April 1, 2014

David Martin and
Members of the Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification
86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT 06379
N 41.405529
W 71.845259

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of T-Mobile, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT 06379.

The 86 Voluntown Road facility consists of a 196' Monopole owned and operated by SBA Towers, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of T-Mobile's modernization project, T-Mobile desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in T-Mobile's operations at the site along with the required fee of $625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be

significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.

2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.

3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of T-Mobile, respectfully submits that he proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

T-Mobile

Equipment Modification

86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT 06379
Site number CT11343A

Tower Owner: SBA Towers, LLC

Equipment Configuration: Monopole

Current and/or approved:

- (3) EMS RR90-17-02DP
- (3) RFS APX16DWV-16DWV-A20
- (3) RFS Twin PCS TMAs
- (3) RFS Twin AWS TMAs
- (12) 1-5/8" Feed Lines

Planned Modifications:

- (3) Ericsson AIR B2A B4P
- (3) Ericsson AIR B4A B2P
- (3) Ericsson KRY 112 144 TMAs
- (12) 1-5/8" Feed Lines
- (1) 1-5/8" Fiber

Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are 0.436% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 36.126% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Site Composite MPE %	
Carrier	MPE %
T-Mobile	0.436%
Sprint	3.000%
Nextel	1.760%
AT&T	15.230%
Verizon Wireless	11.060%
MetroPCS	4.640%
Total Site MPE %	36.126%

April 1, 2014

Edward Haberek, Jr
First Selectman
Town of Stonington
152 Elm Street
Stonington, CT 06378

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT 06379

Dear Mr. Haberek,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, T-Mobile will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review T-Mobile's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes T-Mobile's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at 508.251.0720 x 3804.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x 3804 + T
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

EBI Consulting
environmental | engineering | due diligence

RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT
EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

T-Mobile Existing Facility

Site ID: CT11343A

Stonington / I-95_1

86 Voluntown Road
Stonington, CT 06379

March 31, 2014

EBI Project Number: 62141996

21 B Street · Burlington, MA 01803 · Tel: (781) 273.2500 · Fax: (781) 273.3311

EBI Consulting
environmental | engineering | due diligence

March 31, 2014

T-Mobile USA
Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager
35 Griffin Road South
Bloomfield, CT 06002

Re: Emissions Values for Site: **CT11343A - Stonington / I-95_1**

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at 86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter (µW/cm2). The number of µW/cm2 calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) – (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter (µW/cm2). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is 567 µW/cm2, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is 1000 µW/cm2. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at 86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, the actual antenna pattern gain value in the direction of the sample area was used. For this report the sample point is a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 2 GSM / UMTS channels (1935.000 MHz to 1945.000 MHz / 1983.000 MHz to 1984.000 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
2) 4 UMTS / LTE channels (2110.000 to 2120.000 MHz / 2140.000 MHz to 2145.000 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation
3) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
4) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
5) The antenna used in this modeling is the Ericsson AIR21 for LTE, UMTS and GSM. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 15.6 dBd gain value at its main lobe. Actual antenna gain values were used for all calculations as per the manufacturers specifications

6) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is **167 feet** above ground level (AGL)
7) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits

Site ID	CT11343A - Stonington / I-95_1
Site Addresss	86 Voluntown Road, Stonington, CT 06379
Site Type	Monopole

Sector 1

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	48.326044	0.670248	0.06702%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-			0	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	24.163022	0.335124	0.03351%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	32.217363	0.446832	0.04468%
														Sector total Power Density Value:		0.145%	

Sector 2

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	48.326044	0.670248	0.06702%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-			0	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	24.163022	0.335124	0.03351%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	32.217363	0.446832	0.04468%
														Sector total Power Density Value:		0.145%	

Sector 3

Antenna Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Status	Frequency Band	Technology	Power Out Per Channel (Watts)	Number of Channels	Composite Power	Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd)	Antenna Height (ft)	analysis height	Cable Size	Cable Loss (dB)	Additional Loss	ERP	Power Density Value	Power Density Percentage
1a	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Active	AWS - 2100 MHz	LTE	60	2	120	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	48.326044	0.670248	0.06702%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Not Used	-	-			0	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	0	0	0.00000%
2a	Ericsson	AIR21 B2A / B4P	Active	PCS - 1950 MHz	GSM / UMTS	30	2	60	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	24.163022	0.335124	0.03351%
1b	Ericsson	AIR21 B4A/B2P	Passive	AWS - 2100 MHz	UMTS	40	2	80	-3.95	167	161	None	0	0	32.217363	0.446832	0.04468%
														Sector total Power Density Value:		0.145%	

Site Composite MPE %

Carrier	MPE %
T-Mobile	0.436%
Sprint	3.000%
Nextel	1.760%
AT&T	15.230%
Verizon Wireless	11.060%
MetroPCS	4.640%
Total Site MPE %	**36.126%**

Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility are **0.436% (0.145% from each sector)** of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **36.126%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.

