

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

October 1, 2007

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

RE: **EM-CING-045-059-124-131-152-070914** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at Flanders Road, East Lyme; 725 (a.k.a. 741) Flanders Road, Groton; 6 Progress Avenue; Seymour; Shuttle Meadow Road, Southington; and 41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Levine:

At a public meeting held on September 25, 2007, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify these existing telecommunications facilities, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, with the conditions that:


- a) The modifications specified for the Groton tower in structural analysis report dated August 16, 2007 and sealed by Robert Semaan, P.E. be performed prior to the antenna swap and that a signed letter from a Professional Engineer be submitted to the Council to certify that the modifications have been properly completed.
- b) The modifications specified for the Southington tower in structural analysis report dated May 23, 2007 and sealed by Jason Seaverson, P.E. be performed prior to the antenna swap and that a signed letter from a Professional Engineer be submitted to the Council to certify that the modifications have been properly completed.
- c) The modifications specified for the Waterford tower in structural analysis report dated July 6, 2007 and sealed by John Irving Mathis, P.E. be performed prior to the antenna swap and that a signed letter from a Professional Engineer be submitted to the Council to certify that the modifications have been properly completed.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated September 14, 2007, including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compounds. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to existing facility sites that would not increase tower heights, extend the boundaries of the tower sites, increase noise levels at the tower site boundaries by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power densities measured at the tower site boundaries to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. These facilities have also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on these towers.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to any of these facilities will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.


Very truly yours,

Handwritten signature of Daniel F. Caruso in cursive, with "Foc" written below the name.

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

DFC/MP/cm

- c: The Honorable Beth A. Hogan, First Selectman, Town of East Lyme
- Meg Parulis, Planning Director, Town of East Lyme
- The Honorable Daniel M. Steward, First Selectman, Town of Waterford
- Thomas V. Wagner, Planning Director, Town of Waterford
- The Honorable Robert J. Koskelowski Sr., First Selectman, Town of Seymour
- James Baldwin Sr., Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Seymour
- The Honorable John Barry, Chairman Town Council, Town of Southington
- Mary Hughes, Town Planner, Town of Southington
- The Honorable Harry A. Watson, Mayor, Town of Groton
- Kevin Quinn, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Groton
- Connecticut Light & Power
- Christine Farrell, T-Mobile
- EMAC Communications
- Crown Castle
- American Tower



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

September 17, 2007

The Honorable Daniel M. Steward
First Selectman
Town of Waterford
Town Hall
15 Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, CT 06385

RE: **EM-CING-045-059-124-131-152-070914** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify existing telecommunications facilities located at Flanders Road, East Lyme; 725 (a.k.a. 741) Flanders Road, Groton; 6 Progress Avenue; Seymour; Shuttle Meadow Road, Southington; and 41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Steward:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

The Council will consider this item at the next meeting scheduled for September 25, 2007, at 1:30 p.m. in Hearing Room Two, Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal, please call me or inform the Council by noon on September 25, 2007.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/cm

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Thomas V. Wagner, Planning Director, Town of Waterford




EM-CING-045-059-124-131-152-070914

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

HAND DELIVERED

September 14, 2007



Honorable Daniel F. Caruso, Chairman,
and Members of the Connecticut Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Re: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify 5 existing tele-communications facilities located in East Lyme, Groton, Seymour, Southington, and Waterford

Dear Chairman Caruso and Members of the Council:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS") capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("Cingular") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of each of the municipalities in which an affected cell site is locate.

UMTS technology offers services to mobile computer and phone users anywhere in the world. Based on the Global System for Mobile (GSM) communication standard, UMTS is the planned worldwide standard for mobile users. UMTS, fully implemented, gives computer and phone users high-speed access to the Internet as they travel. They have the same capabilities even when they roam, through both terrestrial wireless and satellite transmissions.

Attached are summary sheets detailing the planned changes, including power density calculations reflecting the change in the effect of Cingular's operations at each affected site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of each tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

The changes to the facilities do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facilities will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facilities fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. In each instance, the height of the overall structure will be unaffected. Modifications to the existing sites include all or some of the following as necessary to bring each site into conformance with the plan:

- Replacement of existing panel antennas with new antennas of similar size, shape, and weight, or, installation of additional antennas of similar size, shape, and weight.
- Installation of small tower mount amplifiers ("TMA's") and/or diplexers to the platform on which the panel antennas are mounted to enhance signal reception.
- Installation of additional or larger coaxial cables as required.
- Installation of an additional equipment cabinet in existing shelters, or on existing or enlarged concrete pads.

None of these modifications will extend the height of the tower.

2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than some enlarged equipment pads as noted in the following attachments.

3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. Radio frequency power density may increase due to use of one GSM channel for UMTS transmissions. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, Cingular Wireless respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced sites constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (860) 513-7636 with questions concerning this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Attachments

**CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

Flanders Road, East Lyme, CT
Site Number 5218
Former AT&T Wireless Cell Site
Petition 530 dated 11/29/01

Tower Owner/Manager: CT Light & Power

Equipment configuration: Powermount

Current and/or approved: Three Allgon 7250 antennas @ 107 ft c.l.
Six runs 1 ¼ inch coax
Three outdoor cabinets on concrete pad

Planned Modifications: Remove all three existing antennas
Install 3 Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) at 107 ft
Install six TMA's @ 107 ft
Remove one existing outdoor cabinet
Install one new outdoor cabinet for UMTS

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 7.9 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 12.3 % of the standard.

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
T-Mobile*	106	1900 Band	8	200	0.0512	1.0000	5.12
Cingular GSM *	107	1900 Band	8	110	0.0276	1.0000	2.76
Total							7.9%

* Cingular per Council Records; T-Mobile, typical values

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular UMIS	107	880 - 894	1				5.12
Cingular GSM	107	1900 Band	2	500	0.0157	0.5867	2.68
Total				718	0.0451	1.0000	4.51
							12.3%

* Cingular per Council Records; T-Mobile, typical values

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation have sufficient structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications. (Tabas Associates, dated 9/7/07)



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

September 14, 2007

Honorable Beth A. Hogan
1st Selectman, Town of East Lyme
Town Hall 108 Pennsylvania Ave.
Niantic, CT 06357-0519

Re: Telecommunications Facility – Flanders Road, East Lyme

Dear Ms. Hogan:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“Cingular”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Cingular’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Cingular’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure

Prepared for

AT&T/Cingular Wireless

500 Enterprise Drive
Suite 3A
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

**STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT AND
EVALUATION OF 110' EXISTING UTILITY POLE
FOR REPLACEMENT OF EXISTING ANTENNAS
WITH PROPOSED NEW ANTENNAS**

269 Flanders Road
East Lyme, Connecticut CL&P Pole #6077

prepared by

Tabas Associates, LLC
Consulting Structural Engineers
724 Boston Post Road
Madison, CT 06443

September 7, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY
2. INTRODUCTION
3. ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY AND LOADING CONDITIONS
4. INFORMATION PROVIDED
5. EVALUATION OF UTILITY POLE
6. CONCLUSION
7. STRUCTURAL CALCULATIONS AND DATA
 - NORTHEAST UTILITIES WIRE LOADINGS
 - STRUCTURAL LOADING COMPUTATIONS
 - PLS ANALYSIS OUTPUT

1. SUMMARY

This report summarizes the structural analysis of the existing 110' tall steel utility pole structure, CL&P pole #6077, located at east of Flanders Road in East Lyme, Connecticut. The analysis was conducted in accordance with the Northeast Utilities Service Company's *Criteria for Design of PCS Facilities on or Above Metal Electric Transmission Towers/Poles*. The antenna loading considered in the analysis consists of replacing of the existing antennas with proposed antennas, transmission lines and ancillary items as outlined on the following page of this report.

The results of the evaluation indicate that the utility pole is in compliance with the proposed loading conditions. **The utility pole is considered structurally adequate with the Northeast Utilities loading criteria and requirements. For Structural analysis purposes, we have assumed that the existing PCS Mast is connected to the existing utility pole between the Shield Wire and the first Conductor Arms and between the first and second conductor arms.** The proposed Cingular Wireless installation consists of the following:

- (3) Powerwave 7770 Antennas Cingular Wireless @ 106'-9" Elevation
- (6) Powerwave LGP21401 (Tower Mounted Amplifiers)
- (6) 1 5/8" Coaxial Cables


This analysis is based on:

1. The structure's theoretical capacity and not including any assessment of the existing condition of the pole.
2. Antenna inventory as specified on the following page of this report.
3. The tower was originally designed by Meyer Manufacturing, Inc.
4. Northeast Utilities Service Company's *Criteria for Design of PCS Facilities on or Above Metal Electric Transmission Towers/Poles* dated December 7, 2001.

This report is only valid per the assumptions and data utilized in this report for antenna inventory, mounts and associated cables. The user of this report shall field verify the assumptions of the antenna and mount configurations. Notify the engineer in writing immediately if any of the assumptions in this report are other than specified.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,
Tabas Associates, LLC



Thomas Abbasi, P.E.
Principal

2. INTRODUCTION

A structural analysis of the 110' tall steel transmission utility pole, CL&P pole #6077, located at Flanders Road in East Lyme, CT was performed by Tabas Associates for AT&T/Cingular Wireless. This analysis was conducted to evaluate the stresses on the utility pole and for the proposed installation of new antennas after removal of all existing antennas at the same elevation.

The pole structure is owned by CL&P, structure #6077 and was originally manufactured by Meyer Manufacturing Inc.

Antenna and Mount Configuration:

Antenna and Mount Description	Carrier	Antenna Centerline
(3) Antennas, Powerwave 7770	Cingular Wireless	@ 106'-9"
(6) Powerwave LGP21401 (Tower Mounted Amplifiers)		
(6) 1 5/8" coaxial cables (exposed)		

3. ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY AND LOADING CONDITIONS

The structural analysis was done in accordance with Northeast Utilities Service Company's *Criteria for Design of PCS Facilities on or Above Metal Electric Transmission Towers/Poles*, the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Manual and Reports on Engineering Practice No. 72, *Design of Steel Transmission Pole Structures*, and the American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC)

The analysis was conducted using PLS Structural Analysis Program. Two load conditions were evaluated as shown below which were compared to yield stresses (not plastic stresses) according to ASCE and AISC. The two load conditions were investigated in the analysis to determine the stresses and forces at different elevations.

