

JULIE D. KOHLER

PLEASE REPLY TO: Bridgeport WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL: (203) 337-4157 E-Mail Address: jkohler@cohenandwolf.com

August 22, 2014

Attorney Melanie Bachman Acting Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Notice of Exempt Modification T-Mobile location Site ID CT11126F 231 Kettletown Rd., Southbury, Connecticut

Dear Attorney Bachman:

This office represents T-Mobile Northeast LLC ("T-Mobile") and has been retained to file exempt modification filings with the Connecticut Siting Council on its behalf.

In this case, T-Mobile owns the existing monopole tower and related facility located at 231 Kettletown Rd., Southbury, Connecticut (Latitude: 41.47127232 Longitude: -73.2050978). T-Mobile intends to add three antennas and related equipment at this existing telecommunications facility in Southbury ("Southbury Facility"). Please accept this letter as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). In accordance with R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to the First Selectman, Ed Edelson. The Town of Southbury is also the property owner.

The existing Southbury Facility consists of a 196 foot tall monopole tower.¹ T-Mobile plans to add three antennas at a centerline of 193 feet. (See the plans revised to July 30, 2014 attached hereto as Exhibit A). T-Mobile will also will also replace its equipment cabinet on the existing concrete pad, install 3 RRU's on a H frame, and install coax cable. The existing Southbury Facility is structurally capable of supporting T-Mobile's proposed modifications, as indicated in the structural analysis dated August 12, 2014 and attached hereto as Exhibit B.

The planned modifications to the Southbury Facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2).

657 ORANGE CENTER ROAD ORANGE, CT 06477 TEL: (203) 298-4066 FAX: (203) 298-4068

¹ While the online docket for the Connecticut Siting Council does not provide a docket or petition number for the approval of this structure, it does reference this structure in connection with requests for orders captioned TS-SPRINT-130-991103 and TS-SCLP-130-991105.



August 22, 2014 Site ID CT11126F Page 2

1. The proposed modification will not increase the height of the tower. T-Mobile's replacement antennas will be installed at a centerline of 193 feet, below T-Mobile antennas already in place at a 195 foot elevation. The enclosed tower drawing confirms that the proposed modification will not increase the height of the tower.

2. The proposed modifications will not require an extension of the site boundaries. T-Mobile's equipment will be located entirely within the existing compound and equipment pad as shown on Sheet 2 of Exhibit A.

3. The proposed modification to the Southbury Facility will not increase the noise levels at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. The operation of the replacement antennas will not increase the total radio frequency (RF) power density, measured at the base of the tower, to a level at or above the applicable standard. According to a Radio Frequency Emissions Analysis Report prepared by EBI dated August 21, 2014, T-Mobile's operations would add 2.70% of the FCC Standard. Therefore, the calculated "worst case" power density for the planned combined operation at the site including all of the proposed antennas would be 31.07% of the FCC Standard as calculated for a mixed frequency site as evidenced by the engineering exhibit attached hereto as Exhibit C.

For the foregoing reasons, T-Mobile respectfully submits that the proposed replacement antennas and equipment at the Southbury Facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). Upon acknowledgement by the Council of this proposed exempt modification, T-Mobile shall commence construction approximately sixty days from the date of the Council's notice of acknowledgement.

Sincerely,

Julie D. Kohler, Esq.

cc: Town of Southbury, First Selectman Ed Edelson Northeast Site Solutions, Sheldon J. Freincle

T · ·Mob	T-MOBILE USA, INC. 12920 SE 38TH STREET BELLEVUE, WA 98006 (425) 378-4000				2919724 8/19/2014 2000011160
Invoice Number	Inv. Date	Description	Deductions	Voucher	Amount Paid

0.00

1101616595

625.00

8/14/2014 Exempt Mod Filing Fees

CT11126F-1



"0002919724" C43301601C 013-8430"

🏢 THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT HAS A REFLECTIVE WATERMARK ON THE BACK. 🧱 🛛 👘 HOLD AT AN ANGLE TO VIEW, DO NOT CASH IF MISSING.

EXHIBIT A







EXHIBIT B

REVIEWED By JACKIE DONAHUE at 6:48 am, Aug 15, 2014



T-Mobile Towers 12920 SE 38th Street Bellevue, WA 98006 (425) 383-3978



Chris Scheks 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron, OH 44311 (206) 204-7399 cscheks@gpdgroup.com

GPD# 2014790.88 August 12, 2014

STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT

CT11126F

T-MOBILE DESIGNATION:

Site Name: T-Mobile Project:

ANALYSIS CRITERIA:

Codes:

Site Number:

SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/BAGL ect: Network Modification

> TIA/EIA-222-F & 2013 CTBC 85-mph fastest-mile with 0" ice 74-mph fastest-mile with 1/2" ice

SITE DATA:

231 Kettleton Rd, Southbury, CT 06488, New Haven County Latitude 41° 28' 16.320" N, Longitude 73° 12' 20.020" W 196' Modified Pirod Monopole

Mr. Kenny Fann,

GPD is pleased to submit this Structural Analysis Report to determine the structural integrity of the aforementioned tower. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the suitability of the tower with the existing and proposed loading configuration detailed in the analysis report.

Analysis Results

Tower Stress Level with Proposed Equipment:	92.0%	Pass
Foundation Ratio with Proposed Equipment:	73.6%	Pass

We at GPD appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and T-Mobile Towers. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please do not hesitate to call.

Respectfully submitted,

John N. Kabak, P.E. Connecticut #: PEN.0028336



SUMMARY & RESULTS

The purpose of this analysis was to verify whether the existing modified structure is capable of carrying the proposed loading configuration as specified by T-Mobile to T-Mobile Towers. This report was commissioned by Mr. Kenny Fann of T-Mobile Towers.

Modifications designed by GPD (Project #: 2010293.91, dated 9/14/10) have been considered in this analysis. Modifications included the installation of stiffener plates across flange connections at 20' and 40'.

Modifications designed by GPD (Project #: 2013792.15 Rev 1, dated 10/1/13) have been considered in this analysis. Modifications consisted of reinforcing the pole from 0'-139', adding stiffener plates across the flanges from 20'-120', adding additional anchor rods, and installing a foundation collar with piles to the existing foundation.

The proposed coax shall be installed internal to the monopole in order for the results of this analysis to be valid.

Member	Capacity	Results
Monopole	92.0%	Pass
Flanges	86.8%	Pass
Anchor Rods	91.1%	Pass
Base Plate	88.3%	Pass
Foundation	73.6%	Pass

TOWER SUMMARY AND RESULTS

ANALYSIS METHOD

tnxTower (Version 6.1.4.1), a commercially available software program, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate primary member stresses for various dead, live, wind, and ice load cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix B. The following table details the information provided to complete this structural analysis. This analysis is solely based on this information and is being completed without the benefit of a detailed site visit.

DOCUMENTS PROVIDED

Document	Remarks	Source
Structural Analysis Worksheet	CT11126F TMO NET MOD SAW, dated 7/22/2014	T-Mobile
Tower Design	PiROD, File #: A-115080, dated 3/26/1999	T-Mobile
Foundation Design	PiROD, File #: A-115080, dated 3/26/1999	T-Mobile
Geotechnical Report	Dr. Clarence Welti, dated 10/7/1998	T-Mobile
Modification Drawings	GPD Project #: 2010293.91, dated 9/14/2010	GPD
Modification Drawings	GPD Project #: 2013792.15 Rev. 1, dated 10/1/2013	GPD
Previous Structural Analysis	GPD Project #: 2014790.50, dated 4/25/2014	GPD

ASSUMPTIONS

This structural analysis is based on the theoretical capacity of the members and is not a condition assessment of the tower. This analysis is from information supplied, and therefore, its results are based on and are as accurate as that supplied data. GPD has made no independent determination, nor is it required to, of its accuracy. The following assumptions were made for this structural analysis.

- 1. The tower member sizes and shapes are considered accurate as supplied. The material grade is as per data supplied and/or as assumed and as stated in the materials section.
- 2. The antenna configuration is as supplied and/or as modeled in the analysis. It is assumed to be complete and accurate. All antennas, mounts, coax and waveguides are assumed to be properly installed and supported as per manufacturer requirements.
- 3. Some assumptions are made regarding antennas and mount sizes and their projected areas based on best interpretation of data supplied and of best knowledge of antenna type and industry practice.
- 4. All mounts, if applicable, are considered adequate to support the loading. No actual analysis of the mount(s) is performed. This analysis is limited to analyzing the tower only.
- 5. The soil parameters are as per data supplied or as assumed and stated in the calculations.
- 6. Foundations are properly designed and constructed to resist the original design loads indicated in the documents provided.
- 7. The tower and structures have been properly maintained in accordance with TIA Standards and/or with manufacturer's specifications.
- 8. All welds and connections are assumed to develop at least the member capacity unless determined otherwise and explicitly stated in this report.
- 9. All prior structural modifications are assumed to be as per data supplied/available and to have been properly installed.
- 10. Loading interpreted from photos is accurate to $\pm 5'$ AGL, antenna size accurate to ± 3.3 sf, and coax equal to the number of existing antennas without reserve.
- 11. The locations of the coax are assumed. If the coax layout differs in the field, contact the engineer immediately. See Appendix C for the coax layout
- 12. The proposed coax shall be installed internal to the monopole in order for the results of this analysis to be valid.
- 13. All existing loading was obtained from the most recent structural analysis by GPD (Project #: 2014790.50, dated 4/25/2014) and is assumed to be accurate.
- 14. The proposed loading is taken from the provided Structural Analysis Worksheet titled: CT11126F TMO NET MOD SAW, dated 7/22/2014, and is assumed to be accurate.
- 15. Appurtenance azimuths have not been provided and have been assumed.

If any of these assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, this analysis may be affected, and GPD Group should be allowed to review any new information to determine its effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

GPD GROUP has not performed a site visit to the tower to verify the member sizes or antenna/coax loading. If the existing conditions are not as represented on the tower elevation contained in this report, we should be contacted immediately to evaluate the significance of the discrepancy. This is not a condition assessment of the tower or foundation. This report does not replace a full tower inspection. The tower and foundations are assumed to have been properly fabricated, erected, maintained, in good condition, twist free, and plumb.

The engineering services rendered by GPD GROUP in connection with this Rigorous Structural Analysis are limited to a computer analysis of the tower structure and theoretical capacity of its main structural members. All tower components have been assumed to only resist dead loads when no other loads are applied. No allowance was made for any damaged, bent, missing, loose, or rusted members (above and below ground). No allowance was made for loose bolts or cracked welds.

This analysis is limited to the designated maximum wind and seismic conditions per the governing tower standards and code. Wind forces resulting in tower vibrations near the structure's resonant frequencies were not considered in this analysis and are outside the scope of this analysis. Lateral loading from any dynamic response was not evaluated under a time-domain based fatigue analysis.

GPD GROUP does not analyze the fabrication of the structure (including welding). It is not possible to have all the very detailed information needed to perform a thorough analysis of every structural sub-component and connection of an existing tower. GPD GROUP provides a limited scope of service in that we cannot verify the adequacy of every weld, plate connection detail, etc. The purpose of this report is to assess the feasibility of adding appurtenances usually accompanied by transmission lines to the structure.

It is the owner's responsibility to determine the amount of ice accumulation in excess of the specified code recommended amount, if any, that should be considered in the structural analysis.

The attached sketches are a schematic representation of the analyzed tower. If any material is fabricated from these sketches, the contractor shall be responsible for field verifying the existing conditions, proper fit, and clearance in the field. Any mentions of structural modifications are reasonable estimates and should not be used as a precise construction document. Precise modification drawings are obtainable from GPD GROUP, but are beyond the scope of this report.

Towers are designed to carry gravity, wind, and ice loads. All members, legs, diagonals, struts, and redundant members provide structural stability to the tower with little redundancy. Absence or removal of a member can trigger catastrophic failure unless a substitute is provided before any removal. Legs carry axial loads and derive their strength from shorter unbraced lengths by the presence of redundant members and their connection to the diagonals with bolts or welds. If the bolts or welds are removed without providing any substitute to the frame, the leg is subjected to a higher unbraced length that immediately reduces its load carrying capacity. If a diagonal is also removed in addition to the connection, the unbraced length of the leg is greatly increased, jeopardizing its load carrying capacity. Failure of one leg can result in a tower collapse because there is no redundancy. Redundant members and diagonals are critical to the stability of the tower.

Miscellaneous items such as antenna mounts, etc., have not been designed or detailed as a part of our work. We recommend that material of adequate size and strength be purchased from a reputable tower manufacturer.

GPD GROUP makes no warranties, expressed and/or implied, in connection with this report and disclaims any liability arising from material, fabrication, and erection of this tower. GPD GROUP will not be responsible whatsoever for, or on account of, consequential or incidental damages sustained by any person, firm, or organization as a result of any data or conclusions contained in this report. The maximum liability of GPD GROUP pursuant to this report will be limited to the total fee received for preparation of this report.

APPENDIX A

Tower Analysis Summary Form

Tower Analysis Summary Form

General Info	
Site Name	SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL
Site Number	CT11126F
Proposed Carrier	T-Mobile
Date of Analysis	August 12, 2014
Company Performing Analysis	GPD

Tower Info	Description	Date
Tower Type (G, SST, MP)	MP	-
Tower Height (top of steel AGL)	196'	
Tower Manufacturer	PIROD	
Tower Model	n/a	
Tower Design	PiROD, File #: A-115080	3/26/1999
Foundation Design	PiROD, File #: A-115080	3/26/1999
Geotech Report	Dr. Clarence Welti	10/7/1998
Modification Drawings	GPD Project #: 2010293.91	9/14/2010
Modification Drawings	GPD Project #: 2013792.15 Rev. 1	10/1/2013
Previous Structural Analysis	GPD Project #: 2014790.50	4/25/2014
Caundailan Manulau	- la	1

Steel Yield Strength (ksi) Pole Flange Plate Flange Bolts 42 36 A325

r reingie ciona	MULD
Base Plate	36
Anchor Rods	A354-BD
Existing / Reserved Loading	

The information contained in this summary report is not to be used independently from the PE stamped tower analysis.

