# JULIE D. KOHLER 

PLEASE REPLY TO: Bridgeport WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL: (203) 337-4157
E-Mail Address: jkohler@cohenandwolf.com

May 2, 2014

Attorney Melanie Bachman<br>Acting Executive Director<br>Connecticut Siting Council<br>Ten Franklin Square<br>New Britain, CT 06051

## Re: Notice of Exempt Modification Crown Castle/T-Mobile co-location Site ID CT11117 <br> 922 Danbury Road, Wilton

## Dear Attorney Bachman:

This office represents T-Mobile Northeast LLC ("T-Mobile") and has been retained to file exempt modification filings with the Connecticut Siting Council on its behalf.

In this case, the Crown Castle ("Crown") owns the flagpole tower and related facility at 922 Danbury Road, Wilton, Connecticut (latitude 41.2563556 / longitude -73.433872). TMobile intends to replace three antennas and related equipment at this existing telecommunications facility in Wilton ("Wilton Facility"). Please accept this letter as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). In accordance with R.C.S.A. § $16-50 \mathrm{j}-73$, a copy of this letter is being sent to the First Selectman William F. Brennan, and the property owner Remo - Wilton Asssociates.

The existing Wilton Facility consists of a 90 foot tall flagpole facility. ${ }^{1}$ The facility currently supports the equipment of T-Mobile at a centerline of 85 feet.

T-Mobile plans to replace three antennas and replace them with three antennas at an elevation of 85 feet. (See the plans revised to April 17, 2014 attached hereto as Exhibit A). TMobile will also replace an equipment cabinet, replace three existing GMA with six proposed GMAs, and reuse existing coax cable. The existing Facility is structurally capable of supporting T-Mobile's proposed modifications, as indicated in the structural analysis dated April 24, 2014
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and attached hereto as Exhibit B.
The planned modifications to the Wilton Facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The proposed modification will not increase the height of the tower. T-Mobile's replacement antennas will be installed at the 85 foot level. The enclosed tower drawing confirms that the proposed modification will not increase the height of the tower.
2. The installation of the T-Mobile replacement equipment in the existing compound, as reflected on the attached site plan, will not require an extension of the site boundaries. T-Mobile's proposed equipment will be located entirely within the existing compound and concrete pad as shown on Sheet L-1 of Exhibit A.
3. The proposed modification to the Facility will not increase the noise levels at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
4. The operation of the replacement antennas will not increase the total radio frequency (RF) power density, measured at the base of the tower, to a level at or above the applicable standard. According to a Radio Frequency Emissions Analysis Report prepared by EBI dated April 16, 2014 T-Mobile's operations would add 1.365\% of the FCC Standard. Therefore, the calculated "worst case" power density for the planned combined operation at the site including all of the proposed antennas would be $6.695 \%$ of the FCC Standard as calculated for a mixed frequency site as evidenced by the engineering exhibit attached hereto as Exhibit C.

For the foregoing reasons, T-Mobile respectfully submits that the proposed replacement antennas and equipment at the Wilton Facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2).
cc: Town of Wilton, First Selectman William F. Brenhan
Crown Castle Corporation
Remo-Wilton Associates LLC
Halene Fujimoto, HPC


Julie D. Kohler, Esq.




## EXHIBIT B

PAUL J. FORD AND COMPANY
STRUCTURALENGINEERS
250 East Broad Street - Suite 600 - Columbus, Ohio 43215-3708

Date: April 24, 2014

| Andrew Bazinet | Paul J. Ford and Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crown Castle | 250 East Broad St, Suite 600 |  |
| 46 Broadway | Columbus, OH 43215 |  |
| Albany, NY 12204 | 614.221 .6679 |  |
| Subject: Structural Analysis Report |  |  |
| Carrier Designation: | T-Mobile Co-Locate |  |
|  | Carrier Site Number: | CT11117C |
|  | Carrier Site Name: | Wilton/Georgetown/Rt7 |
| Crown Castle Designation: | Crown Castle BU Number: | 829115 |
|  | Crown Castle Site Name: | Wilton/Georgetown/Rt7 |
|  | Crown Castle JDE Job Number: | 259553 |
|  | Crown Castle Work Order Number: | 751467 |
|  | Crown Castle Application Number: | 216340 Rev. 3 |
| Engineering Firm Designation: | Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number: | 37514-0960 |
| Site Data: | 922 Danbury Road, Wilton, Fairfield County, CT Latitude $41^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 22.964^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $-73^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 2.209^{\prime \prime}$ 89.0625 Foot - Monopole Tower |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Dear Andrew Bazinet,
Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 639862, in accordance with application 216340 , revision 3.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

```
LC5: Existing + Proposed Equipment
Sufficient Capacity
Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing loading, respectively.
```

The structural analysis was performed for this tower in accordance with the requirements of TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures using a fastest mile wind speed of 85 mph with no ice, 37.6 mph with 0.75 inch ice thickness and 50 mph under service loads.

We at Paul J. Ford and Company appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects


Structural Designer
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PAUL J. FORD AND COMPANY
S TRUCTURALENGINEERS 250 East Broad Street - Suite 600 - Columbus, Ohio 43215-3708

Date: April 24, 2014
Andrew Bazinet
Crown Castle
46 Broadway
Albany, NY 12204

Paul J. Ford and Company
250 East Broad St, Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43215
614.221.6679

Subject: Structural Analysis Report

Carrier Designation:

Crown Castle Designation:

Engineering Firm Designation:
Site Data:

## T-Mobile Co-Locate Carrier Site Number: Carrier Site Name:

Crown Castle BU Number: Crown Castle Site Name: Crown Castle JDE Job Number: Crown Castle Work Order Number: Crown Castle Application Number:

CT11117C
Wilton/Georgetown/Rt7

829115
Wilton/Georgetown/Rt7
259553
751467
216340 Rev. 3

Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number: 37514-0960
922 Danbury Road, Wilton, Fairfield County, CT Latitude $41^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 22.964^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $-73^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 2.209^{\prime \prime}$ 89.0625 Foot - Monopole Tower

Dear Andrew Bazinet,
Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 639862, in accordance with application 216340 , revision 3.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC5: Existing + Proposed Equipment
Sufficient Capacity
Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing loading, respectively.
The structural analysis was performed for this tower in accordance with the requirements of TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures using a fastest mile wind speed of 85 mph with no ice, 37.6 mph with 0.75 inch ice thickness and 50 mph under service loads.

