

10 INDUSTRIAL AVE, SUITE 3 MAHWAH NJ 07430

PHONE: 201.684.0055 FAX: 201.684.0066

June 26, 2019

Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification Andrews Road, Wolcott, CT 06617 Latitude: 41.6177000000 Longitude: -73.0045000000 T-Mobile Site#: CT11403A – L600

Dear Ms. Bachman:

T-Mobile currently maintains nine (9) antennas at the 77-foot level of the existing 80-foot lattice tower on Andrews Road, Wolcott, CT. The 80-foot lattice tower is owned and operated by Everest Infrastructure Partners. The property is owned by Frontier Communications. T-Mobile now intends to replace three (3) existing antennas with three (3) new 600/700 MHz antennas. The new antennas will be installed at the same 77-foot level of the tower.

Planned Modifications: Tower:

<u>Remove</u> N/A

<u>Remove and Replace</u>: (3) LNX-6515DS (Remove) – (3) APXVAARR24-43-U-NA20 Antenna (Replace) 600/700 MHz (3) RRUS11B12 (Remove) - Radio 4449 B71+B12 (Replace)

Install New: (3) 1-3/8" Hybrid Cables

Existing to Remain: (6) AIR 21 Antenna 1900/2100 MHz (3) TMA (1) 1-3/8" Hybrid Cable (12) 1-5/8" Coax

Ground:

Install New: Equipment inside existing 3206 cabinet

The tower facility was originally approved by the Council in Petition No. 67 on March 26, 1981. The proposed modification complies with the original approval. Please see the enclosed.

Please accept this letter as notification pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies§ 16- SOj-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). In accordance with R.C.SA. § 16-SOj-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to Mayor-Thomas G. Dunn, Mayor, and David Kalinowski, Zoning Inspector for the Town of Wolcott, as well as the tower owner and property owner.

The planned modifications to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S;A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The proposed modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the existing structure.

2. The proposed modifications will not require the extension of the site boundary.

3. The proposed modifications will not increase noise levels at the facility by six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.

4. The operation of the replacement antennas will not increase radio frequency emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communications Commission safety standard.

5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.

6. The existing structure and its foundation can support the proposed loading.

For the foregoing reasons, T-Mobile respectfully submits that the proposed modifications to the above referenced telecommunications facility constitute an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Sincerely,

Kyle Richers Transcend Wireless Cell: 908-447-4716 Email: <u>krichers@transcendwireless.com</u>

Attachments cc: Thomas Dunn – Town of Wolcott Mayor David Kalinowski– Town of Wolcott Zoning Inspector Everest Infrastructure Partners – Tower Owner Frontier Communications- Property Owner

From: Sent: To: Subject: UPS Quantum View <pkginfo@ups.com> Wednesday, June 26, 2019 9:11 AM krichers@transcendwireless.com UPS Ship Notification, Reference Number 1: CTHA506A CSC PO

You have a package coming. Scheduled Delivery Date: Thursda This message was sent to you at the re- information below has been transmitted tendered to UPS for shipment. To verify below. Shipment Details From:	ay, 06/27/2019 equest of TRANSCEND WIRELESS to notify you that the shipment d to UPS. The physical package may or may not have actually been y the actual transit status of your shipment, click on the tracking link
This message was sent to you at the reinformation below has been transmitted tendered to UPS for shipment. To verify below. Shipment Details From:	equest of TRANSCEND WIRELESS to notify you that the shipment d to UPS. The physical package may or may not have actually been y the actual transit status of your shipment, click on the tracking link
Shipment Details	
From:	
	TRANSCEND WIRELESS
Tracking Number:	1ZV257424291061988
Ship To:	Frontier Communications 401 Merritt 7 NORWALK, CT 068511000 US
UPS Service:	UPS GROUND
Number of Packages:	1
Scheduled Delivery:	06/27/2019
Signature Required:	A signature is required for package delivery
Weight:	1.0 LBS
Reference Number 1:	CTHA506A CSC PO



From: Sent: To: Subject: UPS Quantum View <pkginfo@ups.com> Wednesday, June 26, 2019 9:15 AM krichers@transcendwireless.com UPS Ship Notification, Reference Number 1: CTHA506A CSC TO



From:	UPS Quantum View <pkginfo@ups.com></pkginfo@ups.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, June 26, 2019 11:56 AM
То:	krichers@transcendwireless.com
Subject:	UPS Ship Notification, Reference Number 1: CT11403A CSC ZO

You have a package comi Scheduled Delivery Date: Th	ng. Tursday, 06/27/2019
This message was sent to you at a information below has been trans- tendered to UPS for shipment. To below.	the request of TRANSCEND WIRELESS to notify you that the shipment mitted to UPS. The physical package may or may not have actually been verify the actual transit status of your shipment, click on the tracking link
Shipment Detail	S
From:	TRANSCEND WIRELESS
Tracking Number:	1ZV257424294668014
Ship To:	David Kalinowski Town of Wolcott 10 Kenea Ave. WOLCOTT, CT 067162114 US
UPS Service:	UPS GROUND
Number of Packages:	1
Scheduled Delivery:	06/27/2019
Signature Required:	A signature is required for package delivery
Weight:	1.0 LBS
Reference Number 1:	CT11403A CSC ZO

From:	UPS Quantum View <pkginfo@ups.com></pkginfo@ups.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, June 26, 2019 11:32 AM
То:	krichers@transcendwireless.com
Subject:	UPS Ship Notification, Reference Number 1: CT11403A CSC EO

× Table	
You have a package comin Scheduled Delivery Date: Th	ng. ursday, 06/27/2019
This message was sent to you at t information below has been transr tendered to UPS for shipment. To below.	he request of TRANSCEND WIRELESS to notify you that the shipment nitted to UPS. The physical package may or may not have actually been verify the actual transit status of your shipment, click on the tracking link
Shipment Details	S
From:	TRANSCEND WIRELESS
Tracking Number:	1ZV257424294862009
Ship To:	Thomas G. Dunn Town of Wolcott 10 Kenea Ave. WOLCOTT, CT 067162114 US
UPS Service:	UPS GROUND
Number of Packages:	1
Scheduled Delivery:	06/27/2019
Signature Required:	A signature is required for package delivery
Weight:	1.0 LBS
Reference Number 1:	CT11403A CSC EO

ANDREWS RD

Location	ANDREWS RD	Mblu	106/ 1/ 42B/ /
Acct#	S0522200	Owner	SOUTHERN NEW ENG TEL CO
Assessment	\$116,960	Appraisal	\$167,090
PID	5792	Building Count	1

Current Value

Appraisal				
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total	
2016	\$70,090	\$97,000	\$167,090	
Assessment				
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total	
2016	\$49,060	\$67,900	\$116,960	

Owner of Record

Owner	SOUTHERN NEW ENG TEL CO	Sale Price	\$0
Co-Owner	C/O FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS	Certificate	
Address	401 MERRITT 7	Book & Page	59/ 443
	TAX DEPT	Sale Date	10/17/1957
	NORWALK , CT 06851	Instrument	25

Ownership History

Ownership History					
Owner	Sale Price	Certificate	Book & Page	Instrument	Sale Date
SOUTHERN NEW ENG TEL CO	\$0		59/ 443	25	10/17/1957

Building Information

Building 1 : Section 1

	Building Attributes	
Less Depreciation:	\$0	
Replacement Cost		
Building Percent Good:		
Replacement Cost:	\$0	
Living Area:	0	
Year Built:		

Building Attributes	
Field	Description
	Description

Style	Outbuildings
Model	
Grade:	
Stories	
Occupancy	
Exterior Wall 1	
Exterior Wall 2	
Roof Structure	
Roof Cover	
Interior Wall 1	
Interior Wall 2	
Interior Flr 1	
Interior Flr 2	
Heat Fuel	
Heat Type:	
AC Percent	
Total Bedrooms:	
Full Bthrms:	
Half Baths:	
Extra Fixtures	
Total Rooms:	
Bath Style:	
Kitchen Style:	
Num Kitchens	
Fireplace(s)	
% Attic Fin	
LF Dormer	
Foundation	
Bsmt Gar(s)	
Bsmt %	
SF FBM	
Fin Bsmt Qual	
Bsmt Access	

Building Photo



(http://images.vgsi.com/photos/WolcottCTPhotos//\00\01\13/80.

Building Layout

Building Layout

(http://images.vgsi.com/photos/WolcottCTPhotos//Sketches/5792

Building Sub-Areas (sq ft) Legend

Legend

No Data for Building Sub-Areas

•

Extra Features

Extra Features
No Data for Extra Features

Land Use

Land Line Valuation

Use Code	202	Size (Acres)	0.92
Description	Comm w/OB	Frontage	
Zone	R-30	Depth	
Neighborhood	CGEN	Assessed Value	\$67,900
Alt Land Appr	No	Appraised Value	\$97,000
Category			

Outbuildings

Outbuildings Leg						<u>Legend</u>
Code	Description	Sub Code	Sub Description	Size	Value	Bldg #
FN5	FENCE-10'CHAIN			365 L.F.	\$3,830	1
CELL	Cell	SH	Cell Shed	221 S.F.	\$24,860	1
CELL	Cell	SH	Cell Shed	368 S.F.	\$41,400	1

Valuation History

Appraisal							
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total				
2018	\$70,090	\$97,000	\$167,090				
2017	\$70,090	\$97,000	\$167,090				
2015	\$70,090	\$97,000	\$167,090				

Assessment							
Valuation Year	Improvements	Land	Total				
2018	\$49,060	\$67,900	\$116,960				
2017	\$49,060	\$67,900	\$116,960				
2015	\$49,060	\$67,900	\$116,960				

(c) 2019 Vision Government Solutions, Inc. All rights reserved.



₩÷ 🕰 🐔 🐔

Disclaimer: This map is for informational purposes only. All information is subject to verification by any user. The Town of Wolcott and its mapping contractors assume no legal responsibility for the information contained herein.

Map Produced Mar 2019



STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS REGULATION POWER FACILITY EVALUATION COUNCIL

> Petition No. 67 Wolcott, Connecticut March 26, 1981

Mr. Doocy, Mr. Clapp, Mr. Wood, and Mr. Reid met Mr. Kischell and Mr. Bailey of the Southern New England Telephone Company to review the first half of Petition No. 67. Telecommunication facilities were viewed in Wolcott, Waterbury, and Meriden. The second half of Petition No. 67 involves facilities in Shelton, Norwalk, and Bridgeport. These were reviewed on March 31, 1981.

The first half of this petition involves the following changes at the Barry Avenue site in Wolcott: (a) replacing an existing 90 foot tall triangular lattice steel tower with an 80 foot tall square lattice steel tower; (b) replacing two microwave dishes and two reflectors with four new microwave dishes; (c) adding a 12' x 16' concrete radio building and a new fuel storage tank at the base of the tower and extending the fence to encompass the new facilities. Additional changes include: (d) adding two microwave antennae to the Waterbury East Tower in Waterbury and another concrete radio building; and (e) adding one microwave antenna to the West Peak tower in Meriden.

The Wolcott site is in a single family dwelling residential area near the top of Clinton Hill. The tower is visible from several locations within the area. The tower base and radio building are partially screened by vegetation from the nearest residence and are not visible from other residences. The new tower will be located several feet northeast of the existing tower at approximately the same ground elevation. The proposed tower will be 80 feet tall and more narrow than the existing tower; it will be square instead of triangular. The new microwave antennae are to be mounted on a platform at the top of the tower.

The soil appears shallow but stable, and a few bedrock outcrops appear on the site. The proposed tower will require new foundations which will be set in soil or bedrock. If the soil is too shallow or the bedrock unsuitable, some blasting may be necessary.

• A new concrete building will be constructed at the base of the tower and will • accomodate the generator used for emergency power. The existing fence will be extended to enclose this facility.

The existing tower will remain in place for approximately six months or until the new facility is operating properly. Then the existing tower will be dismantled and removed.

According to the SNETCO representatives, this proposal has been approved by the Wolcott Planning and Zoning Commission.

The Waterbury East tower is located adjacent to a water tower and several other cable TV or telecommunication towers on top of Long Hill in Waterbury. The site is surrounded by single and multiple family dwellings, commercial, and industrial properties. Both the telecommunication tower and the water tower are visible

> Phone 566-5612 State Office Building — Hartford, Connecticut 06115 An Equal Opportunity Employer

from many viewpoints in the Waterbury area. Two microwave antennae are to be mounted at the 80 foot level to the existing 90 foot tower. Once the new facilities are operating, two narrow 80 foot tall towers presently on the site can be removed. These two towers now support reflectors which relay signals from the Waterbury central office to Wolcott. A new radio building will be constructed at the base of the tower and the existing fence will be extended to surround this new building. The radio building will house an emergency generator, the new radio equipment, and future radio equipment when existing facilities are replaced. An existing building presently storing a temporary generator may be removed after the new building is constructed. According to SNETCO representatives, this proposal has received planning and zoning approval.

The Meriden tower is adjacent to West Peak State Park and several telecommunication towers on the top of West Peak. The existing telecommunication facilities on West Peak are relatively well screened from most locations within the state park, but they are a prominent feature on the ridge top as seen from viewpoints in the Meriden area and can be seen up to many miles away on clear days.

The telephone company's tower presently supports seven microwave antennae. SNETCO proposes to add one microwave dish to the existing tower at the 90 foot level to complete a route from Meriden to the Wolcott Tower. The existing North Branform to Wolcott route will be eliminated, and an antenna at the North Branford tower may be removed when the Meriden to Wolcott route is in service. No additional buildings are proposed at this site.

Duncan C. Reid Environmentalist March 30, 1981



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS REGULATION POWER FACILITY EVALUATION COUNCIL

> Petition No. 67 Norwalk, Connecticut March 31, 1981

Commissioner Boucher, Mr. Clapp, Christopher Wood and Duncan Reid met Mr. Bailey and Mr. Kischell of the Southern New England Telephone Company to review the second part of Petition No. 67 which involved facilities in Norwalk, Bridgeport, and Shelton. The first part of this petition involves facilities located in Wolcott, Waterbury, and Meriden which were visited on Thursday, March 26th.