Ē

Design Parameters Design Code Used

Design Parameters	
Design Gode Used	TIA/EIA-222-F
	2006 IBC & 2013 CTBC
Location of Tower (County, State)	New Haven, CT
Basic Wind Speed (mph)	85 (fastest-mile)
ice Thickness (in)	0.5
Structure Classification (I, II, III)	
Exposure Category (B, C, D)	
Topographic Category (1 to 5)	

Analysis Results (% Maximum	Usage)	
Existing/Reserved + Proposed	Condition	
DWBF (%)	92.0%	
ower Base (%)	91.1%	
oundation (%)	73.6%	
Cotourseb& noitebours	Voc	

todifications designed by GPD (Project #: 2010293.91, dated 9/14/10) ha een considered in this analysis. odifications designed by GPD (Project #: 2013792.15, dated 7/29/13) ha en considered in this analysis.

				Antenna					N	fount		Transmission Line		
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Internal/External
T-Mobile	195	195	9	Panel	Ericsson	AIR 21		1	Unknown	LP Platform	12	Unknown	1-5/8"	Internal
T-Mobile	195	195	2	Panel	Ericsson	AIR 33				on the same mount	1	Hybrid	1-5/8"	Internal
T-Mobile	195	195	3	TMA	RFS	ATMAA1412D				on the same mount				
T-Mobile	195	195	1	DC Box	Raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F	_			on the same mount	_			
AT&T Mobility	185	185	2	Panel	Powerwave	7770		1	Unknown	LP Platform	12	Unknown	1-1/4"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	185	185	2	Panel	KMW	AM-X-CD-16-65-00T RET				on the same mount	2	DC Gable	3/8"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	185	185	4	Panel	KMW	AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET				on the same mount	1	Fiber Cable	7/16"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	185	185	6	TMA	Powerwave	TT19-08B9111-001				on the same mount				
AT&T Mobility	185	185	6	Diplexet	Powerwave	LGP21901				on the same mount				
AT&T Mobility	185	185	6	RRU	Ericsson	RRUS 11		1		Flush mounted				
AT&T Mobility	185	185	1	Raycap	DC Box	DC6-48-60-18-8F		-		on the same mount				
Pocket	175	175	3	Panel	RFS	APXV18-206517S-C				Flush Mounted	6	ปกหายพุภ	1-5/8"	External
Sprint	165	165	9	Panel	Decibel	DB980E (90E-M)		1	Unknown	LP Platform	12	Unknown	1-5/8*	Internal
Verizon Wireless	155	155	6	Panel	Commiscope	HBXX 6516DS	-		Unknown	LP Platform	12	Unknown	1-5/8"	External
Verizon Wireless	155	155	2	Panel	Swedcom	SLGP2X6014				on the existing mount	1			
Verizon Wireless	155	155	4	Panel	Amphenol	BXA 70063/4CF				on the existing mount	-		1	
Verizon Wireless	155	155	6	Diplexers	Amphenol	DPX 021				on the existing mount				-
Verizon Wireless	155	155	6	Diplexers	RFS	FD9R6004/2C-3L				on the existing mount				
T-Mobile	91	91	Ú.	Dish	Unknown	2' MW DIsh		1	Unknown	MW Collar Mount	1	Unknown	1-5/8"	Internal
Sprint	75	75	¥.	Panel	Pcte1	TMG-HR-26N GPS		1	Linknown	Pipe Mount	-	Linkoown	7/8*	External

Sprint 1/2 1/2 II Prame Prover Processors 1 1 Province on S 1 Province on S 1 Province on S 1 Province Processors Note: T-Mobile's existing/reserved loading configuration shall be replaced by the proposed loading configuration. All other existing/reserved equipment loading shall remain as shown,

Proposed Loading Antenna Mount Height (ft) Antenna CL (ft) Attachment Internal/External Internal Internal Manufacturer Antenna Owner Quantity Туре Model Azimuth Quantity Manufa Quantity Model Size Туре Andrew RR90-17-02DP Commscope LNX-6515DS-VTM Ericsson AIR 33 Ericsson KRY112 71 Raycap DC4-48-60-8-20F LP Platform on the same mount on the same mount on the same mount on the same mount anel Unknown Hybrid Unknown 12 -Mobile -Mobile 1-5/8" 1-5/8" Panel Panel TMA DC Box -Mobile -Mobile 195 95 MW Collar Mount Unknown Unknown 1-5/8" Internal

T-Mobile 91 91 1 Dish Unknown 2 NW Dish Note: The proposed coax shall be installed Internal to the monopole in order for the results of this analysis to be valid.

APPENDIX B

tnxTower Output File

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 1 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Tower Input Data

There is a pole section.

This tower is designed using the TIA/EIA-222-F standard. The following design criteria apply: Tower is located in New Haven County, Connecticut. Basic wind speed of 85 mph. Nominal ice thickness of 0.5000 in. Ice thickness is considered to increase with height. Ice density of 56 pcf. A wind speed of 74 mph is used in combination with ice. Temperature drop of 50 °F. Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 50 mph. A non-linear (P-delta) analysis was used. Pressures are calculated at each section. Stress ratio used in pole design is 1.333. Local bending stresses due to climbing loads, feed line supports, and appurtenance mounts are not considered.

Description	Face or	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement	Total Number		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	Leg			ft			ft ² /ft	plf
iROD Climbing Rungs	C	No	CaAa (Out Of	196.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.05	3.80
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.13	5.44
						1" Ice	0.20	7.08
						2" Ice	0.36	10.36
						4" Ice	0.67	16.92
Safety Line 3/8	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	196.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.04	0.22
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.14	0.75
			,			1" Ice	0.24	1.28
						2" Ice	0.44	2.34
						4" Ice	0.84	4.46
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	С	No	Inside Pole	195.00 - 8.00	12	No Ice	0.00	0.82
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82
						2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						4" Ice	0.00	0.82
1-5/8" Hybrid Cable	С	No	Inside Pole	195.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.82
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82
						2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						4" Ice	0.00	0.82
LDF6-50A (1-1/4	A	No	Inside Pole	185.00 - 8.00	12	No Ice	0.00	0.66
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.66
						1" Ice	0.00	0.66
						2" Ice	0.00	0.66
						4" Ice	0.00	0.66
100266(7/16")	Α	No	Inside Pole	185.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.08
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.08
						1" Ice	0.00	0.08
						2" Ice	0.00	0.08
						4" Ice	0.00	0.08
3/8" DC Cable	A	No	Inside Pole	185.00 - 8.00	2	No Ice	0.00	0.10
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.10
						1" Ice	0.00	0.10
						2" Ice	0.00	0.10

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 2 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement	Total Number		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	Leg	0111014	x)po	ft	minioer		ft ² /ft	plf
				•		4" Ice	0.00	010
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	A	No	CaAa (Out Of	175.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.20	0.82
FOAM)		110	Face)	110.00 0.00		1/2" Ice	0.20	2 33
i orinity			1 400)			1" Ice	0.40	4.46
						2" Ice	0.40	10.54
						4" Too	1.00	20.04
I DE7 504 (1 5/9	٨	NT	Calle (Dat Of	175.00 0.00	F	4 ICC	1.00	50.04
LDF7-JOA (1-J/8	A	INO	CaAa (Out Of	175.00 - 8.00	2	NO ICE	0.00	0.82
FOAWI)			race)			1/2 ice	0.00	2.33
						I" Ice	0.00	4.46
						2" Ice	0.00	10.54
			10-10-200			4" Ice	0.00	30.04
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	A	No	Inside Pole	165.00 - 8.00	12	No Ice	0.00	0.82
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82
						2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						4" Ice	0.00	0.82
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	в	No	CaAa (Out Of	155.00 - 8.00	2	No Ice	0.20	0.82
FOAM)			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.30	2.33
			,			1" Ice	0.40	4.46
						2" Ice	0.60	10.54
						4" Ice	1.00	30.04
LDE7-50A (1.5/8	B	No	CaAa (Out Of	155.00 8.00	10	No Ico	0.00	0.97
EDI 7-SOA (1-S/0	Б	140	Eace)	135.00 - 8.00	10	1/2" Too	0.00	0.02
I'OAWI)			I'due)			1/2 100	0.00	2.33
						1 Ice	0.00	4.40
						2" Ice	0.00	10.54
	~					4" Ice	0.00	30.04
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	С	No	Inside Pole	91.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.82
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82
						2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						4" Ice	0.00	0.82
LDF5-50A (7/8 FOAM)	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	75.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.33
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	1.30
						1" Ice	0.00	2.88
						2" Ice	0.00	7.88
						4" Tce	0.00	25.20
4" x 1-1/4" Mod Plate	А	No	CaAa (Out Of	22.00 - 18.00	2	No Ice	0.00	17.01
		1,0	Face)	10100	-	1/2" Ice	0.00	18 19
			1)			1" Ice	0.00	19.71
						2" Ice	0.00	23.80
						4" Ice	0.00	26.11
A" + 1 1/A" Mod Plata	'n	NIC	Cala (Out Of	22.00 10.00	2	H ICC	0.00	17 01
4 x 1-1/4 Mou Plate	D	INO	CaAa (Out Oi	22.00 - 16.00	2	INO ICE	0.00	17.01
			race)			1/2 ICE	0.00	18.19
						I lce	0.00	19./1
						2" Ice	0.00	23.80
111	~					4" Ice	0.00	36.11
4" x 1-1/4" Mod Plate	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	22.00 - 18.00	2	No Ice	0.00	17.01
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	18.19
						1" Ice	0.00	19.71
						2" Ice	0.00	23.80
						4" Ice	0.00	36.11
4" x 1-1/4" Mod Plate	A	No	CaAa (Out Of	42.00 - 38.00	2	No Ice	0.00	17.01
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	18.19
						1" Ice	0.00	19.71
						2" Ice	0.00	23.80
						4" Ice	0.00	36.11
4" x 1-1/4" Mod Plate	R	No	CaAa (Out Of	42 00 . 38 00	2	No Ice	0.00	17.01
T A 1-1/T WOU FIALE	u u	110	Eace)	42.00 - 30.00	4	1/2" 100	0.00	10.10
			race)			112 100	0.00	10.19
							0.00	19./1
						2 Ice	0.00	23.80
						4" Ice	0.00	36.11

tnxTower	Job CT1	1126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 3 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face	Allow Shield	Component Type	Placement	1 otal Number		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	Leg	Smou	Type	ft	runiver		ft²/ft	plf
4" x 1-1/4" Mod Plate	C	No	CaAa (Out Of	42.00 - 38.00	2	No Ice	0.00	17.01
I AT IN MOUTHIN	0	110	Face)	12.00 50.00	~	1/2" Ice	0.00	18 19
			x 4000)			1" Ice	0.00	19.71
						2" Ice	0.00	23.80
						4" Ice	0.00	36.11
6" x 1_1/2" Mod Plate	Δ	No	CaAa (Out Of	24.00 - 16.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
	11	140	Eace)	24.00 - 10.00	2	1/2" Tee	0.00	32 57
			1 400)			1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
						4" Ice	0.00	46.18
5" x 1_1/2" Mod Plate	B	No	CaAa (Ont Of	24.00 - 16.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
	Ъ	140	Eace)	24.00 - 10.00	2	1/2" Ice	0.00	30.05
			Tace)			1" Too	0.00	24 51
						2" Ice	0.00	28 10
						2 ICC	0.00	16 19
W at 1/2" Mad Diata	C	Ma	Cake (Out Of	24.00 16.00	2	4 ICE	0.00	40.10
) x 1-1/2 Mod Plate	C	INO	CaAa (Out OI	24.00 - 10.00	2	1/2" Tee	0.00	30.03
			race)			1/2 100	0.00	32.31
						1 Ice	0.00	34.31
						ZICE	0.00	38.40
		NT	G + (0 + 0)	44.00 26.00	2	4 ice	0.00	40.18
5" x 1-1/2" Mod Plate	A	NO	CaAa (Out Or	44.00 - 36.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" lce	0.00	38.40
	P		G ((0) 0 (1100 0100	2	4" Ice	0.00	46.18
$5^{"} \times 1-1/2^{"} \operatorname{Mod} \operatorname{Plate}$	В	No	CaAa (Out Of	44.00 - 36.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
	-			1100 0100		4" Ice	0.00	46.18
5" x 1-1/2" Mod Plate	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	44.00 - 36.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
						4" Ice	0.00	46.18
5" x 1-1/2" Mod Plate	A	No	CaAa (Out Of	64.00 - 56.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
						4" Ice	0.00	46.18
5" x 1-1/2" Mod Plate	В	No	CaAa (Out Of	64.00 - 56.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
						4" Ice	0.00	46.18
5" x 1-1/2" Mod Plate	С	No	CaAa (Out Of	64.00 - 56.00	2	No Ice	0.00	30.63
			Face)			1/2" Ice	0.00	32.57
						1" Ice	0.00	34.51
						2" Ice	0.00	38.40
						411 T	0.00	46 19

tnxTower

CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL

Page 4 of 12

GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709

Project

Job

Client

.