Load Condition 1 = NESC C2 -2002 Extreme Wind Loading (50-year, 110 mph)

Load Condition 2 = NESC C2 -2002 Heavy Loading (combined ice and wind)

The evaluation of the existing antenna support (PCS Mast) was done in accordance with the provisions of TIA/EIA standard 222 with two conditions:

Load Condition 1 = Extreme Wind Loading (85 mph wind)

Load Condition 2 = Heavy Loading (combined ice and 74 mph wind)

4. INFORMATION PROVIDED

For the purpose of the analysis, Tabas Associates was furnished with the following information:

- Tectonic Engineering Report, dated November 21, 2001 on analysis of existing transmission pole structure with existing antennas.
- Site, Plat Plan and Elevation drawing by Tectonic Engineering, dated 6/12/01.
- Steel Shop drawings of the pole structure by Meyer Industries, Inc., dated 9/21/71.
- Proposed Antenna information by AT&T/Cingular

5. EVALUATION OF UTILITY POLE

Combined axial and bending stresses on the steel utility pole structure were evaluated to compare with stresses allowed in accordance with ASCE and AISC. The pole structure is about 80% of its capacity under Northeast Utilities Loading requirements including the loading from the proposed antennas. Refer to calculations for detailed analysis for the proposed antenna arrangement and load conditions.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the analysis indicate that the existing steel utility pole structure is in compliance with the proposed loading conditions. **We conclude that the existing utility pole structure has adequate capacity to support the proposed antennas after removal of the existing antennas.**

Limitations/Assumptions:

This report is based on the following:

1. Tower inventory as listed in this report.
2. All coaxial cable is installed outside of the utility pole and pipe mount extension.
3. The utility pole was properly installed and maintained since erection.
4. All members were specified in the original design documents and are in good condition.
5. All required members are in place.
6. All bolts are in place and are properly tightened.
7. The utility pole is in plumb condition.
8. Protective coatings are in good condition.
9. All utility pole members were properly designed, detailed, fabricated and installed.
10. Structural steel, grade 65 ($F_y = 65$ ksi).
11. Longitudinal pole loading and stresses were not evaluated per direction of Northeast Utilities Service Co.

Tabas Associates does not assume liability for any factual changes that may occur after the date of this report. All representations, recommendations and conclusions are based upon information contained and set forth herein. If you have knowledge of any information which conflicts with information in this report or you are aware of any defects arising from original design, material, fabrication or erection deficiencies, you should disregard this report and immediately contact Tabas Associates.

**CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

725 (a/k/a 741) Flanders Road, Groton, CT
 Site Number 5225
 Former AT&T Wireless Cell Site
 Exempt Modification 4/25/02

Tower Owner/Manager: T-Mobile

Equipment configuration: Monopole

Current and/or approved: Six Allgon 7250 antennas @ 121 ft c.l.
 Twelve runs 1 5/8 inch coax
 7 x 14 ft concrete pad with four outdoor cabinets

Planned Modifications: Remove existing antennas
 Install 6 Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) at 121 ft
 Install six TMA's and six diplexers @ 121 ft
 Install one additional outdoor cabinet for UMTS

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 6.7 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 9.5 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular GSM *	121	1900 Band	4	250	0.0246	1.0000	4.26
Total							2.46
							6.7%


* Per CSC Records

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular UMTS	121	880 - 894	1	500	0.0123	0.5867	4.26
Cingular GSM	121	1900 Band	2	645	0.0317	1.0000	2.09
Total							3.17
* Per CSC Records							9.5%

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower has sufficient structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications but that the foundation would be over-stressed. (Semaan Engineering Solutions, dated 8/16/07) The analysis, however, presents foundation modifications which would fully relieve the over-stress condition. Cingular will perform the required foundation modifications prior to moving forward with the proposed equipment modifications. For this reason, Cingular respectfully requests a conditional approval for the proposed modifications.




**COMPOUND PLAN
OUTDOOR UMTS**

SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"





SITE NUMBER: 5225
SITE NAME: GROTON CENTRAL
 741 FLANDERS ROAD
 GROTON, CT 06355
 NEW LONDON COUNTY




NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APP'D
2	06/24/07	CONSTRUCTION FINAL	AL	DC	DC
1	06/18/07	CONSTRUCTION FINAL	AL	DC	DC
0	06/12/07	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	AL	DC	DC

SCALE: NOT SHOWN	DESIGNED BY: AL	DRAWN BY: AL
JOB NUMBER	5225.01	DRAWING NUMBER
COMPOUND PLAN		
OUTDOOR UMTS		
CINGULAR WIRELESS		



EQUIPMENT PLAN
OUTDOOR UNITS

SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"



LEGEND

- EXISTING EQUIPMENT
- PROPOSED EQUIP.
- FUTURE EQUIP.
- CONDUCTORS AND RACEWAY TO BE FURNISHED & INSTALLED BY SUBCONTRACTOR
- EXISTING CABLE TRAY
- PROPOSED CABLE TRAY



				<p>SITE NUMBER: 5225 SITE NAME: GROTON CENTRAL 741 FLANDERS ROAD GROTON, CT 06355 NEW LONDON COUNTY</p>		<p>500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06867</p>		<p>2 08/24/07 CONSTRUCTION FINAL 3 08/24/07 CONSTRUCTION FINAL 4 09/12/07 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION 5 09/12/07 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION</p>		<p>NO. DATE REVISIONS SCALE: NOT SHOWN DESIGNED BY: AL DRAWN BY: AL</p>		<p>ORD NUMBER 5225.01 DRAWING NUMBER A-1</p>		<p>CINGULAR WIRELESS EQUIPMENT PLAN OUTDOOR UNITS</p>		<p>REV 2</p>	
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------------------------------	--	--------------	--



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

September 14, 2007

Mr. Mark Oefinger, Town Manager
Town of Groton
Town Hall 45 Fort Hill Rd.
Groton, CT 06340-4394

Re: Telecommunications Facility – 725 (a/k/a 741) Flanders Road, Groton

Dear Mr. Oefinger:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“Cingular”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Cingular’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Cingular’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure

1079 N. 204th Avenue
Elkhorn, NE 68022
Ph: 402-289-1888
Fax: 402-289-1861

SEMAAN ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS

**131 ft PIROD Monopole
Foundation Modification Package**

APPROVED - 8/24/07

Mark [Signature]
T-Mobile Tower Asset Mgt.

Prepared for:
T-Mobile USA
12920 SE 38th Street
Bellevue, WA 98006

RECEIVED
AUG 22 2007

Site: CT11044E / Groton / AT&T * 5225
Groton, CT



8/16/07

August 16, 2007

Ms. Lisa Hamedian
T-Mobile USA
12920 SE 38th Street
Bellevue, WA 98006

Re: Site Number CT11044E – Groton, I-95, X89, Noa 1, Groton, CT.

Dear Ms. Hamedian:

We have completed the structural analysis for the existing monopole, located at the above referenced site. The purpose of this analysis is to determine that the existing monopole design is in conformance with the TIA/EIA-222 Rev F standard and local building codes for the proposed antennae loads installation. Refer to the Review and Recommendations section at the end of this report for the analysis results.

Description of Structure:

The structure is a 131 ft PIROD Monopole.

Refer to PIROD drawing 204150-B dated August 28, 1998 for a detailed description of the structure.

Method of analysis:

The tower was analyzed using Semaan Engineering Solutions' software suite for communication structures. The structural analysis is performed using the SAPS finite element engine. The method is 3D, non-linear, which accounts for the second order geometric effects due to the displacements. The analysis was performed in conformance with **TIA/EIA-222 Rev F and local building codes for a basic wind speed of 100 mph and 1/2" radial ice with reduced wind speed (fastest mile)**. This wind speed is equivalent to a 120 mph 3-second gust per the IBC 2003. This is in conformance with the IBC 2003: Section 1609.1.1, Exception (5) and Section 3108.4. Wind is applied to the structure, accessories and antennas.

Structure loading:

The following loads were used in the tower analysis:

Elev (ft)	Qty	Antennas	Mounts	Coax	Carrier
131.0	12	S20045A1 LNA	Pirod Low Profile platform	(24) 1 5/8"	T-Mobile
	12	RR65-19-00			
111.0	6	LPA-80063/6CF	Low Profile Platform	(12) 1 5/8"	Verizon
	6	LPA 185063/8CF			
100.0	1	HP MW Dish, 4' Dia.	Dish Mount	(1) 1 5/8" (outside)	T-Mobile

Proposed Loads:

Elev (ft)	Qty	Antennas	Mounts	Coax	Carrier
121.0	6	Diplexers	Low Profile Platform	(12) 1 5/8"	AT&T
	6	21401 TMA			
	6	Powerwave 7770.00			

All new access holes shall be reinforced with welded rims that are compatible with the pole and to be sized and supplied by pole manufacturer.

All transmission lines are assumed running inside of pole shaft with the exception of T-Mobile (1) 1 5/8" at 100.0 ft. This line is assumed strapped tightly to the pole.

Results of Analysis:

Refer to the attached Computer Summary sheets for detailed analysis results.

Structure:

The existing monopole is structurally capable of supporting the existing and proposed antennas. The maximum structure usage is: 83.8%.

Foundation:

Pole Reactions	Original Design Reactions	Current Analysis Reactions	% Of Design
Moment (ft-kips)	2,613.30	2,949.44	112.9
Shear (kips)	25.20	32.33	128.3


The foundation has been investigated using the supplied documents and soils report and was found inadequate to support the required loads. Modifications would be necessary to resist the larger overturning moment. Refer to the attached drawings for additional information.

Review and Recommendations:

Based on the analysis results, the existing structure does not meet the requirements per the TIA/EIA-222 Rev F standards for a basic wind speed of 100 mph and 1/2" radial ice with reduced wind speed (equivalent to a 120 mph 3-second gust wind speed).

Attachments:

1. Drawing S-01, Revision 0, dated 08/16/2007.
2. Drawing S-02, Revision 0, dated 08/16/2007.
3. Drawing S-03, Revision 0, dated 08/16/2007.