In Norwalk one dish is to be mounted on an existing 350 foot tower located at a telephone company service center immediately north of Route 1. The dish will be directed toward the existing tower in Bridgeport. The general area around the Norwalk site appears to be commercial, residential, and industrial. The tower is visible from many locations in the area.

The Bridgeport tower (40 feet tall) is located on top of the Central Office Building in downtown Bridgeport. One dish will be mounted at approximately the 30 foot level and directed tower the new dish in Norwalk. The location of the tower on top of the office building diminishes its visual impact.

The 181 foot tower in Shelton is located in a rural residential area. One 5 foot dish will be removed and a 12 foot dish mounted in the same location and directed toward an existing facility in Derby. A new and large dish is required in Shelton to prevent interference with transmissions from Shelton to New Haven. This tower is visible from selected locations within the immediate area and from some distant viewpoints.

No additional radio buildings, generators, or fuel tanks, are planned for the facilities in Norwalk, Bridgeport, and Shelton.

Duncan C. Reid Environmentalist March 31, 1981

> Phone 566-5612 State Office Building — Hartford, Connecticut 06115 An Equal Opportunity Employer

- Mobile-WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY WOLCOTT/ANDREWS RD. 1 SITE ID: CT11403A ANDREWS RD WOLCOTT, CT 06716

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2015 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE AS MODIFIED BY THE 2018 CONNECTICUT SUPPLEMENT, INCLUDING THE TIA/EIA-222 REVISION "G" "STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL ANTENNA TOWERS AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURES." 2017 CONNECTICUT FIRE SAFETY CODE, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE AND LOCAL CODES.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW ALL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENT SET. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL WORK SHOWN IN THE SET OF DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE SET OF DRAWINGS TO ALL SUBCONTRACTORS AND ALL RELATED PARTIES. THE SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL EXAMINE ALL THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE INFORMATION THAT AFFECTS THEIR WORK.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE BUILD-OUT WITH ALL FINISHES, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS AND PROVIDE ALL ITEMS AS SHOWN OR INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS OR IN THE WRITTEN SPECIFICATIONS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH ALL MATERIAL, LABOR AND EQUIPMENT TO COMPLETE THE WORK AND FURNISH A COMPLETED JOB ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE GOVERNING AUTHORITIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES HAVING LAWFUL JURISDICTION OVER THE WORK.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE AND PAY FOR ALL PERMITS AND ALL INSPECTIONS REQUIRED AND SHALL ALSO PAY FEES REQUIRED FOR THE GENERAL CONSTRUCTION, PLUMBING, ELECTRICAL AND HVAC. PERMITS SHALL BE PAID FOR BY THE RESPECTIVE SUBCONTRACTORS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A CURRENT SET OF DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS ON SITE AT ALL TIMES AND INSURE DISTRIBUTION OF NEW DRAWINGS TO SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RELEVANT PARTIES AS SOON AS THEY ARE MADE AVAILABLE. ALL OLD DRAWINGS SHALL BE MARKED VOID AND REMOVED FROM THE CONTRACT AREA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AN 'AS-BUILT' SET OF DRAWINGS TO OWNER UPON COMPLETION OF PROJECT.
- LOCATION OF EQUIPMENT, AND WORK SUPPLIED BY OTHERS THAT IS DIAGRAMMATICALLY INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS SUBJECT TO STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS AND WORK OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS.
- THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO DETERMINE CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE AND SEQUENCE, AND TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES AND ITS COMPONENT PARTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. THIS INCLUDES THE ADDITION OF WHATEVER SHORING, BRACING, UNDERPINNING, ETC. THAT MAY BE NECESSARY.
- DRAWINGS INDICATE THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, BUT IF ANY WORK SHOULD BE INDICATED TO BE SUBSTANDARD TO ANY ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES, OR REGULATIONS BEARING ON THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INCLUDE IN HIS WORK AND SHALL EXECUTE THE WORK CORRECTLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES OR REGULATIONS WITH NO INCREASE IN COSTS.
- 10. ALL UTILITY WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL UTILITY COMPANY REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS.

- 11. ALL EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS PURCHASED CONTRACTOR AND ALL APPLICABLE SUBCONTRA CONDITION PER MFR.'S RECOMMENDATIONS. CO THESE ITEMS AT NO COST TO OWNER OR CO
- 12. ANY AND ALL ERRORS, DISCREPANCIES, AND 'MISSED" ITEMS ARE TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE T-MOBILE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER DURING THE BIDDING PROCESS BY THE CONTRACTOR. ALL THESE ITEMS ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE BID. NO 'EXTRA' WILL BE ALLOWED FOR MISSED ITEMS.
- 13. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ON-SITE SAFETY FROM THE TIME THE JOB IS AWARDED UNTIL ALL WORK IS COMPLETE AND ACCEPTED BY THE OWNER.
- 14. CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW ALL SHOP DRAWINGS AND SUBMIT COPY TO ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. DRAWINGS MUST BEAR THE CHECKER'S INITIALS BEFORE SUBMITTING TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER FOR REVIEW.
- 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, ANGLES, AND EXISTING CONDITIONS AT THE SITE, PRIOR TO FABRICATION AND/OR INSTALLATION OF ANY WORK IN THE CONTRACT AREA.
- 16. COORDINATION, LAYOUT, FURNISHING AND INSTALLATION OF CONDUIT AND ALL APPURTENANCES REQUIRED FOR PROPER INSTALLATION OF ELECTRICAL AND TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 17. ALL DAMAGE CAUSED TO ANY EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE HELD LIABLE FOR ALL REPAIRS REQUIRED FOR EXISTING STRUCTURES IF DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- 18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT "CALL BEFORE YOU DIG" AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATIONS AT 1-800-922-4455. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE IDENTIFIED AND CLEARLY MARKED. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN AND PROTECT MARKED UTILITIES THROUGHOUT PROJECT COMPLETION.
- 19. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH OWNERS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER ON ALL METHODS AND PROVISIONS FOR ALL EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES INCLUDING SOIL DISPOSAL. ALL BACKFILL MATERIALS TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

ARE TO BE REVIEWED BY
ACTORS FOR ANY
ONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY
NSTRUCTION MANAGER.

SITE DIRECTIONS					
FROM: 35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002	TO:	ANDREWS RD WOLCOTT,CT 06716			
 HEAD NORTH ON GRIFFIN ROAD S. TOWARD HARTMAN RD. TAKE THE 2ND RIGHT ONTO DAY HILL RD. TAKE THE 1ST RIGHT ONTO BLUE HILLS AVENUE EXT/CT-187 TURN LEFT ONTO CT-305/OLD WINDSOR RD. STAY STRAIGHT TO GO ONTO BLOOMFIELD AVE/CT-305. MERGE ONTO I-91 S TOWARD HARTFORD MERGE ONTO I-84 W via EXIT 32A TOWARD WATERBURY TAKE THE CT-322 EXIT, EXIT 28, TURN LEFT ONTO MERIDEN WATERBU TAKE RIGHT ONTO EAST ST/CT-322 CT-322 BECOMES BEACH ROAD TAKE RIGHT ONTO ANDREWS ROAD 	ry turnpike	0.21 MI. 0.14 MI. 1.89 MI. 2.32 MI. 0.01 MI. 5.66 MI. 22.17 MI. 1.89 MI. 4.32 MI. 0.58 MI. 0.58 MI. 0.90 MI.			



T-MOBILE RF CONFIGURATION

67D02C_2XAIR+10P

PROJECT SUMMARY

PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK CONSISTS OF A MODIFICATION TO THE UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY INCLUDING THE FOLLOWING

- A. REMOVE (3) EXISTING ANTENNA, (1) PER SECTOR B. INSTALL (3) NEW RFS ANTENNAS, (1) PER SECTOR.
- C. REMOVE (3) EXISTING RRUS, (1) PER SECTOR.
- D. INSTALL (3) NEW RADIO 4449, (1) PER SECTOR. E. INSTALL (3) 6X12 HYBRID CABLE.
- F. SWAP EXISTING (1) 100 AMP MAIN BREAKER WITH (1) 200 AMP MAIN BREAKER. G. INSTALL (8) 25A BREAKERS
- H. SWAP (1) DUS41 WITH (1) BB 6630 AND INSTALL (1) ADDITIONAL BB 6630.
- I. ADD (1) SPD BOX J. SWAP PBC 6500 WITH PBC 6200

PROJECT INFORMATION

SITE NAME:	WOLCOTT/ANDREWS RD1
SITE ID:	CT11403A
SITE ADDRESS:	ANDREWS RD WOLCOTT, CT 06716
APPLICANT:	T—MOBILE NORTHEAST, LLC 35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
CONTACT PERSON:	DAN REID (PROJECT MANAGER) TRANSCEND WIRELESS, LLC (203) 592-8291
ENGINEER:	CENTEK ENGINEERING, INC. 63–2 NORTH BRANFORD RD. BRANFORD, CT 06405
PROJECT COORDINATES:	LATITUDE: 41°-37'-03.61" N LONGITUDE: 73°-00'-15.89" W GROUND ELEVATION: 1003'± AMSL
	SITE COORDINATES AND GROUND ELEVATION REFERENCED FROM GOOGLE EARTH.

SHEET INDEX						
SHT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	REV.				
T-1	TITLE SHEET	0				
N-1	DESIGN BASIS AND SITE NOTES	0				
C-1	SITE LOCATION PLAN	0				
C-2	COMPOUND PLAN AND ELEVATION	0				
C-3	ANTENNA MOUNTING CONFIGURATION	0				
E-1	TYPICAL ELECTRICAL DETAILS	0				



DESIGN BASIS:

GOVERNING CODE: 2015 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING (IBC) AS MODIFIED BY THE 2018 CT STATE BUILDING CODE AND AMENDMENTS.

1. DESIGN CRITERIA:

- RISK CATEGORY: II (BASED ON IBC TABLE 1604.5)
- ULTIMATE DESIGN SPEED (OTHER STRUCTURE): 25 MPH (Vasd) (EXPOSURE B/IMPORTANCE FACTOR 1.0 BASED ON ASCE 7-10) PER 2015 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE (IBC) AS MODIFIED BY THE 2018 CONNECTICUT STATE BUILDING CODE.
- SEISMIC LOAD (DOES NOT CONTROL): PER ASCE 7-10 MINIMUM DESIGN LOADS FOR ٠ BUILDING AND OTHER STRUCTURES.

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE GOVERNING BUILDING CODE.
- 2. DRAWINGS INDICATE THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, BUT IF ANY WORK SHOULD BE INDICATED TO BE SUBSTANDARD TO ANY ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES, OR REGULATIONS BEARING ON THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INCLUDE IN HIS WORK AND SHALL EXECUTE THE WORK CORRECTLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES OR REGULATIONS WITH NO INCREASE IN COSTS.
- 3. BEFORE BEGINNING THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING SUCH INVESTIGATIONS CONCERNING PHYSICAL CONDITIONS (SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE) AT OR CONTIGUOUS TO THE SITE WHICH MAY AFFECT PERFORMANCE AND COST OF THE WORK.
- 4. DIMENSIONS AND DETAILS SHALL BE CHECKED AGAINST EXISTING FIELD CONDITIONS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND COORDINATE THE SIZE AND LOCATION OF ALL OPENINGS. SLEEVES AND ANCHOR BOLTS AS REQUIRED BY ALL TRADES.
- 6. ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, AND OTHER REFERENCES TO EXISTING STRUCTURES, SURFACE, AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS ARE APPROXIMATE. NO GUARANTEE IS MADE FOR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION SHOWN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND COORDINATE ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, ANGLES WITH EXISTING CONDITIONS AND WITH ARCHITECTURAL AND SITE DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORK.
- 7. AS THE WORK PROGRESSES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE OWNER OF ANY CONDITIONS WHICH ARE IN CONFLICT OR OTHERWISE NOT CONSISTENT WITH THE CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS AND SHALL NOT PROCEED WITH SUCH WORK UNTIL THE CONFLICT IS SATISFACTORILY RESOLVED.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE SAFETY CODES AND REGULATIONS DURING ALL PHASES OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING AND MAINTAINING ADEQUATE SHORING, BRACING, AND BARRICADES AS MAY BE REQUIRED FOR THE PROTECTION OF EXISTING PROPERTY, CONSTRUCTION WORKERS, AND FOR PUBLIC SAFETY.
- 9. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO DETERMINE CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE AND SEQUENCE. AND TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES AND ITS COMPONENT PARTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. THIS INCLUDES THE ADDITION OF WHATEVER SHORING, BRACING, UNDERPINNING, ETC. THAT MAY BE NECESSARY. MAINTAIN EXISTING SITE OPERATIONS, COORDINATE WORK WITH NORTHEAST UTILITIES
- 10. THE STRUCTURE IS DESIGNED TO BE SELF-SUPPORTING AND STABLE AFTER FOUNDATION REMEDIATION WORK IS COMPLETE. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S SOLE RESPONSIBILITY TO DETERMINE ERECTION PROCEDURE AND SEQUENCE AND TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE STRUCTURE AND ITS COMPONENT PARTS DURING ERECTION. THIS INCLUDES THE ADDITION OF WHATEVER SHORING, TEMPORARY BRACING, GUYS OR TIEDOWNS, WHICH MIGHT BE NECESSARY.
- 11. ALL DAMAGE CAUSED TO ANY EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE HELD LIABLE FOR ALL REPAIRS REQUIRED FOR EXISTING STRUCTURES IF DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
- 12. SHOP DRAWINGS, CONCRETE MIX DESIGNS, TEST REPORTS, AND OTHER SUBMITTALS PERTAINING TO STRUCTURAL WORK SHALL BE FORWARDED TO THE OWNER FOR REVIEW BEFORE FABRICATION AND/OR INSTALLATION IS MADE. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL INCLUDE ERECTION DRAWINGS AND COMPLETE DETAILS OF CONNECTIONS AS WELL AS MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATION DATA WHERE APPROPRIATE. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL BE CHECKED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND BEAR THE CHECKER'S INITIALS BEFORE BEING SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW.
- 13. NO DRILLING WELDING OR TAPING ON EVERSOURCE OWNED EQUIPMENT.
- 14. REFER TO DRAWING T1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND REQUIREMENTS.

