

20 Church Street 20th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 Telephone: 860-525-5065 Fax: 860-527-4198 www.lockelord.com

David W. Bogan Direct Telephone: 860-541-7711 Direct Fax: 866-877-2145 david.bogan@lockelord.com

June 15, 2020

Via Electronic Mail and First Class Mail

Melanie A. Bachman, Esq. Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re: *Petition 1352* - Nutmeg Solar, LLC, petition for a declaratory ruling, pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes §4-176 and §16-50k, for the proposed construction, maintenance and operation of a 19.6-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic electric generating facility on approximately 162 acres comprised of 9 separate parcels located generally south of Bailey Road and east of Route 191 (Broad Brook Road), and associated electrical interconnection to Eversource Energy's Scitico Substation at 20 Bailey Road in Enfield, Connecticut - *Request for D&M Plan Modification*

Dear Ms. Bachman:

On April 26, 2019, the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") approved the abovereferenced Petition, permitting the establishment of a 19.6 MWac solar photovoltaic electric generating facility in Enfield, Connecticut. The Council approved the facility's Development and Management Plan ("D&M Plan") on January 17, 2020, wherein it delegated approval of any changes to the D&M Plan to Council staff in accordance with Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("RCSA") §16-50j-62(b).

In the Petition, Nutmeg Solar, LLC (the "Petitioner") proposed use of a seven-foot high agricultural fence that would meet all necessary security standards for the facility while softening the industrial appearance of the facility in views from Broad Brook Road. This seven-foot fence is presently unavailable for purchase. However, similar six- and eight-foot fence is available. Six-foot fence is available, but would not meet necessary security standards unless additional extensions (typically some sort of additional wiring) were added. This modified design would then meet security needs, but would not satisfy aesthetic objectives associated with the preference for agricultural fencing.

Atlanta | Austin | Boston | Brussels | Chicago | Cincinnati | Dallas | Hartford | Hong Kong | Houston | London | Los Angeles Miami | New Orleans | New York | Princeton | Providence | San Francisco | Stamford | Washington DC | West Palm Beach

Melanie A. Bachman, Esq. June 15, 2020 Page 2

Therefore, the Petitioner proposes to use the available eight-foot fence that will conform to the original purpose and intent of the use of agricultural fencing with a minimal change in appearance. Accordingly, pursuant to RCSA §16 50j 62(b), the Petitioner requests Council staff approval of an eight-foot agricultural fence in lieu of the originally proposed seven-foot agricultural fence.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned should you require further information.

Very truly yours,

David W. Bogan

DWB:

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on June 15, 2020, the foregoing was delivered by email in accordance with § 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, to all parties and intervenors of record, as follows:

Maria Eldsen, Esq. Town Attorney Town of Enfield 820 Enfield Street Enfield, CT 06082 townattorney@enfield.org

Duebo

David W. Bogan Commissioner of the Superior Court

Atlanta | Austin | Boston | Brussels | Chicago | Cincinnati | Dallas | Hartford | Hong Kong | Houston | London | Los Angeles Miami | New Orleans | New York | Princeton | Providence | San Francisco | Stamford | Washington DC | West Palm Beach