Scott Heffernan
RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting
21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803

Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

196' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Stonington East
SBA Site ID: CT00595-S-03
T-Mobile Site ID: CT11343A

FDH Project Number 1424NJ1400

Analysis Results

Tower Components	99.7%	Sufficient
Foundation	99.0%	Sufficient

Prepared By:

Jeffrey B. Ray, EI
Project Engineer

Reviewed By:

Bradley Newman, PE
Senior Project Engineer
CT PE License No. 29630

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridien Drive
Raleigh, NC 27616
(919) 755-1012
info@fdh-inc.com



March 20, 2014

Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures and 2005 Connecticut Building Code

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Stonington, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F and 2005 Connecticut Building Code (2005 CT Building Code)*. Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, foundation dimensions, geotechnical data, and member sizes was obtained from:

- Valmont Industries, Inc. (Order No. 17507-98) Communication Pole Record Drawings dated June 23, 1998
- SAGE Environmental, Inc. (Project No. G004) geotechnical report dated June 10, 1998
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The *basic design wind speed* per the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and *2005 CT Building Code* is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 3/4" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from T-Mobile in place at 167 ft, the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and *2005 CT Building Code* provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see Valmont Industries, Inc. Order No. 17507-98), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support both the proposed and existing loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and *2005 CT Building Code* are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The proposed coax must be installed inside the pole's shaft.
2. The proposed TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. *If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.*

Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

Existing Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines[1]	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
195	(3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 (3) ALU 1900MHZ RRHs (3) ALU 800MHZ RRHs (3) ALU 800MHZ RRH Filters (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs	(3) 1-1/2"	Sprint	193	(1) Low Profile Platform
180	(9) Swedcom ALP 9212-N	(9) 1-5/8"	Nextel	180	(1) T-Arms w/Working Platform
167	(3) EMS RR90-17-02DP (3) RFS APX16DWV-16DWV-A20 (3) RFS Twin PCS TMAs (3) RFS Twin AWS TMAs	(12) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	165	(1) Low Profile Platform
150	(6) Powerwave 7700.00 (2) Powerwave P65-17-XLH-RR (1) KMW AM-X-CD-14-65-00T (6) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs (6) Powerwave LGP13519 TMA Diplexers (6) Ericsson RRUS-11 RRUs (1) Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Arrestor	(12) 1-5/8" (2) DC (1) Fiber	New Cingular	150	(1) Low Profile Platform
140	(6) Antel RWA-80014 (3) Antel BXA-70063/6CF (3) Rymsa MGD5-800T2 (6) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	(12) 1-5/8"	Verizon[2]	140	(1) Low Profile Platform
130	(6) Kathrein 742 351	(12) 1-5/8"	Metro PCS[3]	130	(1) Low Profile Platform
30	(1) GPS	---	Sprint	30	(1) Standoff

1. Coax installed inside the pole's shaft unless otherwise noted.
2. Verizon's coax are installed outside the pole's shaft in a single row.
3. Metro PCS's coax are installed outside the pole's shaft in a single row.

Proposed Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
167	(3) Ericsson AIR B2A B4P (3) Ericsson AIR B4A B2P (3) Ericsson KRY 112 144 TMAs	(12) 1-5/8" (1) 1-5/8" Fiber	T-Mobile	165	(1) Low Profile Platform

RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	65 ksi
Base Plate	60 ksi
Anchor Bolts	75 ksi (assumed)

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 105% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the **Appendix** for detailed modeling information

Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	% Capacity*	Pass Fail
L1	196 - 154.75	Pole	TP27.76x17.39x0.1875	73.6	Pass
L2	154.75 - 118.75	Pole	TP36.42x26.3166x0.3125	83.9	Pass
L3	118.75 - 74.5	Pole	TP46.91x34.4772x0.375	97.4	Pass
L4	74.5 - 35.5	Pole	TP55.97x44.5274x0.4375	94.9	Pass
L5	35.5 - 0	Pole	TP64x53.2089x0.4688	99.7	Pass
	0	Anchor Bolts	(24) 2.25"Ø on a 72.76" BC	82.8	Pass
	0	Base Plate	PL 2.5" x 78.77"Ø	85.0	Pass

**Capacities utilize 1/3 allowable stress increase for wind per TIA/EIA-222-F.*

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Base Reactions	Current Analysis* *(TIA/EIA-222-F)*	Original Design *(TIA/EIA-222-F)*
Axial	58 k	60 k
Shear	49 k	45 k
Moment	5,963 k-ft	5,768 k-ft