STRUCTURAL STEEL

- (FY = 46 KSI)
 - (FY = 42 KSI)PIPE---ASTM A53 (FY = 35 KSI)
 - U-BOLTS---ASTM A36 ANCHOR RODS---ASTM F 1554
- WELDING ELECTRODE---ASTM E 70XX
- ELEVATIONS AND DETAILS.

- DELIVERY TO SITE.
- DISTORTIONS OR DEFECTS.
- ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM 780.
- COATINGS" ON IRONS AND STEEL PRODUCTS.
- HARDWARE".
- REVIEW.
- UNLESS OTHERWISE ON THE DRAWINGS.

- TRANSFER LOAD OVER ENTIRE CROSS SECTION.
- 16. FABRICATE BEAMS WITH MILL CAMBER UP.

- ENGINEER WITHIN TEN (10) WORKING DAYS OF THE DATE OF INSPECTION.

1. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL IS DESIGNED BY ALLOWABLE STRESS DESIGN (ASD)

STRUCTURAL STEEL (W SHAPES) -- ASTM A992 (FY = 50 KSI) STRUCTURAL STEEL (OTHER SHAPES) -- ASTM A36 (FY = 36 KSI) C. STRUCTURAL HSS (RECTANGULAR SHAPES)---ASTM A500 GRADE B,

D. STRUCTURAL HSS (ROUND SHAPES)---ASTM A500 GRADE B,

CONNECTION BOLTS---ASTM A325-N

2. CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW ALL SHOP DRAWINGS AND SUBMIT COPY TO ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. DRAWINGS MUST BEAR THE CHECKER'S INITIALS BEFORE SUBMITTING TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING: SECTION PROFILES, SIZES, CONNECTION ATTACHMENTS, REINFORCING, ANCHORAGE, SIZE AND TYPE OF FASTENERS AND ACCESSORIES. INCLUDE ERECTION DRAWINGS,

3. STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE DETAILED, FABRICATED AND ERECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST PROVISIONS OF AISC MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION.

4. PROVIDE ALL PLATES, CLIP ANGLES, CLOSURE PIECES, STRAP ANCHORS, MISCELLANEOUS PIECES AND HOLES REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE STRUCTURE. 5. FIT AND SHOP ASSEMBLE FABRICATIONS IN THE LARGEST PRACTICAL SECTIONS FOR

6. INSTALL FABRICATIONS PLUMB AND LEVEL, ACCURATELY FITTED, AND FREE FROM

7. AFTER ERECTION OF STRUCTURES, TOUCHUP ALL WELDS, ABRASIONS AND NON-GALVANIZED SURFACES WITH A 95% ORGANIC ZINC RICH PAINT IN

8. ALL STEEL MATERIAL (EXPOSED TO WEATHER) SHALL BE GALVANIZED AFTER FABRICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A123 "ZINC (HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED)

9. ALL BOLTS, ANCHORS AND MISCELLANEOUS HARDWARE SHALL BE GALVANIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A153 "ZINC COATING (HOT-DIP) ON IRON AND STEEL

10. THE ENGINEER SHALL BE NOTIFIED OF ANY INCORRECTLY FABRICATED, DAMAGED OR OTHERWISE MISFITTING OR NON CONFORMING MATERIALS OR CONDITIONS TO REMEDIAL OR CORRECTIVE ACTION. ANY SUCH ACTION SHALL REQUIRE ENGINEER

11. CONNECTION ANGLES SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 1/4 INCHES. 12. STRUCTURAL CONNECTION BOLTS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM A325. ALL BOLTS SHALL BE 3/4" DIAMETER MINIMUM AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM OF TWO BOLTS,

13. LOCK WASHER ARE NOT PERMITTED FOR A325 STEEL ASSEMBLIES.

14. SHOP CONNECTIONS SHALL BE WELDED OR HIGH STRENGTH BOLTED.

15. MILL BEARING ENDS OF COLUMNS, STIFFENERS, AND OTHER BEARING SURFACES TO

17. LEVEL AND PLUMB INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE STRUCTURE TO AN ACCURACY OF 1:500, BUT NOT TO EXCEED 1/4" IN THE FULL HEIGHT OF THE COLUMN.

18. COMMENCEMENT OF STRUCTURAL STEEL WORK WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES WILL BE CONSIDERED ACCEPTANCE OF PRECEDING WORK. 19. INSPECTION AND TESTING OF ALL WELDING AND HIGH STRENGTH BOLTING SHALL BE

PERFORMED BY AN INDEPENDENT TESTING LABORATORY. 20. FOUR COPIES OF ALL INSPECTION TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE













- PROPOSED PBC 6200 CABINET						
	EXISTING • TO B	PBC 6500 E REMOVEI	CAB D ANE	INET) repi	ACED	
_	EXISTING	ERICSSON	KR2	3206	CABINET	
				7006		

- EXISTING ALARM RACK

- EXISTING ALARM RACK

- EXISTING POWER PANEL

EXISTING T-MOBILE POWER PANEL
 REPLACE 100 AMP MAIN BREAKER WITH 200 AMP MAIN BREAKER

$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 $	

		_		 		П
						Т
		Ľ	Γ			
	Ľ	Ľ	Γ			
	\square				\square	







EXISTING ICE BRIDGE	
EXISTING T-MOBILE GPS	
EXISTING (2) DISH ANTENNA BY	
	-
	\vdash
	 111

INSTALL (3) 6X12 HYBRID CABLES -

- EXISTING (3) DISH ANTENNA BY OTHERS (TYP)

 $\begin{array}{c} & \underbrace{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \underbrace{\mathsf{EXISTING/PROPOSED}}_{\text{EL.}} & \underbrace{\mathsf{T-MOBILE}}_{\text{ATENNAS}} \\ & \underbrace{\mathsf{ATT}'}_{\text{A.G.L.}} \\ \end{array}$

\$	<u>TOP</u> OF <u>EXISTING</u> EL. ±83'A.G.L.	PLATFORM	 	
Þ	TOP_OF_TOWER EL. ±80' A.G.L.		 	·····





ANTENNA SUPPORT PIPE-MECHANICAL DOWNTILT BRACKET FRONT

3



MAKE: MODEL:

AL	PHA/BETA/GAMMA ANTENNA	
EQUIPMENT	DIMENSIONS	WEIGHT
MAKE: RFS MODEL: APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20	95.9"L x 24"W x 8.7"D	153 LBS.

	·		
	ALPH	IA/BETA/GAMMA ANTENNA	
	EQUIPMENT	DIMENSIONS	WEIGHT
MAKE: MODEL:	RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20	95.9"L x 24"W x 8.7"D	153 LBS.

	• •		· ·	
4 LEFT		HE W		RIGHT
•°@ @	B1	R BITTORE MARK	B2 1855 2288949	
		62	102	



PROPOSED PLUMBING DIAGRAM



PROPOSED ANTENNA DETAIL

SCALE: NOT TO SCALE E-1



RISER DIAGRAM NOTES

- (1) EXISTING T-MOBILE 100A DISTRIBUTION PANEL TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED.
- (2) CONDUITS AND CONDUCTORS SERVING EXISTING RADIO EQUIPMENT CABINETS TO BE REMOVED.
- (3) CONDUITS AND CONDUCTORS FEEDING EXISTING 100A PANEL TO BE REMOVED.
- (3) #3/0 AWG, (1) #6 AWG GROUND, 2 1/2" CONDUIT CONNECTED TO SOURCE PREVIOUSLY FEEDING REMOVED 100A PANEL. MAXIMUM CIRCUIT LENGTH OF 200FT. VERIFY LOCATION IN FIELD.
- 5 NEW 200A, 240V, SINGLE PHASE, 30 POSITION, NEMA 3R PANEL WITH COPPER BUS, BOLT ON CIRCUIT BREAKERS AND 200A/2P MAIN CIRCUIT BREAKER.
- (3) #10 AWG, (1) #10 AWG GROUND, 3/4" CONDUIT CONNECTED TO NEW 25A/2P CIRCUIT BREAKER (TYPICAL OF 8)
- 7 NEW PBC6200 (REPLACE PBC6500)
- (8) EXISTING 200A DISTRIBUTION PANEL TO REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH NEW 400A, 240V, SINGLE PHASE DISTRIBUTION PANEL. PROVIDE WITH (3) #600 KCMIL, (1) #3 AWG GROUND, 4" CONDUIT ROUTED BACK TO PANEL SOURCE CONNECTED TO NEW 400A/2P CIRCUIT BREAKER. COORDINATE ANY REQUIRED SERVICE UPGRADES WITH BUILDING OWNER AND LOCAL UTILITY COMPANY. COORDINATE ALL OUTAGES WITH OWNER. VERIFY SOURCE LOCATION IN FIELD.
- (9) EXISTING 100A, 240V RATED EMON DMON SUBMETER TO BE REMOVED. REMOVE ALL ASSOCIATED CONDUITS, CONDUCTORS, AND ACCESSORIES.
- 10 NEW 200A, 240V RATED, SINGLE PHASE, EMON DMON SUBMETER MOUNTED IN OWNER APPROVED LOCATION. PROVIDE WITH ALL CONDUITS, CONDUCTORS, AND ACCESSORIES AS REQUIRED BY MANUFACTURER.
- 1 NEW SURGE PROTECTION DEVICE. PROVIDE WITH CONDUITS, CONDUCTORS, AND FUSE ASSEMBLIES AS REQUIRED BY MANUFACTURER. (RAYCAP 2080 OR APPROVED EQUAL)





ELECTRICAL POWER RISER DIAGRAM SCALE: NOT TO SCALE





	BATTERY CABINET	
EQUIPMENT	DIMENSIONS	1
MAKE: ERICSSON MODEL: PBC 6200	60.82"H x 23"W x 23"D	21



ERICSSON BATTERY CABINET DETAIL E-2 SCALE: NOT TO SCALE

Sh	JO	DA SC JO				PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER SEAL			
eet	BN	TE: Ale							
E	io.		WIRFLESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	Centered on Solutions ^{**}		CONAL CONAL			
D	T L				- Tr - Tr	Contraction of the second			
	19 YF	0: A:		(203) 488 0580		ILCI AND COMPANY			
ΓA	902 91(TF	5/0 5 N 902		(203) 488-8587 FOX					
of	27.2 CA	01/ IOT		63-2 North Branford Road					
2 7		19 ED		Branford, CT 06405					
	\L		ANDREWS RD		Transcend Wireless	CENSED OF			
						NUL EVILLE	0 06/26/19 RTS	CAG CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS - ISSUED FOR CON	NSTRUCTION
				www.CentekEng.com			REV. DATE DRAWN	IV CHK'D BY DESCRIPTION	

Battery string 1

/EIG	нт	
82	LBS.	



Structural Analysis of a 80 ft Self-Supporting Tower

Site Number: T-Mobile CT11403A

Site Name: Wolcott County: New Haven Location: Wolcott, CT Tower Stress Rating: 65.4%





10 Industrial Ave., Suite 3

Mahwah, NJ 07430

June 2019



June 4, 2019

Kyle Richers Transcend Wireless 10 Industrial Ave., Suite 3 Mahwah, NJ 07430

RE: T-Mobile – CT11403A – Wolcott Andrews Road, Wolcott, CT

Kyle:

We have completed the structural analysis of the subject tower and **have found it to be adequate within the scope of this analysis to support the proposed antenna loading**. The tower was analyzed according to the code wind and ice parameters outlined in the *Code Requirements Table* following this letter.

The subject tower is a 80' self-supporting tower consisting of all-bolted sections with angle legs and bracing. Tower face dimensions range from 6'1" at the top to 10'7" at the base. Foundation details are based on the geotechnical report prepared by our office is December 2017 and dispersive wave foundation results prepared by FDH on July 2013.

The loading used in the analysis consisted of the existing antennas/lines as well as the following for T-Mobile at 77':

- (3) existing Ericsson Air21-B4A B2P antennas [one per sector]
- (3) existing Ericsson Air21-B2A B4P antennas [one per sector]
- (3) proposed RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 antennas [one per sector]
- (3) proposed Ericsson RRUS 4449 B7/B12 units [one per sector]
- (3) existing Andrew ETW190VS12UB TMAs [one per sector]
- (12) existing 1-5/8" coax and (4) proposed 1-3/8" hybrid fiber cables

The proposed feed lines or hybrid line are to be located as shown on drawing E-7.

The results of the analysis showed all tower and foundation elements to be loaded within allowable limits with a maximum stress rating of 65.4%. We recommend a post-construction inspection be completed by an engineer to document that tower-mounted equipment has been placed in compliance with the requirements of this analysis. For a detailed listing of tower performance, please see pages 6 and 7 of the calculations.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our professional services to Transcend Wireless and T-Mobile, and if you have any questions concerning this analysis, please contact us.

Sincerely,

ARMOR TOWER, INC.

atrick Propert

Patrick Propert Structural Design Engineer III



CODE REQUIREMENTS

Governing code:	CT State Building Code		
Code basis:	2015 IBC		
Referenced standard:	ANSI/TIA 222-G-2		
Basic wind speed: (3-sec. gust):	V_{ult}/V_{asd} : 120 mph/93 mph with no ice		
	50 mph with 3/4" concurrent ice		
Nominal design wind speed conversion for TIA 222	considerations based on IBC 1609.3.1		
County of site location:	New Haven		
ASCE 7 Special wind region:	No		
Structure/Risk Category:	II		
Exposure Category:	В		
Topographic Category:	1 - no topographic escalation		
Crest Height:	0 ft		

PRIMARY ASSUMPTIONS CONSIDERED IN THIS PROJECT

- 1. Leg A is assumed to be oriented west.
- 2. Allowable steel stresses are defined by AISC-LRFD-99/360-16 and all welds conform to AWS D1.1 specifications.



