

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

July 6, 2012

Douglas Talmadge
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
147 Austin Ryer Lane
Branford, CT 06405

RE: **EM-CING-124-120621** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 6 Progress Avenue, Seymour, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Talmadge:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

- Any deviation from the proposed modification as specified in this notice and supporting materials with Council shall render this acknowledgement invalid;
- Any material changes to this modification as proposed shall require the filing of a new notice with the Council;
- Not less than 45 days after completion of construction, the Council shall be notified in writing that construction has been completed;
- The validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter; and
- The applicant may file a request for an extension of time beyond the one year deadline provided that such request is submitted to the Council not less than 60 days prior to the expiration;

The proposed modifications including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated June 15, 2012. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of



uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Handwritten signature of Linda Roberts in cursive, with the initials "LR" written to the right of the name.

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/CDM/cm

c: The Honorable W. Kurt Miller, First Selectman, Town of Seymour
James Baldwin, Sr., Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Seymour



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

June 22, 2012

The Honorable W. Kurt Miller
First Selectman
Town of Seymour
Town Hall
One First Street
Seymour, CT 06483

RE: **EM-CING-124-120621** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 6 Progress Avenue, Seymour, Connecticut.

Dear First Selectman Miller:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal, please call me or inform the Council by July 6, 2012.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/cm

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: James Baldwin, Sr., Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Seymour

EM-CING-124-120621




New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
147 Austin Ryer In
Branford, CT 06405
Phone: (203)-410-4531
Douglas Talmadge
Real Estate Consultant

June 15, 2012

Hand Delivered

Ms. Linda Roberts
Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051



RE: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 6 Progress Ave, Seymour, CT 06483.

Dear Ms. Roberts:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS") and/or Long Term Evolution ("LTE") capabilities, and enhance system performance in the state of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and its attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which affected cell site is located.

UMTS offers services to mobile computer and phone users anywhere in the world. Based on the Global System for Mobile ("GSM") communication standard, UMTS is the planned worldwide standard for mobile users. UMTS, fully implemented, gives computer and phone users high-speed access to the internet as they travel. They have the same capabilities even when they roam, through both terrestrial wireless and satellite transmissions.

LTE is a new high-performance air interface for cellular mobile communications. It is designed to increase the capacity and speed of mobile telephone networks.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modification as defined Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for the R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The height of the overall structure will not be affected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound as all proposed equipment will be located on the existing AT&T concrete pad.
3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by 6 decibels or more.
4. Radio Frequency power density may increase due to the use of one or more GSM channels for UMTS transmissions. Moreover, LTE will utilize additional radio frequencies newly licensed by the FCC for cellular mobile communications. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (203)-410-4531 or email DTalmadge@Transcendwireless.com with questions concerning this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,




Douglas Talmadge
Real Estate Consultant



C Squared Systems, LLC
65 Dartmouth Drive, Unit A3
Auburn, NH 03032
(603) 644-2800
support@csquaredsystems.com

Calculated Radio Frequency Emissions



at&t

CT5633

(AWE - Seymour East)

6 Progress Ave, Seymour, CT 06483

April 27, 2012

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits.....	1
3. RF Exposure Prediction Methods.....	2
4. Calculation Results	3
5. Conclusion	4
6. Statement of Certification.....	4
Attachment A: References	5
Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)	6
Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns.....	8

List of Tables

Table 1: Carrier Information.....	3
Table 2: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)	6

List of Figures

Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE).....	7
---	---

1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to investigate compliance with applicable FCC regulations for the proposed modifications to the existing AT&T antenna arrays mounted on the lattice tower located at 6 Progress Ave in Seymour, CT. The coordinates of the tower are 41-23-30.30 N, 73-3-10.19 W.