2014790.88

T-Mobile Towers

Date 15:19:59 08/12/14 Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	$C_A A_A$ Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	٥	ft		ft ²	ft ²	K
rod 16.5' LP Platform	С	None	J•	0.0000	195.00	No Ice	20.80	20.80	1.80
						1/2" Ice	28.10	28.10	2.07
						1" Ice	35.40	35.40	2.33
						2" Ice	50.00	50.00	2.86
						4" Ice	79.20	79.20	3.93
IR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	A	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	7.13	6.42	0.14
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	7.93	7.65	0.20
		g	0.00			1" Ice	8.66	8.74	0.27
						2" Ice	10.00	10.60	0.44
						4" Ice	12.84	14.54	0.91
AIR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	В	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	7.13	6.42	0.14
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	7.93	7.65	0.20
		g	0.00			1" Ice	8.66	8.74	0.27
						2" Ice	10.00	10.60	0.44
TD 00 ()	-			10 0000	105 **	4" Ice	12.84	14.54	0.91
JR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	7.13	6.42	0.14
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	7.93	7.65	0.20
		g	0.00			1" Ice	8.66	8.74	0.27
						2" Ice	10.00	10.60	0.44
000 17 00DD/ M+		Treese	2.04	10 0000	105.00	4" Ice	12.84	14,54	0.91
R90-17-02DP W/ Mount	A	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	4.59	3.34	0.03
Pipe		Centroid-Le	-0.09			1/2" Ice	5.09	4.11	0.07
		g	0.00			1 Ice	5.38	4.81	0.12
						2 ICe	0,39	0.23	0.22
POR 17 02DP w/ Mount	B	From	3.04	10,0000	105.00	4 ICC	0.75 A 50	3.33	0.00
Pine	Б	Centroid Le	_0.60	-10.0000	195.00	1/2" Ice	5.00	4 11	0.03
1 ipe		a a	0.00			1" Ice	5.58	4.11	0.07
		5	0.00			2" Ice	6 59	6.25	0.12
						4" Ice	8 73	933	0.56
R90-17-02DP w/ Mount	C	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	4.59	3.34	0.03
Pipe		Centroid-Le	-0.69	1010000	190100	1/2" Ice	5.09	4.11	0.07
		g	0.00			1" Ice	5.58	4.81	0.12
		5				2" Ice	6.59	6.25	0.22
						4" Ice	8.73	9.33	0.56
.NX-6515DS-VTM w/	Α	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	11.43	9.35	0.08
mount pipe		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	12.05	10.67	0.16
		g	0.00			1" Ice	12.67	11.70	0.25
						2" Ice	14.02	13.80	0,47
						4" Ice	17.03	18.21	1.08
NX-6515DS-VTM w/	В	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	11.43	9.35	0.08
mount pipe		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	12.05	10.67	0.16
		g	0.00			1" Ice	12.67	11.70	0.25
						2" Ice	14.02	13.80	0.47
	-	-	251	10 5	105	4" Ice	17.03	18.21	1.08
NX-6515DS-VTM w/	С	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	11.43	9.35	0.08
mount pipe		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	12.05	10.67	0.16
		g	0.00			1" Ice	12.67	11.70	0.25
						2" Ice	14.02	13.80	0.47
XDX 110 71		T	2.04	10.0000	105.00	4" Ice	17.03	18.21	1.08
KRY 112 71	A	From	3.94	-10,0000	195.00	No Ice	0.68	0.45	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	0.80	0.56	0.02
		g	0.00			1" Ice	0.93	0.68	0.03
						Z Ice	. 1.1.	0.94	0.04

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 5 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C _A A _A Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	o	ft		ft ²	ft ²	K
						4" Ice	1.90	1.57	0.11
KRY 112 71	В	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	0.68	0.45	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	0.80	0.56	0.02
		g	0.00			1" lce	0.93	0.68	0.03
						2" lce	1.22	0.94	0.04
	a	-		10.0000	105.00	4" lce	1.90	1.57	0.11
KRY 112 /1	С	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	No Ice	0.68	0.45	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-0.69			1/2" Ice	0.80	0.56	0.02
		g	0.00			1" ice	0.93	0.68	0.03
						2" ICe	1.22	0.94	0.04
DC4 49 60 9 20E	٨	Farmer	2.04	10,0000	105.00	4 Ice	1.90	1.57	0.11
DC4-48-60-8-20F	A	From	3.94	-10.0000	195.00	INO ICE	1.07	0.69	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-0.09			1/2" Ice	1.85	0.81	0.02
		B	0.00			1 ICe	2.03	1.93	0.03
						2 ICE	2.42	1.24	0.07
DiPOD 13' Low Profile	C	None		0.0000	185.00	4 ICC	15 70	1.54	1.30
Platform (Monopolo)	C	INOLIC		0.0000	105.00	1/2" Ico	20.10	20.10	1.50
Tiationin (Wonopole)						1" Ice	24.50	24.50	2.73
						2" Ice	33 30	33 30	3.16
						4" Ice	50.90	50.90	5.02
7770 00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	Δ	From	3 76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	6.22	4 35	0.06
770.00 W 0 Would Tipe	11	Centroid-Le	-1 37	20.0000	105.00	1/2" Ice	6.77	5 20	0.11
		o	0.00			1" Ice	7.30	5.92	0.16
		Б	0100			2" Ice	8.38	7.41	0.30
						4" Ice	10.69	10.76	0.68
7770.00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	В	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	6.22	4.35	0.06
	~	Centroid-Le	-1.37	2010000		1/2" Ice	6.77	5.20	0.11
		g	0.00			1" Ice	7.30	5.92	0.16
		D				2" Ice	8.38	7.41	0.30
						4" Ice	10.69	10.76	0.68
7770.00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	C	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	6.22	4.35	0.06
		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	6.77	5.20	0.11
		g	0.00			1" Ice	7.30	5.92	0.16
						2" Ice	8.38	7.41	0.30
						4" Ice	10.69	10.76	0.68
M-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	Α	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	8.26	5.67	0.06
w/ 2" x 54" mount pipe		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	8.81	6.39	0.12
		g	0.00			1" Ice	9.36	7.12	0.19
						2" Ice	10.50	8.65	0.35
						4" Ice	12.88	12.02	0.78
M-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	В	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	8.26	5.67	0.06
w/ 2" x 54" mount pipe		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	8.81	6.39	0.12
		g	0.00			1" Ice	9.36	7.12	0.19
						2" lce	10.50	8.65	0.35
WY OD 17 CF OOT DET	C	En	0.74	00.0000	105 00	4" Ice	12.88	12.02	0.78
MI-A-CD-17-05-001-KET	C	From	3./0	-20.0000	182.00	NO ICE	11.51	9.10	0.11
w/ Mount Pipe		Centroid-Le	-1.3/			1/2 ICC	11.93	11.52	0.19
		5	0.00			1 ICE	12.33	12.00	0.29
						2 ICe	15.00	12.80	1 1 2
(2) 7710 0000111 001	A	Enom	276	20.0000	195.00	4 ICE	10.68	10.41	1.13
(2) III7-00DFIII-001	A	Centroid Lo	-1 37	-20,0000	103.00	1/2" Top	0.04	0.52	0.02
		Centrold-Le	-1.57			112 100	0.70	0.02	0.02
		g	0.00			2" Tee	1.14	0.74	0.05
						2 100	1.14	0.99	0.05
						4" Ico	1 72	1 50	0.12

tnxTower	Job	T11126E SOUTHBURY/ L84 X15/ BAGI	Page 6 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		C _A A _A Front	C _A A _A Side	Weig
			Vert ft ft ft	o	ft	ft^2		ft ²	K
,		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	0.76	0.62	0.02
		g	0.00			1" Ice	0.88	0.74	0.03
						2" Ice	1.14	0.99	0.05
						4" Ice	1.78	1.59	0.12
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	С	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	0.64	0.52	0.02
		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	0.76	0.62	0.02
		g	0.00			1" Ice	0.88	0.74	0.03
						2" Ice	1.14	0.99	0.05
				1000 TO 1000	100100000	4" Ice	1.78	1.59	0.12
(2) LGP21901	A	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	0.27	0.18	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	0.34	0.25	0.01
		g	0.00			1" Ice	0.43	0.32	0.01
						2" Ice	0.62	0.49	0.02
(2) 1 (3) 2 (4)	D	17	2.74	20.0000	105.00	4" lce	1.10	0.94	0.07
(2) LGP21901	В	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	0.27	0.18	0.01
		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	0.34	0.25	0.01
		g	0.00			I" Ice	0.43	0.32	0.01
						2" Ice	0.62	0.49	0.02
(2) I (1001001	0	17	2.74	00.0000	105.00	4" Ice	1.10	0.94	0.07
(2) LGP21901	C	From	3.70	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	0.27	0.18	0.01
		Centrold-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	0.34	0.25	0.01
		g	0.00			1 Ice	0.43	0.32	0.01
						2 Ice	0.62	0.49	0.02
() PDIE 11	٨	Exam	276	20,0000	195.00	4 Ice	1.10	0.94	0.07
(2) KR03-11	A	Controid Lo	1.27	-20.0000	185.00	1/2" Los	3.23	1.57	0.05
		Centrold-Le	-1.57			1/2 Ice	3.49	1.55	0.07
		g	0.00			2" Ice	3.74	1.74	0.09
						2 ICe	4.27	2.14	0.15
(2) PRUS_11	R	From	376	20.0000	185.00	4 ICe	2.45	1.27	0.51
(2) 11005-11	D	Centroid-Le	-1.37	-20.0000	185.00	1/2" Ice	3.40	1.57	0.05
		o o	0.00			1" Ice	3.74	1.55	0.07
		5	0.00			2" Ice	4.27	2 14	0.09
						4" Ice	5.43	3.04	0.15
(2) RRUS-11	С	From	3.76	-2.0 0000	185.00	No Ice	3 25	1.37	0.01
(1) 11100 11	0	Centroid-Le	-1.37	20.0000	105.00	1/2" Ice	3 49	1.55	0.05
		g	0.00			1" Ice	3 74	1 74	0.09
		в	0.00			2" Ice	4.27	2.14	0.15
						4" Ice	5.43	3.04	0.31
DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge	С	From	3.76	-20.0000	185.00	No Ice	1.47	1.47	0.02
Suppression Unit		Centroid-Le	-1.37			1/2" Ice	1.67	1.67	0.04
		g	0.00			1" Ice	1.88	1.88	0.06
		0				2" Ice	2.33	2.33	0.11
						4" Ice	3.38	3.38	0.24
Valmont Light Duty	С	None		0.0000	175.00	No Ice	1.76	1.76	0.05
Tri-Bracket (1)						1/2" Ice	2.08	2.08	0.07
						1" Ice	2.40	2.40	0.09
						2" Ice	3.04	3.04	0.12
						4" Ice	4.32	4.32	0.18
APXV18-206517S-C w/	А	From Leg	0.50	-10.0000	175.00	No Ice	5.17	4.46	0.05
Mount Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	5.62	5.39	0.09
			0.00			1" Ice	6.08	6.20	0.14
						2" Ice	7.02	7.87	0.26
						4" Ice	9.12	11.40	0.64
APXV18-206517S-C w/	В	From Leg	0.50	-10.0000	175.00	No Ice	5.17	4.46	0.05
Mount Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	5.62	5.39	0.09
			0.00			1" Tee	6.08	6.20	0.14

tnxTower	Job	T11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 7 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	or Leg	Ujjset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C _A A _A Side	Weight
			ft ft ft ft	o	ft		ft^2	ft ²	K
						2" Ice	7.02	7.87	0.26
						4" Ice	9.12	11.40	0.64
APXV18-206517S-C w/	С	From Leg	0.50	-10.0000	175.00	No Ice	5.17	4.46	0.05
Mount Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	5.62	5.39	0.09
			0.00			1" Ice	6.08	6.20	0.14
						Z" Ice	7.02	1.8/	0.26
MTS 12 5' I P Platform	C	None		0.0000	165.00	4 ICC	9.12	11.40	1.04
WIG 12.5 LI Hatform	C	NOLL		0.0000	105.00	1/2" Tee	18.87	18.87	1.25
						1" Ice	23.08	23.08	1.40
						2" Ice	31.50	31.50	2.18
						4" Ice	48.34	48.34	3.10
(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/	А	From	3.94	-10.0000	165.00	No Ice	4.04	3.62	0.03
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-0.69		100100	1/2" Ice	4.50	4.48	0.07
1		ce	0.00			1" Ice	4.95	5.22	0.11
						2" Ice	5.87	6.74	0.22
						4" Ice	8.05	10.00	0.55
(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/	в	From	3.94	-10.0000	165.00	No Ice	4.04	3.62	0.03
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-0.69			1/2" Ice	4.50	4.48	0.07
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	4.95	5.22	0.11
						2" Ice	5.87	6.74	0.22
						4" Ice	8.05	10.00	0.55
(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/	С	From	3.94	-10.0000	165.00	No Ice	4.04	3.62	0.03
Mount Pipe		Centroid-Fa	-0.69			1/2" Ice	4.50	4.48	0.07
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	4.95	5.22	0.11
						2" Ice	5.87	6.74	0.22
DIDOD 1511 and Desfie	C	Mana		0.0000	155.00	4" Ice	8.05	10.00	0.55
Platform (Monopole)	C	None		0.0000	155.00	1/2" Too	17.30	17.50	1.50
Platform (Monopole)						1" Too	22.10	22.10	2.05
						2" Ice	36.50	36 50	3.62
						4" Ice	55.70	55 70	5.74
2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount	А	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	6.24	4.59	0.05
Pipe		Centroid-Fa	0.00	010000	100700	1/2" Ice	6.74	5.31	0.10
T. T.		ce	0.00			1" Ice	7.24	6.02	0.16
						2" Ice	8.27	7.53	0.29
						4" Ice	10.46	10.75	0.68
2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount	в	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	6.24	4.59	0.05
Pipe		Centroid-Fa	0.00			1/2" Ice	6.74	5.31	0.10
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	7.24	6.02	0.16
						2" Ice	8.27	7.53	0.29
						4" Ice	10.46	10.75	0.68
2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount	В	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	6.24	4.59	0.05
Pipe		Centroid-Fa	0.00			1/2" Ice	6.74	5.31	0.10
		ce	0.00			I" Ice	7.24	6.02	0.16
						2" Ice	8.27	7.53	0.29
DVA 70062 ACE EDDI 6	a	E	4.00	0.0000	155.00	4" Ice	10.46	10.75	0.68
W/ Mount Ping	C	Centroid Ec	4.00	0.0000	155.00	1/0" Too	5.40	3.09	0.03
w/ Mount Pipe		Centrola-Fa	0.00			1/2 ICe	5.84	4.29	0.07
		00	0.00			2" Ice	7.24	6.26	0.12
						4" Tee	9.26	0.20	0.23
BXA-70063-4CE-EDIN 6	C	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	5.40	3.60	0.00
w/ Mount Pipe	0	Centroid-Fa	0.00	0.0000	100.00	1/2" Tee	5.84	4.20	0.05
m mount ripo		CP.	0.00			1" Ice	6 30	4.01	0.12
			0.00			2" Ioo	7.24	6.26	0.22
						Z. 16.173	1.1.++	0.711	1