- 3. It is the client's responsibility to check with local authorities or the tower owner if a greater wind or ice loading is required to be considered in the analysis.
- 4. If reserved antennas/feed lines by other carriers or the tower owner are to be considered in this analysis, it is the responsibility of Transcend Wireless and its affiliates to provide this information.
- 5. Any deviation from the analyzed antenna loading will require a re-analysis of the tower for verification of structural integrity. This analysis has considered the proposed feed lines to be located as shown on drawing E-7.
- 6. This analysis assumes all tower members are galvanized adequately to prevent corrosion of the steel and that all tower members are in "like new" condition with no physical deterioration. This analysis also assumes the tower has been maintained properly per TIA 222-G Annex J recommended inspection and maintenance procedures for tower owners and is in a plumb condition. Armor Tower has not completed a condition assessment of the tower. Site observations indicate an adequately galvanized tower.
- 7. No accounting for residual stresses due to incorrect tower erection can be made. This analysis assumes all bolts are appropriately tightened providing necessary connection continuity and that the installation of the tower was performed by a qualified tower erector.
- 8. Foundation details are based on the geotechnical report prepared by our office on December 2017 and dispersive wave foundation results prepared by FDH on July 2013.
- 9. No conclusions, expressed or implied, shall indicate that Armor Tower has made an evaluation of the original design, materials, fabrication, or potential installation or erection deficiencies. Any information contrary to that assumed for the purpose of preparing this analysis could alter the findings and conclusions stated herein.

- 10. Tower member sizes and geometry are based on a tower mapping completed by this office in December 2017. Existing antenna loading is based on a previous analysis by this office dated December 2017 and on collocation app dated May 2019. Proposed equipment was outlined in a collocation app dated May 2019.
- 11. The investigation of the load carrying capacities of the antenna supporting frames/mounts is outside the scope of this analysis. Antenna mount certification can be completed under separate contract.



9 North Main Street, 2nd Floor, Cortland, NY 13045 (607)591-5381 Fax: (866)870-0840 www.ArmorTower.com



FAX: (866) 870-0840

^{1005:} 80' Self-Supporting 7	Fower Analysis	
Project: T-Mobile CT11403A Wo		
Client: Transcend Wireless	Drawn by: PEP	App'd:
^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 05/29/19	Scale: NTS
Path: Z:\Transcend Wireless\T-Mobile\CT11403A WolcottC	T-EI\TNX\WolcottNW actual section.eri	Dwg No. E-1

ELEVATION

77

77

67

67

67

67

67

67

67

37.5

37.5

37.5

37.5

27.5

27.5

27.5

27.5

17.5

17.5

17.5

17.5

7.5

7.5

7.5

7.5



TIA-222-G - 120 mph/50 mph 0.7500 in Ice Exposure B Leg Compression (K)

	Armor Tower, Inc.	^{Job:} 80' Self-Supporting 7	ower Analysis	
	9 N Main St	Project: T-Mobile CT11403A Wo	lcott	
IOWER	Cortland, NY 13045	Client: Transcend Wireless	Drawn by: PEP	App'd:
	Phone: (607) 591-5381	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 05/29/19	Scale: NTS
	FAX: (866) 870-0840	Path: Z:\Transcend Wireless\T-Mobile\CT11403A WolcottC	T-EI\TNX\WolcottNW actual section.eri	Dwg No. E-3

Feed Line Plan



Code: TIA-222-G Phone: (607) 591-5381 FAX: (866) 870-0840 Path:

Dwg No. E-7

ARMOR TOWER ENGINEERING	Job	80' Self-Supporting Tower Analysis	Page 1 of 7
Armor Tower, Inc. 9 N Main St	Project	T-Mobile CT11403A Wolcott	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19
Cortland, NY 13045 Phone: (607) 591-5381 FAX: (866) 870-0840	Client	Transcend Wireless	Designed by PEP

Load Combinations

Comb.	Description
No.	
1	Dead Only
2	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice
3	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg - No Ice
4	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 45 deg - No Ice
5	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 45 deg - No Ice
6	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice
7	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg - No Ice
8	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 135 deg - No Ice
9	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 135 deg - No Ice
10	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice
11	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg - No Ice
12	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 225 deg - No Ice
13	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 225 deg - No Ice
14	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg - No Ice
15	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg - No Ice
16	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 315 deg - No Ice
17	0.9 Dead+1.0 Wind 315 deg - No Ice
18	1.2 Dead+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
19	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 0 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
20	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 45 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
21	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 90 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
22	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 135 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
23	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 180 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
24	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 225 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
25	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 270 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
26	1.2 Dead+1.0 Wind 315 deg+1.0 Ice+1.0 Temp
27	Dead+Wind 0 deg - Service
28	Dead+Wind 45 deg - Service
29	Dead+Wind 90 deg - Service
30	Dead+Wind 135 deg - Service
31	Dead+Wind 180 deg - Service
32	Dead+Wind 225 deg - Service
33	Dead+Wind 270 deg - Service
34	Dead+Wind 315 deg - Service

Maximum Tower Deflections - Service Wind								
Section	Elevation	Horz.	Gov.	Tilt	Twist			
No.		Deflection	Load					
	ft	in	Comb.	0	0			
T1	80 - 60	0.579	32	0.0574	0.0034			
T2	60 - 40	0.339	32	0.0476	0.0027			
T3	40 - 20	0.157	32	0.0317	0.0015			
T4	20 - 0	0.043	32	0.0153	0.0006			

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
		Load				ft
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	
90'	2.5"ODx20' Omni	32	0.579	0.0574	0.0034	278030
85'	10' Dipole	32	0.579	0.0574	0.0034	278030
83'6"	4 FT DISH	32	0.579	0.0574	0.0034	278030
83'	Yagi	32	0.579	0.0574	0.0034	278030

Armor Tower, Inc. 9 N Main St Project T-Mobile CT11403A Wolcott	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19	
Cortland, NY 13045 Client Phone: (607) 591-5381 Transcend Wireless FAX: (866) 870-0840 Transcend Wireless	Designed by PEP	

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
		Load				ft
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	
80'	Top Platform - WolcottNW	32	0.579	0.0574	0.0034	278030
77'	Ericsson AIR21 B4A/B2P w. Mtg Pipe	32	0.541	0.0562	0.0033	278030
67'	(2) NNHH-65B-R4 w. Mtg Pipe	32	0.419	0.0516	0.0030	106934
37'6"	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	32	0.138	0.0296	0.0014	71268
27'6"	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	32	0.076	0.0213	0.0009	59419
17'6''	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	32	0.034	0.0134	0.0005	60766
7'6"	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	32	0.011	0.0057	0.0002	140690

Maximum Tower Deflections - Design Wind

Section	Elevation	Horz.	Gov.	Tilt	Twist
No.		Deflection	Load		
	ft	in	Comb.	0	0
T1	80 - 60	2.284	12	0.2254	0.0137
T2	60 - 40	1.344	12	0.1875	0.0110
T3	40 - 20	0.621	12	0.1253	0.0062
T4	20 - 0	0.170	12	0.0607	0.0024

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Design Wind

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
		Load				ft
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	-
90'	2.5"ODx20' Omni	12	2.284	0.2254	0.0137	72326
85'	10' Dipole	12	2.284	0.2254	0.0137	72326
83'6"	4 FT DISH	12	2.284	0.2254	0.0137	72326
83'	Yagi	12	2.284	0.2254	0.0137	72326
80'	Top Platform - WolcottNW	12	2.284	0.2254	0.0137	72326
77'	Ericsson AIR21 B4A/B2P w. Mtg Pipe	12	2.137	0.2207	0.0134	72326
67'	(2) NNHH-65B-R4 w. Mtg Pipe	12	1.657	0.2031	0.0122	27818
37'6''	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	12	0.549	0.1170	0.0056	18061
27'6''	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	12	0.302	0.0844	0.0036	15024
17'6''	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	12	0.136	0.0529	0.0021	15346
7'6"	L2 1/2x2x1/4 @ 5ft Vert.	12	0.044	0.0225	0.0008	35531

Bolt Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Bolt Grade	Maximum Load per Bolt	Allowable Load per Bolt	Ratio Load Allowable	Allowable Ratio	Criteria
				K	K			
T1	80	Leg	A307	6.14	17.89	0.343 🖌	1	Bolt DS
		Diagonal	A307	2.18	8.95	0.244 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Top Girt	A325N	0.07	11.15	0.007 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T2	60	Leg	A307	8.47	17.89	0.474 🖌	1	Bolt DS
		Diagonal	A307	1.84	8.95	0.205 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Top Girt	A325N	0.07	17.89	0.004 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
T3	40	Leg	A307	8.33	17.89	0.465 🖌	1	Bolt DS
		Diagonal	A307	3.67	8.95	0.410 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Horizontal	A307	2.63	8.95	0.294 🖌	1	Bolt Shear

ARMOR TOWER ENGINEERING	Job	80' Self-Supporting Tower Analysis	Page 3 of 7
Armor Tower, Inc. 9 N Main St	Project	T-Mobile CT11403A Wolcott	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19
Cortland, NY 13045 Phone: (607) 591-5381 FAX: (866) 870-0840	Client	Transcend Wireless	Designed by PEP

Section No.	Elevation	Component Type	Bolt Grade	Maximum Load	Allowable Load	Ratio Load	Allowable Ratio	Criteria
	ft			per Bolt K	per Bolt K	Allowable		
		Secondary Horizontal	A307	0.17	8.95	0.019 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Top Girt	A325N	1.38	10.47	0.132 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T4	20	Leg	A307	8.72	17.89	0.487 🖌	1	Bolt DS
		Diagonal	A307	3.94	8.95	0.440 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Horizontal	A307	3.04	8.95	0.340 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Secondary Horizontal	A307	0.20	8.95	0.022 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Top Girt	A325N	2.33	10.47	0.223	1	Member Block Shear

Compression Checks

Leg Design Data (Compression)

Dudle
капо
P_u
ϕP_n
260 1
300 🔹
402 1
402 🔹
6171 🖌
017 🔹
6511 🗸
054 🔻

Diagonal Design Data (Compression)

Section	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio
No.								P_u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	K	Κ	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	7'11-9/32"	3'9-1/8"	91.8	1.1900	-4.36	24.74	0.176 1
				K=1.00				0.170
T2	60 - 40	8'10-13/16"	4'3-19/32"	121.8	1.0600	-3.67	15.73	0.233 1 🖌
				K=1.00				0.235
T3	40 - 20	13'2-1/32"	6'5-17/32"	131.0	1.4400	-7.33	18.91	0.3881
				K=1.00				0.388
T4	20 - 0	14'2-7/8"	7'23/32"	143.8	1.7800	-7.88	19.45	0 405 1 🖌
				K=1.00				0.403

	Horizontal Design Data (Compression)											
Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u				
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	K	ϕP_n				
T3	40 - 20	8'2-5/8"	7'8-5/8"	188.6 K=1.00	1.1900	-4.39	7.56	0.580 1 🖌				
T4	20 - 0	9'8-5/8"	9'2-5/8"	112.7	1.1900	-5.10	19.76	0.258 1 🖌				

		dof	80' S	Page 4	Page 4 of 7			
Arm	or Tower, Inc. 9 N Main St	Project	Г	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19				
Cor Phon FAX	rtland, NY 13045 e: (607) 591-5381 I: (866) 870-0840	Client	Client Transcend Wireless					by PEP
Section No.	Elevation	L	Lu	Kl/r	A	P _u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u
	ft	ft	ft	K=0.50	in ²	K	K	ϕP_n

Secondary Horizontal Design Data (Compression)

-									
	Section	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio
	No.								P_u
		ft	ft	ft		in^2	K	Κ	ϕP_n
	T3	40 - 20	8'6-19/32"	8'19/32"	125.5	1.1900	-0.32	16.82	0.010 1
					K=1.00				0.019
	T4	20 - 0	10'1-5/16"	9'7-5/16"	150.0	1.1900	-0.32	11.95	0.027 1 🖌
					K=1.00				0.027

Top Girt Design Data (Compression)

Section	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio
No.								P_u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	K	Κ	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	6'2-1/32"	5'10-3/32"	118.3	1.4400	-0.18	22.33	0.008 1
				K=1.00				0.008
T2	60 - 40	6'2-1/32"	5'10-3/32"	122.6	3.6000	-0.13	52.84	0 002 1
				K=1.00				0.005
T3	40 - 20	7'6-3/8"	7'1-7/16"	173.9	1.1900	-2.37	8.89	0.2671
				K=1.00				0.207
T4	20 - 0	8'10-13/16"	8'4-13/16"	205.3	1.1900	-3.99	6.38	0.6261
				K=1.00				0.020

Inner Bracing Design Data (Compression)

Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	K	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	8'8-5/8"	8'4-11/16"	716.1 K=1.00	0.2485	-0.01	0.11	0.103*1
T2	60 - 40	8'8-5/8''	8'4-11/16"	716.1 K=1.00	0.2485	-0.00	0.11	0.037 1 🖌



Armor Tower, Inc.

