward.

Sincerely,

MaryAnn Provey / President
Seaside Village Homes, Inc.
43 Sims Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
203-610-2078



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 19, 2021

Vincent Aurelia
150 Alsace Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
vinaurelia@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Mr. Aurelia:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at portal.ct.gov under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

May 18, 2021

To: Connecticut Siting Council

From: Vincent Aurelia

Re: Petition No. 1406A

To whom it may concern:

I am a long time resident of Bridgeport, CT currently living in Seaside Village. My home is only several hundred feet from the proposed site of the fuel-cell tower at 600 Iranistan Avenue.

I am opposed to this site for several reasons.

- 1) I am a senior with asthma and I'm already bothered by the air quality in the south end of Bridgeport. I am afraid another power plant so close to my home will only make matters worse.
- 2) The community has been working to improve the landscape and attractiveness of the area, with the goal of improving the Iranistan gateway to Seaside Park and the University of Bridgeport. I believe this will be unsightly and a huge step backwards from improving the neighborhood attractiveness.
- 3) The area already suffers from noise and light pollution from the I-95 corridor and the proposed tower will only add to the problem.

Please consider the quality of life of the local residents and deny this application. Seaside Village is a historic residential community located one short block away. We have worked hard to maintain and improve the Village and the surrounding neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration,

Vincent Aurelia
Seaside Village Board Member
150 Alsace Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 21, 2021

Beverly Balaz
beverlybalaz@aol.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Beverly Balaz:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

Beverly Balaz <beverlybalaz@aol.com>

Thu 5/20/2021 5:48 AM

Please note for the record, that I protest and oppose the proposed fuel cell tower on Iranistan in Bridgeport.

I own a home on 64 Hackley Street in Bridgeport.

Thank you.

~Beverly



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 21, 2021

Frank Basler
26 Burnham Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
frank.basler@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Frank Basler:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

Frank Basler <frank.basler@gmail.com>

Wed 5/19/2021 4:00 PM

My wife and I would like to voice our objection to the proposed hydrogen fuel cell plant on South Avenue in Bridgeport.

We live at 26 Burnham Street, within an easy walk to the site, close enough to be disturbed by its noise. One of NuPower's main arguments in its original application (though not in this revised one) is the South Avenue location would allow them to connect to the proposed Downtown Thermal Loop, helping to heat government buildings and the stadium. The Thermal Loop has been proposed for years and is unlikely to ever materialize.

Since the Thermal Loop argument cannot stand,, the NuPower plant could be placed in any number of other non-residential locations, like the Fuel Cell Park between Fairfield Avenue and State Streets. Locating it across the street from the soon-to-be-completed Windward Apartments makes no sense.

Thank you for taking these thoughts into consideration.

Frank Basler

Frank Basler
203-345-5682

Helping clients imagine new ways of using their gifts in a changing workplace
www.frankbasler.net/blog



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

John M. Belinski
jb0647@aol.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear John Belinski:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: jb0647 <jb0647@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2021 11:19 AM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: Petition 1406A

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

I like to voice my concerns on the fuel cell towers that is considering to be built on 600 Iranistan Ave, First I can't understand the city of Bridgeport putting in another commercial building near our beach and the University of Bridgeport College this is a beach area ,there should be restaurants ,coffee shops ice cream shops something nice to bring people together and help contribute money back into Bridgeport. The Seaside park draws many people to our city from surrounding towns around Bridgeport, why should the South side again be facing a eye sore commercial building and polluting and causing more noise to our beautiful Seaside village and surrounding homes and schools no other towns with a beach area would ever put a cell fuel Tower or any other commercial building that would take away from their residents and the entrance going to their beach or their University, it doesn't make sense when Bridgeport is known as the Park City not to make every open spot a parking lot or a commercial building lot.Bridgeport is trying to gear themselves up to a place for people to enjoy themselves like Steel point, Amphitheater, Webster Arena these are all good things to attract people from all over, then why put up fuel cell tower near a beach instead of putting up something that people could go and enjoy themselves as they walk or drive to Seaside Park..Second and to me this is important the Marina Village was torn down to make way for a new development, homes ,apartments places,the people moving in these places will need to shop and want to go to Restaurants, I'm sure the new tenants aren't aware of this 7 story fuel cell towers proposal and I'm sure they would be against it, also let us look at the future of the city of Bridgeport, where people could enjoy our parks ,Steel Point, Amphitheater Webster Arena and downtown Bridgeport..

Thank you
John M Belinski

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S8+, an AT&T 5G Evolution capable smartphone



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

Greg Blakley
73 Seaside Avenue
Bridgeport CT 06605
greg.blakley@synapticcom.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Greg Blakley:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: Gregory Blakley <greg.blakley@synapticcom.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 9:00 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: petition 1406A

Dear Members of the CT Siting Council,

For profit corporations are often publicly and heavily criticized for selectively targeting the most vulnerable, compromised and voiceless populations to advance their own bottom lines. In choosing 600 Iranistan Avenue as the location to build their new fuel cell tower, NuPower has done exactly this.

The neighborhood surrounding 600 Iranistan Avenue contains an Islamic religious center, a homeless shelter, an inpatient treatment facility that provides for primarily low-income individuals with mental health and substance use treatment, a public grade school where the children are predominantly minorities, a public soccer field used largely by the Hispanic and black communities as well as several low income and/or publicly managed housing complexes. These are in addition to the residential neighborhoods where this facility would be built, where the vast, vast majority of inhabitants also happen to be minority AND low income.