AT&T is proposing the following modifications:

- 1) Install three 700 MHz LTE antennas (one per sector);

2. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits

In 1985, the FCC established rules to regulate radio frequency (RF) exposure from FCC licensed antenna facilities. In 1996, the FCC updated these rules, which were further amended in August 1997 by OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01. These new rules include Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for transmitters operating between 300 kHz and 100 GHz. The FCC MPE limits are based upon those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., (IEEE) and adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

The FCC general population/uncontrolled limits set the maximum exposure to which most people may be subjected. General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm^2). The general population exposure limits for the various frequency ranges are defined in the attached "FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)" in Attachment B of this report.

Higher exposure limits are permitted under the occupational/controlled exposure category, but only for persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment and who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure, and they must be able to exercise control over their exposure. General population/uncontrolled limits are five times more stringent than the levels that are acceptable for occupational, or radio frequency trained individuals. Attachment B contains excerpts from OET Bulletin 65 and defines the Maximum Exposure Limit.

Finally, it should be noted that the MPE limits adopted by the FCC for both general population/uncontrolled exposure and for occupational/controlled exposure incorporate a substantial margin of safety and have been established to be well below levels generally accepted as having the potential to cause adverse health effects.

3. RF Exposure Prediction Methods

The emission field calculation results displayed in the following figures were generated using the following formula as outlined in FCC bulletin OET 65:

$$\text{Power Density} = \left(\frac{1.6^2 \times EIRP}{4\pi \times R^2} \right) \times \text{Off Beam Loss}$$

Where:

EIRP = Effective Isotropic Radiated Power

R = Radial Distance = $\sqrt{H^2 + V^2}$

H = Horizontal Distance from antenna in meters

V = Vertical Distance from radiation center of antenna in meters

Ground reflection factor of 1.6

Off Beam Loss is determined by the selected antenna pattern

These calculations assume that the antennas are operating at 100 percent capacity and power, and that all channels are transmitting simultaneously. Obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. The calculations assume even terrain in the area of study and do not take into account actual terrain elevations which could attenuate the signal. As a result, the predicted signal levels reported below are much higher than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.

4. Calculation Results

Table 1 below outlines the power density information for the site. Because the proposed AT&T antennas are directional in nature, the majority of the RF power is focused out towards the horizon. As a result, there will be less RF power directed below the antennas relative to the horizon, and consequently lower power density levels around the base of the tower. Please refer to Attachment C for the vertical pattern of the proposed AT&T antennas. The calculated results for AT&T in Table 1 include a nominal 10 dB off-beam pattern loss to account for the lower relative gain below the antennas.

Carrier	Antenna Height (Feet)	Operating Frequency (MHz)	Number of Trans.	ERP Per Transmitter (Watts)	Power Density (mw/cm ²)	Limit	%MPE
<i>Cingular UMTS</i>	160	880	1	500	0.0070	0.5867	1.20%
<i>Cingular GSM</i>	160	1900	3	611	0.0257	1.0000	2.57%
Mike Gardella	280	1980	12	110	0.0061	1.0000	0.61%
Town	235	155	12	80	0.0063	0.2000	3.13%
Verizon	140	1970	3	362	0.0199	1.0000	1.99%
Verizon	140	869	9	384	0.0634	0.5793	10.94%
Verizon	140	757	1	666	0.0122	0.5047	2.42%
Pocket	150	2130	3	631	0.0303	1.0000	3.03%
VoiceStream	250	1930	8	100	0.0046	1.0000	0.46%
Sprint	170	1962	11	500	0.0684	1.0000	6.84%
AT&T UMTS	160	880	2	565	0.0016	0.5867	0.27%
AT&T UMTS	160	1900	2	1077	0.0030	1.0000	0.30%
AT&T LTE	160	734	1	1313	0.0018	0.4893	0.38%
AT&T GSM	160	880	1	283	0.0004	0.5867	0.07%
AT&T GSM	160	1900	4	646	0.0036	1.0000	0.36%
						Total	30.80%

Table 1: Carrier Information¹²

¹ The existing CSC filing for Cingular should be removed and replaced with the updated AT&T technologies and values provided in Table 1. The power density information for carriers other than AT&T was taken directly from the CSC database dated 3/29/2012. Please note that %MPE values listed are rounded to two decimal points. The total %MPE listed is a summation of each unrounded contribution. Therefore, summing each rounded value may not identically match the total value reflected in the table.