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 8 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		C _A A _A Front	C _A A _A Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	٥	ft		ft ²	ft^2	K
(2) SLCP2x6014 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From Centroid-Fa	4.00 0.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice 1/2" Ice	7.21 7.65	6.66 7.35	0.04 0.10
		ce	0.00			1" Ice 2" Ice	8.10 9.02	8.06 9.52	0.17 0.33 0.76
(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L	А	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	0.37	0.08	0.00
		Centroid-Fa	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.45	0.14	0.01
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	0.54	0.20	0.01
						2" Ice	0.75	0.34	0.02
(2) ED0R6004/2C 31	D	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	4" Ice	1.28	0.74	0.06
(2) FD9R0004/2C-3L	D	Centroid-Ea	4.00	0.0000	155.00	1/2" Ice	0.37	0.08	0.00
		centrola-r a	0.00			1" Ice	0.54	0.20	0.01
						2" Ice	0.75	0.34	0.02
						4" Ice	1.28	0.74	0.06
(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L	С	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	0.37	0.08	0.00
		Centroid-Fa	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.45	0.14	0.01
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	0.54	0.20	0.01
						2" Ice	1.29	0.34	0.02
(2) DPX 021 Dipleyer	Δ	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	A ICE	0.41	0.74	0.00
(2) DI N 021 Dipiexei	11	Centroid-Fa	0.00	0.0000	155.00	1/2" Ice	0.50	0.24	0.01
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	0.59	0.31	0.02
						2" Ice	0.81	0.48	0.03
						4" Ice	1.36	0.92	0.08
(2) DPX 021 Diplexer	В	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	No Ice	0.41	0.17	0.01
		Centroid-Fa	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.50	0.24	0.01
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	0.59	0.31	0.02
						2" Ice	0.81	0.48	0.03
(2) DPX 021 Diplexer	С	From	4.00	0.0000	155.00	4 ICE	0.41	0.92	0.08
(2) DIT OLI DIDIONI	C	Centroid-Fa	0.00	0.0000	155.00	1/2" Ice	0.50	0.24	0.01
		ce	0.00			1" Ice	0.59	0.31	0.02
						2" Ice	0.81	0.48	0.03
						4" Ice	1.36	0.92	0.08
Pipe Mount 3'x4.5"	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	91.00	No Ice	0.93	0.93	0.03
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.13	1.13	0.04
			0.00			1" Ice	1.37	1.37	0.05
						2 ICe	3.06	3.06	0.09
GPS-TMG-HR-26N	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	75.00	No Ice	0.16	0.16	0.00
	0	TION DOB	0.00	0.0000	15.00	1/2" Ice	0.21	0.21	0.00
			0.00			1" Ice	0.28	0.28	0.01
						2" Ice	0.44	0.44	0.01
					1.00	4" Ice	0.86	0.86	0.05
Pipe Mount 3'x4.5"	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	75.00	No Ice	0.93	0.93	0.03
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.13	1.13	0.04
			0.00			1" Ice	1.37	1.37	0.05
						4" Ice	3.06	3.06	0.09
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	А	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
	5.0	- 8	002			4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 9 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C _A A _A Side	Weight
			ft ft ft	o	ft		ft ²	ft ²	K
			0.00			1" Ice 2" Ice	3.94 4.72	1.73 2.39	0.17 0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	C	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
		U	0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	A	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	100.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
		U.	0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	100.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	100.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	Α	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	80.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	80.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6.47	3.81	0.37
ridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	80.00	No Ice	3.25	0.74	0.13
		5	0.00			1/2" Ice	3.60	1.25	0.15
			0.00			1" Ice	3.94	1.73	0.17
						2" Ice	4.72	2.39	0.22
						4" Ice	6 47	3.81	0.37

Dishes											
Description	Face or Leg	Dish Type	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral Vert	Azimuth Adjustment	3 dB Beam Width	Elevation	Outside Diameter		Aperture Area	Weight
				ft	0	0	ft	ft		ft^2	K
2' MW	С	Paraboloid w/o	From	1.00	0.0000		91.00	2.00	No Ice	3.14	0.04
		Radome	Leg	0.00					1/2" Ice	3.41	0.07
				0.00					1" Ice	3.68	0.10
									2" Ice	4.21	0.17
									4" Ice	5.28	0.35

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 10 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
		Load				ft
ft		Comb.	in	0	o	
195.00	Pirod 16.5' LP Platform	33	24.634	1.1353	0.0035	53709
185.00	PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform (Monopole)	33	22.266	1.1200	0.0034	22664
175.00	Valmont Light Duty Tri-Bracket (1)	33	19.950	1.0827	0.0033	12866
165.00	MTS 12.5' LP Platform	33	17.727	1.0286	0.0031	9779
155.00	PiROD 15' Low Profile Platform (Monopole)	33	15.627	0.9585	0.0027	7871
120.00	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	33	9.497	0.7233	0.0013	10202
100.00	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	33	6.692	0.6094	0.0010	9887
91.00	2' MW	33	5.587	0.5571	0.0008	9958
80.00	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	28	4.369	0.4942	0.0007	10048
75.00	GPS-TMG-HR-26N	28	3.863	0.4658	0.0006	9974

Compression Checks

Pole Design Data

Section No.	Elevation	Size	L	L_{u}	Kl/r	F_a	Α	Actual P	Allow. Pa	Ratio P
	ft		ft	ft		ksi	in ²	K	K	P_a
L1	196 - 195 (1)	P18x3/8	1.00	0.00	0.0	25.200	20.7640	-0.09	523.25	0.000
L2	195 - 180 (2)	P24x3/8	15.00	0.00	0.0	25.200	27.8325	-5.99	701.38	0.009
L3	180 - 160 (3)	P30x3/8	20.00	0.00	0.0	25.075	34.9011	-10.47	875.15	0.012
L4	160 - 140 (4)	P36x3/8	20.00	0.00	0.0	23.696	41.9697	-16.14	994.51	0.016
L5	140 - 136 (5)	P42x3/8	4.00	0.00	0.0	22.711	49.0383	-17.01	1113.69	0.015
L6	136 - 120 (6)	P42x3/8 [0.63241]	16.00	0.00	0.0	20.646	82.1881	-22.06	1696.86	0.013
L7	120 - 100 (7)	P48x3/8 [0.595266]	20.00	0.00	0.0	22.139	88.6508	-29.25	1962.64	0.015
L8	100 - 80 (8)	P54x3/8 [0.567552]	20.00	0.00	0.0	22.089	95.2710	-37.04	2104.40	0.018
L9	80 - 60 (9)	P60x3/8 [0.546065]	20.00	0.00	0.0	21.436	101.9940	-46.05	2186.31	0.021
L10	60 - 40 (10)	P60x1/2 [0.673218]	20.00	0.00	0.0	22.903	125.4750	-57.22	2873.70	0.020
L11	40 - 20 (11)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	20.00	0.00	0.0	21.542	148.8640	-70.20	3206.79	0.022
L12	20 - 0(12)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	20.00	0.00	0.0	21.542	148.8640	-81.92	3206.79	0.026

Pole Bending Design Data

Section	Elevation	Size	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio
No.			M_x	f_{bx}	F_{bx}	f_{bx}	M_y	f_{by}	F_{by}	fby
	ft		kip-ft	ksi	ksi	Fbx	kip-ft	ksi	ksi	Fby
L1	196 - 195 (1)	P18x3/8	0.03	0.004	27.720	0.000	0.00	0.000	27.720	0.000
L2	195 - 180 (2)	P24x3/8	99.32	7.363	27.720	0.266	0.00	0.000	27.720	0.000
L3	180 - 160 (3)	P30x3/8	338.48	15.910	25.075	0.635	0.00	0.000	25.075	0.000
L4	160 - 140 (4)	P36x3/8	725.70	23.540	23.696	0.993	0.00	0.000	23.696	0.000
L5	140 - 136 (5)	P42x3/8	813.08	19.291	22.711	0.849	0.00	0.000	22.711	0.000
L6	136 - 120 (6)	P42x3/8 [0.63241]	1183.93	16.966	22.711	0.747	0.00	0.000	22.711	0.000
L7	120 - 100 (7)	P48x3/8 [0.595266]	1702.13	19.683	24.353	0.808	0.00	0.000	24.353	0.000
L8	100 - 80 (8)	P54x3/8 [0.567552]	2282.62	21.749	22.089	0.985	0.00	0.000	22.089	0.000
L9	80 - 60 (9)	P60x3/8 [0.546065]	2925.56	23.368	21.436	1.090	0.00	0.000	21.436	0.000
L10	60 - 40 (10)	P60x1/2 [0.673218]	3622.11	23.618	22.903	1.031	0.00	0.000	22.903	0.000
L11	40 - 20 (11)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	4363.15	24.082	23.696	1.016	0.00	0.000	23.696	0.000
L12	20 - 0 (12)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	5140.27	28.371	23.696	1.197	0.00	0.000	23.696	0.000

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 11 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Pole Shear Design Data

Section	Elevation	Size	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio	Actual	Actual	Allow.	Ratio
No.			V	f_{ν}	F_v	f_{v}	Т	fut	F_{vt}	f_{vt}
	ft		K	ksi	ksi	F_{r}	kip-ft	ksi	ksi	F _{vt}
L1	196 - 195 (1)	P18x3/8	0.05	0.005	16.800	0.000	0.00	0.000	16.800	0.000
L2	195 - 180 (2)	P24x3/8	9.80	0.704	16.800	0.042	0.69	0.025	16.800	0.002
L3	180 - 160 (3)	P30x3/8	14.95	0.857	16.800	0.051	0.70	0.016	15.644	0.001
L4	160 - 140 (4)	P36x3/8	21.58	1.028	16.800	0.061	0.90	0.015	11.901	0.001
L5	140 - 136 (5)	P42x3/8	22.11	0.902	16.800	0.054	0.92	0.011	9.619	0.001
L6	136 - 120 (6)	P42x3/8 [0.63241]	24.24	0.590	13.764	0.043	0.97	0.007	13.764	0.001
L7	120 - 100 (7)	P48x3/8 [0.595266]	27.28	0.615	14.759	0.042	1.05	0.006	14.759	0.000
L8	100 - 80 (8)	P54x3/8 [0.567552]	30.46	0.639	15.131	0.042	0.80	0.004	12.136	0.000
L9	80 - 60 (9)	P60x3/8 [0.546065]	33.60	0.659	15.411	0.043	2.68	0.011	10.686	0.001
L10	60 - 40 (10)	P60x1/2 [0.673218]	36.03	0.574	15.475	0.037	2.75	0.009	13.882	0.001
L11	40 - 20 (11)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	38.05	0.511	14.361	0.036	2.82	0.008	14.361	0.001
L12	20 - 0 (12)	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	39.65	0.533	14.361	0.037	2.85	0.008	14.361	0.001

Pole Interaction Design Data

Section	Elevation	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Ratio	Comb.	Allow.	Criteria
NO.	ft	$\frac{r}{P_a}$	$\frac{Jbx}{F_{hr}}$	$\frac{Jby}{F_{hy}}$	$\frac{J_v}{F_v}$	$\frac{J_{vt}}{F_{vt}}$	Siless Railo	Siress Kallo	
L1	196 - 195 (1)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.333	H1-3+VT
L2	195 - 180 (2)	0.009	0.266	0.000	0.042	0.002	0.276	1.333	H1-3+VT
L3	180 - 160 (3)	0.012	0.635	0.000	0.051	0.001	0.649	1.333	H1-3+VT
L4	160 - 140 (4)	0.016	0.993	0.000	0.061	0.001	1.014	1.333	HI-3+VT
L5	140 - 136 (5)	0.015	0.849	0.000	0.054	0.001	0.868	1.333	H1-3+VT
L6	136 - 120 (6)	0.013	0.747	0.000	0.043	0.001	0.762	1.333	H1-3+VT
L7	120 - 100 (7)	0.015	0.808	0.000	0.042	0.000	0.825	1,333	H1-3+VT
L8	100 - 80 (8)	0.018	0.985	0.000	0.042	0.000	1 004	1.333	H1-3+VT
L9	80 - 60 (9)	0.021	1.090	0.000	0.043	0.001	1 113	1,333	H1_3_VT
L10	60 - 40 (10)	0.020	1.031	0.000	0.037	0.001	1.053	1.333	H1-3+VT
L11	40 - 20 (11)	0.022	1.016	0.000	0.036	0.001	1.039	1.333	H1_3_VT
L12	20 - 0 (12)	0.026	1.197	0.000	0.037	0.001	1.224	1.333	H1-3+VT

tnxTower	Job	CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	Page 12 of 12
GPD Group 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2014790.88	Date 15:19:59 08/12/14
Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-3709	Client	T-Mobile Towers	Designed by tbeltz

Section Capacity Table

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P K	$SF^*P_{allow} \ K$	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	196 - 195	Pole	P18x3/8	1	-0.09	697.49	0.0	Pass
L2	195 - 180	Pole	P24x3/8	2	-5.99	934.94	20.7	Pass
L3	180 - 160	Pole	P30x3/8	3	-10.47	1166.57	48.7	Pass
LA	160 - 140	Pole	P36x3/8	4	-16.14	1325.68	76.0	Pass
L5	140 - 136	Pole	P42x3/8	5	-17.01	*	64.9*	Pass
L6	136 - 120	Pole	P42x3/8 [0.63241]	6	-22.06	*	57.5*	Pass
L7	120 - 100	Pole	P48x3/8 [0.595266]	7	-29.25	*	68.9*	Pass
L8	100 - 80	Pole	P54x3/8 [0.567552]	8	-37.04	*	78.1*	Pass
L9	80 - 60	Pole	P60x3/8 [0.546065]	9	-46.05	*	85.8*	Pass
L10	60 - 40	Pole	P60x1/2 [0.673218]	10	-57.22	*	81.3*	Pass
L11	40 - 20	Pole	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	11	-70.20	*	78.1*	Pass
L12	20 - 0	Pole	P60x5/8 [0.800428]	12	-81.92	*	92.0*	Pass
						Summary	ELC:	Proposed
						Pole (L12)	92.0*	Pass
						Rating =	92.0*	Pass

*See next page for reinforcement calculations.