9 N Main St

Cortland, NY 13045

Phone: (607) 591-5381

FAX: (866) 870-0840

JobPage80' Self-Supporting Tower Analysis5 of 7ProjectDateT-Mobile CT11403A Wolcott10:17:35 05/29/19ClientDesigned byTranscend WirelessPEP

Tension Checks

Leg Design Data (Tension)

Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	K	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	20'	5'	48.8	1.6528	19.27	71.90	0.268 1
T2	60 - 40	20'1/4"	5'1/8"	39.0	2.9063	43.77	126.42	0 346 ¹ 🖌
T3	40 - 20	20'1/4"	10'1/8"	64.6	3.6563	58.81	159.05	0.370^{1}
T4	20 - 0	20'3/8"	10'1/4"	65.3	4.5122	77.09	196.28	0.393 1

Diagonal Design Data (Tension)

Section	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio
No.								P_u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	K	K	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	7'11-9/32"	3'9-1/8''	58.6	0.7284	4.26	31.69	0.134 1 🖌
T2	60 - 40	8'10-13/16"	4'3-19/32"	87.2	0.6309	3.66	27.45	0.133 1
Т3	40 - 20	13'2-1/32"	6'5-17/32"	83.4	0.9159	6.51	39.84	0.163 1
T4	20 - 0	14'2-7/8"	7'23/32''	91.8	1.1299	6.88	49.15	0.140 1 🖌

	Horizontal Design Data (Tension)											
Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P_u				
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	K	Κ	ϕP_n				
T3	40 - 20	8'2-5/8"	7'8-5/8''	120.4	0.7284	5.25	31.69	0.166 1 🖌				
T4	20 - 0	9'8-5/8''	9'2-5/8"	143.9	0.7284	6.08	31.69	0.192 1 🖌				

	Secondary Horizontal Design Data (Tension)											
Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u				
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	K	ϕP_n				
T3	40 - 20	8'6-19/32"	8'19/32"	125.5	0.7284	0.34	31.69	0.011 1				
T4	20 - 0	9'3-15/32"	8'9-15/32"	137.2	0.7284	0.39	31.69	0.012 1 🖌				

Top Girt Design Data (Tension)											
Section	Elevation	L	L _u	Kl/r	A	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio			
No.	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	Κ	$\frac{P_u}{\phi P_n}$			

		Job	80' Se	Page 6	of 7			
Arm	o r Tower, Inc. 9 N Main St	Project	T-I	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19				
Cortland, NY 13045 Phone: (607) 591-5381 FAX: (866) 870-0840		Client		Designed by PEP				
Section No.	Elevation	L	Lu	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	K	ϕP_n
T1	80 - 60	6'2-1/32''	5'10-3/32"	75.3	0.9159	0.15	39.84	0.004 1 🖌
T2	60 - 40	6'2-1/32''	5'10-3/32"	122.6	2.4939	0.08	108.49	0.001 1 🖌
T3	40 - 20	7'6-3/8''	7'1-7/16"	111.1	0.7284	2.76	31.69	0.087 ¹ 🖌
T4	20 - 0	8'10-13/16''	8'4-13/16"	131.1	0.7284	4.66	31.69	0.147 1 🖌

	Inner Bracing Design Data (Tension)											
Section No.	Elevation	L	L_u	Kl/r	Α	P_u	ϕP_n	Ratio P _u				
	ft	ft	ft		in^2	Κ	Κ	ϕP_n				
T3	40 - 20	10'7-13/16"	10'2-7/8"	873.6	0.2485	0.12	11.18	0.011 1				
T4	20 - 0	12'7-3/32"	12'1-3/32"	1031.5	0.2485	0.26	11.18	0.023 1 🖌				

Section	Elevation	Component	Critical	Р	ϕP_{allow}	% Capacity	Pass
No.	ft	Type	Element	K	Κ		Fail
T1	80 - 60	Leg	1	-24.56	68.29	36.0	Pass
T2	60 - 40	Leg	43	-50.84	126.43	40.2	Pass
		-				47.4 (b)	
T3	40 - 20	Leg	85	-66.61	107.95	61.7	Pass
T4	20 - 0	Leg	123	-87.21	133.41	65.4	Pass
T1	80 - 60	Diagonal	11	-4.36	24.74	17.6	Pass
						24.4 (b)	
T2	60 - 40	Diagonal	59	-3.67	15.73	23.3	Pass
T3	40 - 20	Diagonal	96	-7.33	18.91	38.8	Pass
						41.0 (b)	
T4	20 - 0	Diagonal	134	-7.88	19.45	40.5	Pass
						44.0 (b)	
T3	40 - 20	Horizontal	105	-4.39	7.56	58.0	Pass
T4	20 - 0	Horizontal	143	-5.10	19.76	25.8	Pass
						34.0 (b)	
T3	40 - 20	Secondary Horizontal	109	-0.32	16.82	1.9	Pass
T4	20 - 0	Secondary Horizontal	148	-0.32	11.95	2.7	Pass
T1	80 - 60	Top Girt	7	-0.18	22.33	0.8	Pass
T2	60 - 40	Top Girt	50	-0.13	52.84	0.3	Pass
						0.4 (b)	
T3	40 - 20	Top Girt	93	-2.37	8.89	26.7	Pass
T4	20 - 0	Top Girt	131	-3.99	6.38	62.6	Pass
T1	80 - 60	Inner Bracing	6	-0.01	0.11	10.3	Pass
T2	60 - 40	Inner Bracing	48	-0.00	0.11	3.7	Pass
T3	40 - 20	Inner Bracing	90	0.12	11.18	1.1	Pass
T4	20 - 0	Inner Bracing	127	0.26	11.18	2.3	Pass
						Summary	
					Leg (T4)	65.4	Pass
					Diagonal (T4)	44.0	Pass
					Horizontal (T3)	58.0	Pass
					Secondary	2.7	Pass
					Horizontal (T4)		
					Top Girt (T4)	62.6	Pass

ARMOR TOWER ENGINEERING		Job 80' 3	Self-Supporting	Tower Ana	lysis	Page 7	of 7	
Armo	o r Tower, Inc. ON Main St	Project	Project T-Mobile CT11403A Wolcott			Date 10:17:38	Date 10:17:35 05/29/19	
Cortland, NY 13045 Phone: (607) 591-5381 FAX: (866) 870-0840		Client	Client Transcend Wireless		Designed F	Designed by PEP		
Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Critical Element	P K	$\phi P_{allow} \ K$	% Capacity	Pass Fail	
					Inner Bracing (T1) Bolt Checks RATING =	10.3 48.7 65.4	Pass Pass Pass	

SS Tower Pad & 4Pier Calculations



Foundation Design per ACI 318-08, TIA 222-G

Toe:



Centered on Solutions[™]

Structural Analysis Report

Antenna Mount Analysis

T-Mobile Site #: CT11403A

Andrews Road Wolcott, CT

Centek Project No. 19027.21

Date: May 3, 2019

Max Stress Ratio = 73.2%

Prepared for:

T-Mobile USA 35 Griffin Road Bloomfield, CT 06002



CENTEK Engineering, Inc. Structural Analysis – Mount Analysis T-Mobile Site Ref. ~ CT11403A Wolcott, CT May 3, 2019

Table of Contents

SECTION 1 - REPORT

- ANTENNA AND APPURTENANCE SUMMARY
- STRUCTURE LOADING
- CONCLUSION

SECTION 2 - CALCULATIONS

- WIND LOAD ON APPURTENANCES
- RISA3D OUTPUT REPORT

SECTION 3 - REFERENCE MATERIALS (NOT INCLUDED WITHIN REPORT)

• RF DATA SHEET, DATED 04/17/2019



May 3, 2019

Mr. Dan Reid Transcend Wireless 10 Industrial Ave Mahwah, NJ 07430

Re: Structural Letter ~ Antenna Mount T-Mobile – Site Ref: CT11403A Andrews Road Wolcott, CT 06716

Centek Project No. 19027.21

Dear Mr. Reid,

Centek Engineering, Inc. has reviewed the T-Mobile antenna installation at the above referenced site. The purpose of the review is to determine the structural adequacy of the existing critical mount, consisting of custom mounts attached to the host structure to support the proposed/existing equipment configuration. The review considered the effects of wind load, dead load and ice load in accordance with the 2015 International Building Code as modified by the 2018 Connecticut State Building Code (CTBC) including ASCE 7-10 and ANSI/TIA-222-G Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Supporting Structures.

The loads considered in this analysis consist of the following:

<u>T-Mobile:</u>

Equipment Mounts: Three (3) Ericsson AIR21 KRC118023-1_B2P_B4A panel antennas, three (3) RFS APXVAARR24-43-NA20 panel antennas, three (3) Ericsson - AIR21 KRC118023-1_B2A_B4P panel antennas, three (3) KRY112 TMAs and three (3) Ericsson 4449 B71_B12 remote radio units mounted on custom made mounts with a RAD center elevation of 77-ft +/- AGL.

The antenna mount was analyzed per the requirements of the 2015 International Building Code as modified by the 2018 Connecticut State Building Code considering a nominal design wind speed of 97 mph for Wolcott as required in Appendix N of the 2018 Connecticut State Building Code.

A structural analysis of tower and foundation needs to be completed prior to any work.

Based on our review of the installation, it is our opinion that the subject antenna mount has sufficient capacity to support the aforementioned antenna configuration. If there are any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to call.

Respectfully Submitted by:

Timothy J. Lynn, PE Structural Engineer



Prepared by:

Fernando Palacios E

Fernando J. Palacios Engineer

CENTEK Engineering, Inc.

Structural Analysis – Mount Analysis T-Mobile Site Ref. ~ CT11403A Wolcott, CT May 3, 2019

Section 2 - Calculations



Subject:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Loads on Equipment

Wolcott, CT

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Centered on Solutions[®] www.centekeng.com 63-2 North Branford Road P: (203) 488-0580 Branford, CT 06405

F: (203) 488-8587

Development of Design Heights, Exposure Coefficients, and Velocity Pressures Per TIA-222-G

Wind Speeds				
Basic Wind Speed	V := 97	mph	(Us	er Input - 2018 CSBC Appendix N)
Basic Wind Speed with Ice	$V_i := 50$	mph	(Us	er Input per Annex B of TIA-222-G)
Input				
Structure Type =	Structure_Ty	vpe ≔ Lattice	(Us	er Input)
Structure Category =	SC == II		(Us	er Input)
Exposure Category =	Exp≔B		(Us	er Input)
Structure Height =	h := 80	ft	(Us	er Input)
Height to Center of Antennas =	z := 77	ft	(Us	er Input)
Radial Ice Thickness =	$t_i := 0.75$	in	(Us	er Input per Annex B of TIA-222-G)
Radial Ice Density =	Id := 56.00	pcf	(Us	er Input)
Topograpic Factor =	$K_{zt} \coloneqq 1.0$		(Us	er Input)
	$K_a := 1.0$		(Us	er Input)
Gust Response Factor =	G _H = 1.22		(Us	er Input)
Output				
Wind Direction Probability Factor =	$K_{d} \coloneqq \left\ \begin{array}{c} if Struc \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right\ 0.95$	ture_Type = Pole	= 0.85	(Per Table 2-2 of TIA-222-G)
	if Struc	ture_Type = Lattice		(Per Table 2-3 of TIA-222-G)
Importance Factors =	I _{Wind} := if SC 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.1	= 1 = 1 37 = 2 50 = 3 5 = 1 15		
	I _{Wind_w_Ice} := i: i: i:	$ \begin{cases} SC = 1 \\ \ 0 \\ f SC = 2 \\ \ 1.00 \\ f SC = 3 \\ \ 1.00 \\ \end{bmatrix} $		
$K_{1z} := \left(\frac{z}{33}\right)^{0.1} = 1.088$	$I_{ice} := \left\ \begin{array}{c} if \ SC = \\ \ 0 \\ if \ SC = \\ \ 1.00 \\ if \ SC = \\ \ 1.25 \\ \end{array} \right\ $	1 = 1 2 3		
Velocity Pressure Coefficient Antennas =	$t_{iz} \coloneqq 2.0 \cdot t_i \cdot I$ $Kz \coloneqq 2.01 \cdot \left(\left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \right) = 0$	$\left(\operatorname{ke} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{\underline{iz}} \cdot \mathbf{K}_{zt}^{0.35} = 1.6\right)^{\alpha} = 0.917$	933	
Velocity Pressure w/o Ice Antennas =	qz := 0.00256	•K _d •Kz•V ² •I _{Wind}	= 18.777	
Velocity Pressure with Ice Antennas =	qz _{ice} := 0.0025	6•K _d •Kz•V _i ² •I _W	_{ind} = 4.989	



Centered on Solutionswww.centekeng.com63-2 North Branford RoadP: (203) 488-0580 Branford, CT 06405

F: (203) 488-8587

Subject:

Location:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Loads on Equipment

<mark>lbs</mark>

Wolcott, CT

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Development of Wind & Ice Load on Antennas

<u>Antenna Data:</u>					
Antenna Model =	RFS APXVARR24_4	3-C-NA	20		
Antenna Shape =	Flat		(User Input)		
Antenna Height =	L _{ant} := 95.9	in	(User Input)		
Antenna Width =	W _{ant} ≔ 19.7	in	(User Input)		
Antenna Thickness =	T _{ant} := 8.7	in	(User Input)		
Antenna Weight =	WT _{ant} := 133.4	lbs	(User Input)		
Number of Antennas =	N _{ant} ≔ 1		(User Input)		
Antenna Aspect Ratio =	$Ar_{ant} := \frac{L_{ant}}{W_{ant}} = 4.9$				
Antenna Force Coefficient =	Ca _{ant} = 1.31				
Wind Load (without ice)					
Surface Area for One Antenna =	$SA_{antF} := \frac{L_{ant} \cdot W_{ant}}{144} =$	13.1		sf	
Total Antenna Wind Force Front =	F _{ant} ≔qz∙G _H ∙Ca _{ant} ∙I	K _a ∙ SA _{ant}	_F = 392	<mark>lbs</mark>	
Surface Area for One Antenna =	$SA_{antS} := \frac{L_{ant} \cdot T_{ant}}{144} = 5$	5.8		sf	
Total Antenna Wind Force Side =	F _{ant} ≔qz∙G _H ∙Ca _{ant} ∙I	K _a • SA _{ant}	_{.s} = 173	<mark>lbs</mark>	
Wind Load (with ice)					
Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantF} := \frac{(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot $	$\frac{t_{iz} \cdot (W_a)}{144}$	$\frac{1}{1} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}}{1} = 15.8$	sf	
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Front =	Fi _{ant} ≔qz _{ice} ∙G _H ∙Ca _{an}	<mark>₊∙K</mark> ₄∙SA	A _{ICEantF} = 126	<mark>lbs</mark>	
Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantS} := \frac{(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot$	t _{iz}) • (T _{an} 144	$\frac{t+2\cdot t_{iz}}{z} = 8.2$	sf	
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Side =	$Fi_{ant} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant}$	t ∙ K _a • SA	ICEantS = 65	<mark>lbs</mark>	
Gravity Load (without ice)					
Weight of All Antennas =	WT _{ant} • N _{ant} = 133			lbs	
Gravity Loads (ice only)					
Volume of Each Antenna =	$V_{ant} \coloneqq L_{ant} \boldsymbol{\cdot} W_{ant} \boldsymbol{\cdot} T_{ant}$	= 2 • 10 ⁴		cu in	
Volume of Ice on Each Antenna =	$V_{ice} := (L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}) \cdot (V_{ice})$	N _{ant} + 2 •	t_{iz} · $(T_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz})$ -	V _{ant} = 1	• 10 ⁴
Weight of Ice on Each Antenna =	$W_{ICEant} \coloneqq \frac{V_{ice}}{1728} \cdot Id =$	350		lbs	