² In the case where antenna models are not uniform across all 3 sectors for the same frequency band, the antenna model with the highest gain was used for the calculations to present a worse-case scenario.

5. Conclusion

The above analysis verifies that emissions from the existing site will be below the maximum power density levels as outlined by the FCC in the OET Bulletin 65 Ed. 97-01. Even when using conservative methods, the cumulative power density from the proposed transmit antennas at the existing facility is well below the limits for the general public. The highest expected percent of Maximum Permissible Exposure at ground level is **30.80% of the FCC limit**.

As noted previously, obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. As a result, the predicted signal levels are more conservative (higher) than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.

6. Statement of Certification

I certify to the best of my knowledge that the statements in this report are true and accurate. The calculations follow guidelines set forth in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3, ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1 and FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01.



Daniel L. Goulet
C Squared Systems, LLC

April 27, 2012

Date

Attachment A: References

OET Bulletin 65 - Edition 97-01 - August 1997 Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology

ANSI C95.1-1982, American National Standard Safety Levels With Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz. IEEE-SA Standards Board

IEEE Std C95.3-1991 (Reaff 1997), IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave. IEEE-SA Standards Board

Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure³

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time E ² , H ² or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f ²)*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500	-	-	f/300	6
1500-100,000	-	-	5	6

(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure⁴

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time E ² , H ² or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f ²)*	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500	-	-	f/1500	30
1500-100,000	-	-	1.0	30

f = frequency in MHz * Plane-wave equivalent power density

Table 2: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

³ Occupational/controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure

⁴ General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure







Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns

<p>700 MHz</p> <p>Manufacturer: KMW Communications Model #: AM-X-CD-16-65-00T Frequency Band: 698-806 MHz Gain: 13.4 dBd Vertical Beamwidth: 12.3° Horizontal Beamwidth: 65° Polarization: Dual Slant ± 45° Size L x W x D: 72.0" x 11.8" x 5.9"</p>	
<p>850 MHz</p> <p>Manufacturer: Kathrein-Scala Model #: 80010121 Frequency Band: 824-896 MHz Gain: 11.5 dBd Vertical Beamwidth: 14.5° Horizontal Beamwidth: 86° Polarization: ±45° Size L x W x D: 54.5" x 10.3" x 5.9"</p>	
<p>1900 MHz</p> <p>Manufacturer: Kathrein-Scala Model #: 80010121 Frequency Band: 1850-1990 MHz Gain: 14.3 dBd Vertical Beamwidth: 6.6° Horizontal Beamwidth: 85° Polarization: ±45° Size L x W x D: 54.5" x 10.3" x 5.9"</p>	

Tower Reanalysis Report

Proposal 185135-1-1

June 5, 2012

U-28 x 280' Tower
Seymour, CT

PiRod Engineering File A-116966

Prepared for
Transcend Wireless
Attn: Chris Bisson
18 Industrial Ave
Mahwah NJ 07430

Authorization Provided by
EMAC Communications LLC
Edward MacConnie
2702 Forest View Lane
Kissimmee FL 34744

This document does not constitute a construction document. All modifications and/or installations of structural members and/or appurtenances shall be completed under the direction of a person qualified to conduct and/or direct the installation procedures in accordance with state, local and national rules.