Seman Engineering Solutions, LLC

Phone Number: (402)283-1888 Fax Number: (402)283-1891
 Address: 1075 N. 204th Avenue, Elkhorn Nebraska 68022

PROJECT NUMBER: **CT11044E**
 SITE LOCATION: GROTON, CT

ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION

DATE:	08/15/2007
REFERENCE DRAWINGS:	NONE
REVISIONS:	
REV. A:	
REV. B:	
REV. C:	
REV. D:	
REV. E:	
REV. F:	
REV. G:	
REV. H:	
REV. I:	
REV. J:	
REV. K:	
REV. L:	
REV. M:	
REV. N:	
REV. O:	
REV. P:	
REV. Q:	
REV. R:	
REV. S:	
REV. T:	
REV. U:	
REV. V:	
REV. W:	
REV. X:	
REV. Y:	
REV. Z:	

REVISION DESCRIPTION

ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT NUMBER: **CT11044E**

CLIENT: **T-MOBILE**



PROJECT DESCRIPTION: **MONOPOLE REINFORCEMENT DRAWINGS**

SHEET NUMBER: **S-01**

REFER TO PIROD DRAWING # 204150-B
 DATED 08/28/1998 FOR ADDITIONAL
 INFORMATION

GENERAL NOTES:
 1. SEE SHEET S-03 FOR NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS

MONOPOLE ELEVATION
 NOT TO SCALE



Semaan Engineering Solutions, LLC

Phone Number: 462/295-4888 Fax Number: 462/295-8801
 Address: 1078 N. 204th Avenue, Ellington, MA 06029

PROJECT NUMBER	CT11044E
SUBMITTAL	DATE
KRC	06/15/2007
DESCRIPTION/DRAWING	S-01
SHEET NO.	5-02
TITLE LOCATION	GROTON, CT
DATE	
ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION	
REVISION DESCRIPTION	
DATE	
BY	
CHECKED	
APPROVED	

T-MOBILE MONOPOLE REINFORCEMENT DRAWINGS

ELEVATION



3/11/07

Semaan Engineering Solutions, LLC

Project Number: **CT11044E**
 Address: 179 N. 204th Avenue, Elmhurst, NJ 07631
 Date: 08/15/2007
 Issue For Construction: S-011, S-02
 Site Location: GROTON, CT

REV#	DATE	DESCRIPTION
0	08/15/2007	ISSUE FOR CONSTRUCTION

T-NODE: **MONOPOLE REINFORCEMENT DRAWINGS** / S-03
 DRAWING DESCRIPTION: **MONOPOLE REINFORCEMENT DRAWINGS**
 DRAWING NUMBER: **S-03**
 PREPARED BY: **[Signature]**
 CHECKED BY: **[Signature]**
 DATE: **08/15/2007**

- NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS**
- GENERAL**
1. THE MODIFICATIONS OUTLINED IN THESE DOCUMENTS WERE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE EAMTA REV. F FABRICATION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROPER FIT AND CLEARANCE IN THE FIELD. CONTRACT SEMAN ENGINEERING IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DISCREPANCIES EXIST.
 2. REFERENCE THE SEMAN ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS ANALYSIS FOR THIS SITE DATED 08/16/2007 FOR THE PROPOSED ANALYSIS AND LOADS CONSIDERED. THIS DRAWING IS NOT VALID IF LOADS OTHER THAN THOSE CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS ARE CONSIDERED. THE STRUCTURE UNLESS APPROVED IN WRITING BY SES, INC.
 3. THE PROPOSED LOADS SHALL NOT BE ADDED TO THE STRUCTURE UNTIL ALL MODIFICATIONS ARE MADE AND APPROVED BY THE WELDING INSPECTOR.
 4. THIS DRAWING DOES NOT INDICATE THE METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPERVISE AND DETECT THE WORK AND HE SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL THE CONSTRUCTION MEANS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, SAFETY, AND REQUIRED EQUIPMENT AND TOOLS.
 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ON-SITE SAFETY ASSOCIATED WITH THE WORK TO BE PERFORMED. ALL SAFETY REQUIREMENTS AS DICTATED BY OSHA AND THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS SHALL BE FOLLOWED.
 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF ITS OWN PERSONNEL AS WELL AS THE PUBLIC.
 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROTECTION OF THE PROPERTY IN THE VICINITY OF THE JOB SITE.
 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL USE THE PRECAUTIONARY MEANS NECESSARY FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION.
- STEEL CONSTRUCTION**
1. STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO THE AISC MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, NINTH EDITION, FOR THE DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF STEEL COMPONENTS.
 2. CHANNELS SHALL BE GALVANIZED OR PAINTED TO A772-30 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. ALL CHANNELS C8 AND LARGER SHALL CONFORM TO A772 GRADE 50. ALL CHANNELS C6 AND SMALLER SHALL CONFORM TO A572 GRADE 65.
 3. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO SES FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO FABRICATION. SHOP DRAWINGS SHALL INCLUDE ALL FABRICATED STEEL ASSEMBLIES INCLUDING MONOPOLE LOWER END AND LOWER END BRACKET UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 4. ALL EXTERIOR STEEL WORK SHALL BE GALVANIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A123 AND AS FOLLOWS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 - A. GALVANIZING SHALL BE PERFORMED AFTER SHOP FABRICATION AND WELDING TO THE GREATEST EXTENT POSSIBLE.
 - B. ALL DINGS, SCRAPES, MARKS AND WELDS IN THE GALVANIZED AREA SHALL BE COATED WITH A ZINC-RICH PAINT, APPLIED IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
 - C. THE STRUCTURE WAS ORIGINALLY PAINTED, AFTER ZINC-RICH PAINT IS DRY, OVERCOAT WITH AN APPROPRIATE PAINT TO MATCH THE EXISTING.
 - D. DO NOT PLACE HOLES THROUGH STEEL EXISTING.
 - E. ALL MODIFICATIONS SHALL BE APPROVED BY SES AND ALL MEMBERS EXCEPT AS SHOWN AND DETAILED ON DRAWINGS.
 - F. CONNECTIONS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS FOLLOWS:
 - A. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - B. ALL WELDING SHALL CONFORM TO AISC AND AISC D1.1 LATEST EDITION.
 - C. THE WELDING SHALL BE GALVANIZED AFTER THE METHODS AND POSITIONS TO BE USED AND SHOULD HAVE STEEL CONSTRUCTION, NINTH EDITION.
 - D. WHERE FILLET WELD SIZES ARE NOT SHOWN, PROVIDE THE MINIMUM SIZE PER TABLE J2.4 IN THE AISC MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, NINTH EDITION.
 - E. ALL WELDING SHALL CONFORM TO AISC AND AISC D1.1 LATEST EDITION.
 - F. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - G. INSPECTION SHALL BE PERFORMED BY AN AISC CERTIFIED WELD INSPECTOR.
 - H. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - I. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - J. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - K. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - L. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - M. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - N. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - O. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - P. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - Q. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - R. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - S. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - T. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - U. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - V. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - W. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - X. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - Y. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 - Z. ALL WELDING SHALL BE DONE USING E70XX ELECTRODES.
 5. ALL UNLAPTED HOLES SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
 6. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 7. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 8. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 9. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 10. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 11. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 12. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 13. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 14. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 15. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 16. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 17. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 18. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 19. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 20. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 21. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 22. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 23. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 24. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 25. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 26. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 27. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 28. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 29. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 30. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 31. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 32. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 33. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 34. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 35. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 36. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 37. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 38. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 39. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 40. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 41. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 42. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 43. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 44. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 45. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 46. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 47. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 48. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 49. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 50. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 51. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 52. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 53. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 54. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 55. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 56. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 57. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 58. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 59. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 60. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 61. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 62. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 63. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 64. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 65. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 66. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 67. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 68. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 69. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 70. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 71. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 72. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 73. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 74. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 75. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 76. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 77. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 78. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 79. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 80. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 81. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 82. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 83. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 84. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 85. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 86. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 87. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 88. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 89. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 90. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 91. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 92. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 93. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 94. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 95. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 96. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 97. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 98. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 99. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
 100. THE NEW ROCK ANCHORS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER THE MANUFACTURER'S INSTALLATION PROCEDURE.
- CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION**
1. ALL CONCRETE SHALL CONFORM TO THE SPECIFICATIONS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE FOR BUILDINGS, ACI 301 STRENGTH IN 28 DAYS. CONCRETE MIX DESIGN: 6 1/2 SACKS OF CEMENT MINIMUM PER CUBIC YARD, 3/4" MAXIMUM YIELD POINT.
 2. ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE MADE WITH STONE AGGREGATE & SHALL DEVELOP 4000 PSI MIN. COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH IN 28 DAYS.
 3. ALL REINFORCING SHALL BE HIGH STRENGTH DEFORMED BARS, GRADE 60, ASTM A615, WITH 60,000 PSI MINIMUM YIELD POINT.
 4. REINFORCING PROTECTION: CONCRETE POURED AGAINST EARTH. MINIMUM SPACING SHALL BE 1" MINIMUM.
 5. ALL BAR LENGTHS ARE NOT DRAWN TO SCALE. NO SPLICES OF REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE MADE EXCEPT AS DETAILED OR AS AUTHORIZED BY THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. LAP SPLICES, WHERE PERMITTED, SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 40 BAR DIAMETERS UNLESS NOTED.
 6. REINFORCING COORDINATION WITH A/C DETAILING MANUAL & A/C BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE SHALL BE OBSERVED.
 7. PROVIDE ALL ACCESSORIES NECESSARY TO SUPPORT REINFORCING AT THE POSITIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
 8. SACKFILL AND COMPACT SOIL TO A MINIMUM 95% OF STANDARD PRODUCTION PER ASTM D 999. THE MATERIAL ON TOP OF THE DEADMAN ANCHORS.
 9. ORIENT NEW ANCHORS IN LINE WITH EXISTING ANCHORS.
 10. ANCHOR RODS TO PASS THROUGH CENTROID OF BLOCK.
- CONTINUOUS STRUCTURE INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE.**
- CONTINUOUS ANNUAL INSPECTION OF THE STRUCTURE AND THE ADDED REINFORCING SHALL BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE CONTRACTOR. ANY FUTURE CORROSION OR OTHER DETERIORATION OF THE STRUCTURE OR ITS REINFORCING WILL BE REPAIRED BY THE CONTRACTOR WITHIN THE REQUIRED TIME FRAME. ANY DEFECTS SHALL BE REPAIRED TO ENSURE THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY FOR THE LIFE OF THE STRUCTURE.

**CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

6 Progress Avenue, Seymour, CT
Site Number 5633
Former AT&T site
Exempt Modification 7/11/02

Tower Owner/Manager: EMAC Communications

Equipment configuration: Self Supporting Lattice

Current and/or approved: Three Allgon 7250 antennas @ 160 ft c.l.
Six runs 1 5/8 inch coax

Planned Modifications: Remove all three existing antennas
Install 3 Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) @ 160 ft
Install six TMA's @ 160 ft

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 12.4 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 14.8 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular GSM *	160	1900 Band	4	250	0.0140	1.0000	11.04
Total							1.40
							12.4%

* Per CSC Records

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular GSM	160	1900 Band	3	611	0.0257	1.0000	11.04
Cingular UMTS	160	880 - 894	1	500	0.0070	0.5867	2.57
Total							1.20
							14.8%

* Per CSC Records

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation have sufficient structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications. (Malouf Engineering Intl, dated 7/19/07)



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

September 14, 2007

Honorable Robert J. Koskelowski
1st Selectman, Town of Seymour
Town Hall, 1 First Street
Seymour, CT 06483-2817

Re: Telecommunications Facility – 6 Progress Avenue, Seymour

Dear Mr. Koskelowski:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“Cingular”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Cingular’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Cingular’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure

Rigorous Structural Analysis Report



at&t Seymour East Site # 5633
EMAC Communications Seymour, CT Site
60 Progress Avenue, Seymour, CT 06483.

July 19, 2007

MEI PROJECT ID: CT00815S-07V0

MALOUF ENGINEERING INTL., INC.