	_		Reinforcement	L						R	elnforcemen	11.2						Re	Inforcemen	13			1				
Bottom	Тор	QTY	Type	Position	Gap	Ten/Comp	1	Bottom	Top	QTY	Туре	Position	Gap	Ten/Comp		Battom	Тор	QTY	Турс	Position	бар	Ten/Comp	1				
0	136	3	PL1.5x6.5+18	F	Ð	T&C	1 1					P	0	T&C		D					0	T&C					
				F	D	T&C							0	T&C		1					0	T&C					
				F	D	T&C						F		T&C							a	TAC					
				F	٥	TAC						E	0	T&C		í					0	T&C	1				
				F	Ð	T&C						P	0	T&C							0	T&C					
				F	o	T&C						P	D	T&C							0	T&C					
				1.1	G	T&C						F .	0	T&C							0	T&C					
				1	0	TAC						- K.S.	D	T&C							0	TAC					
	_				0	T&C	1		_			P	0	T&C						1	0	TEC					
	-	_		Odelaal	Reinforced				-						Contral							Furtheritant		F		D-44-	
Bottom	Ton	Original	Orladeal Vield	Liltimate	Shaft	Refol 1		Relp 1	Relat 2	Datef 2	Nain 2	Halof 2	Dalaf 3	Bala 2	Cleare		Faction			Yes	Baldam	Fland	Faulantant	Equivalent M-teleb	10	Bottom	
Elevation	Elevation	Thickness	Stress	Stress	Capacity	QTY	Reinf. 1 Type	Capacity	QTY	Type	Capacity	QTY	Type	Capacity	Ratio	Top Height	Length	Lap Splice	# of Sides	Diameter	Diameter	Thickness	Shaft Py	Mult	Fallu	P Fallure	Failure
195.0000	196.0000	0.3750	42	57	0.0%										0.0%	196.0000	1.0000	0.0000	Round	18,0000	18.0000	0.3750	42.0	1.00			
180.0000	195.0000	0.3750	42	57	20.7%									1	20.7%	195.0000	15.0000	0,0000	Round	24,0000	24,0000	0.3750	42.0	1.00	i .		
160.0000	160.0000	0.3750	42	57	48.6%										48.6%	180.0000	20,0000	0.0000	Round	30.0000	30.0000	0.3750	42.0	1.00	- F		
140.0000	160.0000	0.3750	42	\$7	75.9%										75.9%	160.0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	36,0000	36.0000	0.3750	42.0	1.00			
136.0000	140.0000	0.3750	42	S7	64.9%										64.9%	140.0000	4.0000	0.0000	Round	42,0000	42.0000	0,3750	42.0	1.00	5		
120.0000	136.0000	0.3750	42	57	57.5%	3	PL1.5x6.5-18	50.5%							57.5%	136.0000	16.0000	0.0000	Round	42.0000	42,0000	0.6324	34.4	0.95	6		
100.0000	120,0000	0.3750	42	57	68.9%	3	PL1.5x8.5-18	58.3%							68.9%	120.0000	20,0000	0.0000	Round	48.0000	48.0000	0.5953	36.9	0,96	7		
80.0000	100.0000	0.3750	42	57	78.1%	3	PL1.5x6.5-18	64.2%							78.1%	100,0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	54.0000	54.0000	0,5676	37.8	0.97	8		
60.0000	80.0000	0.3750	42	57	85.8%	3	PL1.5x6.5-18	68.8%							85.8%	80.0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	60.0000	60.0000	0.5461	38.5	D.98	9		
40.0000	60.0000	0.5000	42	57	81.3%	3	PL1.5x6.5-18	69.6%							81.3%	60.0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	60.0000	60.0000	0.6732	38.7	0.98	10		
20.0000	40.0000	0.6250	42	57	78.1%	3	FL1.5x6.5-18	70.9%							78.1%	40.0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	60.0000	60.0000	0.8004	35.9	0.98	11		
0.0000	20,0000	0.6250	42	57	92.0%	3	PL1,5v6.5-18	83.6%							92.0%	20.0000	20.0000	0.0000	Round	60.0000	60.0000	0.8004	35.9	0.98	12		

APPENDIX C

Tower Elevation Drawing



TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Pirod 16.5' LP Platform	195	APXV18-206517S-C w/ Mount Pipe	175
AIR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	195	APXV18-206517S-C w/ Mount Pipe	175
AIR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	195	APXV18-206517S-C w/ Mount Pipe	175
AIR 33 w/ Mount Pipe	195	MTS 12.5' LP Platform	165
RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe	195	(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/ Mount Pipe	165
RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe	195	(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/ Mount Pipe	165
RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe	195	(3) DB980E (90E-M) w/ Mount Pipe	165
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ mount pipe	195	PiROD 15' Low Profile Platform	155
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ mount pipe	195	(Monopole)	
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ mount pipe	195	(2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount Pipe	155
KRY 112 71	195	(2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount Pipe	155
KRY 112 71	195	(2) HBXX-6516DS w/Mount Pipe	155
KRY 112 71	195	(2) BXA-70063-4CF-EDIN-6 w/ Mount	155
DC4-48-60-8-20F	195	- Pipe	
PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform	185	(2) BXA-70063-4CF-EDIN-6 w/ Mount Pipe	155
7770.00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	185	(2) SLCP2x6014 w/ Mount Pipe	155
7770.00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	185	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L	155
7770.00 w/ 6' Mount Pipe	185	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L	155
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-BET w/ 2" x 54"	185	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L	155
mount pipe	100	(2) DPX 021 Diplexer	155
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ 2" x 54"	185	(2) DPX 021 Diplexer	155
mount pipe		(2) DPX 021 Diplexer	155
AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET w/ Mount	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	120
Ріре		Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	120
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	120
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	100
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	100
(2) LGP21901	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	100
(2) LGP21901	185	Pipe Mount 3'x4.5"	91
(2) LGP21901	185	2' MW	91
(2) RRUS-11	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	80
(2) RRUS-11	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	80
(2) RRUS-11	185	Bridge Stiffener (3.25 sq ft)	80
DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Suppression	185	Pine Mount 3'x4 5"	75
late .		i ibo incuit o k no	1.0

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A53-B-42	42 ksi	63 ksi	38.526976ksi	39 ksi	54 ksi
34.410173ksi	34 ksi	49 ksi	38.686907ksi	39 ksi	54 ksi
36.898421ksi	37 ksi	52 ksi	35.902778ksi	36 ksi	51 ksi
37.826923ksi	38 ksi	53 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

Tower is located in New Haven County, Connecticut.
 Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
 Tower is also designed for a 74 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
 Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.

AXIAL 99 K

TORQUE 3 kip-ft

AXIAL

82 K

TORQUE 4 kip-ft

40 K |

MOMENT

4675 kip-ft

MOMENT

5140 kip-ft

	GPD Group	Job: CT11126F SOUTH	BURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL	
10.	520 South Main Street, Suite 2531	Project: 2014790.88		
	Akron, OH 44311	Client: T-Mobile Towers	Drawn by: tbeltz	App'd:
Consulting Engineers	Phone: (330) 572-2100	Code: TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 08/12/14	Scale: NTS
5 5	FAX: (330) 572-3709	Path: VANEY23 godge.com/DATA220111MTxCT11125E1(7 2014750	55 CT (1125F 1)//Employeenng/Aero Calculations/Waylon PI/SA/CT 11125F 1	Dwg No. E-1



80

APPENDIX D

Flange Plate Analysis



Existing Flange Connection @ 180' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88





Acceptable Stress Ratio = 100.0%





Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened

.



		-
Lower Flange	Plate	
Location =	Internal	
Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
Plate Thickness =	1.25	in
Hole Diameter =	24.25	in
Pole Inner Diameter =	29.25	in
0 =	1.13	in
W =	4.59	in
S =	1.20	in^3
f _b =	8.30	ksi
F _b =	36	ksi
LP Capacity =	23.1%	ок

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



Existing Flange Connection @ 160' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88

Acceptable Stress Ratio

Plate Strength (Fy) =

Plate Thickness

Outer Diameter

Upper Flange Plate

Location =

wcalc

wmax

w

S

f_b :

F_b =

UP Capacity =

100.0%

Externa

36 ksi

1.25 in

36.375 in

13.75 in

21.04 in

13.75 in

3.58 in³

19.20 ksi

53.3% OK

36 ksi





UpperStiffeners Configuration = Every Other Thickness 0.625 in Width = 3 in Notch 0.5 in Height : 5 in Stiffener Strength (F_v) = 36 ksi Weld Info. Known? = Yes Vertical Weld Size : 0.3125 in Horiz. Weld Type = Fillet Fillet Size = 0.3125 in Weld Strength = 70 ksi

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



1	Lower Flange	Plate	
	Location =	Internal	
	Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
	Plate Thickness =	1.25	in
	Hole Diameter =	27.375	in
	Pole Inner Diameter =	35.25	in
	e =	1.13	in
	w =	4.61	in
1	S =	1.20	in^3
1	f _b =	19.43	ksi
	F _b =	36	ksi
	LP Capacity =	54.0%	OK

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



Existing Flange Connection @ 140' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88





Acceptable Stress Ratio = 100.0%





Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



Lower Flange	Plate	
Location =	Internal	
Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
Plate Thickness =	1.25	in
Hole Diameter =	33.375	in
Pole Inner Diameter =	41.25	lin
e =	1.13	in
W =	4.63	lin
S =	1.21	in^3
f _b =	30.11	ksi
F _b =	36	ksi
LP Capacity =	83.6%	OK

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



Existing Flange Connection @ 120' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88



Acceptable Stress Ratio 100.0% Upper Flange Plate Location = External Plate Strength (Fy) = 36 ksi Plate Thickness 1.25 in Outer Diameter 48.375 in wcalc 16.16 in wmax 25.56 in 16.16 in w S = 4.21 in³ f_b : 15.58 ksi F_b = 36 ksi UP Capacity = 43.3% OK



UpperStiffeners

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened

Lower Stiffene	rs	
Configuration =	Every Other	
Thickness =	0.625	in
Width =	2	in
Notch =	0.5	in
Height =	3.5	in
Stiffener Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
		-
Weld Info. Known? =	Yes	
Vertical Weld Size =	0.3125	in
Horiz, Weld Type =	Fillet	
Filiet Size =	0.3125	in
Weld Strength =	70	ksi

	Lower Flange	Plate	
	Location =	Internal	
0	Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
	Plate Thickness =	1.25	in
	Hole Diameter =	39.375	in
	Pole Inner Diameter =	47.25	in
	e =	1.13	in
	W =	4.64	in
	S =	1.21	in^3
	f _b =	16.11	ksi
d	F _b =	36	ksi
	LP Capacity =	44.8%	OK

Stilfeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened

520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311• PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUP Days Puty Streams & Busivery, Jac	GPD GR Engineers • Archite	COUP Project #: ects • Planners Sheet No_1_(2014790.88 Df <u>1</u>	Calculated By: Checked By:	TTB Date: TR Date:	8/12/2014 8/12/2014
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIFFENER CALC	JLATIONS	@ 120'				
Moment from TNX (M) = $Axial from TNX (P) =$	1183.93 kip-ft 17.01 kip	ASIF = 1.33				
Inner Bolt Diameter = Inner Bolt Area (A_{inner}) = Inner Bolt MOI $(I_{o,inner})$ = Number Inner Bolts (N_{inner}) =	1 in 0.79 in ² 0.05 in ⁴ 32	Inner Bolt Circle (BC _{inner}) = Total Area (A _{tot.in}) = Percent Total Area (η_{in}) =	45 in 25.13 in ² 48.2%	Axiai, Inner Bolts (P*11) =	8.20 kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width = Bridge Stiffener Thickness = Bridge Stiffener Unbraced Length = Bridge Stiffener Area $(A_{pl}) =$ Bridge Stiffener MOI $(I_0) =$ Number Bridge Stiffeners (N_{pl})	6.00 in 1.50 in 12.00 in 9.00 in ² 27.00 in ⁴ 3	Connection Bolt Hole Size – Net Bridge Stiffener Area ($A_{e,pl}$) = Bridge Stiffener Circle (BC _{pl}) = Total Area ($A_{tot,pl}$) = Percent Total Area (η_{pl}) =	0 in 9 in 51 in 27.00 in ² 51.8%	Axial, Bridge Stiffener (P*η _p) =	8.81 kips	
				Bridg	e Stiffener Check	
$l_{inner} = 6363.30$ $l_{pl} = 8859.34$ $l_{tot} = 15222.62$ $P_{u.t.inner} = 16.2$ $P_{u.t.pl} = 2111.2$ $P_{u.c.pl} = 217.7$ $P_{u.t.pl} = 217.7$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{split} &\inf_{inner} {}^{*}BC_{inner} {}^{2}/8 + N_{inner} {}^{*}I_{o,inner}) \\ &iBC_{pl} {}^{2}/8 + N_{pl} {}^{*}I_{o,pl}) \\ &\inf_{outer} + I_{pl}) \\ &\inf_{ner} {}^{2}/2 {}^{*}A_{inner} {}^{l}/I_{total} - P {}^{*}\eta_{ir}/N_{inner}) \\ &\frac{1}{2} {}^{*}A_{pl} {}^{l}/I_{total} - P {}^{*}\eta_{pr}/N_{pl}) \\ &\frac{1}{2} {}^{*}A_{pl} {}^{l}/I_{total} + P {}^{*}\eta_{pr}/N_{pl}) \end{split}$		$f_{y} = f_{u} = f_{u} = E = K = K = K I / r = F_{e} = F_{cr} = P_{nc} / \Omega = P \cdot / O = P \cdot / O = F_{cr}$	50 ksi 65 ksi 29000 ksi 0.85 515.82 ksi 48.01 ksi 258.75 kips 269.46 kips	
Bolt Rating = 37.1%	OK			Bridge Stiffener Rating =	62.9% OK	



