

April 1, 2014

David Martin and
Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT 06375
N 41° 25' 04"
W -72° 06' 21"

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of T-Mobile, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT 06375.

The 35 South Bartlett Road facility consists of a 180' Lattice Tower owned and operated by SBA Towers II, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of T-Mobile's modernization project, T-Mobile desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in T-Mobile's operations at the site along with the required fee of \$625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be

significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.
3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of T-Mobile, respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,



Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbsite.com



**T-Mobile
Equipment Modification**

35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT 06375
Site number CTNL021D

Tower Owner: SBA Towers II LLC

Equipment Configuration: Lattice Tower

Current and/or approved:

- (9) RFS APX16PV-16PVL-A
- (6) Powerwave 12" x 6" x 4" TMAs
- (12) 1-5/8" Feed Lines

Planned Modifications:

- (3) Ericsson AIR 21 B2A/B4P
- (3) Ericsson AIR 21 B4A/B2P
- (3) Ericsson KRY 112 144/1 TMAs
- (12) 1-5/8" Feed Lines
- (1) 1-5/8" Fiber

Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are 0.644% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 2.304% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Site Composite MPE %	
Carrier	MPE %
T-Mobile	0.644%
MetroPCS	1.660%
Total Site MPE %	2.304%

April 1, 2014

Mr. Dan Steward
First Selectman
Town of Waterford
15 Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, CT 06385

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT 06375

Dear Mr. Steward,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes T-Mobile's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804.

Thank you,



Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbsite.com

RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT
EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL
TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

T-Mobile Existing Facility

Site ID: CTNL021D

SBA Waterford

35 South Bartlett Road
Waterford, CT 06375

March 28, 2014

EBI Project Number: 62141773

March 28, 2014

T-Mobile USA
Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager
35 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Re: Emissions Values for Site: **CTNL021D - SBA Waterford**

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at 35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). The number of $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) – (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ($\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is $567 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is $1000 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at 35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, the actual antenna pattern gain value in the direction of the sample area was used. For this report the sample point is a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 GSM / UMTS channels (1935.000 MHz to 1945.000 MHz / 1983.000 MHz to 1984.000 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
- 2) 4 UMTS / LTE channels (2110.000 to 2120.000 MHz / 2140.000 MHz to 2145.000 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation
- 3) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 4) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
- 5) The antenna used in this modeling is the Ericsson AIR21 for LTE, UMTS and GSM. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 15.6 dBd gain value at its main lobe. Actual antenna gain values were used for all calculations as per the manufacturers specifications

- 6) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is **150 feet** above ground level (AGL)
- 7) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits

Site ID	CTNL021D - SBA Waterford
Site Address	35 South Bartlett Road, Waterford, CT 06375
Site Type	Self Support Tower

Sector 1

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	48.326044	0.837842	0.08378%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-	-	-	0	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	24.163022	0.418921	0.04189%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	150	114	None	0	0	32.217363	0.891223	0.08912%

Sector total Power Density Value: 0.215%

Sector 2

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	48.326044	0.837842	0.08378%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-	-	-	0	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	24.163022	0.418921	0.04189%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	150	114	None	0	0	32.217363	0.891223	0.08912%

Sector total Power Density Value: 0.215%

Sector 3

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	48.326044	0.837842	0.08378%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-	-	-	0	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	150	144	None	0	0	24.163022	0.418921	0.04189%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	150	114	None	0	0	32.217363	0.891223	0.08912%

Sector total Power Density Value: 0.215%

Site Composite MPE %	
Carrier	MPE %
T-Mobile	0.644%
MetroPCS	1.660%
Total Site MPE %	2.304%

Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are **0.644% (0.215% from each sector)** of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **2.304%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.



Scott Heffernan
RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting
21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803



**Structural Analysis for
SBA Network Services, Inc.**

180' Self-Support Tower

**SBA Site Name: Rogers Hill
SBA Site ID: CT09680-S-00
T-Mobile Site ID: CTNL021D**

FDH Project Number 1424KO1400

Analysis Results

Tower Components	71.3%	Sufficient
Foundation	65.8%	Sufficient


Prepared By:

Mark S. Girgis, EI
Project Engineer

Reviewed By:

Bradley Newman, PE
Senior Project Engineer
CT PE License No. 29630

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meriden Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616
(919) 755-1012
info@fdh-inc.com



March 18, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY3

 Conclusions.....3

 Recommendations3

APPURTENANCE LISTING4

RESULTS5

GENERAL COMMENTS7

LIMITATIONS7

APPENDIX8

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the existing self-supported tower located in Quaker Hill, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F* and the *2005 Connecticut Building Code (CBC)*. Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, the member sizes, geotechnical data, and foundation dimensions was obtained from:

- World Tower Company, Inc. (Drawing No. Q071062) original design drawings dated December 5, 2007
- Clarence Welti Associates, Inc. (Site Name: Rogers Hill) Geotechnical Study for Proposed Communications Tower dated December 17, 2007
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The *basic design wind speed* per the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and the *2005 CBC* is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 3/4" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from T-Mobile in place at 150 ft, the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and the *2005 CBC* provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see World Tower Company, Inc. Drawing No. Q071062), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support the existing and proposed loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and the *2005 CBC* are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The proposed feed lines should be installed as shown in **Figure 1**.
2. The proposed TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. *If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.*

Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

Existing Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Feed Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
185	(2) Sinclair SC488-HF2LNF Omnis	(3) 1-5/8"	Town of Waterford	180	(3) Standoffs
	(1) Telewave ANT150F2 Omni				
170	(6) Kathrein 742 351	(12) 1-5/8" (1) 3/8"	Metro PCS	170	(3) T-Frames
150	(9) RFS APX16PV-16PVL-A (6) Powerwave 12" x 6" x 4" TMAs	(12) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	150	(3) T-Frames

Proposed Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Feed Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
150	(3) Ericsson AIR 21 B2A/B4P (3) Ericsson AIR 21 B4A/B2P (3) Ericsson KRY 112 144/1 TMAs	(12) 1-5/8" (1) 1-5/8" Fiber	T-Mobile	150	(3) T-Frames

RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Legs	50 ksi
Bracing	36 ksi

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 105% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the **Appendix** for detailed modeling information.

Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	% Capacity	Pass Fail
T1	180 - 160	Leg	1 1/2	41.9	Pass
		Diagonal	1	69.0	Pass
		Horizontal	1	9.2	Pass
		Top Girt	1	4.7	Pass
		Bottom Girt	1	15.7	Pass
T2	160 - 140	Leg	2	64.2	Pass
		Diagonal	1 1/4	57.6	Pass
		Horizontal	1	13.0	Pass
		Top Girt	1	20.7	Pass
		Bottom Girt	1	26.3	Pass
T3	140 - 120	Leg	2 3/4	58.7	Pass
		Diagonal	L2x2x3/16	29.8 49.7 (b)	Pass
		Top Girt	L2x2x1/8	15.1 21.6 (b)	Pass
		Leg	3	61.7	Pass
T4	120 - 100	Diagonal	L2x2x1/4	28.0 39.4 (b)	Pass
		Leg	3 1/2	51.0	Pass
T5	100 - 80	Diagonal	L2x2x1/4	39.9 42.5 (b)	Pass
		Leg	3 1/2	61.1	Pass
T6	80 - 60	Diagonal	L3x3x3/16	47.3 55.9 (b)	Pass
		Secondary Horizontal	L2x2x1/8	57.6 61.1 (b)	Pass
		Leg	3 3/4	59.3	Pass
T7	60 - 40	Diagonal	L3x3x3/16	58.2 58.6 (b)	Pass

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	% Capacity	Pass Fail
		Secondary Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	62.2	Pass
T8	40 - 20	Leg	4	57.4	Pass
		Diagonal	L3x3x3/16	71.3	Pass
		Secondary Horizontal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	46.0	Pass
T9	20 - 0	Leg	4	64.3	Pass
		Diagonal	L3x3x1/4	68.6	Pass
		Secondary Horizontal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	65.1	Pass

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Load Type	Direction	Current Analysis (TIA/EIA-222-F)	Original Design (TIA/EIA-222-F)
Individual Foundation	Horizontal	19 k	---
	Uplift	209 k	333 k
	Compression	248 k	386 k
Overturing Moment	---	2,979 k-ft	4,527 k-ft

GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

APPENDIX




Figure 1 – Assumed Coax Layout

DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod	180	AIR 21 B2A/B4P	150
SC488-HF2LNF Omni	180	AIR 21 B2A/B4P	150
Standoff	180	AIR 21 B2A/B4P	150
SC488-HF2LNF Omni	180	AIR 21 B4A/B2P	150
ANT150F2 Omni	180	AIR 21 B4A/B2P	150
Standoff	180	AIR 21 B4A/B2P	150
(2) 742 351 w/ Mount Pipe	170	KRY 112 144/1 TMA	150
(2) 742 351 w/ Mount Pipe	170	KRY 112 144/1 TMA	150
(2) 742 351 w/ Mount Pipe	170	KRY 112 144/1 TMA	150
(3) T-Frames	170	(3) T-Frames	150

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-50	50 ksi	65 ksi	A36	36 ksi	58 ksi

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in New London County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 71.3%




Section	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9
Legs	SR 1 1/2	SR 2	SR 2 3/4	SR 3	SR 3 1/2	SR 3 3/4	SR 3 3/4	SR 4	
Leg Grade					A572-50				
Diagonals	SR 1	SR 1 1/4	L2x2x3/16	L2x2x1/4	A36	L3x3x3/16	L3x3x3/16	L3x3x1/4	
Diagonal Grade									
Top Girts			L2x2x1/8			N.A.			
Bottom Girts	SR 1	SR 1	L2x2x1/8			N.A.			
Horizontals	SR 1	SR 1				N.A.			
Sec. Horizontals			N.A.			L2x2x1/8	L2x2x3/16	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	
Face Width (ft)				5.5	7	10	11.5	13	14.5
# Panels @ (ft)				12 @ 5	12 @ 5	8 @ 10	8 @ 10	3	21.5
Weight (K)	0.8	1.3	1.6	2.1	2.7	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.8



MAX. CORNER REACTIONS AT BASE:

DOWN: 248 K
SHEAR: 19 K

UPLIFT: -209 K
SHEAR: 16 K



FDH Engineering, Inc.