STRUCTURAL CONSULTANTS

17950 PRESTON ROAD, SUITE 720 ■ DALLAS, TEXAS 75252-5635 ■ TEL. 972 -783-2578 FAX 972-783-2583
www.maloufengineering.com





July 19, 2007

RIGOROUS STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Structure:	280 ft SST		PiRod / U28x280	
Client/ Site Name /#:	AT&T		Seymour East	# 5633
Owner/Site Name /#:	EMAC Communications		Seymour CT	
MEI Project ID:	CT00815S-07V0			
Location:	60 Progress Avenue, Seymour, CT 06483		New Haven County FCC # 1209826	
	LAT	41-23-29.4 N	LON	73-3-11.9 W

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Malouf Engineering Int'l (MEI), as requested, has performed a rigorous structural analysis of the above-mentioned structure to assess the impact of the changed condition as noted in Table 1.

Based on the stress analysis performed, the existing structure is **in conformance** with the ANSI/TIA **222-F** Standard for the loading considered under the criteria listed and referenced in the report sections.

The installation of the proposed changed condition of the AT&T (6) LGP Allgon 7770 panel antennas, and (12) Powerwave LGP 21401 TMA's, (6) RCU/RET's at Elev. 160 ft c.l. onto exist mounts with (18) 1-5/8" Coaxes (includes the proposed and future panels & related appurtenances) is structurally acceptable.

MEI appreciates the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

MALOUF ENGINEERING INT'L, INC.

Analysis performed by:

Krishna Manda, PE
Project Engineer

Reviewed & Approved by:

E. Mark Malouf, PE
Connecticut #17715
972-783-2578 ext. 106
mmalouf@maloufengineering.com




TABLE OF CONTENT

1. INTRODUCTION & SCOPE	4
2. SOURCE OF DATA	4
Background Information:-----	4
3. ANALYSIS CRITERIA	5
Appurtenances Configuration-----	5
4. ANALYSIS PROCEDURE	6
Analysis Program -----	6
Assumptions -----	6
5. ANALYSIS RESULTS	7
6. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS	8
7. REPORT DISCLAIMER	9
APPENDIX 1 - TOWER DRAWING	10
APPENDIX 2 - ANALYSIS PRINTOUT & GRAPHICS	11

1. INTRODUCTION & SCOPE

A rigorous structural analysis was performed by Malouf Engineering Int'l (MEI), as requested and authorized by Mr. Derek Creaser, Hudson Design Group, LLC., on behalf of AT&T to determine the acceptance of the proposed changed conditions in conformance with the ANSI/TIA-222-F Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas".

The scope of this independent analysis is to determine the overall stability and the adequacy of structural members, foundations, and member connections, as available and stated. This analysis considers the structure to have been properly installed and maintained with no structural defects. Installation procedures and related loading are not within the scope of this analysis and should be performed and evaluated by a competent person of the erection contractor.

The different report sections detail the applicable information used in this evaluation, relating to the tower data, the appurtenances configuration and the wind and ice loading considered.

2. SOURCE OF DATA

The following information has been used in this evaluation as source data that accurately represent the existing structure and the related appurtenances:

	Source	Information	Reference
STRUCTURE			
Tower	Hudson D. G. - Mr. Derek Creaser	Tower Original Drawings, including modification design	PiROD Engineering File #A-116966 / -1 Dated 7/03/02
Foundation	Hudson D. G. - Mr. Derek Creaser	Foundation Original Drawings, including modification design	PiROD Engineering File #A-116966 / -1 Dated 7/03/02
Material Grade	Available from supplied documents - refer to Appendix.		
CURRENT APPURTENANCES			
	Hudson D. G. - Mr. Derek Creaser	Previous Analysis Report & Photos	PiROD #A-116966 / PR-2002-05-050 Dated 6/20/2002
CHANGED CONDITION			
	Hudson D. G. - Mr. Derek Creaser	Cingular RF Data Sheet	Dated 4/26/2007

Background Information:

Based on available information, the following is known regarding this structure:

DESIGNER / FABRICATOR	PiROD
DESIGN CRITERIA	TIA/EIA 222-F - 85/74 Mph + 0" /1/2" ICE
PRIOR STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS	Modified by Pirod, Ref. #PR-2002-05-050 Dated 6/20/2002 & 7/03/02

3. ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The structural analysis performed used the following criteria:

CODE / STANDARD	ANSI/TIA-222-F-1996 Standard / IBC 2003	
LOADING CASES	<i>Full Wind:</i>	85 Mph (with No Radial Ice)
	<i>Iced Case:</i>	73.6 Mph + 1/2" Radial Ice
	<i>Service:</i>	50 Mph

Appurtenances Configuration

The following appurtenances configuration has been considered:

Table 1: Proposed Changed Condition Appurtenances

Elev (ft)	Tenant	Ants Qty	Appurtenance Model / Description	Mount Description	Lines Qty	Line size & Location
160	AT&T	3	LGP Allgon 7770 Panels	[on exist T-Frame mounts]	12	1-5/8"
		6	Powerwave LGP 21401			
		2	RCU/RET			

Table 2: Current and Reserved/Future Appurtenances

Elev (ft)	Tenant	Ants Qty	Appurtenance Model / Description	Mount Description	Lines Qty	Line size & Location
280		1	Lightning Rod			
280	EMAC	1	5ft Omni	9-Arm Halo Mount	1	1-5/8"
		1	Dipole (DB420)		1	1-5/8"
250	T-Mobile	6	EMS RR90-17-02DP	(3) 15' T-Frame Mounts	12	1-5/8"
		6	EMS RR90-17-02DP		12	1-5/8"
235	EMAC	1	5ft Dipole	9-Arm Halo Mount	1	1-5/8"
		1	Dipole (DB420)		1	1-5/8"
200	EMAC	9	DB980	(3) 10' LP T-Frames	9	1-5/8"
190	EMAC	9	DB980	(3) 10' LP T-Frames	9	1-5/8"
180	EMAC	9	DB980	(3) 10' LP T-Frames	9	1-5/8"
170	Sprint PCS	6	DB980H-90	(3) 15' T-Frame Mounts	6	1-5/8"
		3	DB980H-90		3	1-5/8"
		3	Allgon 7770 (Fut.)			
160	AT&T	6	Powerwave LGP 21401 (Fut)	(3) 15' T-Frame Mounts	6	1-5/8"
		4	RCU/RET (Fut)			

Notes:

1. Please note appurtenances not listed above are to be removed/not present as per data supplied.
2. (I) = internal; (E) = External; (FZ) = Within Face Zone & (OFZ) = Outside Face Zone - as per TIA-222-G.
3. The above antennas, mounts, and lines represent MEI's understanding of the appurtenances configuration. If different than above, the analysis is invalid. Please refer to Appendix 2 for EPA wind areas used in the calculations. Please contact MEI if any discrepancies are found.

4. ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

The subject structure is analyzed for feasibility of the installation of the proposed changed condition previously noted. The data records furnished were reviewed and a computer stress analysis was performed in accordance with the TIA-222 Standard provisions and with the agreed scope of work terms and the results of this analysis are reported.

Analysis Program

The computer program used to model the structure is a rigorous Finite Element Analysis program, RISATower (ver. 5.02), a commercially available program developed by C-Concepts, WI and now maintained by RISA Technologies. The latticed structures members are modeled using beam/truss and cable members and the pole members using tubular beam elements. The structural parameters and geometry of the members are included in the model. The dead and temperature loads and the wind loads are internally calculated by the program for the different wind directions and then applied as external loads on the structure.

Assumptions

This engineering study is based on the theoretical capacity of the members and is not a condition assessment of the structure. This analysis is based on information supplied, and therefore, its results are based on and as accurate as that supplied data. MEI has made no independent determination, nor is it required to, of its accuracy. The following assumptions were made for this structural stress analysis:

- This existing tower is assumed, for the purpose of this analysis, to have been properly maintained and to be in good condition with no structural defects and with no deterioration to its member capacities ('as-new' condition).
- The tower member sizes and configuration are considered accurate as supplied. The material grade is as per data supplied and/or as assumed and as stated.
- The appurtenances configuration is as supplied and/or as stated in the report. It is assumed to be complete and accurate. All antennas, mounts, coax and waveguides are assumed to be properly installed and supported as per manufacturer requirements.
- Some assumptions are made regarding antennas and mounts sizes and their projected areas based on best interpretation of data supplied and of best knowledge of antenna type & industry practice.
- The top platform, if applicable, is considered adequate to support the loading. No actual analysis of the platform itself is performed, with the analysis being limited to analyzing the pole and its foundation.
- The soil parameters are as per data supplied or as assumed and stated in the calculations. Refer to the Appendix. If no data is available, the foundation system is assumed to support the structure with its new reactions.
- All welds and connections are assumed to develop at least the member capacity, unless determined otherwise and explicitly stated in this report. All guy cable assemblies, as applicable, are assumed to develop the rated breaking strength of the wire.
- *All prior structural modifications, if any, are assumed to be as per data supplied/available, and to have been properly installed and to be fully effective.*

If any of the above assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, this analysis results may be invalidated, MEI should be contacted to review any contradictory information to determine its effect.

5. ANALYSIS RESULTS

The results of the structural stress analysis based on data available and with the previous listed criteria, indicated the following:

Table 3: Stress Analysis Results

Member Type	Maximum Stress Ratio	Controlling Location / Component	Pass/Fail	Comment
LEGS	82.1%	Elev. 40-60ft	Pass	
DIAGONALS	82.1%	Elev. 0-20ft	Pass	
HORIZONTAL	41.7%	Elev. 160-180ft	Pass	
FOUNDATION	81.4%	OT stability	Pass	

Notes:

1. The Maximum Stress Ratio is the percentage that the maximum load in the member is relative to the allowable load as determined by Code requirements.
2. Refer to the Appendix 2 for more details on the member loads.
3. A maximum stress ratio between 100% to 105% may be considered as *Acceptable* according to industry standard practice.

6. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

- Based on the rigorous stress analysis results, the subject structure is **rated at 82.1%** of its support capacity (controlling component: legs) with the proposed changed condition considered. Please refer to Table 3 and to Appendix 2 for more details of the analysis results.
- Based on the stress analysis performed, the existing structure is **in conformance** with the ANSI/TIA **222-F** Standard for the loading considered under the criteria listed and referenced in the report sections.
- ***The installation of the proposed changed condition of the AT&T (6) LGP Allgon 7770 panel antennas, and (12) Powerwave LGP 21401 TMA's, (6) RCU/RET's at Elev. 160 ft c.l. onto exist mounts with (18) 1-5/8" Coaxes (includes the proposed and future panels & related appurtenances) is structurally acceptable.***
- This structure is near its maximum support capacity for the appurtenances and loading criteria considered. Therefore, no changes to the configuration considered should be made without performing a new proper evaluation.

Rigging and temporary supports required for the erection/modification shall be determined, documented, furnished and installed by the erector/contractor accounting for the loads imposed on the structure due to the proposed construction method.