We at Paul J. Ford and Company appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:

Thomas J. Dehnke, E.I.T.
Structural Designer
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## 1) INTRODUCTION

This tower is a 89.0625 ft Monopole tower designed by Stealth in July of 2009. The tower was originally designed for a wind speed of 105 mph per TIA-222-G.

## 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The structural analysis was performed for this tower in accordance with the requirements of TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures using a fastest mile wind speed of 85 mph with no ice, 37.6 mph with 0.75 inch ice thickness and 50 mph under service loads.

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting <br> Level (ft) | Center <br> Line <br> Elevation <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Number <br> of <br> Antennas | Antenna <br> Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number <br> of Feed <br> Lines | Feed <br> Line <br> Size (in) | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85.0 | 85.0 | 3 | rfs celwave | APX16DWV-16DWVS-C | - | - | - |

Table 2 - Existing Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting Level (ft) | Center Line Elevation (ft) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Antennas } \end{aligned}$ | Antenna Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number of Feed Lines | Feed Line Size (in) | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85.0 | 85.0 | 6 | andrew | ETW190VS12UB | 12 (I) | 7/8 | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | andrew | TMBXX-6516-R2M | -- | -- | 2 |
| 76.0 | 76.0 | 3 | andrew | ETW190VS12UB | 6 (I) | $7 / 8$ | 1 |
|  |  | 6 | kaelus | DBC2046F1V2-1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | powerwave technologies | P65-16-XLH-RR |  |  |  |

Notes

1) Existing Equipment
2) Equipment To Be Removed
(I) Coax mounted internally and shielded from the wind. See coax layout in Appendix B.

Table 3 - Design Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting <br> Level (ft) | Center <br> Line <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | Number <br> of <br> Antennas | Antenna <br> Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number <br> of Feed <br> Lines | Feed <br> Size (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- |  |

3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 4 - Documents Provided

| Document | Remarks | Reference | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS | Dr. Clarence Welti, 10/13/2000 | 3594542 | CCISITES |
| 4-TOWER FOUNDATION <br> DRAWINGS/DESIGN/SPECS | PJF, 31908-0121, 07/22/2009 | 3886758 | CCISITES |
| 4-TOWER MANUFACTURER <br> DRAWINGS | PJF, 31908-0121,07/22/2009 | 3777970 | CCISITES |

## 3.1) Analysis Method

tnxTower (version 6.1.4.1), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix $A$.

## 3.2) Assumptions

1) Tower and structures were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
2) The tower and structures have been maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specification.
3) The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and the referenced drawings.

This analysis may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. Paul J. Ford and Company should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

## 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 5 - Section Capacity (Summary)

| Section <br> No. | Elevation (ft) | Component Type | Size | Critical <br> Element | $\mathbf{P ( K )}$ | SF*P_allow <br> $(\mathbf{K})$ | \% <br> Capacity | Pass /Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | $90-70$ | Pole | MT 4" X 0.5 | 1 | -1.32 | 329.78 | 76.0 | Pass |
| L2 | $70-35.9375$ | Pole | P24x0.375 | 2 | -5.00 | 779.12 | 21.9 | Pass |
| L3 | $35.9375-$ <br> 1.9375 | Pole | P24x0.375 | 3 | -8.45 | 779.12 | 50.1 | Pass |
| L4 | $1.9375-$ <br> 0.9375 | Pole | P20x0.5 | 4 | -8.56 | 857.44 | 56.5 | Pass |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Summary |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Pole (L1) | 76.0 | Pass |  |

Table 6 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity

| Notes | Component | Elevation (ft) | \% Capacity | Pass / Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Anchor Rods | 0 | 46.0 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Plate | 0 | 48.7 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Foundation Soil Interaction | 0 | 99.4 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Foundation Structural Steel | 0 | 19.7 | Pass |
| 1 | Flange Connection | 1 | 33.8 | Pass |
| 1 | Flange Connection | 35.9 | 25.2 | Pass |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Structure Rating (max from all components) = |  |  |  | 99.4\% |

Notes:

1) See additional documentation in "Appendix C-Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the \% capacity consumed.

## APPENDIX A

TNXTOWER OUTPUT

## Tower Input Data

There is a pole section.
This tower is designed using the TIA/EIA-222-F standard.
The following design criteria apply:
Tower is located in Fairfield County, Connecticut.
Basic wind speed of 85 mph .
Nominal ice thickness of 0.7500 in.
Ice thickness is considered to increase with height.
Ice density of 56 pcf.
A wind speed of 38 mph is used in combination with ice.
Temperature drop of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 50 mph .
A non-linear (P-delta) analysis was used.
Pressures are calculated at each section.
Stress ratio used in pole design is 1.333 .
Local bending stresses due to climbing loads, feed line supports, and appurtenance mounts are not considered.

## Options

Consider Moments - Legs
Consider Moments - Horizontals
Consider Moments - Diagonals
Use Moment Magnification
$\sqrt{ }$ Use Code Stress Ratios
$\sqrt{ }$ Use Code Safety Factors - Guys
$\sqrt{ }$ Escalate Ice
Always Use Max Kz
Use Special Wind Profile Include Bolts In Member Capacity Leg Bolts Are At Top Of Section Secondary Horizontal Braces Leg Use Diamond Inner Bracing (4 Sided) Add IBC .6D+W Combination

Distribute Leg Loads As Uniform Assume Legs Pinned
$\sqrt{ }$ Assume Rigid Index Plate
$\checkmark$ Use Clear Spans For Wind Area Use Clear Spans For KL/r Retension Guys To Initial Tension

## $\sqrt{ }$ Bypass Mast Stability Checks

$\sqrt{ }$ Use Azimuth Dish Coefficients
$\sqrt{ }$ Project Wind Area of Appurt.
Autocalc Torque Arm Areas SR Members Have Cut Ends Sort Capacity Reports By Component
Triangulate Diamond Inner Bracing
Use TIA-222-G Tension Splice
Capacity Exemption