<http://vo/sites/plymouth/Reanalysis/Report/116/116966 185135-1-1.docx>

Tower Reanalysis Report Proposal 185135-1-1

Model: U-28 x 280' Tower
Site: Seymour, CT
PiRod Engineering File A-116966

Tower Contact Person:

Carol Fehrer
Product Specialist
e-mail: carol.fehrer@valmont.com
telephone extension: 5220

Completed under the Supervision and Approval by
William R. Heiden III, P.E.
Engineering Group Leader
e-mail: William.Heiden@valmont.com
telephone extension: 5243



William R. Heiden III, CT Professional Engineer # 23038

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Description	Page No.
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 ASSUMPTIONS	1
3.0 TOWER HISTORY	2
4.0 CURRENT WIND LOAD REQUIREMENT	2
5.0 ANTENNA LOADING	3
6.0 RESULTS	4
6.1 Tower Modifications	4
6.2 Foundation Modifications.....	4
7.0 LIST OF APPENDICES	4
8.0 DISCLAIMER	5

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This reanalysis was performed by PiRod to determine if the structure is capable of accommodating loading that is different than previous design specifications. This engineering report gives details how the loading changes affect the tower, specifies feasible modifications, and proposes modification materials. **PiRod's engineering study concludes that the tower complies without modifications.** See section 6.0 for details.

2.0 ASSUMPTIONS

This engineering study is based on the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower. This report is being provided by PiRod without the benefit of an inspection by PiRod personnel and is based on information supplied by the customer to PiRod. PiRod has made no independent determination, nor is required to, of the accuracy of the information provided. Therefore, unless specifically informed to the contrary by the customer in writing, PiRod assumes the following:

1. The subsoil characteristics exist as stated on the tower drawing or stated elsewhere in this report;
2. The tower is erected and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's plans and specifications and is plumb;
3. There is no damage, natural or manmade, to the structure, either gradual or sudden;
4. All connections and guy cables are properly installed;
5. The information concerning the components, existing and proposed, is accurate; and
6. There are no modifications to the tower itself, except as may be disclosed elsewhere in this report.

PiRod recommends that qualified personnel assess the physical condition of the tower, preferably under the direction of a licensed professional engineer. Following is a list of the general areas that PiRod recommends to be inspected.

<u>Tower Structure</u>	<u>Guyed Towers</u>	<u>Foundations</u>	<u>Appurtenances</u>
Tower Sections	Guy Cables	Cracking	Antennas
Bolted Connections	Turnbuckles	Drainage	Mounts
Welded Connections	Preforms	Spalling	Transmission Lines
Plumbness	Guy Lugs	Anchor Bolts	Line Brackets
Corrosion	Thimbles	Settling	Cable Hangers
Linearity	Torque Arms	Grounding	Lighting
Galvanization	Ice Clips	Grout	
Paint	Guy Tensions	Subsoil	
	Anchor Rods	Characteristics	
	Shackles	Erosion	
	Insulators		

3.0 TOWER HISTORY

Date of Origination: 4/2000
PiRod Model: U-28 x 280' Tower
Sold to: EMAC Communications

ORIGINAL DESIGN CRITERIA				
Code/Standard	Wind Loading	Radial Ice	Wind Load Reduction Used	Allowable Stress Increase Used
TIA/EIA-222- F	90 mph fastest mile	no	none	yes
TIA/EIA-222-F	90 mph fastest mile	½" solid	25%	yes

For the structural analysis, the tower and foundation are assumed to exist as shown on the enclosed tower drawing, which is PiRod's latest revision.

4.0 CURRENT WIND LOAD REQUIREMENT

The TIA/EIA Standard is currently at version F for New Haven County. We have taken the opportunity to reanalyze this structure using the following wind speed and ice load conditions:

Code/Standard	Wind Loading	Radial Ice	Wind Load Reduction Used ⁽¹⁾	Allowable Stress Increase Used ⁽²⁾
TIA/EIA-222-F	85 mph fastest mile	no	none	yes
TIA/EIA-222-F	85 mph fastest mile	0.5"	25%	yes

(1) The wind load reduction is permitted by the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard section 2.3.16 and most other codes to account for the minimal chance that the maximum wind speed will occur simultaneously with the ice load.

(2) The allowable stress increase is permitted by the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard and most other codes in accordance with the AISC-ASD Manual of Steel Construction.

Note: Some localities stipulate wind load requirements that are different from that required by the TIA/EIA Standard. Please check with your local building department and verify the required wind load.