 $W_{ICEant} \cdot N_{ant} = 350$

Weight of Ice on All Antennas =



Centered on Solutions[™] <u>www.centekeng.com</u> 63-2 North Branford Road Branford, CT 06405

P: (203) 488-0580 F: (203) 488-8587 Subject:

Location:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Wolcott, CT

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Development of Wind & Ice Load on Antennas

|--|

Antenna Model =	Ericsson - AIR21 KR	C118023	-1_B2P_B4A
Antenna Shape =	Flat		(User Input)
Antenna Height =	$L_{ant} \coloneqq 55.9$	in	(User Input)
Antenna Width =	W _{ant} := 12.1	in	(User Input)
Antenna Thickness =	T _{ant} ≔ 7.8	in	(User Input)
Antenna Weight =	$WT_{ant} \coloneqq 90.4$	lbs	(User Input)
Number of Antennas =	N _{ant} := 1		(User Input)
Antenna Aspect Ratio =	$Ar_{ant} := \frac{L_{ant}}{W_{ant}} = 4.6$		

 $Ca_{ant} = 1.29$

Antenna Force Coefficient =

Surface Area for One Antenna =

Total Antenna Wind Force Front =

Surface Area for One Antenna =

Total Antenna Wind Force Side =

Wind Load (without ice)

$SA_{antF} := \frac{L_{ant} \cdot W_{ant}}{144} = 4.7$	sf
$F_{ant} \coloneqq qz \cdot G_{H} \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_{a} \cdot SA_{antF} = 139$	<mark>lbs</mark>
SA $\ldots = \frac{L_{ant} \cdot T_{ant}}{L_{ant}} = 3$	sf

$$F_{ant} \coloneqq qz \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{antS} = 90$$
Ibs

Wind Load (with ice)

Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantF} \coloneqq \frac{\left(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 6.3$	sf
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Front =	$Fi_{ant} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_{H} \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_{a} \cdot SA_{ICEantF} = 50$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantS} := \frac{\left(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 4.5$	sf
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Side =	$Fi_{ant} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{ICEantS} = 36$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Gravity Load (without ice)		
Weight of All Antennas =	$WT_{ant} \cdot N_{ant} = 90$	

Weight of All Antennas –	W lant Want = 70	lbs
Gravity Loads (ice only)		
Volume of Each Antenna =	$V_{ant} \coloneqq L_{ant} \bullet W_{ant} \bullet T_{ant} = 5276$	cu in
Volume of Ice on Each Antenna =	$V_{ice} \coloneqq \left(L_{ant} + 2 \boldsymbol{\cdot} t_{iz}\right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \left(W_{ant} + 2 \boldsymbol{\cdot} t_{iz}\right) \boldsymbol{\cdot} \left(T_{ant} + \right.$	$2 \cdot t_{iz}$) - $V_{ant} = 4783$
Weight of Ice on Each Antenna =	$W_{ICEant} := \frac{V_{ice}}{1728} \cdot Id = 155$	cu in Ibs
Weight of Ice on All Antennas =	W _{ICEant} • N _{ant} = 155	<mark>lbs</mark>

WT_{ant} • N_{ant} = 90



Centered on Solutions[™] <u>www.centekeng.com</u> 63-2 North Branford Road Branford, CT 06405

P: (203) 488-0580 F: (203) 488-8587 Subject:

Location:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Wolcott, CT

lbs

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Development of Wind & Ice Load on Antennas

Antenna Data:

Antenna Model =	Ericsson - AIR21 KRC118023-1_B2A_B		
Antenna Shape =	Flat		(User Input)
Antenna Height =	L _{ant} := 55.9	in	(User Input)
Antenna Width =	W _{ant} := 12.1	in	(User Input)
Antenna Thickness =	$T_{ant} \coloneqq 7.9$	in	(User Input)
Antenna Weight =	WT _{ant} ≔ 91.5	lbs	(User Input)
Number of Antennas =	N _{ant} := 1		(User Input)
Antenna Aspect Ratio =	$Ar_{ant} := \frac{L_{ant}}{W_{ant}} = 4.6$		

 $Ca_{ant} = 1.29$

Antenna Force Coefficient =

Surface Area for One Antenna =

Total Antenna Wind Force Front =

Surface Area for One Antenna =

Total Antenna Wind Force Side =

Wind Load (without ice)

$SA_{antF} := \frac{L_{ant} \cdot W_{ant}}{144} = 4.7$	sf
$F_{ant} \coloneqq qz \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{antF} = 139$	<mark>lbs</mark>
$SA_{antS} := \frac{L_{ant} \cdot T_{ant}}{2} = 3.1$	sf

$$F_{ant} := qz \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{antS} = 91$$

Wind Load (with ice)

Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantF} \coloneqq \frac{\left(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 6.3$	sf
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Front =	$Fi_{ant} := qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{ant} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{ICEantF} = 50$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Surface Area for One Antenna w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICEantS} := \frac{\left(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 4.6$	sf
Total Antenna Wind Force w/ Ice Side =	$Fi_{ant} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \bullet G_{H} \bullet Ca_{ant} \bullet K_{a} \bullet SA_{ICEantS} = 36$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Gravity Load (without ice)		

Weight of All Antennas =

 $WT_{ant} \cdot N_{ant} = 92$

. .

Gravity Loads (ice only)		
Volume of Each Antenna =	$V_{ant} := L_{ant} \cdot W_{ant} \cdot T_{ant} = 5343$	cu in
Volume of Ice on Each Antenna =	$V_{ice} \coloneqq \left(L_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{ant} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)$	$_{z}$) - V _{ant} = 4807
Weight of Ice on Each Antenna =	$W_{ICEant} \coloneqq \frac{V_{ice}}{1728} \cdot Id = 156$	cu in Ibs
Weight of Ice on All Antennas =	W _{ICEant} • N _{ant} = 156	<mark>lbs</mark>

Subject:

Loads on Equipment

lbs

lbs

Wolcott, CT

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Centered on Solutionswww.centekeng.com63-2 North Branford RoadP: (203) 488-0580 63-2 North Branford Road Branford, CT 06405

F: (203) 488-8587

Location:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Development of	Wind &	Ice Load	on RRUS's

RRUS Data:

RRUS Model =	Ericsson 4449 B71B12		
RRUS Shape =	Flat		(User Input)
RRUS Height =	L _{RRUS} := 14.9	in	(User Input)
RRUS Width =	W _{RRUS} := 13.2	in	(User Input)
RRUS Thickness =	T _{RRUS} := 10.4	in	(User Input)
RRUS Weight =	$WT_{RRUS} = 74$	lbs	(User Input)
Number of RRUS's =	N _{RRUS} ≔ 1		
RRUS Aspect Ratio =	$Ar_{RRUS} \coloneqq \frac{L_{RRUS}}{W_{RRUS}} = 1$.1	
RRUS Force Coefficient =	Ca _{RRUS} = 1.2		

Wind Load (without ice)

Surface Area for One RRUS =	$SA_{RRUSF} := \frac{L_{RRUS} \cdot W_{RRUS}}{144} = 1.4$	sf
Total RRUS Wind Force =	$F_{RRUS} \coloneqq qz \cdot G_{H} \cdot Ca_{RRUS} \cdot K_{a} \cdot SA_{RRUSF} = 38$	lbs
Surface Area for One RRUS =	$SA_{RRUSS} \coloneqq \frac{L_{RRUS} \cdot T_{RRUS}}{144} = 1.1$	sf

 $\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{RRUS}} \coloneqq \mathsf{qz} \cdot \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{H}} \cdot \mathsf{Ca}_{\mathsf{RRUS}} \cdot \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{a}} \cdot \mathsf{SA}_{\mathsf{RRUSS}} = 30$

Total RRUS Wind Force =

Wind Load (with ice)

Surface Area for One RRUS w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICERRUSF} \coloneqq \frac{\left(L_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 2.1$	sf
Total RRUS Wind Force w/ Ice =	$Fi_{RRUS} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{RRUS} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{ICERRUSF} = 15$	lbs
Surface Area for One RRUS w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICERRUSS} \coloneqq \frac{\left(L_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 1.7$	sf
Total RRUS Wind Force w/ Ice =	$Fi_{RRUS} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{RRUS} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{ICERRUSS} = 13$	lbs
Gravity Load (without ice)		
Weight of All RRUSs =	WT _{RRUS} • N _{RRUS} = 74	<mark>lbs</mark>
Gravity Loads (ice only)		
Volume of Each RRUS =	$V_{RRUS} \coloneqq L_{RRUS} \cdot W_{RRUS} \cdot T_{RRUS} = 2045$	cu in
Volume of Ice on Each RRUS =	$V_{ice} \coloneqq \left(L_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{RRUS} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)$	$_{z}$) - V _{RRUS} = 2042
Weight of Ice on Each RRUS =	$W_{ICERRUS} \coloneqq \frac{V_{ice}}{1728} \cdot Id = 66$	cu in Ibs

 $W_{ICERRUS} \cdot N_{RRUS} = 66$

Weight of Ice on All RRUSs =

CT11403A_TIA RevG Load Calculations.mcdx



Subject: Location:

Rev. 0: 05/03/19

Wolcott, CT

Prepared by: F.J.P Checked by: C.A.G. Job No. 19027.21

Centered on Solutionswww.centekeng.com63-2 North Branford RoadP: (203) 488-0580 Branford, CT 06405

F: (203) 488-8587

Development of Wind & Ice Load on TMA's

TMA Data:

TMA Model =	Ericsson KRY112 TM	A	
TMA Shape =	Flat	in	(User Input)
TMA Height =	L _{TMA} := 6.9	in	(User Input)
TMA Width =	W _{TMA} := 6.1	in	(User Input)
TMA Thickness =	T _{TMA} := 2.8	lbs	(User Input)
TMA Weight =	WT _{TMA} := 11		(User Input)
Number of TMA's =	$N_{TMA} \coloneqq 1$		(User Input)
TMA Aspect Ratio =	$Ar_{TMA} := \frac{L_{TMA}}{W_{TMA}} = 1.1$		
TMA Force Coefficient =	$Ca_{TMA} = 1.2$		

Wind Load (without ice)

Surface Area for One TMA =	$SA_{TMAF} := \frac{L_{TMA} \cdot W_{TMA}}{144} = 0.3$	sf
Total TMA Wind Force =	$F_{TMA} \coloneqq qz \bullet G_{H} \bullet Ca_{TMA} \bullet K_{a} \bullet SA_{TMAF} = 8$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Surface Area for One TMA =	$SA_{TMAS} := \frac{L_{TMA} \cdot T_{TMA}}{144} = 0.1$	sf
Total TMA Wind Force =	$F_{TMA} \coloneqq qz \boldsymbol{\cdot} G_{H} \boldsymbol{\cdot} Ca_{TMA} \boldsymbol{\cdot} K_{a} \boldsymbol{\cdot} SA_{TMAS} = 4$	<mark>lbs</mark>

Wind Load (with ice)

Surface Area for One TMA w/ Ice =	$SA_{ICETMAF} := \frac{\left(L_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(W_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 0.7$	sf
Total TMA Wind Force w/ Ice =	$Fi_{TMA} \coloneqq qz_{Ice} \cdot G_{H} \cdot Ca_{TMA} \cdot K_{a} \cdot SA_{ICETMAF} = 5$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Surface Area for One TMA w/ Ice =	$SA_{1CETMAS} := \frac{\left(L_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right) \cdot \left(T_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz}\right)}{144} = 0.4$	sf
Total TMA Wind Force w/ Ice =	$Fi_{TMA} \coloneqq qz_{ice} \cdot G_H \cdot Ca_{TMA} \cdot K_a \cdot SA_{iCETMAS} = 3$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Gravity Load (without ice)		
Weight of All TMAs =	$WT_{TMA} \cdot N_{TMA} = 11$	<mark>lbs</mark>
Gravity Loads (ice only)		
Volume of Each TMA =	$V_{TMA} \coloneqq L_{TMA} \cdot W_{TMA} \cdot T_{TMA} = 118$	cu in
Volume of Ice on Each TMA =	$V_{ice} \coloneqq \left(L_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz} \right) \cdot \left(W_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz} \right) \cdot \left(T_{TMA} + 2 \cdot t_{iz} \right)$	- V _{TMA} = 460 cu in
Weight of Ice on Each TMA =	W _{ICETMA} := $\frac{V_{ice}}{1728}$ ⋅ Id = 15	lbs
Weight of Ice on All TMAs =	W _{ICETMA} · N _{TMA} = 15	lbs



fţ`cVUŁAcXY`GYht]b[g

Öãr] æîÂÛ^&dā[}●Á[¦ÁT^{ à^¦ÁÔæ}&•	lí Á
Tæ¢Á0,c^¦}æ¦ÁU^&cãį}•Á{[¦ÁT^{{à^¦ÁÔæ}&•	JÏÁ
Q,& ĭå^ÁÜ@?æ\$ÁÖ^~{[¦{æeāj[}}Ñ	Ϋ́∧∙
Q,&¦^æ•^Áp-æä‡ä}*ÁÖæ);æ&ãĉÁ{¦¦ÁY ã}åÑ	Ϋ́∧∙
Ql& ĭå^ÁYæb]ā),*Ñ	Ϋ́^∙
V¦æ}∙ÁŠ[æåÁÓc,}ÁQ;c^¦∙^&cā}*ÁY[[åÁYæ∦Ñ	Ϋ́^●
Œ^ækš[æåÁT^•@ÁÇ)jà âŒ	FII
T^¦*^Á⁄[^¦æ);&^ÁÇ3;D	ÈG
ÚËÖ^ cæ405;æf`•ã•Á/[^¦æ}&^	€É€Ã
Ql& ĭå^ÁŰÉÖ^ œeÁ[¦Á∕æ‡ ●Ñ	Ϋ́∧∙
OE d[{ ænā&æa ^ Áo2^¦æe^ ÁÙcã}^●● Á[¦Á⁄æ ● Ñ	Ϋ́∧∙
Tæ¢ÁQ^¦æaãį}●Á[¦ÁYæ‡ ÁÙcã}^●●	Н
Ő¦æçãĉÁ0B&&^ ^¦æaãį}ÅÇdB^^&âGD	HOÈG
Yæ‡ ÁT^∙@ÁÛã^ÁÇ3;D	FG
Óā*^}•[ĭqā;}AÔ[}ç^¦*^}&^Á/[HÄQFEÒËD	1
X^¦cã8æ‡ÁOE¢ã	Ϋ́
Õ∥[àæ‡ÁT^{{ à^¦ÁU¦ã^}cæaāį́}ÁÚ æ}^	ÝZ
Ùcæðð ÁU[ç^¦	Ŭ]æ•^ÁŒ&^ ^¦æ^å
Ö^}æ{ a&ÁÙ[ç^¦	035& ^ ^¦æe^åÂÛ[ç^¦
P[&Û[^ åÂÛc^^ ÂÔ[å^	OEDÙÔÁFIc@ÇHÍ€ËF€DKÁŠÜØÖ
Œâbĭ∙cÂĴcã-}^••Ñ	Ÿ^∙Ç@\¦æaaç^D
ÜQÜCEÔ[}}^&&aậ[}ÁÔ[å^	OEDJÔÁFI c@ÇHÍ €ËF€DKAŒÙÔ
Ô[åÁØ[¦{^åÂÙơ^^ ÂÔ[å^	ŒÛŒÛF€€ËF€KŒÛÖ
Y [[å ÁÔ[å^	OEY ÔÁ ÞÖÙËFGKKOEÙÖ
Y[[åÁV^{]^\æc`\^	ŁÅF€€Ø