Existing Flange Connection @ 100' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88

Acceptable Stress Ratio

Plate Strength (Fy) =

Plate Thickness

Outer Diameter :

Upper Flange Plate Location = Exte

wcalc

wmax :

w

S

f_b :

F_b =

UP Capacity =

100.0%

External

36 ksi

54.375 in

17.23 in

25.70 in

17.23 in

4,49 in³

19.60 ksi

54.4% OK

36 ksi

in



	S	UpperStiffene
	Every Other	Configuration =
i	0.625	Thickness =
i	3	Width ==
i	0.5	Notch =
i	5	Height =
ł	36	Stiffener Strength (Fy) =
	Yes	Weld Info. Known? =
1	0.3125	Vertical Weld Size =
	Fillet	Horiz. Weld Type =
i	0.3125	Fillet Size =
ł	70	Weld Strength =

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened



Lower Flange Plate						
Location =	Internal					
Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi				
Plate Thickness =	1.25	in				
Hole Diameter =	45.375	in				
Pole Inner Diameter =	53.25	in				
e =	1.13	in				
W =	4.65	in				
S =	1.21	in^3				
f _b =	20.36	ksi				
F _b =	36	ksi				
LP Capacity =	56.6%	OK				

Stiffeners ineffective - check plate unstiffened

520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311 • PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUP Gave, Fyle, Schweise, Davis & Derlivere, Inc.	GPD G Engineers • Archi	ROUP Project #: tects • Planners Sheet No_1_	2014790.88 Of <u>1</u>	Calculated By: Checked By:	TTB TR	Date: Date:	8/12/2014 8/12/2014
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIFFENER CALCU	JLATIONS	@ 100'					
Moment from TNX (M) = Axial from TNX (P) =	1702.13 kip-ft 22.06 kip	ASIF = 1.33					
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Inner Bolt Diameter} = \\ \mbox{Inner Bolt Area (A_{inner})} = \\ \mbox{Inner Bolt MOI (}_{0,inner}) = \\ \mbox{Number Inner Bolts (N_{inner})} = \end{array}$	1 in 0.79 in ² 0.05 in ⁴ 33	Inner Bolt Circle (BC _{inner}) = Total Area (A _{tot.in}) = Percent Total Area (η _{in}) =	51 in 25.92 in ² 49.0%	Axial, Inner Bolts (P*ŋ _{in}) =	10.80	kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width = Bridge Stiffener Thickness = Bridge Stiffener Unbraced Length = Bridge Stiffener Area $(A_{pl}) =$ Bridge Stiffener MOI $(I_{p}) =$ Number Bridge Stiffeners (N_{pl})	6.00 in 1.50 in 12.00 in 9.00 in ² 27.00 in ⁴ 3	Connection Bolt Hole Size = Net Bridge Stiffener Area (A _{e,p}) Bridge Stiffener Circle (BC _p) = Total Area (A _{tot,p}) = Percent Total Area (η _p) =	0 in 9 in 57 in 27.00 in ² 51.0%	Axial, Bridge Stiffener (P*ŋ _{pl}) =	11.26	kips	
l. = 8428.25	in ⁴ (N. *	а. *BC. ² /8±N. *I.)		Bridg f _y = f. =	e Stiffener (50 65	Check ksi	
$I_{pl} = 11046.38$ $I_{tot} = 19474.63$	in. ⁴ $(N_{pl}*A_p$ in. ⁴ $(I_{inner} +$	$[*BC_{pl}^{2/8} + N_{pl}^{*I} I_{o,pl}]$ $I_{outer} + I_{pl}]$		E = K =	29000 0.85	ksi	
$P_{u,t,inner} = 20.7$ $P_{u,t,pl} = 265.7$ $P_{u,c,pl} = 272.8$	kips (M*(BC kips (M*(BC kips (M*(BC	$\begin{array}{l} _{inner}/2)^*A_{inner}/I_{total}-P^*\eta_{ir}/N_{inner})\\ _{p}/2)^*A_{p})/I_{total}-P^*\eta_{p}/N_{pl})\\ _{p}/2)^*A_{p})/I_{total}+P^*\eta_{p}/N_{pl})\end{array}$		$F_{e} = F_{er} = F_$	23.556 515.82 48.01 258.75	ksi ksi kips	
$P_{nt.bolt} / (\Omega \times ASIF) = \frac{43.91}{80 \text{ lt Rating}} = \frac{47.1\%}{1000}$	kips OK			P _{nt} / Ω = Bridge Stiffener Rating =	269.46 79.1%	kips OK	



Existing Flange Connection @ 80' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88

Acceptable Stress Ratio

Plate Strength (Fy) =

Plate Thickness :

Outer Diameter

Upper Flange Plate

b =

Le =

F_b =

Lower Flange Plate

b

Le =

f_b =

F_h:

Location =

Plate Strength (Fy)

Plate Thickness

Hole Diameter =

LP Capacity =

UP Capacity =

Location =

100.0%

Externa

36 ksi

1.25 in

60.375 in

3.11 in

3.00 in

18.02 ksi

50.0% OK

Interna

36 ksi

1.25 in 51.375 in

3.11 in

2.00 in

21.51 ksi

36 ksi

59.8% OK

36 ksi

UpperStiffeners

Every Bol

0.625 in

0.5 in

36 ksi

Yes

0.3125 in

Fillet

0.3125 in

19.5% OK

Every Bolt

0.625 in

2 in 0.5 in

3.5 in

Yes 0.3125 in

Fillet

0.3125 in

70 ksi

8.19 kips

30.0% kips

49.8% OK

Welds Control

49.8% kips 45.4% kips

36 ksi

70 ksi 12.66 kips 31.3% kips 46.1% kips 49.5% kips

in

in

Configuration =

Thickness

Width =

Notch :

Height :

Stiffener Strength (Fy) =

Weld Info. Known? =

Vertical Weld Size :

Horiz. Weld Type =

Fillet Size =

Weld Strength =

Stiffener Vertical Force

Vert. Weld Capacity = Horiz. Weld Capacity =

Controlling Capacity =

Stiffener Strength (Fy) =

Weld info, Known? -

Vertical Weld Size :

Horiz. Weld Type =

Fillet Size =

Weid Strength =

Stiffener Vertical Force =

Vert. Weld Capacity

Horiz. Weld Capacity = Stiffener Capacity =

Controlling Capacity =

Stiffener Capacity =

Lower Stiffeners

Configuration =

Thickness :

Width

Notch = Height =



520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311 • PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUP Glass Puls, Schemer, Barra & Delferen, Inc.	GPD G Engineers • Arch	ROUP itects • Planners S	Project #: 2 Sheet No <u>1</u> C	2014790.88 Df <u>1</u>	Calculated By: Checked By:	TTB TR	Date: Date:	8/12/2014 8/12/2014
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIFFENER CALC	CULATIONS	@ 80'						
Moment from TNX (M) = $Axial$ from TNX (P) =	2282.62 kip-ft 29.25 kip	ASIF =	1.33					
Inner Bolt Diameter = Inner Bolt Area (A_{inner}) = Inner Bolt MOI ($I_{o,inner}$) = Number Inner Bolts (N_{inner}) =	1 in 0.79 in ² 0.05 in ⁴ 48	Inner Bolt Circle Total Area (A _{tot.in} Percent Total Are	$e(BC_{inner}) =$ $h_{n} =$ $rea(\eta_{in}) =$	57 in 37.70 in ² 58.3%	Axial, Inner Bolts (P*ŋ _{in}) =	17.04	kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width = Bridge Stiffener Thickness = Bridge Stiffener Unbraced Length = Bridge Stiffener Area $(A_{pl}) =$ Bridge Stiffener MOI $(I_o) =$ Number Bridge Stiffeners (N_{pl})	6.00 in 1.50 in 12.00 in 9.00 in ² 27.00 in ⁴ 3	Connection Bolt Net Bridge Stiffe Bridge Stiffener (Total Area (A _{tot.pl} Percent Total Are	t Hole Size = ener Area $(A_{e,pl})$ Circle $(BC_{pl}) =$ $_{l}) =$ ea $(\eta_{pl}) =$	0 in 9 in 63 in 27.00 in ² 41.7%	Axial, Bridge Stiffener (P*η _p) =	12.21	kips	
$I_{inner} = 15312.9$ $I_{pl} = 13476.3$ $I_{tot} = 28789.2$ $P_{ut.inner} = 20$ $P_{ut.pl} = 265$ $P_{u.c.pl} = 273$ $P_{u.c.pl} = 273$	P1 in. ⁴ $(N_{inner} + A_i)^4$ 18 in. ⁴ $(N_{pl} + A_i)^4$ 18 in. ⁴ $(l_{inner} + A_i)^4$ 19 kips $(M^* (BC) + BC)^4$ 17 kips $(M^* (BC) + BC)^4$ 18 kips $(M^* (BC) + BC)^4$	$\begin{split} &A_{inner}^{*}BC_{inner}^{2}/8+N_{il}^{*}BC_{pl}^{2}/8+N_{pl}^{*}I_{0,pl}^{}\\ &I_{outer}^{*}+I_{pl}^{}\\ &I_{outer}^{*}/2)^{*}A_{inner}^{}/I_{total}^{}-p_{r}^{*}\eta_{r}^{}\\ &I_{pl}^{*}/2)^{*}A_{pl}^{}/I_{total}^{}-P^{*}\eta_{r}^{}\\ &P_{pl}^{*}/2)^{*}A_{pl}^{}/I_{total}^{}+P^{*} \end{split}$	l _{inner} *l _{o.inner})) P*η _{in} /N _{inner}) _{pl} /N _{pl}) η _{pl} /N _{pl})		Bridgy $f_y =$ $f_u =$ E = K = K L/r = $F_e =$ $F_{cr} =$ $P_{rc} / \Omega =$ $P_{cr} / \Omega =$	e Stiffener 50 65 29000 0.85 23.556 515.82 48.01 258.75	Check ksi ksi ksi ksi ksi kips	
$P_{ntbolt} / (\Omega X ASIF) = 46.0$ Bolt Rating = 45.5%	OK				$P_{nt} / \Omega =$ Bridge Stiffener Rating =	269.46 79.4%	Kips OK	



Existing Flange Connection @ 60' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88 (FLANGE PLATES ANALYSIS ONLY)