Combined with this, decade upon decade of research has also shown that inner city, minority, low-income populations are at significantly higher risk for serious physical illness (including cancer, asthma, infant mortality rates, heart disease, etc.). As a power regulatory council, you are no doubt aware that numerous studies also show that exposure to air pollution from fuel-fired power plants and volatile compounds coming from hazardous waste containing sites (such as fuel cell stores) increase the risk of respiratory and cardiac diseases. Do you want to be the agency that creates a double jeopardy of very real health risks for the already compromised residents of downtown Bridgeport?

Other safety issues also prevail. I would also ask you to please heavily consider the recent cyber-hacking of fuel pipelines, the potential devastating effects of a hazardous fuel cell leak on the local population, and the possible negative environment impact on Long Island Sound in your decision.

Government organizations are also often publicly and heavily criticized for selectively targeting the most vulnerable, compromised and voiceless populations with undesirable projects and infrastructure. As a government organization, I am formally asking you to prevent NuPower from building their facility and in turn, be a government organization that prevents a corporate power from taking advantage of those who are most frequently the most compromised and disadvantaged in our society.

Sincerely,

Greg Blakley

Greg Blakley, LMSW, PhD
73 Seaside Avenue, Bridgeport CT
Mobile 475.422.1009



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 20, 2021

Lynda Shannon Bluestein
15 Sailors Lane
Bridgeport, CT 06605
blueslyn@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Lynda Shannon Bluestein:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

Lynda Shannon <blueslyn@gmail.com>

Thu 5/20/2021 10:17 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Members of the Siting Council,

I add my voice as a resident of Bridgeport and frequent visitor to Seaside Park in opposition to the proposal by Doosen and Nupower and by the City to locate this fuel cell tower at 600 Iranistan for reasons of health, safety, the environment and esthetics.

Bridgeport has the finest coastline park with public access in Fairfield county and yet this entire area leading to the park is neither inviting to visitors nor in keeping with the gorgeous coastline just to the south. Add to the esthetics the public health and safety concerns and a solid argument can be made in opposition to the Iranistan siting of this facility.

Lynda Shannon Bluestein
15 Sailors Lane
Bridgeport, CT 06605

Sent from my iPad



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

Paul Bluestein
paulb63119@aol.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Paul Bluestein:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

-----Original Message-----

From: PAUL BLUESTEIN <paulb63119@aol.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 22, 2021 2:18 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: Fuel cell tower

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Members of the council,
I'm writing to voice my opposition to the current proposed siting of the fuel cell tower in or near Seaside Village

Paul Bluestein
Bridgeport, CT

Sent from my iPhone



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

David and Paige Brown
davidmel64@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear David and Paige Brown :

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: David Mel <davidmel64@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 11:29 AM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Cc: Joe Provey <joeprovey@gmail.com>
Subject: Petition 1406A

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

My name is David H Brown, a current resident of Seaside Village. My wife & I are proud owners of a unit that has a view of Seaside Park, one that is directly across the street from my childhood Seaside Village unit where I spent the first 25 years of my life. It is unsaid that the South End of Bridgeport is my "stomping grounds". Throughout my younger years I have witnessed many issues here. Burning landfills, oily creek waters covered in dead marine life, the constant smell of a fat rendering plant, a coal burning energy plant that sometimes "hazed" the sky, yearly flooding, the noise & the airborne dirt from I-95 & the debris from incinerators from 2 housing projects just blocks from my place. Fast forward to today & many of those issues were resolved over time. Some are still in the works but, with that being said, there are now different issues. This new project to install a fuel cell tower at Railroad & Iranistan cancels all the progress that has been made for over 20 years. It is not the project itself. It is the unwillingness to locate it somewhere that makes sense to the community. All the enjoyment of returning to give Bridgeport a second chance & the hope for the South End to finally be given it's due, will be erased. We will see that installation as a reminder that we don't matter. The site should be located elsewhere. With so much empty space around this area available, tucked away in a corner, unnoticeable, unintrusive should be paramount. For the residents. For the city. We have fought against concrete crushing plants & solar farms for the same reasons. They are environmental, emotional & psychological. This haven for all the city's & even the region's "working parts" is unfair. How we have gotten to this point, by once again defacing the South End at one of it's critical points, the first impression, is unthinkable. As you approach what is supposed to be, the gem of the city, you are greeted with an unsightly monstrosity. That first impression could be the reason (or excuse) that the South End will never reach its full potential. It will always be seen as "what could have been". It is simply a mockery to us all.

David & Paige Brown both born & bred Bridgeporters.



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 19, 2021

Francine Fanali
10 Sims Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
italiangirlf@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Francine Fanali:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at portal.ct.gov/CSC under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: Francine Fanali <italiangirlf@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 8:41 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Cc: Joe Provey <Joeprovey@gmail.com>
Subject: Fuel Cell Plant - PETITION: 1406A

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Francine Fanali
10 Sims Street
Bridgeport, CT
06604
italiangirlf@gmail.com

PETITION: 1406A

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing as a resident of Seaside Village on Sims St. and Iranistan Avenue *against* the building of the Nupower Fuel Cell in our area.

1. Our opposition centers on three main issues:

i. Gateway/Proximity to schools, parks, housing.

Why can't we think of building more parks, more grassy areas, an ice cream shop, a retail shop? There must be other ways to make better use of this property.

ii. More pollution in an already environmentally distressed area.