Treat Feedline Bundles As Cylinder Use ASCE 10 X-Brace Ly Rules Calculate Redundant Bracing Forces Ignore Redundant Members in FEA SR Leg Bolts Resist Compression All Leg Panels Have Same Allowable Offset Girt At Foundation
$\checkmark$ Consider Feedline Torque Include Angle Block Shear Check Poles
$\sqrt{ }$ Include Shear-Torsion Interaction Always Use Sub-Critical Flow Use Top Mounted Sockets
Pole Section Geometry

| Section | Elevation <br> ft | Section Length ft | Pole Size | Pole Grade | Socket Length ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90.00-70.00 | 20.00 | MT $4^{\prime \prime} \times 0.5$ | ASTM A513 D.O.M. <br> (75 ksi) |  |
| L2 | 70.00-35.94 | 34.06 | P24x0.375 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A53-B-35 } \\ (35 \mathrm{ksi}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| L3 | 35.94-1.94 | 34.00 | P24x0.375 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A53-B-35 } \\ (35 \mathrm{ksi}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 14 | 1.94-0.94 | 1.00 | P20x0.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { A53-B-35 } \\ (35 \mathrm{ksi}) \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Area

| Description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Face } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { Leg } \end{aligned}$ | Allow Shield | Component Type | Placement ft | Total Number |  | $C_{A} A_{A}$ $f t^{2} / f t$ | Weight plf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LDF5-50A(7/8') | C | No | Inside Pole | 85.94-0.94 | 12 | No Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1/2" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |


| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Face } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { Leg } \end{gathered}$ | Allow Shield | Component Type | Placement <br> ft | Total Number |  | $C_{A} A_{A}$ $t^{2} / f t$ | Weight plf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LDF5-50A(7/8') | C | No | Inside Pole | 76.94-0.94 | 6 | No Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1/2" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2" Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4"Ice | 0.00 | 0.33 |

## User Defined Loads

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Description \& Elevation
ft \& Offset From Centroid ft \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Azimuth Angle \\
-
\end{tabular} \& \& Weight
K \& \(F_{X}\)
\(K\) \& \& \(F^{2}\)
\(K\) \& \& Wind Force
K \& \(C_{A} A_{C}\)

$t^{2}$ <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Flag} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{90.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.0000} \& No Ice \& 0.26 \& \& 0.00 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.29 \& 6.92 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Ice \& 0.41 \& \& 0.00 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.07 \& 8.95 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Service \& 0.26 \& \& 0.00 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.12 \& 7.98 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Discrete Tower Loads




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Description \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Face } \\
\text { or } \\
\text { Leg }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Offset Type \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Offsets: \\
Horz \\
Lateral \\
Vert \\
ft \\
ft \\
ft
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Azimuth Adjustmen \(t\) \\
-
\end{tabular} \& Placement

ft \& \& $C_{A} A_{A}$ Front $f t^{2}$ \& $\mathrm{C}_{A} A_{A}$
Side

$f t^{2}$ \& Weight
K <br>
\hline \multirow{11}{*}{(2) DBC2046F1V2-1} \& \multirow{11}{*}{C} \& \multirow{11}{*}{From Leg} \& 0.00 \& \multirow{11}{*}{0.0000} \& \multirow{11}{*}{76.94} \& 1/2" \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 0.00 \& \& \& Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.02 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 1" Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 2" Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.09 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 4 " Ice \& \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& 4.00 \& \& \& No Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 0.00 \& \& \& 1/2" \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.01 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 0.00 \& \& \& Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.02 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 1 " Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.03 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 2" Ice \& 0.00 \& 0.00 \& 0.09 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& 4 " Ice \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## Tower Pressures - No Ice

$$
G_{H}=1.690
$$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Section Elevation
$$
f t
$$ \& $z$
ft \& $K_{z}$ \& $q_{z}$

$p s f$ \& $A_{G}$

$\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ \& $F$
$a$
$c$
$e$ \& AF

$\mathrm{Hf}^{2}$ \& AR

$f t^{2}$ \& $A_{\text {leg }}$

$f^{2}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Leg} \\
\%
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& | $C_{A} A_{A}$ |
| :--- |
| In |
| Face |
| $f t^{2}$ | \& | $C_{A} A_{A}$ |
| :--- |
| Out |
| Face $f t^{2}$ | <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline \text { L1 } 90.00- \\
70.00
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{80.00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.288} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{24} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6.667} \& A \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.000} \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { L2 } 70.00- \\
35.94
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{53.36} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.147} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{21} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.125} \& A \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.125} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L3 35.94-1.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.000} \& A \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.000} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L4 1.94-0.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.44} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.667} \& A \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.667} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tower Pressure - With Ice

$$
G_{H}=1.690
$$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Section Elevation \\
ft
\end{tabular} \& \(z\)
ft \& \(K_{z}\) \& \(q_{z}\)
\(p s f\) \& \(t_{z}\)
in \& \(A_{G}\)

$f t^{2}$ \& $F$
$a$
$c$
$e$ \& $A_{F}$
$f^{2}$ \& AR

$\mathrm{ff}^{2}$ \& Aleg

$f t^{2}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Leg } \\
\%
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& | $C_{A} A_{A}$ |
| :--- |
| In |
| Face |
| $f^{2}$ | \& | $C_{A} A_{A}$ |
| :--- |
| Out |
| Face $f t^{2}$ | <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L1 90.00-70.00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{80.00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.288} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.8341} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{9.447} \& A \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.000} \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L2 70.00-35.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{53.36} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.147} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.7945} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{72.636} \& A \& 0.000 \& 72.636 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{72.636} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 72.636 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 72.636 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L3 35.94-1.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.7500} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{72.250} \& A \& 0.000 \& 72.250 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{72.250} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 72.250 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 72.250 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline L. 1.94-0.94 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.44} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.7500} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.792} \& A \& 0.000 \& 1.792 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.792} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 1.792 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 1.792 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tower Pressure - Service

$$
G_{H}=1.690
$$

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Section Elevation ft \& $z$
ft \& $K_{z}$ \& $q_{z}$
$p s f$ \& $A_{G}$