** Foundation determined to be adequate per independent analysis.*

GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

APPENDIX

Section	1	2	3	4	5
Length (ft)	41.25	40.25	49.50	45.50	43.00
Number of Sides	12	12	12	12	12
Thickness (in)	0.1875	0.3125	0.3750	0.4375	0.4688
Socket Length (ft)	4.25	5.25	6.50	7.50	
Top Dia (in)	17.3900	26.3166	34.4772	44.5274	53.2089
Bot Dia (in)	27.7600	36.4200	46.9100	55.9700	64.0000
Grade			A572-65		
Weight (K)	1.9	4.3	8.2	10.9	12.8

38.1



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod	196	P65-17-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe	150
APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	193	AM-X-CD-14-65-00T w/ Mount Pipe	150
APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	193	(2) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs	150
APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	193	(2) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs	150
1900 MHz RRH	193	(2) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs	150
1900 MHz RRH	193	(2) Powerwave LGP13519 TMA Diplexers	150
1900 MHz RRH	193		
800 MHz RRH	193	(2) Powerwave LGP13519 TMA Diplexers	150
800 MHz RRH	193		
800 MHz RRH	193	(2) Powerwave LGP13519 TMA Diplexers	150
800 MHz Filter	193		
800 MHz Filter	193	(2) RRUS-11	150
800 MHz Filter	193	(2) RRUS-11	150
ACU-A20-N RET	193	(2) RRUS-11	150
ACU-A20-N RET	193	DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Arrestor	150
(2) ACU-A20-N RET	193	(1) Low Profile Platform MNT	150
(1) Low Profile Platform MNT	193	(2) RWA-80014 w/Mount Pipe	140
(3) ALP 9212-N w/ Mount Pipe	180	(2) RWA-80014 w/Mount Pipe	140
(3) ALP 9212-N w/ Mount Pipe	180	(2) RWA-80014 w/Mount Pipe	140
(3) ALP 9212-N w/ Mount Pipe	180	BXA-70063/6CF w/ Mount Pipe	140
(1) T-Arms w/Working Platform MNT	180	BXA-70063/6CF w/ Mount Pipe	140
AIR 21 B2A/B4P w/Mount Pipe	165	BXA-70063/6CF w/ Mount Pipe	140
AIR 21 B2A/B4P w/Mount Pipe	165	MGD5-800TX w/Mount Pipe	140
AIR 21 B2A/B4P w/Mount Pipe	165	MGD5-800TX w/Mount Pipe	140
AIR 21 B4A/B2P w/Mount Pipe	165	MGD5-800TX w/Mount Pipe	140
AIR 21 B4A/B2P w/Mount Pipe	165	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	140
AIR 21 B4A/B2P w/Mount Pipe	165	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	140
KRY 112 144/1	165	(2) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	140
KRY 112 144/1	165	(1) Low Profile Platform MNT	140
KRY 112 144/1	165	(2) 742 351 w/Mount Pipe	130
(1) Low Profile Platform MNT	165	(2) 742 351 w/Mount Pipe	130
(2) 7700.00 w/Mount Pipe	150	(2) 742 351 w/Mount Pipe	130
(2) 7700.00 w/Mount Pipe	150	(1) Low Profile Platform MNT	130
(2) 7700.00 w/Mount Pipe	150	GPS	30
P65-17-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe	150	(1) Standoff MNT	30

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in New London County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 99.7%

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridien Drive, Suite 107
Raleigh, North Carolina
Phone: 9197551012
FAX: 9197551031
Tower Analysis

Job: Stonington East, CT00595-S		
Project: 1424NJ1400		
Client: SBA	Drawn by: Jeffrey B. Ray	App'd:
Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 03/20/14	Scale: NTS
Path:		Dwg No. E-1





STATE OF CONNECTICUT
MARC R. CHRETIEN
No. 29307
LICENSED
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

1 A-1 **COMPOUND PLAN**
SCALE: 1/8"=1'-0"
0' 4'-0" 8'-0" 16'-0"

NOTE:
GROUND EQUIPMENT NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY

2 A-1 **EXISTING ELEVATION**
SCALE: NTS

*NOTE:
ANTENNA ELEVATION BASED ON CLIENT-PROVIDED INFORMATION

ADVANCED ENGINEERING GROUP, P.C.
Civil Engineering - Site Development Surveying - Telecommunications
500 NORTH BROADWAY PH: (401) 354-2403
EAST PROVIDENCE, RI 02914 FAX: (401) 633-6354

SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752
PHONE: 508-251-0720

SITE NUMBER: CT11343A
SITE NAME: STONINGTON / I-95_1
86 VOLUNTOWN ROAD
STONINGTON, CT 06379

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APP'D
1	03/25/14	UPDATES	MER	SB	MRC
0	03/17/14	CONSTRUCTION	MER	SB	MRC

SCALE: AS SHOWN | DESIGNED BY: MRC | DRAWN BY: MER

T-MOBILE
COMPOUND PLAN AND ELEVATION

JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
CT11343A	A-1	1



EXISTING ANTENNA SCHEDULE

SECTOR	MAKE	MODEL#	SIZE (INCHES)
SECTOR A:	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56
	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56
SECTOR B:	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56
	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56
SECTOR C:	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56
	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	8x2.8x56

PROPOSED ANTENNA SCHEDULE

SECTOR	MAKE	MODEL#	SIZE (INCHES)
SECTOR A:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56
SECTOR B:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56
SECTOR C:	ERICSSON	AIR21 B2A/B4P	12x8x56
	ERICSSON	AIR21 B4A/B2P	12x8x56

NOTE:
1. REFER TO FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.



2 / A-3

* SPECIAL INSTALLATION NOTE:
PROPOSED ANTENNAS SHALL BE VERTICALLY CENTERED ON EXISTING PLATFORM RAIL. ADJUST ANTENNA MOUNTING PIPE AS REQUIRED.

1 / A-2 **EXISTING ANTENNA PLAN**
SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"
0' 2'-0" 4'-0"

2 / A-2 **PROPOSED ANTENNA PLAN**
SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"
0' 2'-0" 4'-0"



3 / A-2 **EQUIPMENT PLAN**
SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"
0' 2'-0" 4'-0"



4 / A-3 **EXISTING EQUIPMENT AREA.**
N.T.S.

ADVANCED ENGINEERING GROUP, P.C.
Civil Engineering - Site Development Surveying - Telecommunications
500 NORTH BROADWAY
EAST PROVIDENCE, RI 02914
PH: (401) 354-2403
FAX: (401) 633-6354

SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752
PHONE: 508-251-0720

SITE NUMBER: CT11343A
SITE NAME: STONINGTON / I-95_1
86 VOLUNTOWN ROAD
STONINGTON, CT 06379

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APP'D
1	03/25/14	UPDATES	MER	SB	MRC
0	03/17/14	CONSTRUCTION	MER	SB	MRC

SCALE: AS SHOWN | DESIGNED BY: MRC | DRAWN BY: MER

T-MOBILE

PLANS AND ANTENNA SCHEDULES

JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
CT11343A	A-2	1



1 A-3 **EXISTING ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.**
N.T.S.

*** SPECIAL INSTALLATION NOTE:**
PROPOSED ANTENNAS SHALL BE VERTICALLY CENTERED ON EXISTING PLATFORM RAIL. ADJUST ANTENNA MOUNTING PIPE AS REQUIRED.

*(P) ANTENNA TYP. OF (2) PER SECTOR, (6) TOTAL (SEE SBA STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS FOR SPECIAL MOUNTING CONFIGURATION)

3 A-3

RELOCATE (E) TMAs TO POSITION BEHIND (P) AIR ANTENNA, (3) TOTAL, (1) PER SECTOR, TYP.

2 A-3 **PROPOSED ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.**
N.T.S.



3 A-3 **ANTENNA MOUNT TYP.**
SCALE: NTS



NOTE:
ANCHOR (P) EQUIPMENT TO (E) CONCRETE PAD PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS

DIMENSIONS		
CABINET	DEPTH x WIDTH x HEIGHT	APPROX. MAX. WEIGHT
OUTDOOR RBS3106	36.45" x 51.18" x 64.17"	1874 LBS.

4 A-3 PROPOSED EQUIPMENT CABINET
SCALE: N.T.S.

ADVANCED ENGINEERING GROUP, P.C.
Civil Engineering - Site Development Surveying - Telecommunications
500 NORTH BROADWAY EAST PROVIDENCE, RI 02914
PH: (401) 354-2403 FAX: (401) 633-6354

SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST, SUITE 320
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752
PHONE: 508-251-0720

SITE NUMBER: CT11343A
SITE NAME: STONINGTON / I-95_1
86 VOLUNTOWN ROAD
STONINGTON, CT 06379

T-MOBILE NORTHEAST LLC
35 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002
OFFICE: (860) 648-1116

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	CHK	APP'D
1	03/25/14	UPDATES	MER	SB	MRC
0	03/17/14	CONSTRUCTION	MER	SB	MRC

SCALE: AS SHOWN | DESIGNED BY: MRC | DRAWN BY: MER

T-MOBILE

DETAILS

JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
CT11343A	A-3	1