Bridgeport seems to be the designated dumping ground for the most unsightly businesses and toxic companies where fumes, residue, toxic dusts are released into the air we breathe as residents living in this area have suffered already.

iii. Safety in the event of an accident or cyber threat.

This goes without saying. Anything could happen. Isn't there somewhere you can put this structure away from a residential area where we don't have to go to bed worrying about the possibility of becoming ill or something much worse happening?

Please reconsider the location for this plant. We, as residents in the South End, would be forever grateful.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Francine Fanali



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 20, 2021

Ulises Fernandez
Seaside Village Board
77 Forest Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
ulifer59@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Ulises Fernandez:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

Ulises Fernandez <ulifer59@gmail.com>

Wed 5/19/2021 12:01 PM

Reply all

Forward

Siting Council and Doosan/Nupower

Re: Petition 1406A

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing in opposition to the siting of the fuel cell tower at 600 Iranistan Avenue.

Although publicized as a renewable source of energy, the fuel cell will use natural gas combustion in order to produce hydrogen. While hydrogen is clean, the burning of natural gas is not. It will produce CO₂ gas emissions which would equal approximately 1 million tons in its proposed 20 year life cycle. This plant will also emit methane at about 10 tons per year, nitrous oxide at about .21 tons per year. Sulfur hexafluoride and Hydrofluorocarbons, a harmful greenhouse gas, will also be emitted.

In addition to these gases, ozone will be produced. Although beneficial in the atmosphere, where it blocks harmful radiation from the sun, it is not beneficial as a ground level gas, as it harms lung function and is an irritant to the respiratory system.

Another issue is the noise compliance. Although Doosan/Nupower claims compliance with noise regulations, and sound dampening 'blankets' will be used once the plant is built, what if those blankets aren't enough? It will be yet another source of noise pollution to nearby residents, 100 feet to the north and 200 feet to the south. The blankets themselves may seal off much needed air to the cooling fans and add an unsafe element, where the cells could dangerously overheat.

Although the location of the fuel cell tower is deemed advantageous as to noise production, between the train tracks and I95, if there are any accidents in the fuel cell tower, fire or explosions, it can cause a dangerous and detrimental effect on travel in these two corridors, much used in the North East.

My last issues are that in this area, we already have several fuel cells that can be tapped into for power to the new Windward development. And if it is mostly for power to this development, why not build a smaller fuel cell for its use alone? As there is for the charter school and apartment complex at 375 Howard Avenue?

Bridgeport is one of the few cities where most of our Long Island Sound coast is taken up with some sort of power, trash burning or water recycling facility. Very little else. There seems to have been little thought of the citizens living in Seaside Village, a 257 unit, co-operative housing built in 1918 (and on the Historic register), housing more than 500 residents, nor the other houses along this proposed site. One has to travel to Stamford, Norwalk, Fairfield, Milford, Guilford, Old Saybrook to find amenable areas where one can sit, eat and or just view the less unobstructed Sound. We have Captain's Cove; right next to the Bridgeport Disposal Plant and the O&G Asphalt Plant.

Even New Haven has more pleasant sites.

Doosan has stated that this fuel cell station will bring about jobs but after it's built, there will only be a handful of jobs, if that, to maintain the plant. The look and feel of the fuel cell tower will deter other businesses or companies that may want to bring amenities to our city, which would bring much higher job creation, say at various shops, eateries or restaurants.

The site of this fuel cell plant is on the gateway to one of our few recreational areas, Seaside Park, making for an unappealing entry to said park.

Although we are not advocating for the fuel cell tower to be built anywhere in Bridgeport, the proposed 600 Iranistan Avenue site is not the best of all options. Connecticut's Environmental Protection Act states that any development, such as the one proposed by the petition of 1406A, cannot adversely affect cultural (including Historic) resources. Seaside Village and surrounding communities believe the installation of a large fuel cell tower will undermine its Historic and cultural value and affect the health and well-being of its residents.

Thank you very much for your time,

Ulises Fernandez
Seaside Village Board, Secretary
77 Forest St Bridgeport, Ct 06604
May 19, 2021



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 20, 2021

Maxine Greenberg
Dr. Donald Greenberg
265 Balmforth Street
Bridgeport, CT 06605

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Maxine and Dr. Donald Greenberg:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

Maxine Greenberg <mdgmsw@gmail.com>

Wed 5/19/2021 5:38 PM

We are writing to ask you to oppose the Fuel Cell Tower to be sited in the South End of Bridgeport .

The proposed site is at 600 Iranistan Avenue, a gateway to the Park and University. The facility will be visible to many residences, make noise, light, and emit greenhouse gases. There are also questions as to whether this project will largely be financed by UI ratepayers. At least one principal of the developer, NuPower, was involved in a failed renewable energy project that resulted in default on a green energy fund loan. This is very concerning.

I am sure you will hear many more reasons why we object to this tower.

Thank you for your consideration.

Maxine and Dr. Donald Greenberg

265 Balmforth St

Bpt,Ct06605



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

John Lambo

jlambo@chapelhaven.org

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear John Lambo:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: John Lambo <jlambo@chapelhaven.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 4:27 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Cc: joeprovey@gmail.com
Subject: Petition 1406 A

I am contacting you to firmly state that I am against the proposed fuel cell tower at 600 Iranistan Avenue in Bridgeport, CT.. I moved to Seaside Village (73 Burnham Avenue) 2 ½ years ago . As you know Seaside Village is a “stones throw” from the proposed fuel cell tower.