$f^{2}$ \& | F |
| :--- |
| a |
| c |
| $e$ | \& $A_{F}$

$f^{2}$ \& $A_{R}$

$\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ \& $A_{\text {leg }}$

$f t^{2}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Leg} \\
\%
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{A} A_{A} \\
\ln \\
\text { Face } \\
f^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& $C_{A} A_{A}$ Out Face $t^{2}$ <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hline \text { L1 } 90.00- \\
70.00
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{80.00} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.288} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{8} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6.667} \& A \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.000} \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 0.000 \& \& 0.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { L2 70.00- } \\
35.94
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{53.36} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.147} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{7} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.125} \& A \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.125} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 68.125 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L3 35.94-1.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{18.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.000} \& A \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{68.000} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 68.000 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{L4 1.94-0.94} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.44} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.667} \& A \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1.667} \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& B \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& C \& 0.000 \& 1.667 \& \& 100.00 \& 0.000 \& 0.000 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Load Combinations

| Comb. No. |  | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dead Only |  |
| 2 | Dead+Wind 0 deg - No lce |  |
| 3 | Dead+Wind 30 deg - No lce |  |
| 4 | Dead+Wind 60 deg - No Ice |  |
| 5 | Dead+Wind 90 deg - No lce |  |
| 6 | Dead+Wind 120 deg - No lce |  |
| 7 | Dead+Wind 150 deg - No Ice |  |
| 8 | Dead+Wind 180 deg - No Ice |  |
| 9 | Dead+Wind 210 deg - No lce |  |
| 10 | Dead+Wind 240 deg - No lce |  |
| 11 | Dead+Wind 270 deg - No lce |  |
| 12 | Dead+Wind 300 deg - No lce |  |
| 13 | Dead+Wind 330 deg - No Ice |  |
| 14 | Dead+Ice+Temp |  |
| 15 | Dead+Wind 0 deg+Ice+Temp |  |
| 16 | Dead+Wind 30 deg+lce+Temp |  |
| 17 | Dead+Wind $60 \mathrm{deg}+\mathrm{lce}+$ Temp |  |
| 18 | Dead+Wind 90 deg+Ice+Temp |  |
| 19 | Dead+Wind 120 deg+lce+Temp |  |
| 20 | Dead+Wind 150 deg+Ice+Temp |  |
| 21 | Dead+Wind $180 \mathrm{deg}+$ Ice + Temp |  |
| 22 | Dead+Wind $210 \mathrm{deg}+$ Ice+Temp |  |
| 23 | Dead+Wind $240 \mathrm{deg}+$ lce+Temp |  |
| 24 | Dead+Wind 270 deg+Ice+Temp |  |
| 25 | Dead+Wind $300 \mathrm{deg}+$ Ice+Temp |  |
| 26 | Dead+Wind $330 \mathrm{deg}+$ Ice+Temp |  |
| 27 | Dead+Wind 0 deg - Service |  |
| 28 | Dead+Wind 30 deg - Service |  |
| 29 | Dead+Wind 60 deg - Service |  |
| 30 | Dead+Wind 90 deg - Service |  |
| 31 | Dead+Wind 120 deg - Service |  |
| 32 | Dead+Wind 150 deg - Service |  |
| 33 | Dead+Wind 180 deg - Service |  |
| 34 | Dead+Wind 210 deg - Service |  |
| 35 | Dead+Wind 240 deg - Service |  |
| 36 | Dead+Wind 270 deg - Service |  |
| 37 | Dead+Wind 300 deg - Service |  |
| 38 | Dead+Wind 330 deg - Service |  |

## Maximum Member Forces

| Sectio $n$ No. | Elevation H | Component Type | Condition | Gov. Load Comb. | Force K | Major Axis Moment kip-ft | Minor Axis Moment kip-ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70 | Pole | Max Tension | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Compression | 14 | -2.61 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Mx | 5 | -1.32 | -17.86 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. My | 2 | -1.32 | 0.00 | 17.86 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sectio } \\ n \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Elevation f | Component Type | Condition | Gov. Load Comb | Force $K$ | Major Axis Moment kip-ft | Minor Axis Moment kip-ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L2 | 70-35.9375 | Pole | Max. Vy | 5 | 1.13 | -11.22 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vx | 2 | -1.13 | 0.00 | 11.22 |
|  |  |  | Max. Torque | 4 |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max Tension | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Compression | 14 | -7.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Mx | 5 | -5.00 | -88.15 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. My | 2 | -5.00 | 0.00 | 88.15 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vy | 5 | 2.78 | -88.15 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vx | 2 | -2.78 | 0.00 | 88.15 |
|  |  |  | Max. Torque | 3 |  |  | -0.00 |
| L3 | $\begin{gathered} 35.9375- \\ 1.9375 \end{gathered}$ | Pole | Max Tension | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Compression | 14 | -11.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Mx | 5 | -8.45 | -203.38 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. My | 2 | -8.45 | 0.00 | 203.38 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vy | 5 | 3.98 | -203.38 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vx | 2 | -3.98 | 0.00 | 203.38 |
|  |  |  | Max. Torque | 3 |  |  | -0.00 |
| L4 | $\begin{gathered} 1.9375- \\ 0.9375 \end{gathered}$ | Pole | Max Tension | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Compression | 14 | -11.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Mx | 5 | -8.56 | -207.36 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. My | 2 | -8.56 | 0.00 | 207.36 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vy | 5 | 4.00 | -207.36 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | Max. Vx | 2 | -4.00 | 0.00 | 207.36 |
|  |  |  | Max. Torque | 3 |  |  | -0.00 |


| Maximum Tower Deflections = Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section <br> No. | Elevation <br> $f t$ | Horz. Deflection in | Gov. Load Comb | Tilt | Twist |
| L1 | 90-70 | 10.422 | 27 | 2.2903 | 0.0000 |
| L2 | 70-35.9375 | 3.394 | 30 | 0.3527 | 0.0000 |
| L3 | 35.9375-1.9375 | 1.116 | 30 | 0.2653 | 0.0000 |
| L4 | 1.9375-0.9375 | 0.001 | 29 | 0.0142 | 0.0000 |

## Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

| Elevation ft | Appurtenance | Gov. Load Comb | Deflection in | Tilt | Twist . | Radius of Curvature ft |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90.94 | Flag | 27 | 10.422 | 2.2903 | 0.0000 | 2826 |
| 90.75 | Truck Ball | 27 | 10.422 | 2.2903 | 0.0000 | 2826 |
| 90.00 | Canister Load1 | 27 | 10.422 | 2.2903 | 0.0000 | 2826 |
| 85.94 | (2) ETW190VS12UB | 30 | 8.774 | 1.8218 | 0.0000 | 2826 |
| 80.00 | Canister Load2 | 30 | 6.483 | 1.1771 | 0.0000 | 1412 |
| 76.94 | P65-16-XLH-RR | 30 | 5.407 | 0.8806 | 0.0000 | 1081 |
| 70.00 | Canister Load3 | 30 | 3.394 | 0.3527 | 0.0000 | 760 |


| Maximum |  |  | ower Defiections - Design Win |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Elevation | Horz. | Gov. | Tilt | Twist |
| No. |  | Deflection | Load |  |  |
|  | $f$ | in | Comb. | - | - |
| L1 | 90-70 | 28.768 | 2 | 6.2322 | 0.0000 |
| L2 | 70-35.9375 | 9.578 | 2 | 0.9929 | 0.0000 |
| L3 | 35.9375-1.9375 | 3.155 | 2 | 0.7492 | 0.0000 |
| L4 | 1.9375-0.9375 | 0.004 | 4 | 0.0401 | 0.0000 |

## Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Design Wind

| Elevation | Appurtenance |  |  | Gov. | Deflection | Tilt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Compression Checks

## Pole Design Data

| Section No. | Elevation ft | Size | $L$ <br> ft | $L_{u}$ <br> ft | Kl/r | $F_{a}$ <br> ksi | A $i n^{2}$ | Actual $P$ $K$ | Allow. $P_{a}$ K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ P \\ \hline P_{a} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70 (1) | MT 4" $\times 0.5$ | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 45.000 | 5.4978 | -1.32 | 247.40 | 0.005 |
| L2 | $\begin{gathered} 70-35.9375 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | P24x0.375 | 34.06 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 21.000 | 27.8325 | -5.00 | 584.48 | 0.009 |
| L3 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9375- \\ & 1.9375(3) \end{aligned}$ | P24×0.375 | 34.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 21.000 | 27.8325 | -8.45 | 584.48 | 0.014 |
| L4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9375- \\ & 0.9375(4) \end{aligned}$ | P20x0.5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 21.000 | 30.6305 | -8.56 | 643.24 | 0.013 |

## Pole Bending Design Data

| Section No. | Elevation ft | Size | Actual $M_{x}$ kip-ft | Actual $f_{b x}$ ksi | Allow. $F_{b x}$ ksi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{b x} \\ \hline F_{b x} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ M_{y} \\ \text { kip-ft } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ f_{b y} \\ k s i \end{gathered}$ | Allow. $F_{b y}$ $k s i$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{b y} \\ \hline F_{b y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70 (1) | MT 4"X0.5 | 17.86 | 49.899 | 49.500 | 1.008 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 49.500 | 0.000 |
| L2 | $\begin{gathered} 70-35.9375 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | P24×0.375 | 88.15 | 6.536 | 23.100 | 0.283 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 23.100 | 0.000 |
| L3 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9375- \\ & 1.9375(3) \end{aligned}$ | P24×0.375 | 203.38 | 15.078 | 23.100 | 0.653 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 23.100 | 0.000 |
| L4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9375- \\ & 0.9375(4) \end{aligned}$ | P20x0.5 | 207.36 | 17.080 | 23.100 | 0.739 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 23.100 | 0.000 |

## Pole Shear Design Data

| Section No. | Elevation <br> ft | Size | Actual V K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ f_{v} \\ \mathrm{ksi} \end{gathered}$ | Allow. Fv ksi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{v} \\ \hline F_{v} \end{gathered}$ | Actual kip-ft | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ f_{v t} \\ \mathrm{ksi} \end{gathered}$ | Allow. $F_{v t}$ ksi | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{v t} \\ \hline F_{v t} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70(1) | MT 4"X0.5 | 1.08 | 0.393 | 30.000 | 0.013 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 30.000 | 0.000 |
| L2 | $\begin{gathered} 70-35.9375 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | P24x0.375 | 2.78 | 0.200 | 14.000 | 0.014 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 14.000 | 0.000 |
| L3 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9375- \\ & 1.9375(3) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{P} 24 \times 0.375$ | 3.98 | 0.286 | 14.000 | 0.020 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 14.000 | 0.000 |
| L4 | $\begin{gathered} 1.9375- \\ 0.9375(4) \end{gathered}$ | P20x0.5 | 4.00 | 0.261 | 14.000 | 0.019 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 14.000 | 0.000 |

## Pole Interaction Design Data

| No. | Elevation | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ P \end{gathered}$ | Ratio $f_{b x}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{b y} \end{gathered}$ | $\overline{R_{\mathrm{Ratio}}^{f_{v}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & f_{\mathrm{vt}} \end{aligned}$ |  | Allow. Stress | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ft | $P_{a}$ | $F_{b x}$ | $F_{b y}$ | $F_{v}$ | $F_{v t}$ | Ratio | Ratio |  |


| Section No. | Elevation <br> ft | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ P \\ \hline P_{a} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{b x} \end{array} \\ \hline F_{b x} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{b y} \\ F_{b y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{v} \\ F_{v} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ f_{v t} \\ F_{v t} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Comb. Stress Ratio | Allow. Stress Ratio | Criteria |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70(1) | 0.005 | 1.008 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.000 | $1.014$ | 1.333 | $\mathrm{H} 1-3+\mathrm{VT}$ |
| L2 | $\begin{gathered} 70-35.9375 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | 0.009 | 0.283 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.000 | $0.292$ | 1.333 | H1-3+VT |
| L3 | $\begin{aligned} & 35.9375- \\ & 1.9375(3) \end{aligned}$ | 0.014 | 0.653 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.000 | $0.668$ | 1.333 | H1-3+VT $/$ |
| L4 | $\begin{gathered} 1.9375- \\ 0.9375(4) \end{gathered}$ | 0.013 | 0.739 | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.000 | $\begin{gathered} 0.753 \\ \end{gathered}$ | 1.333 | H1-3+VT |

## Section Capacity Table

| Section No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elevation } \\ & f t \end{aligned}$ | Component Type | Size | Critical Element | $\begin{aligned} & p \\ & K \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SF}^{* P_{\text {allow }}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Capacity } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pass } \\ & \text { Fail } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 90-70 | Pole | MT 4"X 0.5 | 1 | -1.32 | 329.78 | 76.0 | Pass |
| L2 | 70-35.9375 | Pole | P24x0.375 | 2 | -5.00 | 779.12 | 21.9 | Pass |
| L3 | $\begin{gathered} 35.9375- \\ 1.9375 \end{gathered}$ | Pole | P24x0.375 | 3 | -8.45 | 779.12 | 50.1 | Pass |
| L4 | 1.9375-0.9375 | Pole | P20x0.5 | 4 | -8.56 | 857.44 | $\begin{gathered} 56.5 \\ \text { Summary } \end{gathered}$ | Pass |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Pole (L1) RATING = | $\begin{array}{r} 76.0 \\ 76.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pass Pass |