7. REPORT DISCLAIMER

The engineering services rendered by Malouf Engineering International, Inc. ('MEI') in connection with this Structural Analysis are limited to a computer analysis of the tower structure, size and capacity of its members. MEI does not analyze the fabrication, including welding and connection capacities, except as included in this Report.

The analysis performed and the conclusions contained herein are based on the assumption that the tower has been properly installed and maintained, including, but not limited to the following:


1. Proper alignment and plumbness.
2. Correct guy tensions, as applicable.
3. Correct bolt tightness or slip jacking of sleeved connections.
4. No significant deterioration or damage to any structural component.

Furthermore, the information and conclusions contained in this Report were determined by application of the current "state-of-the-art" engineering and analysis procedures and formulae. MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. Assumes no obligation to revise any of the information or conclusions contained in this Report in the event that such engineering and analysis procedures and formulae are hereafter modified or revised. In addition, under no circumstances will MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. Have any obligation or responsibility whatsoever for or on account of consequential or incidental damages sustained by any person, firm or organization as a result of any information or conclusions contained in the Report, and the maximum liability of MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC., if any, pursuant to this Report shall be limited to the total funds actually received by MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. For preparation of this Report.

Customer has requested MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. To prepare and submit to Customer an engineering analysis with respect to the Subject Tower and has further requested MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. to make appropriate recommendations regarding suggested structural modifications and changes to the Subject Tower. In making such request of MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC., Customer has informed MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. that Customer will make a determination as to whether or not to implement any of the changes or modifications which may be suggested by MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. and that Customer will have any such changes or modifications made by riggers, erectors and other subcontractors of Customer's choice. MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. shall have the right to rely upon the accuracy of the information supplied by the customer and shall not be held responsible for the Customer's misrepresentation or omission of relevant fact whether intentional or otherwise.

Customer hereby agrees and acknowledges that MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. shall have no liability whatsoever to Customer or to others for any work or services performed by any persons other than MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. in connection with the implementation of services including but not limited to any services rendered for Customer or for others by riggers, erectors or other subcontractors. Customer acknowledges and agrees that any riggers, erectors or subcontractors retained or employed by Customer shall be solely responsible to Customer and to others for the quality of work performed by them and that MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. shall have no liability or responsibility whatsoever as a result of any negligence or breach of contract by any such rigger, erector or subcontractor and that Customer and rigger, erector, or subcontractor will provide MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. with a Certificate of Insurance naming MALOUF ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL, INC. as additional insured.

Section	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10	T11	T12	T13	T14	T15	T16
Legs								Pirol 105219	Pirol 105220	Pirol 112743	Pirol 112744	Pirol 112745	Pirol 112740	Pirol 112745	Pirol 112740	Pirol 112740
Leg Grade									A572-50							
Diagonals																
Diagonal Grade																
Top Girts																
Horizontals																
Face Width (ft)																
# Panels @ (ft)																
Weight (K)																



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod 5/8x4' w/Pipe	280	10ft T-Frames (3)	190
5ft Omni	280	(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	180
DB420	280	(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	180
9-Arm Halo Mount	280	(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	180
(4) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	250	10ft T-Frames (3)	180
(4) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	250	(3) DB980H90A-M w/Mount Pipe	170
(4) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	250	(3) DB980H90A-M w/Mount Pipe	170
15ft T-Frames (3)	250	(3) DB980H90A-M w/Mount Pipe	170
5ft Dipole	235	15ft T-Frames (3)	170
DB420	235	(2) 7770 w/ Pipe Mount	160
9-Arm Halo Mount	235	(2) 7770 w/ Pipe Mount	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	200	(2) 7770 w/ Pipe Mount	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	200	(4) TMA	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	200	(4) TMA	160
10ft T-Frames (3)	200	(4) TMA	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	190	(6) RET Unit	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	190	15ft T-Frames (3)	160
(3) DB980H120A-M w/Mount Pipe	190		

SYMBOL LIST

MARK	SIZE	MARK	SIZE
A	SR 1 3/4	C	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16
B	Pirol 105245	D	L3 1/2x3 1/2x5/16


MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-50	50 ksi	65 ksi	A36	36 ksi	58 ksi

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in New Haven County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 74 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 82.1%

MAX. CORNER REACTIONS AT BASE:
 DOWN: 634 K
 UPLIFT: -497 K
 SHEAR: 64 K



<p>Malouf Engineering Int'l, Inc. 17950 Preston Road; Suite #720 Dallas, TX 75252 Phone: (972) 783-2578 FAX: (972) 783-2583</p>	<p>Job: 280FT SST / SEYMOUR EAST SITE# 5633</p>
	<p>Project: CT00815S-07V0</p>
<p>Client: HUDSON DESIGN GROUP / AT&T</p>	<p>Drawn by: LNguyen App'd:</p>
<p>Code: TIA/EIA-222-F</p>	<p>Date: 07/19/07 Scale: NTS</p>
<p>Path: C:\MEI\Projects\07 DATA\Checking\Krishnas\CT00815S-07V0-Final.rvt</p>	<p>Dwg No. E-1</p>

**CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

Shuttle Meadow Road, Southington, CT
 Site Number 1004
 Former Cingular Tower; now managed by American Tower
 CSC Docket 40 approved 5/15/84
 Exempt mods approved 7/15/92, 7/11/02, and 12/12/06

Tower Owner/Manager: American Tower

Equipment configuration: Monopole

Current and/or approved: Nine CSS DUO4-8670 @ 153 ft c.l.
 Six runs 7/8 inch coax and three runs 1 5/8 inch coax
 Six TMA's / three diplexers at 153 ft

Planned Modifications: Remove three CSS antennas
 Install 3 Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) @ 153 ft.
 Remove all three runs 1 5/8 inch coax
 Install six runs 7/8 inch coax (total of 12)
 Install three diplexers @ 153 ft (total of 6)

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 7.1 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 5.7 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular TDMA *	152	880 - 894	16	100	0.0249	0.5867	4.24
Cingular GSM *	152	880 - 894	2	296	0.0092	0.5867	1.57
Cingular GSM *	152	1900 Band	2	427	0.0133	1.0000	1.33
Total							7.1%

* Per CSC Records

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users							0.00
Cingular GSM	153	880 - 894	4	296	0.0182	0.5867	3.10
Cingular GSM	153	1900 Band	2	427	0.0131	1.0000	1.31
Cingular UMTS	153	880 - 894	1	500	0.0077	0.5867	1.31
Total							5.7%

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis and structural modification plans (American Tower, dated 5/23/07 and 5/29/07, respectively) demonstrate that the tower and foundation will have sufficient structural capacity once the structural modifications are implemented. Cingular will have the structural upgrade performed prior to tower equipment modifications and respectfully requests conditional approval for the proposed modifications.



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

September 14, 2007

John Weichsel, Town Manager
Town of Southington
Town Office Bldg., 75 Main St.
Southington, CT 06489

Re: Telecommunications Facility – Shuttle Meadow Road, Southington

Dear Mr. Weichsel:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“Cingular”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Cingular’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Cingular’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure

AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION

8505 FREEPORT PARKWAY
SUITE 135
IRVING, TX 75063
PHONE: (972) 999-8900 / FAX: (972) 999-8940

302475 - STTN - SOUTHTON, CT

#1004

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

"THE MODIFICATIONS PRESENTED ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE BASED ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OUTLINED IN THE STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS COMPLETED UNDER ENGINEERING PROJECT NUMBER 40480332, DATED MAY 23, 2007. SATISFACTORY COMPLETION OF THE WORK INDICATED ON THESE DRAWINGS WILL RESULT IN THE STRUCTURE MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIFICATIONS UNDER WHICH THE STRUCTURAL WAS COMPLETED."

AS-BUILT SIGN-OFF	
DESCRIPTION	SIGNATURE
CONTRACTOR NAME	
CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE (PRINT NAME)	
CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVE (SIGNATURE)	
REDEVELOPMENT P.M. (PRINT NAME)	
REDEVELOPMENT P.M. (SIGNATURE)	
	DATE

PROJECT SUMMARY

CUSTOMER: CINGULAR WIRELESS
SITE NUMBER: 302475
SITE NAME: STTN - SOUTHTON, CT
SITE ADDRESS: SHUTTLE MEADOW ROAD
SOUTHTON, CT 06489
PROPERTY OWNER: AMERICAN TOWER CORPORATION
ATC JOB NUMBER: 40480332
DATE: 5/25/07
REVISION: 0



I hereby certify that this engineering document was prepared by me or under my direct personal supervision and that I am a duly licensed Professional Engineer under the laws of the state of Connecticut.

DRAWING INDEX	
DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE
BOOK	BILL OF MATERIALS (1 PAGE)
IGN	IBC GENERAL NOTES
A-1	FLANGE REINFORCEMENT AND WELDMENT DETAILS
	REVISION
	0
	0
	0

FABRICATION DRAWING INDEX	
DRAWING NUMBER	DRAWING TITLE
F-1	302475-1 DETAILS
	REVISION
	0

GENERAL

1. ALL METHODS, MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL FOLLOW THE DICTATES OF GOOD CONSTRUCTION PRACTICE.
2. ALL WORK INDICATED ON THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE PERFORMED BY QUALIFIED CONTRACTORS EXPERIENCED IN TOWER AND FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION.
3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD IMMEDIATELY OF ANY INSTALLATION INTERFERENCES. ALL NEW WORK SHALL ACCOMMODATE EXISTING CONDITIONS. DETAILS NOT SPECIFICALLY SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL FOLLOW SIMILAR DETAILS FOR THIS JOB.
4. ANY SUBSTITUTIONS MUST CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THESE NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN. ALL SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER OF RECORD FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO FABRICATION.
5. ANY MANUFACTURED DESIGN ELEMENTS MUST CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THESE NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS AND SHOULD BE SIMILAR TO THOSE SHOWN. THESE DESIGN ELEMENTS MUST BE STAMPED BY AN ENGINEER PROFESSIONALLY REGISTERED IN THE STATE OF THE PROJECT, AND SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER OF RECORD FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO FABRICATION.
6. ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL CODES AND OSHA SAFETY REGULATIONS.
7. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN AND EXECUTION OF ALL MISCELLANEOUS SHORING, BRACING, TEMPORARY SUPPORTS, ETC. NECESSARY TO PROVIDE A COMPLETE AND STABLE STRUCTURE AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS.
8. CONTRACTOR'S PROPOSED INSTALLATION SHALL NOT INTERFERE, NOR DENY ACCESS TO, ANY EXISTING OPERATIONAL AND SAFETY EQUIPMENT.
9. FIELD CUT EDGES, EXCEPT DRILLED HOLES, SHALL BE GROUND SMOOTH.
10. ALL FIELD CUT SURFACES SHALL BE REPAIRED WITH ZRC GALVALUITE COLD GALVANIZING COMPOUND PER ASTM A780 AND MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

APPLICABLE CODES AND STANDARDS

1. ANSI/AIAA: STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL ANTENNA TOWERS AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES, 222-F EDITION.
2. 2003 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE, IBC 2003.
3. ACI 318: AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE, BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE, 318-05.
4. CRSI: CONCRETE REINFORCING STEEL INSTITUTE, MANUAL OF STANDARD PRACTICE, LATEST EDITION.
5. AISC: AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, LATEST EDITION.
6. AWS: AMERICAN WELDING SOCIETY D1.1, STRUCTURAL WELDING CODE LATEST EDITION.