The purpose of this email is not to address the faulty research behind the project or the questionable process to approve the project, but to address the following:

Location:

Why is this being located here in the South End in close proximity to residences, schools, businesses and major transportation routes? Enough dirty industries are in the area which emit pollution, including noise pollution. The South/West End already is home to 2 power plants, a trash burning plant and a cement processing plant. Furthermore, I believe that this project will only delay, if not permanently halt, any hope for revitalization in the area.

Pollution:

Projects of this sort emit greenhouse gases, increase ozone levels and provide unappealing light and noise.

Accident:

This is not only too close to residences and businesses but also too close to I95 and Metro North and Amtrak. This proximity only increases the possibility of an accidental or intentional incident.

I firmly believe that this project is taking advantage of the residents in the area, by hoping that the working class of the South End, by hoping that the working class people of the South End are too busy making ends meet to protest an abomination on may levels.



John Lambo
CareerAbility, Business and Community Relations
Chapel Haven Schleifer Center Inc.
Office: 203-397-1714 x 450
Mobile: 203-526-7270
jlambo@chapelhaven.org
<https://www.chapelhaven.org/careerability/>
<https://www.chapelhaven.org/john-lambo/>

This email contains **PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** protected by Federal (HIPAA, Public Law 104-191) and state laws. It is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the recipient of the email, or the employee responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please immediately notify the transmitter by telephone. Please delete this document and do not distribute it.



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

Jeanne G. Miterko
jeanne.miterko@snet.net

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Jeanne Miterko:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeanne Miterko <jeanne.miterko@snet.net>

Sent: Sunday, May 23, 2021 6:32 AM

To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>

Subject: Petition 1406A

>

> Dear Siting Council,

> I am a new resident of Seaside Village. I am living here because I am proud to be a part of Bridgeport's effort to revitalize the South End. A lot of money, time and effort have been spent on this revitalization and it is working! Please carefully consider all the following reasons listed below before deciding to allow the constitution of the fuel cell plant on Iranistan Ave. Ask yourself if you would like to have this fuel cell power plant in your neighborhood. Let's continue to work toward making the South End of Bridgeport an attractive transportation hub and thriving community.

>

> Reasons We Oppose Fuel-Cell Tower at 600 Iranistan

>

> Many South End residents in Bridgeport are shocked and dismayed by the fuel cell tower siting proposal by Doosan and Nupower, and by the city. I represent, per a vote of its board, Seaside Village, Inc. Seaside Village is a model co-operative housing corporation of more than 500 residents located approximately 220 feet from the proposed power plant. As a former board member of Bridgeport's South End NRZ and community organizer, I also represent a larger constituency ... many of whom have signed our petitions. Our NO to O&G campaign, which prevented a concrete debris crushing plant to be built in our vicinity, includes more than 400 members on our Facebook pages.

> Our opposition to is based upon five ways a fuel cell tower would negatively impact our community: health, safety, environment, economic impact, and cultural resources.

> 1. Health

> The multi-fuel cell tower, contrary to claims by the petitioner, is not a renewable energy source. It uses natural gas in order to produce the requisite hydrogen. Doing so produces emissions like any other fossil-fueled power plant. Doosan says in its petition that "when the hydrogen economy arrives," the Iranistan Ave. installation could switch to hydrogen gas which of course would raise new concerns. More benign ways to produce electricity are on the way, including battery storage coupled with truly renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar power.

> Doosan/Nupower's original argument was that its plant would be part of a thermal loop. By using its waste heat to heat local buildings, less gas and oil would be burned locally and there would be a net reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. This is a dubious argument given that the thermal loop remains as far from breaking ground as it was seven years ago when it was first discussed. In fact, the revised petition 1406A barely mentions the thermal loop. Without the thermal loop, the fuel cell tower simply becomes yet another gas-fueled significant contributor to green house gas emissions.