## APPENDIX B

## BASE LEVEL DRAWING



## APPENDIX C

## ADDITIONAL CALCULATIONS

| Section 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size Prox | P. 5 P24×0.375 | P24×0.375 | MT 4" ${ }^{\text {P }} 0.5$ |
| Length (f) $\quad$. 0 ( | 34.00 | 34.06 | 20.00 |
| Grade | A53-B-35 |  | ASTM A513 D.O.M. |
| Weight (K) 6.90 .1 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 0.4 |

90.0 ft
70.0 ft
$\xrightarrow{70.012}$

DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

| TYPE | ELEVATION | TYPE | ELEVATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Flag | 90.9375 | P65-16-XLH-RR | 76.9375 |
| Truck Ball | 90.75 | P65-16-XLH-RR | 76.9375 |
| Canister Load1 | 90 | P65-16-XLH-RR | 76.9375 |
| (2) ETW190VS12UB | 85.9375 | ETW190VS12UB | 76.9375 |
| (2) ETW190VS12UB | 85.9375 | ETW190VS12UB | 76.9375 |
| (2) ETW190VS12UB | 85.9375 | ETW190VS12UB | 76.9375 |
| APX16DWV-16DWVS-C | 85.9375 | (2) DBC2046F1V2-1 | 76.9375 |
| APX16DWV-16DWVS-C | 85.9375 | (2) DBC2046F1V2-1 | 76.9375 |
| APX16DWV-16DWVS-C | 85.9375 | (2) DBC2046F1V2-1 | 76.9375 |
| Canister Load2 | 80 | Canister Load3 | 70 |

MATERIAL STRENGTH

| GRADE | Fy | Fu |  | GRADE | Fy | Fu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASTMA513 <br> D.O.M. | 75 ksi |  | 85 ksi | A.53-8-35 | 35 ksi |  |

## TOWER DESIGN NOTES

## Tower is located in Fairfield County, Connecticut.

2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 76\%


38 mph WIND - 0.7500 in ICE
1.9 ft $\frac{1.9 \mathrm{ft}}{0.9 \mathrm{ft}}$ $\qquad$


REACTIONS - 85 mph WIND

| Paul J. Ford and Company | Fob: 90-ft Flag Pole: 927 Danbury Rd.: Wilton, CT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 East Broad St, Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215 <br> Phone: 614.221.6679 FAX: | Project: 31908-0121 |  |  |
|  | Client: ATTW-80583W-33R5 | TDehnke | App'd: |
|  | Code: TIA/EIA-222-F | Date: $04 / 25 / 14$ | NTS |
|  |  |  | 和 $\mathrm{No}$. E-1 |

Square, Stiffened / Unstiffened Base Plate, Any Rod Material - Rev. F /G
Assumptions:

1) Rod groups at corners. Total \# rods divisible by 4. Maximum total \# of rods $=48$ ( 12 per Corner).
2) Rod Spacing $=$ Straight Center-to-Center distance between any (2) adjacent rods (same corner)
3) Clear space between bottom of leveling nut and top of concrete not exceeding (1)*(Rod Diameter)

| BU\#: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Name: |  |  |
| App \#: |  |  |
| Anchor Rod Data |  |  |
| Qty: | 4 |  |
| Diam: | 2.25 | in |
| Rod Material: | A615-J |  |
| Yield, Fy: | 75 | ksi |
| Strength, Fu: | 100 | ksi |
| Bolt Circle: | 27 | in |


| Base Reactions |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| TIA Revision: | F |  |
| Unfactored Moment, M: | 207 | ft-kips |
| Unfactored Axial, P: | 9 | kips |
| Unfactored Shear, V: | 4 | kips |

## Anchor Rod Results

| TIA F --> Maximum Rod Tension | 89.8 Kips |
| :--- | ---: |
| Allowable Tension: | 195.0 Kips |
| Anchor Rod Stress Ratio: | $46.0 \%$ Pass |


| Plate Data |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| W=Side: | 25.5 | in |
| Thick: | 2.25 | in |
| Grade | 50 | ksi |
| Clip Distance: | 1.75 | in |


| Base Plate Results | Flexural Check | PL Ref. Data |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Plate Stress: | 24.3 ksi | Yield Line $(\mathrm{n}):$ |
| Allowable PL Bending Stress: | 50.0 ksi | 16.06 |
| Base Plate Stress Ratio: | $48.7 \%$ Pass | Max PL Length: |
|  |  | 16.06 |


| Stiffener Data (Welding at both sides) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Configuration: Weld Type: Groove Depth: Groove Angle: Fillet H. Weld: Fillet V. Weld: Width: Height: Thick: Notch: <br> Grade: <br> Weld str:: | Unstiffened |  |
| Clear Space between Stiffeners at B.C. |  | in |


| Pole Data |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Diam: | 20 | in |
| Thick: | 0.5 | in |
| Grade | 35 | ksi |
| \# of Sides: | 0 | "0"IF Round |

Stiffener Results

| Horizontal Weld: | N/A |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vertical Weld: | N/A |
| Plate Flex+Shear, fb/Fb+(fv/Fv)^2: | N/A |
| Plate Tension+Shear, ft/Ft+(fv/Fv) 2 : | N/A |
| Plate Comp. (AISC Bracket): | N/A |
| Pole Results |  |



| Stress Increase Factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASD ASIF: |  | 1.333 |

[^1]
## Stiffened or Unstiffened, Interior Flange Plate - Any Bolt Material TIA Rev F

Site Data

## BU\#:

Site Name:
App \#:

## Manufacturer: ${ }^{\text {Other }}$

## PLATE CHECK ONLY



Elevation: 1 feet

| Plate Data |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Plate Outer Diam: | 23.25 | in |
| Plate Inner Diam: | 20 | in (Hole @ Ctr) |
| Thick: | 2 | in |
| Grade: | 50 | ksi |
| Effective Width: | 3.04 | in |


| Stiffener Data (Welding at Both Sides) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Config: | 0 | in ** |
| Weld Type: |  |  |
| Groove Depth: |  |  |
| Groove Angle: |  | degrees |
| Fillet H. Weld: |  | <-- Disregard |
| Fillet V. Weld: |  | in |
| Width: |  | in |
| Height: |  | in |
| Thick: |  | in |
| Notch: |  | in |
| Grade: |  | ksi |
| Weld str.: |  | ksi |


|  | Pole Data |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Pole OuterDiam: | 24 | in |
| Thick: | 0.375 | in |
| Pole Inner Diam: | 23.25 | in |
| Grade: | 35 | ksi |
| \# of Sides: | 0 | "0" 1 F Round |
| Fu | 50 | ksi |


| Stress Increase Factor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASIF: 1.333 |  |



* $0=$ none, $1=$ every bolt, $2=$ every 2 bolts, $3=2$ per bolt
** Note: for complete joint penetration groove welds the groove depth must be exactly $1 / 2$ the stiffener thickness for calculation purposes


## Stiffened or Unstiffened, Interior Flange Plate - Any Bolt Material TIA Rev F



| Bolt Data |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Qty: | 12 |  |
| Diam: | 1.25 |  |
|  | Bolt Fu: |  |
| Bolt Material: | A325 | Bolt Fy: |
| N/A:- Disregard |  |  |
| N/A: | 100 | 75 |
| <- Disregard |  |  |
| Circle: | 18.75 | in |


| Plate Data |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Plate Outer Diam: | 23.25 | in |
| Plate Inner Diam: | 15 | in (Hole @ Ctr) |
| Thick: | 2.25 | in |
| Grade: | 50 | ksi |
|  | 6.09 | in |


| Stiffener Data (Welding at Both Sides) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Config: | 0 | in ** |
| Weld Type: |  |  |
| Groove Depth: |  |  |
| Groove Angle: |  | degrees |
| Fillet H. Weld: |  | <-- Disregard |
| Fillet V. Weld: |  | in |
| Width: |  | in |
| Height: |  | in |
| Thick: |  | in |
| Notch: |  | in |
| Grade: |  | ksi |
| Weld str.: |  | ksi |


|  | Pole Data |  |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| Pole OuterDiam: | 24 | in |
| Thick: | 0.375 | in |
| Pole Inner Diam: | 23.25 | in |
| Grade: | 35 | ksi |
| \# of Sides: | 0 | "O" IF Round |
| Fu | 50 | ksi |


| Stress Increase Factor |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ASIF: |  |


|  | Reactions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Moment: | 88.31 | ft-kips |
|  | Axial: | 8.54 | kips |
|  | Shear: | 2.75 | kips |
| Exterior Flange Run, $T+Q$ : |  | 0 | kips |

Elevation: 35.9375 feet

Interior Flange Bolt Results
Maximum Bolt Tension: $\quad 18.1 \mathrm{Kips}$, Ext. T=Interior T
Allowable Tension:
Bolt Stress Ratio:

| Interior Flange Plate Results | Flex |
| :--- | :--- |
| Controlling Bolt Axial Force: |  |
| Plate Stress: |  |
| Allowable Plate Stress: |  |
| Plate Stress Ratio: |  |
|  |  |
| n/a |  |
| Stiffener Results | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Horizontal Weld: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Vertical Weld: | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Plate Flex+Shear, fb/Fb+(fv/Fvv ${ }^{\wedge} 2:$ |  |
| Plate Tension+Shear, ft/Ft+(fv/Fv) $2:$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Plate Comp. (AISC Bracket): | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Pole Results
Pole Punching Shear Check: n/a

* $0=$ none, $1=$ every bolt, 2 = every 2 bolts, $3=2$ per bolt
** Note: for complete joint penetration groove welds the groove depth must be exactly $1 / 2$ the stiffener thickness for calculation purposes



## CCI Flagpole Tool



## WCROWN



FLANGE PLATE (TYPE 3: SOLIDITY RATIO 0.5)

| Canister Section <br> Number *: | Canister <br> Assembly <br> Length (ft): | Canister <br> Assembly <br> Diameter (in): | Number of Sides <br> Canister Section | $\frac{\text { Plate }}{\text { Type: }}$ | Mating <br> Flange <br> Plate <br> Thickness <br> (in)**: | Mating <br> Flange <br> Plate <br> Diameter <br> (in): | Solidity <br> Ratio | Plate <br> Weight <br> (Kip): | Canister <br> Weight <br> (Kip) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 10 | 23.125 | Round | 3 | 0.00 | 23.125 | 0.5 | 0.000 | 0.121 |
| 2 | 10 | 24 | Round | 3 | 1.50 | 24 | 0.5 | 0.192 | 0.126 |


| Flag on Tower: | Yes |
| ---: | :--- |
| Flag Width: | 18 ft |
| Flag Height: | 12 ft |
| Flag Elevation(z): | 90 ft |


| Truck Ball on Tower: | Yes |
| ---: | :---: |
| Diameter of Ball: | 18 in |



| Discrete Loads: <br> Truck Ball | Apply $C_{a} A_{A}$ at Elevation(z) (ft) | $\begin{gathered} C_{z} A_{A} \\ \text { No ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{a}} A_{A} \\ 1 / 2^{\prime \prime} \operatorname{lce}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{A}} \\ 1^{\prime \prime} \text { Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{a} A_{A} \\ 2^{\text {" }} \text { Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{2} A_{A} \\ 4^{\prime \prime} \text { Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Weight No Ice (Kip) | Weight 1/2" Ice (Kip) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 89.8125 | 1.414 | 1.575 | 1.745 | 2.112 | 2.950 | 0.05 | 0.067 |


| Discrete Loads : $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F}}$ for Canister Assembly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canister Loading | Apply $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F}}$ at Elevation(z) <br> (ft) | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{F}} A_{\mathrm{F}} \\ \text { No Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{F}} \\ 1 / 2^{\text {I }} \text { cee }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{F} A_{F} \\ 1^{1} \operatorname{lce}\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{F} A_{F} \\ 2^{\text {1 }} \text { Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C_{\mathrm{F}} A_{\mathrm{F}} \\ 4^{\prime \prime} \text { Ice }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Canister <br> Assembly <br> Weight No <br> Ice (Kip) | Canister <br> Assembly <br> Weight <br> $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Ice <br> (Kip) |
| Canister Load 1 | 89.0625 | 5.685 | 5.931 | 6.177 | 6.668 | 7.652 | 0.061 | 0.133 |
| Canister Load 2 | 79.0625 | 11.585 | 12.077 | 12.568 | 13.552 | 15.518 | 0.123 | 0.270 |
| Canister Load 3 | 69.0625 | 5.900 | 6.146 | 6.392 | 6.883 | 7.867 | 0.255 | 0.330 |


| User Forces: Flag Force Calculation Per ANSI/NAAMM FP 1001-07 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Wind $_{\text {FORCE }}=$ | 0.289 Kip |
| Weight= | 0.262 Kip |
| Wind $_{\text {FORCE, }}$ ICE $=$ | 0.073 Kip |
| Weight ${ }_{16 E}=$ | 0.413 Kip |
| $\mathrm{W}_{\text {FORCE, SERVICE WIIND }}=$ | 0.115 Kip |
| Weight= | 0.262 Kip |