STRUCTURAL STEEL

1. ALL DETAILING, FABRICATION AND ERECTION OF STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO THE AISC SPECIFICATIONS, LATEST EDITION.
2. ALL EXPOSED STRUCTURAL STEEL MEMBERS SHALL BE HOT-DIPPED GALVANIZED AFTER FABRICATION PER ASTM A123. EXPOSED STEEL HARDWARE AND ANCHOR BOLTS SHALL BE GALVANIZED PER ASTM A193 OR B895.
3. ALL BOLTS SHALL BE ASTM A307 OR EQUIVALENT, WITH LOCKING DEVICE, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

WELDING

1. ALL WELDING TO BE PERFORMED BY AWS CERTIFIED WELDERS AND CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE AWS WELDING CODE D1.1.
2. ALL ELECTRODES TO BE LOW HYDROGEN, MATCHING FILLER METAL, PER AWS D1.1, U.N.O.
3. MINIMUM WELD SIZE TO BE 0.1875 INCH FILLET WELDS, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
4. PRIOR TO FIELD WELDING GALVANIZED MATERIAL, CONTRACTOR SHALL GRIND OFF GALVANIZING 1/2" BEYOND ALL FIELD WELD SURFACES, AFTER WELD AND WELD INSPECTION IS COMPLETE. REPAIR ALL GROUND AND WELDED SURFACES WITH ZRC GALVALUITE COLD GALVANIZING COMPOUND PER ASTM A780 AND MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

PAINT

1. AS REQUIRED, CLEAN AND PAINT PROPOSED STEEL, ACCORDING TO FAA ADVISORY CIRCULAR AC 707460-1K.

BOLT TIGHTENING PROCEDURE

1. TIGHTEN FLANGE BOLTS BY AISC - "TURN OF THE NUT" METHOD, USING THE CHART BELOW:

BOLT LENGTHS UP TO AND INCLUDING 4.0 INCH DIA.	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
3/4" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 4.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
7/8" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 3.5 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/8" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 4.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/4" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 4.5 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/2" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 5.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-3/4" BOLTS UP TO AND INCLUDING 6.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/3 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
BOLT LENGTHS OVER FOUR DIA, BUT NOT EXCEEDING 8 DIA.	
3/4" BOLTS 4.25 TO 6.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
7/8" BOLTS 5.25 TO 7.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1" BOLTS 6.25 TO 8.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/8" BOLTS 7.25 TO 9.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/4" BOLTS 8.25 TO 10.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT
1-1/2" BOLTS 9.25 TO 12.0 INCH LENGTH	+1/2 TURN BEYOND SNUG TIGHT

2. SPLICE BOLTS SUBJECT TO DIRECT TENSION SHALL BE INSTALLED AND TIGHTENED AS PER SECTION 8(6)(1) OF THE AISC SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL JOINTS USING A325 OR A490 BOLTS, LOCATED IN THE AISC MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION. THE INSTALLATION PROCEDURE IS PARAPHRASED AS FOLLOWS:

*FASTENERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN PROPERLY ALIGNED HOLES AND TIGHTENED BY ONE OF THE METHODS DESCRIBED IN SUBSECTION 8(6)(1) THROUGH 8(6)(4).

8(6)(1) TURN-OF-THE-NUT TIGHTENING.
BOLTS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ALL HOLES OF THE CONNECTION AND BROUGHT TO A SNUG TIGHT CONDITION AS DEFINED IN SECTION 8 (6). UNTIL ALL THE BOLTS ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY SNUG TIGHT AND THE CONNECTION IS FULLY COMPACTED. FOLLOWING THIS INITIAL OPERATION ALL BOLTS IN THE CONNECTION SHALL BE TIGHTENED FURTHER BY THE APPLICABLE AMOUNT OF ROTATION SPECIFIED ABOVE. DURING THE TIGHTENING OPERATION THERE SHALL BE NO ROTATION OF THE PART NOT TURNED BY THE WRENCH. TIGHTENING SHALL PROGRESS SYSTEMATICALLY.

3. ALL OTHER BOLTED CONNECTIONS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO A SNUG TIGHT CONDITION AS DEFINED IN SECTION 8 (6) OF THE SPECIFICATION.

SPECIAL INSPECTION

1. A QUALIFIED INDEPENDENT TESTING LABORATORY, EMPLOYED BY THE OWNER, SHALL PERFORM INSPECTION AND TESTING IN ACCORDANCE WITH IBC 2000, SECTION 1704 AS REQUIRED BY PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING CONSTRUCTION WORK:
 - a) STRUCTURAL WELDING
 - b) HIGH STRENGTH BOLTS
2. THE INSPECTION AGENCY SHALL SUBMIT INSPECTION AND TEST REPORTS TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, THE ENGINEER OF RECORD, AND THE OWNER IN ACCORDANCE WITH IBC 2000, SECTION 1704. UNLESS THE AGENCY IS APPROVED BY THE BUILDING OFFICIAL TO PERFORM SUCH WORK WITHOUT THE SPECIAL INSPECTIONS.

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INC.
1800 PINE BLVD
SUITE 135
RYING, TX 75063
(972) 993-8940 FAX
(972) 993-8940

THIS DRAWING IS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AISC MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, PART 13, AND THE AISC SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL JOINTS USING A325 OR A490 BOLTS, LATEST EDITION. THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INC. AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING INC. CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPLICABLE AGENCIES AND LOCAL CODES AND OSHA SAFETY REGULATIONS.

NO.	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE
1	FIRST ISSUE	SK	5/25/07

SITE NUMBER: 302475
SITE NAME: STIN - SOUTHWINGTON, CT
SITE ADDRESS: SHUTTLE MEADOW ROAD SOUTHWINGTON, CT 06489

DRAWN BY:	SK
CHECKED BY:	AP
DATE DRAWN:	5/25/07
ART. AND INC. NO.:	40-060332
SHEET TITLE:	IBC GENERAL NOTES


IBC GENERAL NOTES

SHEET NUMBER:	IGN
REV. #	


IBC GENERAL NOTES

N.T.S. 1


BASIC WIND SPEED: 80 MPH (FASTEST WILE) / THIS IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE IBC 2003:
 SECTION 16091.1; EXCEPTION (S) AND SECTION 3108.4
 RADIAL ICE: 89-28 MPH (FASTEST) W/ 1/2" ICE
 CODE: 11A/EA-222-F / IBC 2003



ELEVATION VIEW



PLAN VIEW



AMERICAN TOWER
STRUCTURAL
ENGINEERING
 8805 PLEASANT PARKWAY
 SUITE 135
 IRVING, TEXAS 75039
 (972) 994-8500 Tel.
 (972) 994-8540 Fax

THESE DRAWINGS AND FOR THE ACCOMPANYING SPECIFICATIONS ARE THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF AMERICAN TOWER STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING. NO REPRODUCTION OR TRANSMISSION IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, IS PERMITTED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF AMERICAN TOWER STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING. ANY UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION OR TRANSMISSION OF THESE DRAWINGS OR SPECIFICATIONS SHALL BE CONSIDERED A VIOLATION OF FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS AND WILL BE PROSECUTED TO THE FULL EXTENT OF THE LAW. AMERICAN TOWER STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OF THESE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. THE USER OF THESE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES. THE USER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES. THE USER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES.

REV.	DESCRIPTION	BY	DATE
1	FIRST ISSUE	SK	5/25/07

SITE NUMBER:
302475

SITE NAME:
STN - SOUTHINGTON, CT

SITE ADDRESS:
 SHUTTLE MEADOW ROAD
 SOUTHINGTON, CT 06489

DRAWN BY:	SK
CHECKED BY:	AP
DATE DRAWN:	5/25/07
ADC JOB NO.:	40480332
SHEET TITLE:	


FLANGE PLATE REINFORCEMENT AND WELDMENT DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER: **A-1**

REV. #

ELEVATION AND PLAN VIEW OF FLANGE PLATE REINFORCEMENT

N.T.S. 1



**AMERICAN TOWER
STRUCTURAL
ENGINEERING**
6505 FREEDOM PARKWAY
FARMINGTON, CT 06031
HVNNG: 7-729-83
(877) 885-8800 Fax
WWW: WWW.AT-ENR.COM

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY THE FOLLOWING: PROPERTY OF AMERICAN TOWER. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. NO PART OF THIS DRAWING IS TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT PERMISSION IN WRITING FROM AMERICAN TOWER. THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF AMERICAN TOWER AND IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREON. ANY REUSE OR MODIFICATION OF THIS DRAWING WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF AMERICAN TOWER SHALL CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THESE RESTRICTIONS.


REV. DESCRIPTION BY DATE
 1 FIRST ISSUE SK 5/25/07
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10

SITE NUMBER:
302475
 SITE NAME:
STIN -
SOUTHINGTON,
CT
 SITE ADDRESS:
SHUTTLE MEADOW ROAD
SOUTHINGTON, CT 06488

DOWN BY:	SK
CHECKED BY:	AP
DATE DRAWN:	5/25/07
AEC JOB NO.:	40480332
SHEET TITLE:	

302475-1
DETAILS

SHEET NUMBER: F-1
REV. #



PL 3/4" X 3" X 6" A572-GR 50

302475-1
N.T.S. 1



AMERICAN TOWER™
CORPORATION


Structural Analysis Report

Structure : 150 ft. ITT Meyer Monopole
ATC Site Name : Sttn - Southington, CT
ATC Site Number : 302475
Proposed Carrier : Cingular Wireless
Carrier Site Name : Southington
Carrier Site Number : 1004
County : Hartford
Eng. Number : 40480321
Date : May 23, 2007
Usage : 98.4% (Pole Shaft)
133.0% (Flanges @ 110 ft. elevation)

Submitted by:
Adam Ponder
Project Engineer

Reviewed by:

American Tower Engineering Services
8505 Freeport Parkway
Suite 135
Irving, TX 75063
Phone: 972-999-8900



Introduction

The purpose of this report is to summarize results of the structural analysis performed on the 150 ft. monopole located at Sittn - Southington, CT, Hartford County (ATC site #302475). The tower was originally designed and manufactured by ITT Meyer as a Type "B" pole per AT&T Specification AT-8935 dated April 13, 1984.

Analysis

The tower was analyzed using Semaan Engineering Solutions, Inc., Software. The analysis assumes that the tower is in good, undamaged, and non-corroded condition. A 5% overstress is allowed in the existing structural members to account for program variances.