> We argue that without a plausible way forward with the thermal loop,

> there is no offset and no benefit to the community. Furthermore, we

- > feel the thermal loop idea, as few user
- > commitments to it, and is very ambitious for a city that cannot even
- > get its sewer system in order. Thermal loops have been successfully
- > used in several European countries but only because of policies that
- > mandate their use with all new construction and that ban fossil-fueled
- > boilers.
- > <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fcbeys.yale.edu%2Four-stories%2Frenewable-thermal-heating-lessons-from-scand>
- > inavia&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfbd908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702047772%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=0p2xA2iNK4qH4F47gAQInoUw7heVbNgmFrQmuPrEJRw%3D&reserved=0
- > The petitioner also argues that statewide, the fuel cell tower would
- > The CO₂ greenhouse gas emissions from the proposed plant would equal about one million tons during the 20-year life of the facility, or about 45,000 tons per year. The plant will also emit a significant amount of methane CH₄ (10..5 ton/yr.) and nitrous oxide N₂O (.21 ton/yr) as well as Sulphur Hexafluoride SF₆, Hydrofluorocarbon HFC (very detrimental greenhouse gases), and perfluorinated compound PFC, classified as a “persistent organic pollutant,” recently found in mothers’ milk.
- > In addition to emitting greenhouse gases, which of course add to global warming, these gases are primary contributors to the formation of ground-level ozone. Unlike the atmospheric ozone layer that protects us from solar radiation, ground-level ozone can harm lung function and irritate the respiratory system (see American Geophysical Union. "Carbon Dioxide Tied To Air Pollution Mortality." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 4 March 2008). Sometimes you can Bridgeport’s smog from route 8, beginning in Shelton.
- > According to the EPA’s CBSA Factbook 2019, the Bridgeport region has the highest ground-level ozone average east of the Mississippi River (.084 ppm), well above the maximum acceptable level (0.07 ppm). Some of this is due to ozone blowing in from elsewhere, but much of it is due to the proliferation of power plants in our area. Bridgeport’s South and West End already host two gas-fired power plants, a former coal plant that is just being decommissioned, a trash to energy plant, and several small scale, gas-fueled fuel cells. Additional emissions are released by nearby concrete and asphalt plants, and the consequent heavy diesel traffic on our streets.
- > It is not surprising that the South End of Bridgeport, and Bridgeport
- > in general, see high rates of asthma and allergies in its children –
- > three times as much as its more affluent power-plant free neighbors.
- > (<https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/Departments-and-Agencies/DPH/dph/hems/asthma/pdf/Fullreportwithcoverpdf.pdf>,
- > <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.aafa.org%2Fasthma-capitals-top-100-cities-ranking%2F&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfbd908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702047772%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6lk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=aGo%2FzcOSJ0g2eCdVAZXSLm5S3mERaxMyIf1cu7bLSO%3D&reserved=0> Increasing emissions in this already distressed

> neighborhood will worsen the situation. A growing body of environmental justice literature examines how unequal exposures to environmental pollutants and social determinants manifest as health disparities (Brulle & Pellow, 2006; Downey, Dubois, Hawkins, & Walker, 2008).

> 2. Safety

> In its petition, Doosan touts its safety record and built-in safety overrides. These are largely untested due to the novelty of ganged fuel cell installations. (The developers must point to South Korea for projects similar to this one, but even those are significantly different from what is proposed here given that they don't use natural gas.) Doosan's primary defense against disaster includes automatic shut-off valves and tanks of nitrogen gas that would theoretically deploy in the event of a fire. Unfortunately, valves are notoriously subject to failure.

> On site equipment is subject to theft, vandalism, and weather events such as lightning and tornadoes. The risk is too great for so unnecessary a project with so little benefit to the host community. There is very little security offered by the proposed fencing, video monitoring, and street side nature of the project. In addition, the proposed site has a minimal setback (12 feet) from a 60-ft. tall raised portion of a major interstate. It is also very close to several on-off ramps, high-voltage electrical cables, and a major rail line that serves both Metro North and Amtrak. We believe that an accident, or vandalism-generated damage, would have catastrophic repercussions for not only Bridgeport, but for transportation throughout the Northeast corridor..

> The bottom line is this installation would be the first of its kind in the United States, untried and untested, and situated adjacent to critical transportation infrastructure. Such a siting, in the minds of many nearby residents would be reckless.

> 3. Environment

> Putting a power plant in the middle of a residential area (less than 100 feet from homes to north; 220 feet from the south) will have negative effects on area residents beyond health and safety. No matter how it's presented, the structure represents a monstrous eyesore that will make noise, and emit light and various greenhouse gases. For a visual check of what the facility would look like to the thousands who pass the site every day, see the petitioners supplied photos of fuel cell towers in South Korea. Then note that these towers were not installed near residential areas or next to critical transportation infrastructure.

> Doosan/Nupower claim the new plant will be compliant with current noise regulations – but if it's not, sound dampening will be added in the form of blankets once the plant has been built. Sound, however, is very difficult to control via noise with absorption alone. Carefully sealing off the source of noise is required. That, would be difficult or impossible. The loud cooling fans must, of course, be left open and exposed. So what if the back up plan doesn't work? Has it been tried on this scale next to residential areas? The argument that the site is already subject to noise from traffic is not a good one. Highway and rail traffic is intermittent, with quiet periods. The fuel cells will make noise all the time. It is also important to consider that the primary noise source (cooling fans) will be within a car's length from the I-95 traffic.

> CO2 emissions from yet another fossil fuel powered plant, will further stress the landscape, including our trees and gardens. While we can no longer claim vernal pools, Seaside Village maintains several hundred trees on its property, in addition to large community vegetable and butterfly gardens.

> <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Ftoda>

> y.uconn.edu%2F2019%2F01%2Fchanging-air-quality-land-steady-habits%2F&a

> mpj\data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfbd908d91dd

> 61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%

> 7CUnknown%7CTWFPbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6lk

> 1haWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=QUIzKXm2rqEfs7RVmyHzeechCg5heEUS
 > 19wcJt4VLHg%3D&reserved=0
 > <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fextension.tennessee.edu%2Fpublications%2FDocuments%2FSP657.pdf&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=xZeVbHUAJSPIVAsGCLKMbXhGv1zdxitwNp5fMdg6QLk%3D&reserved=0>
 > It is interesting to note that CO2 is heavier than
 > air. Given the right conditions, this causes a blanketing effect that over stimulates growth of vegetation as well as mold. It also stresses our native trees, which are our first line of defense with both CO2 absorption and high ground water. Anything we put in the air, eventually comes down, says Kristina Wagstrom, Assistant professor of chemical and biomolecular engineering at University of Connecticut.

> 4. Economic Impact

> The South End of Bridgeport, especially the area west of Park Ave., is virtually devoid of shops and eateries. We have a liquor store, a gas station and a deli, all of which have seen better days. With the advent of the Windward housing development, it is hoped this will change. Placing a power plant at the gateway to this area is likely to have a discouraging effect on any further food store or restaurant openings in the area.