$\leftarrow$ Flag force should be included
at the top of the flag
attachment elevation. If the attachment of the flag to the halyard distributes forces equally to the pole, apply flag
forces accordingly in tnx file.

| Deflection Check Required: | Yes | Import Deflection Results |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3\% Spine Deflection Check |  |  |  |
| Allowable (3\%) Horizontal Spine <br> Deflection (inches) | Actual <br> Deflection <br> $* * *$ (inches) | Sufficient/ Insufficient |  |
| 7.200 | 7.069 | Sufficient |  |

[^2]




Licensee stated above acknowledges that STRUCTUREPOINT (SP) is not and cannot be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spColumn computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spColumn program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spcolumn error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spColumn program.

General Information:

| File Name: C:\Users \taehnke\Desktop \37514-0960.col |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project: |  |
| Column: | Engineer: |
| Code: ACI 318-08 | Units: English |
| Run Option: Investigation | Slenderness: Not considered |
| Run Axis: X-axis | Column Type: Structural |
| Material Properties: |  |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{ksi}$ | fy $=60 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Ec $\quad=3122.02 \mathrm{ksi}$ | Es $\quad=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$ |
| Ultimate strain $=0.003 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ |  |
| Betal $=0.85$ |  |
| Section: |  |
| Rectangular: Width $=48 \mathrm{in}$ | Depth $=48 \mathrm{in}$ |
| Gross section area, Ag $=2304$ in^2 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ix}=442368 \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$ | $I y=442368 i n^{\wedge} 4$ |
| $\mathrm{rx}=13.8564 \mathrm{in}$ | $r y=13.8564 \mathrm{in}$ |
| $\mathrm{Xo}=0 \mathrm{in}$ | Yo $=0 \mathrm{in}$ |

Reinforcement:


Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities:

|  | Pu | Mux | PhiMnx | Phimn/Mu | NA depth | Dt depth | eps_t | Phi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | kip | k-ft | k-ft |  | in | in |  |  |
| 1 | 9.00 | 225.00 | 1166.97 | 5.187 | 4.43 | 43.94 | 0.02674 | 900 |

*** End of output ***

| $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

spColumn v4.80. Licensed to: Paul J. Ford and Company. License ID: 60478-1036166-4-1E6CD-22701

File: C:IUsersItdehnkelDesktop\37514-0960.col
Project:

Column:
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=3 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{fy}=60 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{Es}=29000 \mathrm{ksi}$
$\mathrm{fc}=2.55 \mathrm{ksi}$
e_u $=0.003 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$
Beta1 $=0.85$
Confinement: Tied
$\operatorname{phi}(\mathrm{a})=0.8, \operatorname{phi}(\mathrm{~b})=0.9, \operatorname{phi}(\mathrm{c})=0.65$

Engineer:
$\mathrm{Ag}=2304 \mathrm{in}{ }^{\wedge} 2$
As $=12.00 \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 2 \quad$ rho $=0.52 \%$
$X_{0}=0.00 \mathrm{in}$
$\mathrm{lx}=442368 \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$
$\mathrm{ly}=442368 \mathrm{in}^{\wedge} 4$
Clear cover $=3.50$ in


# RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS 

T-Mobile Existing Facility
Site ID: CT11117C
Wilton / Georgetown / Route 7
922 Danbury Road Wilton, CT 06897

April 16, 2014

EBI Project Number: 62142540
environmental | engineering | due diligence

April 16, 2014

T-Mobile USA
Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager
35 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Re: Emissions Values for Site: CT11117C - Wilton / Georgetown / Route 7

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at 922 Danbury Road, Wilton, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure ( $\% \mathrm{MPE}$ ) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ ). The number of $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR $1.1307(b)(1)-(b)(3)$, to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ ). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is $567 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is $1000 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.
environmental | engineering | due diligence

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

## CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at 922 Danbury Road, Wilton, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, the actual antenna pattern gain value in the direction of the sample area was used. For this report the sample point is a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 2 GSM channels ( 1940.000 MHz - to 1950.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
2) 2 UMTS channels ( 2110.000 MHz to $2120.000 \mathrm{MHz} / 2140.000 \mathrm{MHz}$ to 2145.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
3) 2 LTE channels ( 2110.000 MHz to $2120.000 \mathrm{MHz} / 2140.000 \mathrm{MHz}$ to 2145.000 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
4) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
5) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
6) The antenna used in this modeling is the RFS APX16DWV-16DWVS-C-A20 for LTE, UMTS and GSM. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 16.3 dBd gain value at its main lobe. Actual antenna gain values were used for all calculations as per the manufacturers specifications.
7) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is $\mathbf{8 5}$ feet above ground level (AGL).
8) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits.


## Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are $\mathbf{1 . 3 6 5 \%}$ ( $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 5 \%}$ from each sector) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $\mathbf{6 . 6 9 5 \%}$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a $5 \%$ contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were within the allowable $100 \%$ threshold standard per the federal government.


## Scott Heffernan

RF Engineering Director

## EBI Consulting

21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The online Connecticut Siting Council database does not include an approval by docket or petition for this facility so there are no specific limitation on the antenna configuration, however there has been at least one notice of intent filed, specifically EM-CING-161-111114.

[^1]:    ${ }^{* *}$ Note: for complete joint penetration groove welds the groove depth must be exactly $1 / 2$ the stiffener thickness for calculation purposes

[^2]:    *** Relative deflection under service level wind speed