Ô[}&\^&\@[å^	OBÔCÁ-FÌËFF
Tæ•[}¦^ÁÔ[å^	OEÔCÁL H€ËFFKAOEÙÔ
OĘٽ{ē}ĭ{ÁÔ[å^	OEDEÁCEÖT FÉF€HÁCEÙŐÁEZŐĭ ąåąj*
Úcæ∄; ^∙•ÂÚc^^ ÂÔ[å^	OEDÙÔÁFI c@ÇHÎ €ËF€DMÁCEÙÔ
ŒåĎ∙œÂĴcã~}^••Ñ	Ÿ^∙Ç@\¦æãç^D

Þ`{ à^¦Á[~ÂÛ@^æAÂÜ^*ā[}∙	1
Ü^*ā[}ÂÛ]æ&ā;*Á0,2&'^{ ^}œ4,5;D	
Óãæ¢ãæ‡ÁÔ[ǐ{}ÁT^c@Įå	Ò¢æ\$cÁQ;c^*¦æaā[}
Úæl{^ÁÔ^œeÁØæ&q[¦ÁQÚÔ0ED	ÊÍ
Ô[}&\^&\ÂJd^••Â0 [&\	Ü^&ca) *
₩•^ÁÔ¦æ&\^åÁÙ^&cąį}•Ñ	Ϋ́∧∙
₩•^ÁÔ¦æ&∖^åÁÙ^&cąį}•ÁĴ æàÑ	Þ[
ÓæåÁØlæ{ā]*ÁYæ}}ãj*•Ñ	Þ[
/W}č•^åÁØ[¦&^Á∕æ}}āj*•Ñ	Ϋ́∧∙
Tậ,Á∓ÁÓæ÷ÁÖãæ{ ÈÂÙ] æ&a} *Ñ	Þ[
Ô[}&¦^&AÜ^àæłÂÛ^c	ÜÒӌܴÙÒV´ŒÙVTŒÊFÍ
Tậ, Ãà ÂÛơ^^ Á[¦ÂÔ[˘{}	F
Tæ¢ÁÃÁÛ&^ Á[¦ÁÔ[ἴ{}	Ì

fţ`cVUŁAcXY`GYHŋb[gź7cbl]bi YX

Ù^ã;{ã&/Ô[å^	ŒÙÔÒÄËE€
Ù^ã{ ã&ÁÔæ•^ÁÔ ^çæaãį}ÁĢaD	Þ[🕉 🖓 🕫 🗠
CEååÁÓæ•∧Á⁄∧ã*@Ñ	Ÿ∧∙
ÔơẤÝ	È€G
ÔơZ	È€G
VÄYÁÇ∮^&D	Þ[🕉 🖓 🖒 å
VÁZÁÇ,^&D	Þ[🕉 🖓 🕫 🗠
ÜẤ	Н
ÜÁZ	Н
ÔơÔ¢] ĚÝ	ĒÍ
ÔơÔ¢] ĔZ	ËÍ
ÙŐF	F
ÙÔÙ	F
ÙF	F
VŠÁĢ^&D	Í
Üã∖ÁÔæ	Cán láqo
Ö¦ãð ÁÖæc	U c@{
U{ÂZ	F
U{ Â	F
ÔåÁZ	
ÔåÁÝ	
ÜQÁZ	F
ÜQ <i>Ĥ</i>	F

<chiFc``YX`GhYY`DfcdYfh]Yg

	Šæà^	ÒÃĨ.●ãã	ÕÃŽ•ãã	Þĭ	V@∿¦{ ÁÇaFÒÍÁ2D	Ö^}∙ãĉŽĐcâHá	Ÿã∿∣åŽ∙ãã	Ü^	ØŽ∙ãa	Üc
F	OEHÎ ÁÕ¦ÈHÎ	GJ€€€	FFFÍ I	ÈH	ĒÍ	ÈJ	HÎ	FĚ	ÍÌ	FÈG
G	OÉÏGÃÕ¦Ě€	GJ€€€	FFFÍ I	ÈH	ÊÍ	ÈJ	Í€	FÈ	ÎÌ	FÈG
Н	ŒIJG	GJ€€€	FFFÍ I	ÈH	ÊÍ	ÈJ	Í€	FÈ	ÎÌ	FÈG
	OÉL€€ÃÕ¦ÈLG	GJ€€€	FFFÍ I	ÈH	ÊÍ	ÈJ	١G	FÈH	ÎÌ	FÈ
Í	OÉE€ÆÕ¦ÈIÎ	GJ€€€	FFFÍ I	ÈH	ÊÍ	ÈJ	I Î	FÈG	ÎÌ	FÈ
Î	OÉ HÃÕ¦æå^ÁÓ	GJ€€€	FFFÍI	È	ÊÍ	ÈJ	HÍ	FĚ	ÎÌ	FÈG

<chiFc``YX`GhYY`GYWFjcb`GYhg

	Šæà^	Ù@#}^	V^]^ Ö^∙āt}ÁŠãaic	Tæc∿¦ãæ¢	\ddot{O}^{\bullet} â $HDAAA$ GAQ^AAAHD: AAHDAAA I á
F	ÇÒDÁS&&∖^¦ÁŠH¢H¢FÐ	ŠHÝHÝH	Ó^æ{ Ùậ,* ^Á05, ÈÈÈ	OHÎ ÁÕ¦ÈHÎ	Vî]ã&æ‡FÈ€JĖDIÌĖDIÌĖEFI
G	ÇÒDÁ0E; c^}}æÁTæraéÚ≩i⊞	ÚQÚÒ' FĚ	Ô[ĭⅲ Úậ] ^	OÉ H∕ĨÕ¦æå^ÁÓ	V^]ã&æ≢ËIJĖGJHĖGJHĚÌÎ

< chiFc``YX`GhYY`8 Yg][b`DUfUa YhYfg

	Šæà^	Ù@aa]^^ Š^}*o@Žecá	Šà^^Ž∝á Šà∷Žcá	Š&[{]Áq[]ŽĦBŠ&[{]Áa[cŽĚ	ÈÈĞEq¦ĭÈÈÈS^^	S::	Ôà	Ø″}&ca∰
F	TF	ÇÒDÁS a&\^¦ÁBÈÈ Î		Šà^^				Šæe^¦æ
G	TG	ÇÖDÁ03;¢°}}⊞É F€		Šà^^				Šææ^¦æ¢

A Ya VYf Df]a Ufmi8 UfU

	Šæà^	OÁR[ãjc	RÁRĮã}c	SÁRĮą̃c	Ü[œær^Çå^*D) Ù^&ca[}£ù@æ}^	V^]^	Ö^∙āt}ÁŠãa:c	Tæe^¦ãæ¢	Ö^• ∄} ÁÜč ^•
F	TF	ÞF	ÞG		FÌ€	ÇÒDÁS&&∖^¦ÁŠH¢H¢FÐ	Ó^æ	Ù∄;* ^Á05;* ^	O⊞Ĥ ÁÕ¦ÈHÎ	V^]ã&æ
G	TG	ÞI	ÞH			ÇÒDÁCE; &}}æATæa	Ô[゙{}	Úą ^	OÉ HÁÕ¦æå₿	È V^]ã&æe)

>c]bh7ccfX]bUhYg'UbX'HYa dYfUh fYg

	Šæà^	ÝÆcá	ΫÆκά	ZÆcá	V^{] Á 🖸 Z Á	Ö^cæ&@ØØ[{ ÁÖãæ]@æt{
F	ÞF	€	€	€	€	
G	ÞG	€	€	Î	€	
Н	ÞH	€	Ë€ÈHHHÈ	ÊÎ	€	
1	ÞI	€	JÈEÎÎÎÎÏÏ	Î	€	
Í	ÞÍ	€	ÏÈ€ÌHH+H	Î	€	

>c]bhi6 ci bXUfm7 cbX]h]cbg

	R[ð] 0/Šæà^	ÝÄŽHB)já	ΫÁΣΈλβγá	ZÁŽEB),á	ÝÁÜ[dĚŽËeĐæåá	ŸÁÜ[dĚŽËeĐæåá	ZÁÜ[dĚŽË+đĐæåá
F	ÞÍ	Ü^æ&cāį}	Ü^æ\$cāį}	Ü^æ\$cāį}		Ü^æ\$cāį}	
G	ÞF	Ü^æ&daji }	Ü^æ\$kaji }	Ü^æ\$kaji}		Ü^æ\$cāji}	

A Ya VYf Dc]bh@UXg f6 @ &. 8 YUK @UXŁ

	T^{à^¦ÁŠææà^∣	Öãå^&cã∦}	Tæ*}ãĉå^ŽÊËcá	ŠĮ & acetaj } ŽeĐÃá
F	ΤG	Ϋ́	Ë	FÈH
G	TG	Ϋ́	Ë Î) ÈÎ Ï
Н	TG	Ϋ́	Ë I	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf Dc]bh@UXg f6 @ '' . +W @UXL

	T^{à^¦ÁŠæèà^∣	Öãå^&cã∦}	Tæ*}ãĉ å^ŽÊËcá	Š[8æeaā]}ŽedĒĀ á
F	TG	Ϋ́	Ê	FÉH
G	TG	Ϋ́	É Í	ÌÈÎÏ
Н	ΤG	Ϋ́	ÊÊÎ	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf Dc]bh@cUXg f6 @r (. K]bX k]h =Wr LŁ

	T^{à^¦ÁŠææà^∣	Öãi^&ca∦[}	Tæ*}ãĉå^ŽÊËcá	ŠĮ & aretāj } ŽebĒĀ á
F	TG	Ý	ËE-H	FÈH
G	TG	Ý	ËE-H	Ì ÈÎ Ï
Н	TG	Ý	ËEFH	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf Dc]bh'@cUXg f6 @r) . K]bX'LŁ

	T^{à^¦ÁŠææà^∣	Öãå^&cã∦}	Tæ*}ãĉå^ŽÊËcá	ŠĮ &æđąį) ŽeĐÃ á
F	TG	Ý	ÉÉÉ Î	FÈH
G	TG	Ý	ÉÉÉ Î	Ì ÈÎ Ï
Н	TG	Ý	Ë	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf Dc]bh@cUXg f6 @7 * . K]bX k]h =WY NL

	T^{à^¦ÁŠææà^∣	Öãå^&cãą́}	Tæ*}ãĉå^ŽÊËœá	ŠĮ & acetaj } ŽebĚA á
F	ΤG	Z	Ë H	FÈH
G	TG	Z	Ë H	ÌÈÎÏ
Н	TG	Z	ÊEFÍ	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf Dc]bh@cUXg f6 @7 + . K]bX NL

	T^{à^¦ÁŠææà^∣	Öãå^&cã∦}	Tæ*}ãĉå^ŽÊËcá	Š[&aæā]}ŽebĒĂá
F	ΤG	Z	ÊÊFJÎ	FÈH
G	ΤG	Z	ÊÊFJÎ	ÌÈÎÏ
Н	ΤG	Z	ÊEĤ	ÃÍ€

A Ya VYf 8]ghf]Vi hYX @ UXg f6 @ (`. K]bX k]h = W LŁ

	T^{à^¦/Êææà^∣	Öãå^&cã[}	ÙcæloÁTæt}ãcǎ^ŽĐebÊ●á	Ò}åÁTætੋ}ãčå^ŽĐdÊĐÊ∙-á	ÙcæbcÆŠ[&ææ‡i}ŽeÉÃá	Ò}å/Ê[&ææã[}ŽdÊÄá
F	TG	Ý	Ê	Ê	€	€
G	TF	Ý	Ë€€F	Ë€€F	€	€

A Ya VYf 8 jghf jVi hYX @ UXg f6 @ ') . K jbX LŁ

	T^{à^¦∕Šæà^∣	Öãi^&cã[}	ÙcæloÆTæt}ãčå^ŽÐebÊ2Ê∙-á	Ò}åÁTæ≛}ãčå^ŽĐdÊĐÊ∙-á	Ùcæ¦cÆS[&ææ‡[}ŽeÉÃá	Ò}åÁŠ[&ææ][}ŽdÉÃá
F	TG	Ý	ËEEH	Ë€€H	€	€
G	TF	Ý	ÊÊ€€Í	Ë€€Í	€	€

A Ya VYf 8]ghf]Vi hYX @ UXg f6 @ * . K]bX k]h = W NL

	T^{à^¦AŠæèa^∣	Öãå^&cã[}	ÙcæbcÁTæ*}ãĩå^ŽiÐeÊ2Ê€•-á	Ò}åÁTætੈ}ãčå^ŽĐdÊ2Ê∙-á	ÙcækoÆĞ[&ææa‡i}ŽeÉÃá	Ò}åÁŠ[&æa£ī]}ŽdÉÃá
F	TG	Z	Ê	Ê	€	F
G	TG	Z	Ê	Ê	J	F€