> Homeownership will also become less attractive. Who would want their

> kids to walk past 21 fuel cells on their way to school everyday? (Note that the Korean fuel cell towers, referenced by Doosan in its petition, were installed at an industrial complex, not in a residential area adjacent to critical transportation and power infrastructure.) Decommissioning of this plant, in 20 years, calls for removal of spent modules and associated equipment, but not of the footings or steel structure itself. So we have concerns that in twenty years, the property becomes just another example of blight. In the past, parcels such as the proposed site have been earmarked by Resilient Bridgeport for permeable open space and catch basins that could be used to mitigate the South End's periodic flooding problems.

> <https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Flientbridgeport.com%2Fbridgeport%2F&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=jHPdGWuMjZnKuC9MIVpo8DxE5Ng4lsRlZR7HfPDmSyw%3D&reserved=0>

> 0ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff6

> 9bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=jHPdGWuMjZnKuC9MIVpo8DxE5Ng4lsRlZR7HfPDmSyw%3D&reserved=0

> 9bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=jHPdGWuMjZnKuC9MIVpo8DxE5Ng4lsRlZR7HfPDmSyw%3D&reserved=0

> C4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=jHPdGWuMjZnKuC9MIVpo8DxE5Ng4lsRlZR7HfPDmSyw%3D&reserved=0

> data=jHPdGWuMjZnKuC9MIVpo8DxE5Ng4lsRlZR7HfPDmSyw%3D&reserved=0

> 5. Impact on Cultural Resources

> In its petition, Nupower/Doosan claim no impact on cultural resources. This conveniently ignores the facts. The proposed site is at one of two primary gateways to Seaside Park, a 375 acre, 2.5 mile long stretch of beach, recreational areas, ball fields, an amphitheater, fishing pier, boat launch, historic monuments, and picnic areas. Designed by Frederick Law Olmstead in the 1860's, it is on the National Historic Register and remains Bridgeport's primary outdoor recreation area.

[https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki%2FSeaside_Park_&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=tlevpPEcibUA%2BwtDkMR%2BzUJ6lItfGU1j3aUrglrgYbl%3D&reserved=0\(Connecticut\)](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki%2FSeaside_Park_&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6IjEhaWwiLCJXVCI6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=tlevpPEcibUA%2BwtDkMR%2BzUJ6lItfGU1j3aUrglrgYbl%3D&reserved=0(Connecticut)). We believe the park will become a less attractive place to

visit if hemmed in by another power plant. There has already be serious encroachment with a new gas power plant at its eastern end and a trash-burning power plant, and concrete and asphalt plants at its western end.

> The 600 Iranistan site is also one of two primary gateways to Bridgeport University, a financially troubled university that will hopefully be transformed thanks to its partnership with Goodwin University. UB was founded in 1927. In 2018 it served over 5000 students. It also has served the community as a venue for concerts, lectures, art exhibitions, and sporting events. To place a power plant on the doorstep of this institution, will further harm its chances for a successful future.

> Finally, the proposed site is at the gateway to Seaside Village,

> co-operative housing built in 1918 and on the historic register.

> Described as an architectural gem and studied by architectural

> schools, including Yale University, Seaside Village offers a model for

> what housing developments should look like. It's 257 units house over

> 500 residents of all income levels, ages and ethnicities.

> https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fen.wikipedia.org%2Fwiki%2FSeaside_Village_Historic_District&data=04%7C01%7CLisa.Fontaine%40ct.gov%7C0556804acf7f424bfb908d91dd61b9c%7C118b7cfaa3dd48b9b02631ff69bb738b%7C0%7C0%7C637573627702057790%7CUnknown%7CWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1haWwiLCJXVCIMn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=hzcche%2FqbeVlxbROal%2Bwg8MrK9%2F1icx1Uv4XJI9q1zc%3D&reserved=0 Building another power plant, especially of

> this scale, is not in keeping with small town houses in Seaside Village and the cottages of the

South End. Although referred to as a 3.5 story structure, that's misleading. At a height that

exceeds 70 feet, it is equivalent to a 6-story residential building ... of which there are none in our

community.

> Of further concern, is water run off. The South End is just a few feet above high tide and floods

frequently. We have been participating with Resilient Bridgeport to find ways to mitigate

flooding. The nearby Windward housing development has promised a large catch basin and

pump to keep water runoff from the Village. We had hoped that this proposed site would

eventually host a catch basin as well.

> There are numerous possible sites for fuel cells, less prominent to residents and visitors, that

would be suitable to a fuel cell installation. Although we are not advocating for the fuel cell

tower to be built anywhere in Bridgeport, the proposed 600 Iranistan site is the worst of all

options. Connecticut's Environmental Protection Act states that any development, such as the

one proposed by petition 1406A, cannot adversely affect cultural (including historic) resources.

> Seaside Village and surrounding communities, believe the installation of a large fuel cell tower

will undermine its historic and cultural value, and affect the health and wellbeing of its

residents.