6521 Meridien Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616
Phone: (919) 755-1012
FAX: (919) 755-1031



Job: **Rogers Hill, CT09860-S-00**

Project: **1424KO1400**

Client: **SBA Network Services, Inc.** Drawn by: **Mark S. Girgis** App'd:

Code: **TIA/EIA-222-F** Date: **03/18/14** Scale: **NTS**

Path: **Dwg No. E-1**



1 A-1 **PARTIAL COMPOUND PLAN**
SCALE: 3/32"=1'-0"
0' 5'-4" 10'-8" 21'-4"

NOTE:
GROUND EQUIPMENT NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY

2 A-1 **EXISTING ELEVATION**
SCALE: NTS

* NOTE:
ANTENNA ELEVATION BASED ON CLIENT-PROVIDED INFORMATION



ADVANCED ENGINEERING GROUP, P.C.
Civil Engineering - Site Development Surveying - Telecommunications
500 NORTH BROADWAY
EAST PROVIDENCE, RI 02914
PH: (401) 354-2403
FAX: (401) 633-6354


SBA
SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752
PHONE: 508-251-0720

SITE NUMBER: CTNL021D
SITE NAME: NL021/ SBA WATERFORD FT
35 SOUTH BARTLETT ROAD
WATERFORD, CT 06375

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APP'D
0	03/20/14	CONSTRUCTION	SO	SB	MRC
SCALE: AS SHOWN					
DESIGNED BY: MRC			DRAWN BY: SO		

T-MOBILE		
COMPOUND PLAN AND ELEVATION		
JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
CTNL021D	A-1	0



EXISTING ANTENNA PLAN
 SCALE: 3/16"=1'-0"
 0' 2'-8" 5'-4"


EXISTING ANTENNA SCHEDULE			
SECTOR	MAKE	MODEL#	SIZE (INCHES)
SECTOR A:	RFS	APX16DWV-16DWV-S	13x3.15x55.9
SECTOR B:	RFS	APX16DWV-16DWV-S	13x3.15x55.9
SECTOR C:	RFS	APX16DWV-16DWV-S	13x3.15x55.9

PROPOSED ANTENNA SCHEDULE			
SECTOR	MAKE	MODEL#	SIZE (INCHES)
SECTOR A:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56
SECTOR B:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56
SECTOR C:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56

NOTE:
 1. REFER TO FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.


(E) T-MOBILE PANEL ANTENNAS TYP. OF (1) PER SECTOR, (3) TOTAL TO BE REMOVED

(P) T-MOBILE PANEL ANTENNAS TO BE MOUNTED TO (E) PIPE TYP. OF (2) PER SECTOR, (6) TOTAL (SEE SBA STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS FOR SPECIAL MOUNTING CONFIGURATION)



PROPOSED ANTENNA PLAN
 SCALE: 3/16"=1'-0"
 0' 2'-8" 5'-4"

*** SPECIAL INSTALLATION NOTE:**
 PROPOSED ANTENNAS SHALL BE VERTICALLY CENTERED ON EXISTING FRAME RAIL. ADJUST ANTENNA MOUNTING PIPE AS REQUIRED.




EQUIPMENT PLAN
 SCALE: 3/16"=1'-0"
 0' 2'-8" 5'-4"




EXISTING EQUIPMENT AREA.
 N.T.S.







1
A-3
EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.
N.T.S.



2
A-3
PROPOSED ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.
N.T.S.



3
A-3
ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.
SCALE: N.T.S.



NOTE:
ANCHOR (P) EQUIPMENT TO (E) CONCRETE PAD PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS

DIMENSIONS		
CABINET	DEPTH x WIDTH x HEIGHT	APPROX. MAX. WEIGHT
OUTDOOR RBS3106	36.45" x 51.18" x 64.17"	1874 LBS.


4
A-3
PROPOSED EQUIPMENT CABINET
SCALE: N.T.S.

* SPECIAL INSTALLATION NOTE:
PROPOSED ANTENNAS SHALL BE VERTICALLY CENTERED ON EXISTING FRAME RAIL. ADJUST ANTENNA MOUNTING PIPE AS REQUIRED.

(P) RBS 3106 CABINET MOUNTED TO (P) 3106 BASE PER MANUFACTURER'S REQUIREMENTS (SEE RFDS FOR INTERNAL EQUIPMENT CONFIGURATION)

(P) 3106 BASE BOLTED TO CONCRETE BASE PER MANUFACTURERS REQUIREMENTS

(E) CONCRETE FLOOR



ELEVATION

5
A-3
RBS 3106 MOUNTING DETAIL
SCALE: N.T.S.