A Ya VYf 8]ghf]Vi hYX @ UXg f6 @ +. K]bX NL

	T^{à^¦∕Šæà^∣	Öãi^&cãji}	ÙcæloÁTæt*}ãčå^ŽÐe£Ê9Êá	Ò}åÁTæt*}ãčå^ŽĐœÊĐÊ∙~á	Ùcæ¦cÁŠ[&æe‡]}ŽeÉÃá	Ò}åÆĞ[&ææa∰]}ŽdÐÃá
F	TG	Z	ËEEH	Ë€€H	€	F
G	TG	Z	Ë€€H	Ë€€H	J	F€

6 Ug]W@ UX 7 UgYg

	ÓŠÔ/ÄÖ^∙&¦ājcāį}	Ôæe^*[¦^	Ý ÆÕ¦ ÈÈÈŸ ÆÕ¦ ÈÈ	ÈZÁÕ¦ÈÈÈR[ã]o	Ú[ậc	Öãida∄	ÈE^æ¢È	ÈÙĭ¦æ&∿QÚ æ^ÐYæ∥D
F	Ù^ -ÁY_^ â* @c	ÖŠ	Ë					
G	Ö^æåÁŚ[æå	Þ[}^			Н			
Н	Q≵^ÁŠ[æå	Þ[}^			Н			
	YājåÁ,ãc@ÁQ3∧ÁÝ	Þ[}^			Н	G		
Í	Y ð åÁÝ	Þ[}^			Н	G		
Î	Yậ}åÁ,ãc@ÁQ3∧ÁZ	Þ[}^			Н	G		
Ï	Y ð åÁZ	Þ[}^			H	G		

@UX7caV]bUhjcbg

	Ö^∙&¦ājcāį}	Ù[ç^	ÚÈÈÙÜÈ	È ÓŠÔ	ØĦHÓŠÔ	Øæ	ÎÊZadÎ	ĎĦĨØæ	Ê	Øæ	ÌÌÌ́€∕æ	ÌÈ	ÊØæÈË	Ĕ	ĐơĐ	Ĕ	ÐæÈ	ĎĦ	Øæ
F	FÈGÖÆÆÆËYÁÇÝËåã^&cāį}D	Ÿ۸∙	Ϋ́	F	F睢 G	FÈGÍ	FË												
G	€ÈÜÖÆÉAFTÊΥÁÇÝËåãå^&cāį}Φ	Ϋ́∧∙	Ϋ́	F	₿G	ÌÈÍ	FË												
Н	FÈGÖÆÆFÈEÖÆÆFÈEYÆÇÝÈÈ	Ϋ́^∙	Ϋ́	F	F睢G	FÈGH	F	I F											
1	FÈGÖÆÆÆŤYÁÇZËåãå^&ca[}D	Ϋ́∧∙	Ϋ́	F	F₩ÊG	FÈGÏ	FË												
Í	€ÈÜÖÆÉÆTĚĽYÁÇZËåãå^&ca[}D	Ϋ́^∙	Ϋ́	F	₿G	ΪĒ	FË												
Î	FÈGÖÆÆFÈ€ÖÆÆFÈ€YæÇZÈÈ	_Ϋ́∧∙	Ϋ́	F	F₩ÊG	FÈGH	F	ÎF											

9bjYcdY>c]bhFYU**Mj**cbg

	RĮą̃c		ÝÄŽá	ŠÔ	ŸÁŽÍá	ŠÔ	ZÁŽÍá	ŠÔ	ΤÝÂϪËcá	ŠÔ	ΤΫÂϪĔcá	ŠÔ	TZÁŽËcá	ŠÔ
F	ÞÍ	{ 28¢	ÈGF	F	ËFF	Η	ÈĤH	1	€	Î	È	F	€	Î
G		{ a	€	Í	ÈÌÎ	Í	È€€G	Н	€	F	Ì€€Î	Î	€	F
Н	ÞF	{ 38¢	ÈEJÌ	G	È€IÎ	1	ÈFÍ	Í	€	Î	È€J	G	€	Î
1		{ a	€		È€€F	G	Ë€€Ì	F	€	F	Ë€FÌ	I	€	F
Í	V[cæ‡∙K	{ 38¢	ÈFÌ	G	ĔGG	Î	ĒĴ	Í						
Î		{ a	€		ÈGJ	G	€	F						

9bjY`cdY`>c]bh8]gd`UWYaYbhg

	RĮą̃c		ÝÃã)á	ŠÔ	ΫÃãβjá	ŠÔ	ZÄŽājá	ŠÔ	Ý ÁÜ[cæaā]} Á À È È	ŠÔ	ŸÁÜ[cæcaã[}Á2Ê	ÈŠÔ	ZÁÜ[cæca)} Á 2000	ÈŠÔ
F	ÞF	{ æ¢	€	Î	€	Î	€	Î	JÈ JJ^Ë€H	F	€	Î	ËGËHÍ^ËE	Î
G		{ a	€	F	€	F	€	F	ÏÈGFG∧Ë€I	Î	€	F	É È HH È H	G
Н	ÞG	{ æ¢	Ë€GH	Î	€	Í	€	F	ÊÊÊTÎ (^ËE	Н	ËËËÏF∧Ë€	Î	ËGËÈHÍ∧Ë€I	Î
		{ a	ËÈJF	F	Ë€€€G	Н	€	Í	ËÈÈGI∧Ë€H	Ι	ËGËFÌ I ^ ËEG	F	É È HH È H	G
Í	ÞH	{ æ¢	Ë€GÍ	Î	€	Í	È		ÊÊÊTÎ (^ËE	Н	ËËËÏF∧Ë€	Î	ËGËÈHÍ∧Ë€I	Î
Î		{ a	ËÈGH	F	Ë€€€G	Н	Ì€€Ì	H	ËËFI^ËEH	Ι	ËGËFÌ I ^ ËEG	F	EÉÈEIG KËEH	G
Ï	ÞI	{ 26¢	ÈJÍ	F	€	Í	ÈÉÍΪ		GÈGÍ∧Ë€H		€	Î	ËGËĖŠ	Î
Ì		{ a	Ì€€Ï	Î	€	Н	È€F	H	IÈEIÎ∧Ë€I	Н	€	F	ËEÈÈÏÎ∧ËEG	F
J	ÞÍ	{ 28¢	€	Î	€	Î	€	Î	GĚÌÍ∧Ë€H		€	Î	ËGË JF^ËE	Î
F€		{ a	€	F	€	F	€	F	IÈEGF^Ë€	Н	€	F	ËEÈDJÏ∧Ë€G	F

9bjYcdY5=G7%/hfl*\$!%\$L@F:8GhYY7cXY7\YWg

	т^Ё	Ù@a∳^	Ô[å^∕Ю∰	ŠĮ&⊞EŠ	ÔÙ@æłÁ	Ĵ₩¥ŠĮ &Žcá	Öå	ŠÔ]@EÚ}ÈË	È Carú] @aET}È	₩ E	Ôà	Ò~}
F	TF	ŠHÝHÝH	ËHG	€	GÈEEFÌ	€	:	G	FÍĒĒ€Î	H Èfî	FÈHG	GĒÌHF	FÈ€G	PGË
G	TG	ÚQÚÒ' FĚ	È H 🗄	J莊莊	Í ÈÎÍ	JÈÊÎÏ		F	ΙĚ́JΪ	GHLĔJH	FÈE€Í	FÈF€Í	FÈÌH	PFËFà





RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

T-Mobile Existing Facility

Site ID: CT11403A

Wolcott / Andrews Rd._I Andrews Road Wolcott, Connecticut 06716

May 20, 2019

EBI Project Number: 6219001680

Site Compliance Summary									
Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT								
Site total MPE% of FCC general population allowable limit:	11.38%								



May 20, 2019

T-Mobile Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager 35 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, Connecticut 06002

Emissions Analysis for Site: CT11403A - Wolcott / Andrews Rd._1

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at **Andrews Road** in **Wolcott, Connecticut** for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm²). The number of μ W/cm² calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits; therefore, it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

<u>General population/uncontrolled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which the general population may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general population would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm²). The general population exposure limits for the 600 MHz and 700 MHz frequency bands are approximately 400 μ W/cm² and 467 μ W/cm², respectively. The general population exposure limit for the 1900 MHz (PCS), 2100 MHz (AWS) and 11 GHz frequency bands is 1000 μ W/cm². Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



<u>Occupational/controlled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at Andrews Road in Wolcott, Connecticut using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, all calculations were performed assuming a lobe representing the maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufacturer's supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was focused at the base of the tower. For this report, the sample point is the top of a 6-foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 LTE channels (600 MHz Band) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.
- 2) 2 LTE channels (700 MHz Band) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.
- 3) 2 GSM/UMTS channels (PCS Band 1900 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.
- 4) 2 UMTS channels (AWS Band 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.
- 5) 2 LTE channels (AWS Band 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 60 Watts per Channel.



- 6) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 7) For the following calculations, the sample point was the top of a 6-foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufacturer's supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was used in this direction. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 8) The antennas used in this modeling are the Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A for the 2100 MHz channel(s), the RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 for the 600 MHz / 700 MHz channel(s), the Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P for the 1900 MHz / 2100 MHz channel(s) in Sector A, the Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A for the 2100 MHz channel(s), the RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 for the 600 MHz / 700 MHz channel(s), the Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P for the 1900 MHz / 2100 MHz channel(s) in Sector B, the Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A for the 2100 MHz channel(s), the RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 for the 600 MHz / 700 MHz channel(s), the Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A for the 2100 MHz channel(s), the RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 for the 600 MHz / 700 MHz channel(s), the Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A for the 2100 MHz channel(s), the RFS APXVAARR24_43-U-NA20 for the 600 MHz / 700 MHz channel(s), the Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P for the 1900 MHz / 2100 MHz channel(s) in Sector C. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regard to anticipated antenna selection. All Antenna gain values and associated transmit power levels are shown in the Site Inventory and Power Data table below. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufacturer's supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was used for all calculations. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 9) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is 77 feet above ground level (AGL).
- 10) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.
- 11) All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general population threshold limits.



T-Mobile Site Inventory and Power Data

Sector:	А	Sector:	В	Sector:	С
Antenna #:	I	Antenna #:	I	Antenna #:	I
Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A	Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A	Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2P_B4A
Frequency Bands:	2100 MHz	Frequency Bands:	2100 MHz	Frequency Bands:	2100 MHz
Gain:	15.35 dBd	Gain:	15.35 dBd	Gain:	15.35 dBd
Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet
Channel Count:	2	Channel Count:	2	Channel Count:	2
Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts
ERP (W):	4,113.21	ERP (VV):	4,113.21	ERP (VV):	4,113.21
Antenna AI MPE %:	2.49%	Antenna BI MPE %:	2.49%	Antenna CI MPE %:	2.49%
Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2
Make / Model:	RFS APXVAARR24_43-U- NA20	Make / Model:	RFS APXVAARR24_43-U- NA20	Make / Model:	RFS APXVAARR24_43-U- NA20
Frequency Bands:	600 MHz / 700 MHz	Frequency Bands:	600 MHz / 700 MHz	Frequency Bands:	600 MHz / 700 MHz
Gain:	12.95 dBd / 13.35 dBd	Gain:	12.95 dBd / 13.35 dBd	Gain:	12.95 dBd / 13.35 dBd
Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet
Channel Count:	4	Channel Count:	4	Channel Count:	4
Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts
ERP (VV):	2,481.08	ERP (VV):	2,481.08	ERP (VV):	2,481.08
Antenna A2 MPE %:	3.48%	Antenna B2 MPE %:	3.48%	Antenna C2 MPE %:	3.48%
Antenna #:	3	Antenna #:	3	Antenna #:	3
Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P	Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P	Make / Model:	Ericsson AIR21 B2A_B4P
Frequency Bands:	1900 MHz / 2100 MHz	Frequency Bands:	1900 MHz / 2100 MHz	Frequency Bands:	1900 MHz / 2100 MHz
Gain:	15.35 dBd / 15.35 dBd	Gain:	15.35 dBd / 15.35 dBd	Gain:	15.35 dBd / 15.35 dBd
Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet	Height (AGL):	77 feet
Channel Count:	4	Channel Count:	4	Channel Count:	4
Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts	Total TX Power (W):	120 Watts
ERP (VV):	4,113.21	ERP (VV):	4,113.21	ERP (VV):	4,113.21
Antenna A3 MPE %:	2.49%	Antenna B3 MPE %:	2.49%	Antenna C3 MPE %:	2.49%



environmental | engineering | due diligence

Site Composite MPE %				
Carrier	MPE %			
T-Mobile (Max at Sector A):	8.47%			
Personal Vision	0%			
SNET TMRS	2.91%			
Site Total MPE % :	11.38%			

T-Mobile Sector A Total:	8.47%		
T-Mobile Sector B Total:	8.47%		
T-Mobile Sector C Total:	8.47%		
Site Total:	11.38%		

T-Mobile Maximum MPE Power Values (Sector A)

T-Mobile Frequency Band / Technology (Sector A)	# Channels	Watts ERP (Per Channel)	Height (feet)	Total Power Density (µW/cm²)	Frequency (MHz)	Allowable MPE (µW/cm ²)	Calculated % MPE
T-Mobile 2100 MHz LTE AWS	2	2056.61	77.0	24.94	2100 MHz LTE AWS	1000	2.49%
T-Mobile 600 MHz LTE	2	591.73	77.0	7.18	600 MHz LTE	400	1.79%
T-Mobile 700 MHz LTE	2	648.82	77.0	7.87	700 MHz LTE	467	1.68%
T-Mobile 1900 MHz GSM/UMTS	2	1028.30	77.0	12.47	1900 MHz GSM/UMTS	1000	1.25%
T-Mobile 2100 MHz UMTS	2	1028.30	77.0	12.47	2100 MHz UMTS	1000	1.25%
						Total:	8.47%



Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were **within** the allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated maximum composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility as well as the site composite emissions value with regards to compliance with FCC's allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions are shown here:

T-Mobile Sector	Power Density Value (%)		
Sector A:	8.47%		
Sector B:	8.47%		
Sector C:	8.47%		
T-Mobile Maximum	9 47%		
MPE % (Sector A):	0.77%		
Site Total:	11.38%		
Site Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT		

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **11.38%** of the allowable FCC established general population limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.