>

> Respectfully Submitted,

> Jeanne G Miterko

> Attorney at Law

>

Sent from my iPhone



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

Gloria Weber
61 Burnham Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
gzw0829@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Gloria Weber:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

On Sat, May 22, 2021 at 12:02 PM Gloria Weber <gzw0829@gmail.com> wrote:

On Sat, May 22, 2021 at 11:56 AM Gloria Weber <gzw0829@gmail.com> wrote:

[m](#)Dear Members of the Council,

As a longtime resident of Manhattan, five years ago I bought a home in Seaside Village. I was not familiar with Bridgeport, had no pre-conceived ideas about the town. What drew me to Seaside was the sense of community and the love of nature so many of the residents share. It's a gardeners' paradise, an artist haven and one of the most cohesive caring communities I've found in my travels throughout this country. It's the best of what America has to offer.

I cannot fathom why a governing body would vote to approve ruining this jewel of Connecticut, with its old growth trees, community gardens, profusion of birds, the seaside, the students and the families, with a fuel cell tower with known pollutants and toxic gases that undoubtedly will destroy the natural environment and health of those in the surrounding area. Surely the health and well-being of the community count.

Your vote counts. What we have at Seaside, I only wish could be duplicated throughout the country. This cooperative is part of the National Register of Historic Places due to its architecture, community planning and social history. Approving Nupower fuel-cell Tower powerplant will destroy the cohesive fabric of the Seaside Village and Bridgeport communities. Those that can will move away, and those considering making the south end their home will change their minds. People will stay away. What will be left? Another blighted community?

It's soul-scorching to think a power-plant could mean more to your decision making body than the community. If the fuel-cell tower is built, instead of being a lynchpin, and part of the renewal of Bridgeport it will severely damage the community and the century-old homes in Seaside Village. The entire community will be left adrift in a sea of industrial waste. On behalf of the community, we ask that you vote with your heart and conscience - vote NO to install another powerplant that will bring the south side to the tipping point of blight.

Bridgeport is ripe for renewal. It has an international and diverse population teaming with ideas and skill that can transform our community when given the chance. There's so much opportunity to do good here, to build sustainable businesses like indoor farming; skill-sharing venues; and culture havens. Every vote counts. Vote YES to building our community with your NO vote to Nupowee fuel cell tower power-plant. Sincerely,

Gloria Weber

61 Burnham Street

Bridgeport, Ct 06604

gzw0829@gmail.com



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

Gloria Weber
61 Burnham Street
Bridgeport, CT 06604
gzw0829@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear Gloria Weber:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your additional correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: Gloria Weber <gzw0829@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2021 1:21 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: Additional thoughts on Petition 1406A

EXTERNAL EMAIL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Members of the Council,

May I add to my prior email and register further context against approval of the Nupower fuel-cell tower:

There could be no worse time to approve placing another power plant in the midst of a residential area than now. The events of 2020 have caused a great shift to take place. People are choosing to continue working in New York City , but to live away from its densely populated neighborhoods. They are moving to the surrounding towns 1 - 2 hours outside of the city. The migration to Ct. towns is clearly apparent and Bridgeport is well within striking distance for attracting its share of new residents.

Building another power plant in our residential neighborhood will prevent Bridgeport from attracting the families and artists looking for more open space and less congested living conditions.

One of the most successful tried and true ways to revitalize a town, neighborhood, or area of a city is to bring in the artists - where the artists thrive, entrepreneurs follow, people follow, and the new commerce brings vitality and excitement to forgotten neighborhoods. With that excitement, that buzz , comes the increased revenue that rejuvenates the community, and the community flourishes. This is what we want for our Bridgeport communities.

As a long time denizen of New York City, time and again, I've witnessed first hand the transformation of neighborhoods where artists set up shop. Where art and culture thrive, people and business follow.

Clear examples of NYC areas revitalized by pioneering artist residents are: Soho, East Village, the Bowery (now Noho) Greenwich Village, West Village, West Chelsea (Gallery District and Highline) Hells Kitchen, Harlem (Harlem Renaissance), Dumbo, Williamsburg(Billyburg) Bushwick, and Long Island City. All of these areas were once past their prime, but now represent the best of

what NYC has to offer, visited yearly by millions and millions of tourists worldwide.

Aside from politics, the new Hudson Yards megalopolis was made possible because artists attracted to space and affordability, moved into West Chelsea/Clinton area and transformed it into CHELSEA Gallery District which brought in the Highline and new condo developments.

Instead of loading Bridgeport residential neighborhoods with more power plants - preserve and revitalize our communities by attracting artists and entrepreneurs to the area. Bridgeport has the space, housing stock affordability, and beauty of the surrounding nature to make it a viable alternative.

Sincerely,
Gloria Weber



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

May 26, 2021

James Young
89 Forest St
Bridgeport, CT 06604
oldgoldjy@gmail.com

RE: **PETITION NO. 1406A** - Doosan Fuel Cell America, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a grid-side 9.66-megawatt fuel cell facility and associated equipment to be located at 600 Iranistan Avenue, Bridgeport, Connecticut, and associated electrical interconnection to the United Illuminating Company's existing Congress Street Substation. NuPower Bridgeport FC, LLC
Reopening of this petition based on changed conditions pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-181a(b).

Dear James Young:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of your recent correspondence concerning Petition No. 1406A.

In reaching a final decision on a petition for a declaratory ruling, the Council carefully considers all of the facts contained in the evidentiary record that is developed by the Council, the petitioner, parties and intervenors in the proceeding, and all of the concerns received from members of the public who submit written statements to the Council.

This petition will be placed on a future Council meeting agenda for discussion and decision. Please note that you can view the petition filing on our website at <https://portal.ct.gov/CSC> under the "Pending Matters" link. You may also keep apprised of Council events on the website calendar and agenda.