520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311• PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUP, Gran F. Hr. Schoner, Barn B Belterer, Joc	GPD G Engineers • Archi	ROUP tects • Planners S	Project #: 20 iheet No <u>1</u> Of	14790.88 	Ca Cł	alculated By: necked By:	TTB	Date: Date:	8/12/2014 8/12/2014
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIFFENER C	ALCULATIONS	@ 60'							
Moment from TNX (M) = Axial from TNX (P) =	2925.56 kip-ft 37.04 kip	ASIF =	1.33						
Inner Bolt Diameter = Inner Bolt Area (A_{inner}) = Inner Bolt MOI ($I_{o,inner}$) = Number Inner Bolts (N_{inner}) =	1.25 in 1.23 in ² 0.12 in ⁴ 32	Inner Bolt Circle Total Area (A _{tot.ir} Percent Total Ar	$e (BC_{innet}) =$ $h_{n}^{b} =$ rea (η_{in}) =	47 in 39.27 in ² 29.6%	Axial, Inner Bolts	$(P*\eta_{in}) =$	10.9	<mark>7</mark> kips	
Outer Bolt Diameter = Outer Bolt Area (A _{outer}) = Outer Bolt MOI (I _{o,outer}) = Number Outer Bolts (N _{outer}) =	1.25 in 1.23 in ² 0.12 in ⁴ 32	Outer Bolt Circl Total Area (A _{toto} Percent Total Ar	$e (BC_{outer}) =$ ut) = $vea (\eta_{out}) =$	53 in 39.27 in ² 29.6%	Axial, Outer Bolts	(P*ŋ _{out}) =	10.9	<mark>7</mark> kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width = Bridge Stiffener Thickness = Bridge Stiffener Unbraced Length = Bridge Stiffener Area (A_{pl}) = Bridge Stiffener MOI (I_{pl}) = Number Bridge Stiffeners (N_{pl})	6.00 in 1.50 in 9.00 in 27.00 in ⁴ 6	Connection Bolt Net Bridge Stiffe Bridge Stiffener Total Area (A _{tot.p} Percent Total Ar	t Hole Size = 1. ener Area $(A_{e,pl})$ 7. Circle (BC_{pl}) = μ = ea (η_{pl}) =	21875 in 17188 in 63 in 54.00 in ² 40.7%	Axial, Bridge Stiffe	ner (P* n o) =	15.0	9 kips	
$\begin{array}{c} l_{inner} = & 1084\\ l_{outer} = & 1375\\ l_{pl} = & 2695\\ l_{tot} = & 5155\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} P_{ut.tinner} = \\ P_{ut.touter} = \\ P_{ut.cpl} = & 1\\ P_{u.c.pl} = & 1\\ P_{u.c.pl} = & 1\\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} P_{u.c.pl} = & 1\\ P_{u.c.pl} = & 1\\ \end{array}$	7.24 in. ⁴ $(N_{inner}^*, N_{inter}^*, N_{inte$	$\begin{array}{l} & A_{inner} * BC_{inner}^{2}/8 + N \\ & A_{outer} * BC_{outer}^{2}/8 + N \\ & * BC_{pl}^{2}/8 + N_{pl} * I_{o,pl} \\ & I_{outer} + I_{pl} \\ & I_{outer} + I_{pl} \\ & I_{outer} / 2) * A_{outer} / I_{total} - \\ & O_{total} - P^{*}\eta \\ & O_{total} - P^{*}\eta \\ & O_{total} / O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} \\ & O_{total} / O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} \\ & O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} \\ & O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} \\ & O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} - O_{total} \\ & O_{$	linner ^{*1} o.inner) _{outer} *1 _{o.outer}) P*η _{in} /N _{inner}) P*η _{out} /N _{outer}) _p //N _{pl})		Bridy $f_y =$ $f_u =$ E = KL/r = $F_e =$ $F_{er} =$ $P_{nc} / \Omega =$ $P_{nt} / \Omega =$	ge Stiffener Ch 50 65 29000 0.85 58.890 82.53 38.80 209.11 233.09	eck ksi ksi ksi ksi ksi kips		
Bolt Rating = 15.4%	OK			Bridge S	itiffener Rating =	70.1%	OK		



Existing Flange Connection @ 40' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88 (FLANGE PLATES ANALYSIS ONLY)



GPD Flance	Plate	Stress	(Rev F)	- V1.08

UpperStiffene	rs	
Configuration =	Every Bolt	
Thickness =	0.625	in
Width =	7	in
Notch =	0.5	in
Height =	10	in
Stiffener Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
Weld Info. Known? =	No	
Stiffener Vertical Force =	26.69	kips
Vert. Weld Capacity =	Not Verified	kips
Horiz. Weld Capacity =	Not Verified	kips
Stiffener Capacity =	54.8%	kips
Controlling Capacity =	54.8%	OK





Lower Flange Plate

100.0%

Interna

36 ksi

1.25 in

43 in

4.28 in

7.00 in

21.88 ksi

36 ksi

60.8% OK

Upper Flange Plate

b

Le =

fh

UP Capacity =

F_b =

Location =

Plate Strength (Fy) =

Plate Thickness

Hole Diameter

520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311• PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUP Glave, Fult, Schomer, Duris & Dellaven, Inc.	GPD G Engineers • Archit	ROUP Project #: tects • Planners Sheet No1(2014790.88 Df <u>1</u>	Ca Cł	llculated By: necked By:	TTB Date: TR Date:	8/12/2014 8/12/2014
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIFFENER CALCU	JLATIONS	@ 40'					
Moment from TNX (M) = $Axial$ from TNX (P) =	3622.11 kip-ft 46.05 kip	ASIF = 1.33					
Inner Bolt Diameter $=$ Inner Bolt Area (A _{inner}) $=$ Inner Bolt MOI (I _{o.inner}) $=$ Number Inner Bolts (N _{inner}) $=$	1.25 in 1.23 in ² 0.12 in ⁴ 32	Inner Bolt Circle (BC _{inner}) = Total Area (A _{tot.in}) = Percent Total Area (η _{in}) =	47 in 39.27 in ² 29.6%	Axial, Inner Bolts ((P*η _{in}) =	13.64 kips	
Outer Bolt Diameter = Outer Bolt Area $(A_{outer}) =$ Outer Bolt MOI $(I_{o.outer}) =$ Number Outer Bolts $(N_{outer}) =$	1.25 in 1.23 in ² 0.12 in ⁴ 32	Outer Bolt Circle (BC _{outer}) = Total Area (A _{tot.out}) = Percent Total Area (η _{out}) =	53 in 39.27 in ² 29.6%	Axial, Outer Bolts	$(P*\eta_{out}) =$	13.64 kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width – Bridge Stiffener Thickness – Bridge Stiffener Unbraced Length = Bridge Stiffener Area $(A_{pl}) =$ Bridge Stiffener MOI $(l_0) =$ Number Bridge Stiffeners (N _{pl})	6.00 in 1.50 in 30.00 in 9.00 in ² 27.00 in ⁴ 6	Connection Bolt Hole Size – Net Bridge Stiffener Area $(A_{c,pl})$ Bridge Stiffener Circle $(BC_{pl}) =$ Total Area $(A_{tot,pl}) =$ Percent Total Area $(\eta_{nl}) =$	1.18 in 7.23 in 63 in 54.00 in ² 40.7%	Axial, Bridge Stiffe	:ner (P*η _p) =	18.76 kips	
$l_{inner} = 10847.24$ $l_{outer} = 13792.44$	+ in. ⁴ (N _{inner} */	Anner $BC_{inner}^{2/8} + N_{inner}^{*1} = 1_{o.inner}^{o.inner}$ Aouter $BC_{outer}^{2/8} + N_{outer}^{*1} = 1_{o.outer}^{o.inner}$		Bridg f _y =	ge Stiffener Ch 50	leck ksi	
$I_{pl} = 26952.75$ $I_{tot} = 51592.47$	$p_{in.}^{*}$ ($N_{pl}^{*}A_{pl}$ 7 in. ⁴ (I_{inner} +	${}^{*}BC_{pl}/8 + N_{pl}*I_{0,pl}$ $I_{outer} + I_{pl}$ $(2)*4 \qquad)/l = P*p_{el}/N_{el}$		$r_u = E = K = K = K = K = K = K = K = K = K$	65 29000 0.85	ksi	
$P_{u.t.outer} = 25.2$ $P_{u.t.outer} = 27.0$ $P_{u.t.pl} = 235.2$ $P_{u.c.pl} = 242.0$	kips (M*(BC) kips (M*(BC) kips (M*(BC) kips (M*(BC) (M*(BC) (M*(BC)	$\begin{array}{l} & (\operatorname{Ninner}^{\prime\prime}\operatorname{Voilal}^{\prime} = \operatorname{Vin}^{\prime}\operatorname{Voilal}^{\prime} \\ & (\operatorname{Ninner}^{\prime\prime})^{\prime}\operatorname{I_{total}}^{\prime} = \operatorname{P*}_{Nout}^{\ast}\operatorname{Nouter}^{\prime} \\ & (\operatorname{P*}_{Nouter})^{\prime}\operatorname{I_{total}}^{\prime} = \operatorname{P*}_{Np}^{\prime}\operatorname{Npl} \\ & (\operatorname{P*}_{Npl})^{\prime}\operatorname{I_{total}}^{\prime} = \operatorname{P*}_{Npl}^{\ast}\operatorname{Npl} \\ & (\operatorname{P*}_{Npl})^{\prime}\operatorname{Npl} \\ & (\operatorname{P*}_{$		$F_e = F_{cr} = P_{nc} / \Omega =$	82.53 38.80 209.11	ksi ksi kips	
$P_{\text{ntbolt}} / (\Omega \times \text{ASIF}) = \frac{72.15}{80 \text{ lt Rating}} = 37.4\%$	kips OK		Bridge	$P_{nt} / \Omega =$ Stiffener Rating =	234.98 86.8%	kips OK	



Existing Flange Connection @ 20' CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88 (FLANGE PLATES ANALYSIS ONLY)



520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311 • PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GP Char, free, Echandra, Bar		GPE Engineers •	O GROUP Architects • Planners	Project # Sheet No <u>1</u> Of_	: 2014790.88 1	C	alculated By: hecked By:	TTB Date: TR Date:	8/12/201- 8/12/201-
BOLT AND BRIDGE STIF	FFENER CALCUL	ATIONS	@ 20'						
Moment from TNX (M) = Axial from TNX (P) =		4363.15 kip 57.22 kip	ft ASIF –	1.33	C.				
Inner Bolt Diameter -		1.25 in							
Inner Bolt Area (Ainner) =		1.23 in ²	Inner Bolt C	ircle (BC _{inner}) =	47 in				
Inner Bolt MOI (In inner) =		0.12 in ⁴	Total Area (Atotin) ==	39.27 in ²				
Number Inner Bolts (N _{inne}	er) —	32	Percent Tota	Area (η _{in}) =	24.2%	Axial, Inner Bolts	(P*ŋ _{in}) =	13.82 kips	
Outer Bolt Diameter –		1.25 in							
Outer Bolt Area (Annter) =		1.23 in ²	Outer Bolt C	lircle (BC _{outer}) =	53 in				
Outer Bolt MOI (In outer) =		0.12 in ⁴	Total Area (totout) =	39.27 jn ²				
Number Outer Bolts (Nou	ner) —	32	Percent Tota	Area (nout) -	24.2%	Axial, Outer Bolts	$(P^*\eta_{out}) =$	13.82 kips	
		(00 in							
Bridge Stiffener Thickness	E mi	1.50 in	Connection	Bolt Hole Size -	1 21875 in				
Bridge Stiffener Unbracer	length =	30.00 in	Net Bridge S	tiffener Area (A) =	7.17188 in				
Bridge Stiffener Area (A.i)	=	9.00 in ²	Bridge Stiffe	ner Circle (BC) =	60.75 in				
Bridge Stiffener MOI (L)	-	27.00 in ⁴	Total Area ((, , ,) =	54 00 in ²				
Number Bridge Stiffeners	(N _{pl})	6	Percent Tota	Area (npl) =	33.2%	Axial, Bridge Stiffe	ener ($P^*\eta_{pl}$) =	19.01 kips	
Bridge Stiffener Width =		4.00 in	Constitution	n-h U.J. Cim	1 31075 -				
Bridge Stiffener I nickness	5 =	1.25 In	Lonnection	Bolt Hole Size =	1.218/5 in				
Bridge Stiffener Area (A.)	a cengtri =	12.00 III	Deideo Stiffo	nor Circle (RC)	5.47656 in				
Bridge Stiffener MOI (L)	-	5.00 m	Total Area (her circle (bcp) =	30.00 1.2				
Number Bridge Stiffeners	= ; (N _n)	6.07 m	Percent Tota	Area (n _{al}) =	18.5%	Axial, Bridge Stiffe	ener (P*n,) =	10.56 kips	
	р и			e e po					
l _{inner} =	10847.24	in.4 (N _{in}	ner*Ainner*BCinner ² /8	+ Ninner*Io, inner)		Brid	ge Stiffener Ch	neck	
I _{outer} =	13792.48	in.4 (No	nter*Aouter*BCouter ² /8	+ Nouter*Io.outer)		f _y =	50	ksi	
I _{pl} –	25073.30	in. ⁴ (N _n	*A _{pl} *BC _{pl} ² /8+N _{pl} *	l _{o.pl})		f _u =	65	ksi	
I _{pt} =	13822,71	in.4 (Na	*An1*BCn12/8+Nn1*	(lo.ol)		E	29000	ksi	
I _{tot} =	63535.73	in.4 (linn	er + louter + lpl)			К –	0.85		
						KL/r =	58.890		
P _{u.t.inner} =	23.3	kips (M*	(BCinner/2)*Ainner)/It	_{otal} - P*n _{in} /N _{inner})		F _e -	82.53	ksi	
Pu.t.outer =	26.4	kips (M*	(BCouter/2)*Aouter)/It	otal - P*nout/Nouter)		$F_{ct} =$	38.80	ksi	
P _{u.t.pl} =	222.1	kips (M*	(BC _{pl} /2)*A _{pl})/I _{total} -	P*n _{pl} /N _{pl})		$P_{nc} / \Omega =$	209.11	kips	
P _{u.c.pl} -	228.4	kips (M*	(BCpl/2)*Apl)/Itotal +	- P*η _{pl} /N _{pl})		$P_{nt} / \Omega =$	233.09	kips	
P _{u.t.pl} =	123,1	kips (M*	(BCpl/2)*Apl)/Itotal -	P*ŋ _{pi} /N _{pi})	Bridge	Stiffener Rating -	81.9%	OK	
Pu.c.pl =	126.7	kips (M*	(BCpl/2)*Apl)/Itotal +	- P*η _{pl} /N _{pl})					
P _{ntbolt} / (Ω x ASIF) =	72.15	kips							
Bolt Rating -	36.5%	OK							

APPENDIX E

Anchor Rod & Base Plate Analysis



Anchor Rod and Base Plate Stresses CT11126F SOUTHBURY/ I-84 X15/ BAGL 2014790.88



*Above reactions have been adjusted due to consideration of modifications. See attached hand calculations for determination of anchor rod forces used in the analysis below.