Basic Wind Speed: 80.0 mph (Fastest Mile) / this is in conformance with the IBC 2003: Section 1609.1.1, Exception (5) and Section 3108.4
 Radial Ice: 69.28 mph (Fastest Mile) w/ 1/2" ice
 Code: TIA/EIA-222-F / IBC 2003

Antenna Loads

The following antenna loads were used in the tower analysis.

Existing Antennas

Elev. (ft)	Qty	Antennas	Mount	Coax	Carrier	
153.0	1	10' Omni	Platform with Rails	(3) 1-5/8"	Abandoned	
152.0	6	ADC 850-1900 TTA's		-	(6) 7/8"	Cingular Wireless
	6	CSS DUO4-8670				
	3	Powerwave LGP13519				

Proposed Antennas

Elev. (ft)	Qty	Antennas	Mount	Coax	Carrier
152.0	3	Powerwave 7770.00	Existing Platform with Rails	(6) 7/8"	Cingular
	3	Powerwave LGP13519			
38.5	1	GPS	(1) 18" Standoff Mount	(1) 1/2"	

All transmission lines are to be installed on the inside of the pole shaft.

Results

The existing 150 ft. ITT Meyer monopole with the existing and the proposed antennas **is not** structurally acceptable per TIA/EIA-222-F and the IBC 2003. The following structural elements are overstressed:

- Flange plates at the 110 ft. elevation: Overstressed by 33.0%

The maximum structure usage is: 133.0%.

Additional exit and/or entry ports may be required to accommodate the running of the proposed lines to the proposed antennas. These additional ports **may not** be installed without installation drawings providing the location, size and welding requirements of each port. To ensure compliance with all conditions of this structural analysis, port installation drawings shall be provided by American Tower's Engineering Department under a subsequent project.

Pole Reactions	Original Design Reactions	Current Analysis Reactions	% Of Design
Moment (ft-kips)	1,197.00	1,488.53	124.4
Shear (kips)	13.10	15.14	115.6

The structure base reactions resulting from this analysis were found to be acceptable through analysis based on geotechnical and foundation information, therefore no modification or reinforcement of the foundation will be required.

Modifications

We recommend the following tower modifications:

- Add stiffener plates (65 ksi yield) between the existing flange bolts to both the upper and lower flanges at the 110 ft. elevation – 24 stiffeners required.

The final design and details of the required modifications will be a separate scope of work under a subsequent project.

Eng. Number 40480321

May 23, 2007

Page 3

Conclusion

Based on the analysis results, the structure **does not** meet the requirements per TIA/EIA-222-F and the IBC 2003. The tower and foundation can support the existing and proposed equipment after the modifications listed above are completed.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please call (972) 999-8900.

Standard Conditions


All engineering services are performed on the basis that the information used is current and correct. This information may consist of, but is not necessary limited, to:

- Information supplied by the client regarding the structure itself, the antenna and feed line loading on the structure and its components, or other relevant information.
- Information from drawings in the possession of American Tower Corporation, or generated by field inspections or measurements of the structure.

It is the responsibility of the client to ensure that the information provided to ATC Engineering Services and used in the performance of our engineering services is correct and complete. In the absence of information to the contrary, we assume that all structures were constructed in accordance with the drawings and specifications and are in an un-corroded condition and have not deteriorated; and we, therefore, assume that their capacity has not significantly changed from the "as new" condition.

All services will be performed to the codes specified by the client, and we do not imply to meet any other codes or requirements unless explicitly agreed in writing. If wind and ice loads or other relevant parameters are to be different from the minimum values recommended by the codes, the client shall specify the exact requirement. In the absence of information to the contrary, all work will be performed in accordance with the latest relevant revision of ANSI/EIA-222.

All services are performed, results obtained, and recommendations made in accordance with generally accepted engineering principles and practices. ATC Engineering Services is not responsible for the conclusions, opinions and recommendations made by others based on the information we supply.



Job Information			
Pole :	302475	Code:	TIA/EIA-222 Rev F
Description :	150' ITT Meyer Type "B" Monopole		
Client :	Cingular Wireless		
Location :	Sttn - Southington, CT		
Shape :	12 Sides	Base Elev (ft):	0.00
Height :	150.00 (ft)	Taper:	0.150830(in/ft)

Sections Properties							
Shaft Section	Length (ft)	Diameter (in)		Joint Type	Overlap Length (in)	Taper (in/ft)	Steel Grade (ksi)
		Across Flats Top	Across Flats Bottom				
1	36.000	30.57	36.00	0.375	0.000	0.150830	65
2	41.500	25.53	31.79	0.313 Slip Joint	48.000	0.150830	65
3	40.000	20.53	26.56	0.250 Slip Joint	42.000	0.150830	65
4	40.000	14.50	20.53	0.188 Butt Joint	0.000	0.150830	65

Discrete Appurtenance				
Attach Elev (ft)	Force Elev (ft)	Qty	Description	
150.000	152.000	3	Powerwave 13519	
150.000	152.000	3	Powerwave 13519	
150.000	152.000	6	ADC 850-1900	
150.000	152.000	3	Powerwave 7770.00	
150.000	152.000	6	CSS DUO4-8670	
150.000	158.000	1	10' Omni	
150.000	150.000	1	12 Ft. Platform w/ Rails	
38.500	38.750	1	GPS	
38.500	38.500	1	18" Standoff Mount	

Linear Appurtenance				
Elev (ft)		Description	Exposed To Wind	
From	To			
0.000	38.500	1/2" Coax	No	
0.000	150.0	1 5/8" Coax	No	
0.000	150.0	7/8" Coax	No	
0.000	150.0	7/8" Coax	No	

Load Cases	
No Ice	80.00 mph Wind with No Ice
Ice	69.28 mph Wind with Ice
Twist/Sway	50.00 mph Wind with No Ice

Reactions			
Load Case	Moment (Kip-ft)	Shear (Kips)	Axial (Kips)
No Ice	1488.53	15.14	16.54
Ice	1240.15	12.15	20.27
Twist/Sway	583.44	5.92	16.58

Dish Deflections			
Load Case	Attach Elev (ft)	Deflection (in)	Rotation (deg)
Twist/Sway	0.00	0.000	0.000

CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification

41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford, CT
 Site Number 5220
 Former AT&T site
 Exempt Modification 3/21/02

Tower Owner/Manager: Crown Castle

Equipment configuration: Self Supporting Lattice

Current and/or approved: Three Allgon 7250 antennas @ 97 ft c.l.
 Six runs 1 5/8 inch coax

Planned Modifications: Remove all three existing antennas
 Install 3 Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) @ 97 ft
 Install six TMA's @ 97 ft c.l.
 Install additional 6 x 6 ft concrete slab for cabinets
 Install two additional outdoor cabinets

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 38.3 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 45.2 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular GSM *	97	1900 Band	4	250	0.0382	1.0000	34.49
Total							38.3%

* Per CSC Records



Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							
Cingular GSM	97	1900 Band	3	654	0.0750	1.0000	34.49
Cingular UMTS	97	880 - 894	1	500	0.0191	0.5867	7.50
Total							45.2%

* Per CSC Records

Structural information:


The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation are at present structurally insufficient to accommodate the proposed equipment modifications. (Vertical Structures, dated 7/6/07) However Section 4.1 of the analysis states four structural modifications which will eliminate the over-stressed condition. Cingular will perform the recommended structural repairs prior to moving forward with the proposed equipment modifications. For this reason, Cingular respectfully requests conditional approval for the proposed modifications.



**COMPOUND PLAN
OUTDOOR UNITS**
SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"




 HUDSON DESIGN GROUP 4 WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 200 WASHINGTON, MA 01890 TEL: 978.335.5555	 184 ROCKINGHAM ROAD, UNIT A LONDONDERRY, NH 03053	SITE NUMBER: 5220 SITE NAME: WATERFORD CENTRAL 41 MANTOCK HILL RD WATERFORD, CT 06385 NEW LONDON COUNTY	 500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067	DESIGNED BY: HC DRAWN BY: HC	SHEET NUMBER 9220.01	DRAWING NUMBER C-1
				NO. DATE REVISIONS 1 06/27/07 CONSTRUCTION FINAL 0 06/15/07 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	BR DC ELEC BH DC ELEC BT CIV APP	CINGULAR WIRELESS COMPOUND PLAN UNITS (OUTDOOR)



**EQUIPMENT PLAN
OUTDOOR UNITS**
SCALE: 1/2"=1'-0"

NEW CONC. PAD NOTES:
 - REIN. W/ #4 @ 8" O.C. EA. WAY (MID-DEPTH).
 - DONEL NEW CONC. TO 12" DEPTH W/ #4 @ 8" O.C. CONC.
 - ALL JOINTS SHALL BE ASTM A615-GRADE 60. SECURE IN PLACE.
 - REINFORCEMENT IN EQUIPMENT SLAB TO BE WELDED AND BONDED TO GROUND RING



SECTION AT EQUIPMENT PAD
N.T.S.



NO.	DATE	BY	CHK APP	REVISIONS	DESIGNED BY: HC	DRAWN BY: HC	REV
1	10/27/07	CONSTRUCTION FINAL	DC				1
2	06/19/07	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	RS				1

Cingular
WIRELESS
500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A
ROCKY HILL, CT 06867

SITE NUMBER: 5220
SITE NAME: WATERFORD CENTRAL
 41 MANITOCK HILL RD
 WATERFORD, CT 06385
 NEW LONDON COUNTY

SIAD communications
 184 ROCKINGHAM ROAD, UNIT A
 LONDONDERRY, NH 03053

Hudson Design Group
 44 BRIDGEWOOD BLVD
 WINDSOR MANSION, MA 01890-3502

CINGULAR WIRELESS
EQUIPMENT PLAN
UNITS (OUTDOOR)

JOB NUMBER	5220-01
DRAWING NUMBER	A-1



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

September 14, 2007

Honorable Daniel M. Steward
1st Selectman, Town of Waterford
Town Hall, 15 Rope Ferry Road
Waterford, Connecticut 06385-2806

Re: Telecommunications Facility – 41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford

Dear Mr. Steward:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“Cingular”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Cingular’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Cingular’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure



July 6, 2007

Benjamin Goodhart
Crown Castle International
9105 Monroe Road, Suite 150
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 321-3845

Vertical Structures, Inc.
309 Spangler Drive, Suite E
Richmond, KY 40475
(859) 624-8360
kmeehan@verticalstructures.com

Subject: Structural Analysis Report

Carrier Designation Cingular Change-Out
Carrier Site Number: 5220
Carrier Site Name: Waterford-Mantiock Hill Road

Crown Castle Designation Crown Castle BU Number: 876338
Crown Castle Site Name: Waterford
Crown Castle JDE Job Number: 89013

Engineering Firm Designation Vertical Structures Project Number: 2007-004-078

Site Data 41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford, CT, New London County
Latitude 41°-21'-18.0", Longitude -72°-9'-3.6".
136' PiRod Self-Supporting Tower

Dear Mr. Goodhart,

Vertical Structures is pleased to submit this structural analysis report to determine the structural integrity of the aforementioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 243623, and Application Number 45867, Revision 1. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the suitability of the tower for the following load case:


Load Case 1 (LC1): Proposed Equipment (Table 1) + Existing/Reserved Equipment (Table 2)

Based on our analysis we have determined the tower superstructure and foundation are insufficient for LC1. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F standard and local code requirements based upon a 100 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed, equivalent to a 120 MPH basic "3-second gust" wind speed per IBC Table 1609.3.1.