Thank you for your interest and concern in this very important matter. Your correspondence will be entered in the public comment file related to this petition.

Sincerely,

s/ Melanie A. Bachman

Melanie A. Bachman
Executive Director

MAB/RDM/emr

c: Council Members

From: Jim Young <oldgoldjy@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 12:38 PM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>
Subject: Fuel cell tower

Dear Sirs or Madam,

I am writing in regard to Petition 1406A and the proposed fuel cell tower adjacent to I95 in Bridgeport. More importantly is the proximity to neighborhoods, particularly mine- Seaside Village. Built over 100 years ago, this gem is a picturebook show of pride-of-ownership, including revered brickwork and blooming gardens. We represent a disparate collection of mostly senior citizens who choose to live the urban life. We celebrate history and diversity. We resent the imposition of some dubious "green" development in our backyard. Please consider the grave footprint of this ill-conceived exploit. Thank you.

James Young
89 Forest St
Bridgeport

Hi Dennis, Please accept the following letter on behalf of Seaside Village and hundreds of residents in the South End.

Dennis Buckley
Director of Planning and Zoning
City of Bridgeport

April 23, 2021

Dear P&Z Committee Members,

Seaside Village and hundreds of residents in the South End are opposed to the siting of the 7-story gas-fueled fuel cell tower at 600-598 Iranistan Avenue and ask the committee to deny site reviews at its upcoming meeting. The NuPower/Doosan petition 1406 has already been denied by the CT Siting Council on the grounds of public health and safety. While NuPower has resubmitted its petition with some changes (1406A), it is likely to meet the same fate. Until NuPower has approval from the Siting Council, we feel it is a waste of public resources to move forward with site plan reviews.

Further, the power plant will be fueled by natural gas and will emit unacceptable levels of noise, CO₂, methane, nitrous oxide and other pollutants. It will further degrade our air quality, lead to even higher rates of asthma and, of course, contribute many tons of green house gases that cause global warming. By Nupower's own estimate, the plant will emit nearly *one million tons* of CO₂ during its 20-year life span, as well as tons of other pollutants.

This type of power plant converts natural gas to highly explosive hydrogen gas. The hydrogen gas is used to generate electricity. Although safety measures are planned, an accident would devastate our community and shutdown transportation on both the railroad, I-95 and at street level. The plant's setback from the thruway is only 12 feet, and it's only 30 feet from the railroad.

The 600 Iranistan site is at a sensitive intersection of the railroad, I-95, power lines and hundreds of homes. It is also the gateway to some of Bridgeport's most treasured cultural and recreational resources: the University of Bridgeport, Seaside Park, and historic Seaside Village. The plant would also be close to several neighborhood schools and the new affordable housing being built a couple of hundred yards away to replace Marina Village (Windward).

Please put a halt to further consideration of this ill-considered site.

Sincerely,

Joe Provey
Community representative per Seaside Village, Inc. board of directors

From: **Tanner Burgdorf** <tanner.burgdorf@gmail.com>
Date: Sat, Jun 5, 2021 at 10:29 PM
Subject: Petition 1406A - Fuel-Cell Tower - 600 Iranistan
To: <siting.council@ct.gov>

Dear Siting Council,

I am writing in regards to Petition 1406A.

My name is Tanner Burgdorf. I've been a resident of Seaside Village for 4 years and have worked for a community based non-profit organization focused on urban regeneration here in Bridgeport for the last 6 years.

I am opposed and troubled by the fuel tower siting proposal by Doosan and Nupower for 600 Iranistan Avenue. The proposed site location speaks to a narrative that is all too familiar with Bridgeport, a proposed siting of infrastructure that will do much more harm than good and further burden our community with yet another utility that will negatively impact it in the following two (2) ways that I am most compelled to speak to:

- Community health and well-being for low-income residents who will reside in The Windward Commons and low- to moderate-income residents living in Seaside Village. Additionally, the proposed site location of 600 Iranistan Avenue is across the street from Went Field Park, a popular park for residents living in the West Side/West End neighborhood, and a school, New Beginnings Family Academy. Placing a facility so close to these community assets: two densely populated housing developments for low- to moderate income residents, a public park, a school, and other households in the West End/West Side is the most disgraceful part of this plan. **This is a textbook case of environmental injustice being proposed in 2021** which is shameful, shocking, and disrespectful to every member of the Bridgeport community that would suffer from such a short-sighted and inhumane decision.

- Cultural resources including housing stock, education institutions, and parks, specifically Seaside Village, Seaside Park, Wentfield Park, and University of Bridgeport, would be made less desirable. Iranistan Avenue is a gateway into Seaside Village and a main drive into Seaside Park, the only coastal marine park, designed by Frederick Law Olmsted. Placing a 6-story industrial looking building in such close proximity to these cultural resources will disrupt the character of this street, weaken the historic nature of these places, and possibly impact economic development for the area.

As mentioned above this fuel tower siting proposal by Doosan and Nupower is a troubling example of environmental injustice attempting to scourge the city of Bridgeport...in 2021. The city of Bridgeport is already an environmental justice community from decisions made to overly burdened our community with land-use decisions that prioritize industry over people. I will vehemently stand against such an attempt to have this happen again in the community of Bridgeport, CT.

Regards,

Tanner Burgdorf
Resident of Seaside Village
287 Iranistan Avenue
Bridgeport, CT