Anchor Rods					
Number of Rods =	52	1			
Type =	Bolt				
Rod Ultimate Strength (Fu) =	150	ksi			
ASIF =	1.333				
Rod Circle =	67	in			
Rod Diameter =	1.25	in			
Area =	1.23	in ²			
Max Tension on Rod =	54.16	kips			
Max Compression on Rod =	56.35	kips			
Allow. Rod Force =	80.99	kips			
Anchor Rod Capacity =	66.9%	OK			

Stiffeners		
Configuration ==	Every Rod	
Thickness =	0.625	in
Width =	4.5	in
Notch =	0.5	in
Height =	8	in
Stiffener Strength $(F_v) =$	36	ksi
Weld Info. Known? =	Yes	
Vertical Weld Size =	0.375	in
Horiz. Weld Type =	Fillet	
Fillet Size =	0.375	in
Weld Strength =	70	ksi
Stiffener Vertical Force =	33.15	kips
Vert. Weld Capacity =	39.6%	kips
Horiz. Weld Capacity =	61.6%	kips
Stiffener Capacity =	80.3%	kips
Controlling Capacity =	80.3%	OK

GPD Round Base Plate Stress (Rev F) - V1.07

e		
Base Pla	te	
Location =	External	
Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi
Outside Diameter =	69.75	in
Plate Thickness =	1.25	in
b =	3.42	in
Le =	4.50	in
fb =	31.79	ksi
Fb =	36	ksi
BP Capacity =	88.3%	OK

100.0%

Acceptable Stress Ratio

Pole							
Pole Diameter =	60	in					
Number of Sides =	Round						
Thickness =	0.625	in					
Pole Yield Strength =	42	ksi					

520 South Main Street • Suite 2531 • Akron, Ohio 44311• PHONE 330-572-2100 • FAX 330-572-2101

GPD GROUPs	GPD GROUP	Project #: 2014790.88	Calculated By:	TTB	Date:	8/12/2
GLUG, Fyle, Stitzmer, Burns & DelKnein, Jrc.	Engineers • Architects • Planners	Sheet No1Of1	Checked By:	TR	Date:	8/12/2

MODIFIED ANCHOR ROD CALCULATIONS

_

Moment from RISA (M) =	- 51	40.27 kip-ft	Code	TIA/EIA-222-F			
Axial from RISA (P) = $\frac{1}{2}$		70.20 kip	ASIF =	1.33	1009		
Shear from RISA $(v) =$		29.02 kib	Allowable Stre	SS Ralio =	100 %		
Inner Bolt Diameter =		1.25 in					
Number Inner Bolts (Ninr	ner) =	52	Inner Bolt Circ	le (BC _{inner}) =	67 in		
Inner Bolt Area (Ainner) =		0.97 in ²	Total Area (Ato	_{t.in}) =	50.39 in ²	Axial, Inner Bolts ($P^*\eta_{in}$) =	57.04 kips
Inner Bolt MOI (Ioinner) =		0.12 in ⁴	Percent Total /	Area $(\eta_{in}) =$	81.3%		
Outer Bolt Diameter =		1.25 in					
Number Outer Bolts (Not	uter) =	12	Outer Bolt Cire	cle (BC _{outer}) =	74 in		
Outer Bolt Area (Aouter) =		0.97 in ²	Total Area (A _{to}	t.out) =	11.63 in ²	Axial, Outer Bolts ($P^*\eta_{out}$) =	13.16 kips
Outer Bolt MOI (Io.outer) =	-	0.12 in ⁴	Percent Total /	Area $(\eta_{out}) =$	18.8%		
l _{inner} =	28280.20 in.4	(Ninner*/	inner*BCinner ² /8+	Ninner*Io.inner)			
l _{outer} =	7960.80 in.4	(Nouter*/	vouter*BCouter ² /8+	Nouter*10.outer)			
l _{tot} =	36241.00 in.4	(Iinner +	l _{outer})				
$F_{inner} =$	56.35 kips	(M*(BC	nner/2)*Ainner)/Itota	$+ P^*\eta_{in}/N_{inner}$			
F _{outer} =	62.12 kips	(M*(BC,	outer/2)*Aouter)/Itota	$+ P*\eta_{out}/N_{outer})$			
Rnt.outer / $\Omega =$	68.2 kips	i (1/3 * A	SIF * Fu * Agros	s)			

Modified Anchor Rod Rating % = 91.1% OK

APPENDIX F

Foundation Analysis

Pile Analysis

CT11126F SOUTHBURY/I-84 X15/BAGL

2014790.88

M	5140.27	k-ft		Pile Ultimate Capacities					
Ρ	145.20	k		Existing					
V	39.65	k		Compressio	Compression 150 k				
M tot	5358.345	k-ft		Tension		100 k			
M tot 45	3788.922	k-ft							
d	5.5	ft		Modificatio	n				
h	46	ft		Compressio	Compression 100 k				
Vconc	11638	ft ³		Tension		100 k			
wconc	1745.7	k							
Wequip	75	k	(weight of the	equipment above tl	he pad)				
n existing	24								
n mod	48								
				Total force	on piles				
				Х			45		
	n	x (ft)	y (ft)	Pc (k)	Pt (k)	Mu (k-ft)	Pc (k)	Pt (k)	
Existing	4	0	0	26.26	26.26	0.00	26.26	26.26	
	10	6	6	28.28	24.25	848.30	29.11	23.41	
	10	12	12	30.29	22.23	1817.46	31.96	20.57	
	24								
Mod	2	0	0	26.26	26.26	0.00	26.26	26.26	
	4	3.5	3.5	27.44	25.09	192.06	27.92	24.60	
	4	7	7	28.61	23.91	400.58	29.59	22.94	
	4	10.5	10.5	29.79	22.74	625.54	31.25	21.28	
	4	14	14	30.96	21.56	866.95	32.91	19.62	
	4	17.5	17.5	32.14	20.39	1124.81	34.57	17.95	
	26	21	21	33.31	19.21	9094.32	36.23	16.29	
	48								

Pile Capacities	Pile Capacities				
Existing		Mu			
Compression	40.4%	а			
Tension	52.5%	d			
		Phi f			
Modification					
Compression	66.6%	Capa			
Tension	52.5%				
Tension	52.5%				

Reinforcer	ment Capacity
Mu	19461.03 k-ft
а	4.262575 in
d	60.885 in
Phi Mn	26439.17 k-ft
Capacity	73.6%

				Network	Moderni	zation RFDS	v3.0		·· T ·· Mobile-
Site II	D (CT11126E	-		Latitu	de			
Site N	lame	51111201			Long Site 1	tude vpe Struct	ured- Non Building		
Addre	ess 2	31 Kettleton Ro	d, Southbury		Site C	lass Mono	pole		
Marke	ət (onnecticut			Land	ord I-mor	blie		
C	onfiguration	-			Mark	4 DE	Ap	provals	
7	010				Mark	at Development			
1	U4G				IPEDS	Pavision	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Data 07/14/2014
		1			RFDS	Final			Date 07/14/2014
					Site Info	rmation			
		Existing	g Configuration				Propos	ed Configuration	
	1 GSM	2	3	4	Cabinet #	1 GSM/UMTS/LTE	2	3	4
	\$8000				Cabinet type	6102			
					CBU DUW30				
					DUL20				
					DUG20 DU\$31	1			
	3				RBS6601				
	3				RU22 B4	-			
					RUS01 B2 RUS01 B4	6			
		1			1000104				
			Relocate cabinet	Comments Swap cabinet for Ericss	on 6102				
			Add cabinet						
		X	Swap cabinet Remove cabinet						
			Make cabinet dark						
-			and the second sec		ALPHA - Sc	ope of Work		and the second	and the second second
	Add now mount		Add DDI I	Add I TE 700 passing at	tonno Add oney	dd BBUC on ground Add	amort Piece T		
X	Relocate antenn	a X	Swap existing RRU	Add LTE 700 passive al	itenna, Add coax /	Add RRUS OF ground, Add	Silidit Did5-1		
X	Add antenna Swan antenna		Remove RRU Consolidate coax cables						
\square	Remove antenn	a x	Add coax cables						
H	Add TMA Swap TMA		Add liber cables Add hybrid combiner	6					
	Remove TMA		Add filter combiner						
					BETA - Sco	pe of Work			
x	Add new mount	x	Add RRU	Add LTE 700 passive an	tenna. Add coax.	dd RRUS on ground. Add	smart Bias-T		
x	Add antenna	ia	Remove RRU						
\square	Swap antenna		Consolidate coax cables						
	Add TMA		Add fiber cables						
H	Swap TMA Remove TMA		Add hybrid combiner Add filter combiner						
								-	
					GAMMA - Sc	ope of Work			
	Add new mount		Add RRU	Add LTF 700 passive or	tenna, Add coay	dd RRUS on around Add	smart Bias-T		
^	Relocate antenn	a	Swap existing RRU	nad circ rov passive di	norma, muu ovax. /	aa riiroo on grouna. Add	onan Dias-1		
x	Add antenna Swap antenna	\square	Remove RRU Consolidate coax cables						
	Remove antenna	a X	Add coax cables						
\square	Add TMA Swap TMA		Add fiber cables Add hybrid combiner						
	Remove TMA		Add filter combiner						
						no of Mork			
					DELTA - SCO	ре от могк			
	Add new mount Relocate antenn	<u> </u>	Add RRU Swap existing RPU						
\square	Add antenna	• -	Remove RRU						
\square	Swap antenna Remove antenna	, P	Consolidate coax cables]					
	Add TMA		Add fiber cables						
$\left - \right $	Swap TMA Remove TMA		Add hybrid combiner Add filter combiner						
		hannel							



EXHIBIT C



RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

T-Mobile Existing Facility

Site ID: CT11126F

Southbury Recycling Facility 231 Kettletown Road Southbury, CT 06488

August 21, 2014

Site Compliance	e Summary
Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT
Site total MPE% of	
FCC general public	31.07 %
allowable limit:	



August 21, 2014

T-Mobile USA Attn: Jason Overbey, RF Manager 35 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002

Emissions Analysis for Site: CT11126F - Southbury Recycling Facility

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed T-Mobile facility located at **231 Kettletown Road**, **Southbury**, **CT**, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed T-Mobile Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm2). The number of μ W/cm2 calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

<u>General population/uncontrolled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm2). The general population exposure limit for the 700 MHz Band is 567 μ W/cm2, and the general population exposure limit for the PCS and AWS bands is 1000 μ W/cm2. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



<u>Occupational/controlled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed T-Mobile Wireless antenna facility located at **231 Kettletown Road, Southbury, CT**, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since T-Mobile is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, all calculations were performed assuming a lobe representing the maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was focused at the base of the tower. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 GSM channels (PCS Band 1900 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel
- 2) 2 UMTS channels (AWS Band 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 30 Watts per Channel.
- 3) 2 LTE channels (AWS Band 2100 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 60 Watts per Channel.
- 4) 1 LTE channel (700 MHz Band) was considered for each sector of the proposed installation. This channel has a transmit power of 30 Watts.
- 5) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.



- 6) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications minus 10 dB was used in this direction. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 7) The antennas used in this modeling are the Ericsson RR90_17_02DP for 1900 MHz (PCS) and 2100 MHz (AWS) channels and the Commscope LNX-6515DS-A1M for 700 MHz channels. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. The Ericsson RR90_17_02DP has a maximum gain of 14.4 dBd at its main lobe. The Commscope LNX-6515DS-A1M has a maximum gain of 15.5 dBd at its main lobe. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was used for all calculations. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 8) The antenna mounting height centerlines of the proposed antennas are 195 feet above ground level (AGL) for the Andrew RR90_17_02DP and 193 feet above ground level (AGL) for the Commscope LNX-6515DS-A1M.
- Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits.



T-Mobile Site Inventory and Power Data

Sector:	A	Sector:	В	Sector:	С
Antenna #:	1	Antenna #:	1	Antenna #:	1
Make / Model:	Andrew RR90_17_02DP	Make / Model:	Andrew RR90_17_02DP	Make / Model:	Andrew RR90_17_02DP
Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd	Gain:	14.4 dBd
Height (AGL):	195	Height (AGL):	195	Height (AGL):	195
Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)	Frequency Bands	1900 MHz(PCS) / 2100 MHz (AWS)
Channel Count	6	Channel Count	6	# PCS Channels:	6
Total TX Power:	90	Total TX Power:	90	# AWS Channels:	90
ERP (W):	3,505.81	ERP (W):	3,505.81	ERP (W):	3,505.81
Antenna A1 MPE%	0.67	Antenna B1 MPE%	0.67	Antenna C1 MPE%	0.67
Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2
Make / Model:	Commscope LNX- 6515DS-A1M	Make / Model:	Commscope LNX- 6515DS-A1M	Make / Model:	Commscope LNX- 6515DS-A1M
Gain:	15.5 dBd	Gain:	15.5 dBd	Gain:	15.5 dBd
Height (AGL):	195	Height (AGL):	195	Height (AGL):	195
Frequency Bands	700 Mhz	Frequency Bands	700 Mhz	Frequency Bands	700 Mhz
Channel Count	1	Channel Count	1	Channel Count	1
Total TX Power:	30	Total TX Power:	30	Total TX Power:	30
ERP (W):	470.23	ERP (W):	470.23	ERP (W):	470.23
Antenna A2 MPE%	0.23	Antenna B2 MPE%	0.23	Antenna C2 MPE%	0.23

Site Composite MPE%				
Carrier	MPE%			
T-Mobile	2.70			
AT&T	10.04 %			
Verizon Wireless	13.49 %			
Sprint	4.84 %			
Site Total MPE %:	31.07 %			

T-Mobile Sector 1 Total:	0.90 %	
T-Mobile Sector 2 Total:	0.90 %	
T-Mobile Sector 3 Total:	0.90 %	
Site Total:	31.07 %	

Tel: (781) 273.2500



Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated maximum composite contributions from the T-Mobile facility as well as the site composite emissions value with regards to compliance with FCC's allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions are shown here:

T-Mobile Sector	Power Density Value (%)
Sector 1:	0.90 %
Sector 2:	0.90 %
Sector 3 :	0.90 %
T-Mobile Total:	2.70 %
Site Total:	31.07 %
Site Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 31.07% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.

Scott Heffernan **RF Engineering Director**

EBI Consulting 21 B Street Burlington, MA 01803

Tel: (781) 273.2500

Fax: (781) 273.3311