Vertical Structures appreciates the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle International. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted.


Kyle Meehan
Project Engineer





July 6, 2007

Benjamin Goodhart
Crown Castle International
9105 Monroe Road, Suite 150
Charlotte, NC 28270
(704) 321-3845

Vertical Structures, Inc.
309 Spangler Drive, Suite E
Richmond, KY 40475
(859) 624-8360
kmeehan@verticalstructures.com

Subject: Structural Analysis Report

Carrier Designation

**Cingular Change-Out
Carrier Site Number: 5220
Carrier Site Name: Waterford-Mantiack Hill Road**

Crown Castle Designation

**Crown Castle BU Number: 876338
Crown Castle Site Name: Waterford
Crown Castle JDE Job Number: 89013**

Engineering Firm Designation

Vertical Structures Project Number: 2007-004-078

Site Data

**41 Manitock Hill Road, Waterford, CT, New London County
Latitude 41°-21'-18.0", Longitude -72°-9'-3.6".
136' PiRod Self-Supporting Tower**

Dear Mr. Goodhart,

Vertical Structures is pleased to submit this structural analysis report to determine the structural integrity of the aforementioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 243623, and Application Number 45867, Revision 1. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the suitability of the tower for the following load case:

Load Case 1 (LC1): Proposed Equipment (Table 1) + Existing/Reserved Equipment (Table 2)

Based on our analysis we have determined the tower superstructure and foundation are insufficient for LC1. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F standard and local code requirements based upon a 100 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed, equivalent to a 120 MPH basic "3-second gust" wind speed per IBC Table 1609.3.1.

Vertical Structures appreciates the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle International. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted,

Kyle Meehan
Project Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.) INTRODUCTION

2.) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Table 1 – Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Table 2 – Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Table 3 – Design Antenna and Cable Information

3.) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 4 – Documents Provided

3.1) Analysis Methods

3.2) Assumptions

4.) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 5 – Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity (LC1)

4.1) Required Modifications

5.) APPENDIX A

RISA Tower Output

6.) APPENDIX B

Feedline Routing Drawing

7.) APPENDIX C

Additional Calculations

1.) INTRODUCTION

The 136' self-supporting tower was designed and manufactured by PiRod in 1999 for Sprint PCS. The three (3) sided tower is constructed of truss legs with angle x-bracing from 0' to 90' and solid rod legs with solid rod x-bracing from 90' up to 136'. The tower is founded on 23' square by 3'-3" thick mat foundation bearing 6' below grade.

2.) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The Waterford tower was analyzed in accordance with the current EIA-222-F publication, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures." The proposed, existing, and reserved antennas, cables and mounts considered in this analysis are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Applied forces in this study were derived from a 100 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed with no ice and a reduced 87 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed with a 1/2" of radial ice accumulation. The tower was originally designed for a 90 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed with no ice and a reduced 78 MPH basic "fastest mile" wind speed with 1/2" of radial ice accumulation. The original design loads are listed in Table 3. All cables are assumed to be routed in accordance with the drawing in Appendix B.

Table 1 – Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Mount Center Line Elevation (feet)	Number Of Antenna	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Mount Manufacturer	Mount Model	Number Of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (inches)
97	3 + 9*	Powerwave Technologies	7770.00		(3*) 12' T-Frames	6 + 6*	1 5/8
	6		LGP21401 TMA				

*Indicates reserved equipment.

Table 2 – Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Mount Center Line Elevation (feet)	Number Of Antenna	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Mount Manufacturer	Mount Model	Number Of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (inches)
137	9**	EMS Wireless	FV65-14-00NA2	PiRod	16' Low Profile Platform	9**	1 5/8
127	12	Swedcom	ALP 9212	PiRod	(3) 15' T-Frames	12*	1 5/8
117	6 + 3*	EMS Wireless	RR90-17-02DP	PiRod	(3) 15' T-Frames	14 + 4*	1 5/8
	6		TMA				
107	6	Decibel	DB844H90E-XY		(3) 12' T-Frames	12	1 5/8
	6		48" x 12" Panel				
97	3***	Allgon	7250		(3) Mount Pipes	6	1 1/4
80	1		GPS Antenna		Leg Mounted	1	1/2
72	1		GPS Antenna		Leg Mounted	1	1/2

*Indicates reserved equipment.

**Indicates MLA loading.

***Indicates antennas and cables to be removed. Existing mounts to be reused.

Table 3 – Design Antenna and Cable Information

Mount Center Line Elevation (feet)	Number Of Antenna	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Mount Manufacturer	Mount Model	Number Of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (inches)
136	12	Allgon	7184	PiRod	16' Low Profile Platform	12	1 5/8
127	12	Swedcom	ALP9212	PiRod	(3) T-Frames	12	1 5/8
117	12	Swedcom	ALP9212	PiRod	(3) T-Frames	12	1 5/8
102	2	Decibel	DB810	PiRod	(2) 6'-8" Rigid Sidearms	2	1 5/8
80	2		GPS Antenna			2	1/2

3.) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 4 – Documents Provided

Document	Remarks	Reference	Source
Online Application	Cingular Change-Out Revision #1	45867	CCI iSite
Tower Drawing	PiRod Drawing No. 204676-B	1441523	CCI iSite
Foundation Drawing	PiRod Drawing No. 204676-B	1610804	CCI iSite
Geotechnical Report	SEA Consultants Project No. 99034.01-A	N/A	On File

3.1) Analysis Methods

RISA Tower (Version 5.0), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various dead, live, wind, and ice load cases. All loads were computed in accordance with the ANSI/EIA/TIA-222-F or the local building code requirements. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

3.2) Assumptions

1. Tower and structures were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
2. The tower and structures have been maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.
3. The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and any referenced drawings.
4. When applicable, transmission cables are considered to be structural components for calculating wind loads, as allowed by TIA/EIA-222-F.

If any of these assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, this analysis may be affected, and Vertical Structures should be allowed to review any new information to determine its effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

4.) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 5 – Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity (LC1)

Notes	Component	Elevation (feet)	% Capacity	Pass/Fail
RISA Tower Analysis Summary:				
	Leg (T3)	110 – 90	161.9	Fail X
	Diagonal (T4)	90 – 80	159.2	Fail X
	Horizontal (T3)	110 – 90	47.0	Pass
	Top Girt (T3)	110	32.3	Pass
1	Bottom Girt (T3)	90	100.6	Pass
	Bolt Checks	90 – 80	143.7	Fail X
Additional Component Analysis Summary:				
2	Anchor Bolts (Tension)		77.9	Pass
2	Tower Foundation (Compared to Allowable Loads)		160.6	Fail X
Structure Rating =			161.9	Fail X


- 1) Indicates an overstress of less than 5% and is considered acceptable based on the analysis procedure used.
 2) Indicates calculations supporting % capacity are included in Appendix C.

4.1) Required Modifications

Results indicate that the tower superstructure and foundation are insufficient to accommodate LC1. Modifications (A) through (D) are required to remedy the deficiencies identified in this analysis. If requested, Vertical Structures will supply the construction drawings and material necessary to make the required modifications.

- (A) Reinforce the legs between 110' and 0'.
- (B) Reinforce the diagonals between 90' and 60'.
- (C) Reinforce the leg splice connection at 40' and 20'.
- (D) Reinforce the foundation.

Section	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4
Legs	PProd 105219	PProd 105218	PProd 105217	PProd 105244	SR 2 1/4	SR 2	SR 1 1/2	SR 3/4	SR 3/8	SR 3/8	SR 3/8	SR 3/8	SR 3/8	SR 3/4
Lug Grade														
Diagonal Grade														
Top Chits														
Bottom Chits														
Horizontal Face Width (ft)														
# Panels @ (ft)														
Weight (lb)	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5	2794.5



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
PIRod 16'-6" Low Profile Platform (VSI)	137	(3) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	117
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	137	(1) Generic TMA	117
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	137	(2) Generic TMA	117
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	137	(2) Generic TMA	117
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	127	12' Pipe Frame w/ 2 Horizontal Plates	107
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	127	12' Pipe Frame w/ 2 Horizontal Plates	107
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	127	(2) DB84H90E-XY w/Mount Pipe	107
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	127	(2) DB84H90E-XY w/Mount Pipe	107
PIrod 4' Face Mount Support (2'x1' Channels) (VSI)	127	(2) 48'x18'x12" Panel w/ Mount Pipe	107
PIrod 4' Face Mount Support (2'x1' Channels) (VSI)	127	(2) 48'x18'x12" Panel w/ Mount Pipe	107
PIrod 4' Face Mount Support (2'x1' Channels) (VSI)	127	PIrod 12' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI) (Cingular)	97
(4) ALP 9212-N w/Mount Pipe	127	PIrod 12' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI) (Cingular)	97
(4) ALP 9212-N w/Mount Pipe	127	PIrod 12' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI) (Cingular)	97
(4) ALP 9212-N w/Mount Pipe	127	(4) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe (Cingular)	97
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	117	(4) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe (Cingular)	97
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	117	(4) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe (Cingular)	97
PIrod 15' T-Frame Sector Mount (1) (VSI)	117	(2) LGP21401 TMA (Cingular)	97
(3) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	117	(2) LGP21401 TMA (Cingular)	97
(3) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	117	GPS Antenna w/ Mount	80
		GPS Antenna w/ Mount	72

MATERIAL STRENGTH


GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-50	50 ksi	65 ksi	A36	36 ksi	58 ksi

TOWER DESIGN NOTES


1. Tower is located in New London County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 100 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 87 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 161.9%

MAX. CORNER REACTIONS AT BASE:

DOWN: 437987 lb
 UPLIFT: -378389 lb
 SHEAR: 39165 lb



TORQUE 2110 lb-ft
 87 mph WIND - 0.5000 in ICE



TORQUE 1612 lb-ft
 REACTIONS - 100 mph WIND

Vertical Structures 308 Spangler Drive, Suite E Richmond, KY 40475 Phone: (859) 624-8360 FAX: (859) 624-8369	Job: Waterford, CT BU#876338
	Project: Vertical Structures Job #2007-004-078
	Client: Crown Castle
	Drawn by: Dmitry Albul
	Date: 07/06/07
Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	App'd:
Path:	Scale: NTS
	Dwg No: E-1