5.0 ANTENNA LOADING

The tower analysis uses the following antenna loading, which was provided on 5/29.

HEIGHT (FT)	ANTENNAS		ASSUMED CAAC (SQ.FT.)	MOUNTS		LINES		
	#	MODEL		#	MODEL	#	SIZE	BRACKET
Existing Loading								
Top	1	Beacon				1	1"	
	1	Lightning Rod Ext						
280	1	DB420		1	9-arm Halo	2	1-5/8"	Expandable T
	1	DB586-XC						
250	12	RR90-17-02DP		3	15' T-frame	12	1-5/8"	"
				12	2" x 84" Antenna Pipe			
245	1	DB420				1	1-5/8"	"
235	1	DB225-2-F		1	9-arm Halo	1	1-5/8"	"
200	9	DB980H120A-M		3	10' Lt T-frames	9	1-5/8"	"
				9	2" x 60" Antenna Pipe			
190	9	DB980H120A-M		3	10' Lt T-frames	9	1-5/8"	"
				9	2" x 60" Antenna Pipe			
180	9	DB980H120A-M		3	10' Lt T-frames	9	1-5/8"	"
				9	2" x 60" Antenna Pipe			
170	6	DB980F65T2E		3	15' T-frame	6	1-5/8"	"
				9	2" x " Antenna Pipe			
160	6	7770.00		3	15' T-frame	6	1-5/8"	"
	4	LGP 21401 TMA		6	2" x " Antenna Pipe			
	6	7020.00 RET Unit						
150	3	APXV18-206517S0C-ACU				3	1-5/8"	"
140	3	LNx-6514DS-T4M	Verizon	3	12' V-frames	12	1-5/8"	SE leg
	6	DB846F65ZAXY		9	2" x 72" Pipe mounts			Ext. Double T
	3	BXA-185063/12CF						
Proposed Additional Loading								
160	3	KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET		3	Existing T-frames	1	Fiber	
	6	Ericsson RUS11			2"x72" Pipe mounts	2	DC	
	1	Racap DC6-48-60-18-8F						

These antennas, mounts, and lines represent our understanding of the antenna loading required. Please contact us if any discrepancies are evident. If different antennas, mounts, or lines are installed on this structure, this analysis is invalid. If the lines are mounted on PiRod Double-T, Extended Double-T or Expandable Double-T, they are assumed to be mounted inside the tower and the transmission lines are mounted in a back to back configuration. If any of these brackets cannot be placed inside concerning physical fit, alternatively they can be installed outside the tower, but all the brackets need to be swung back as close as possible to one of the tower faces, to minimize the torque.

* An asterisk indicates that we were not provided with a value for the effective projected area (C_{AAC}), and that the area has been assumed based on any information that was made available. The actual effective projected area for each antenna must be confirmed to be equal to the assumed area listed above. If it is determined that the area is different than that stated for any of the above items, this analysis is invalid.

6.0 RESULTS

With the antennas listed in section 5.0, the following modifications are required for the tower to comply with the indicated code and TIA/EIA Standard listed in section 4.0.

6.1 Tower Results - The tower complies without modifications.

- Tower capacity 67.8%

6.2 Foundation Results – The foundation complies without modifications.

The foundation analysis is based on the soil report by AET, Inc., dated 3/31/2000, file #42GT2K.

7.0 LIST OF APPENDICES

Tower elevation drawing

8.0 DISCLAIMER

1. The information and conclusions contained in this Report were determined by the application of the then current "state of the art" engineering and analysis procedures and formulae, and Valmont Structures⁽¹⁾ assumes no obligation to revise any of the information or conclusions contained in this Report in the event such engineering and analysis procedures and formulae are hereafter modified or revised.
2. In no event shall Valmont Structures be liable for any incidental, consequential, indirect, special or punitive damages (including without limitation lost profits) arising out of any claim associated with the use of this report (whether for breach of contract, tort, negligence or other form of action), irrespective of whether Valmont Structures has been advised of the possibility of any such loss or damage. In no event shall Valmont Structures' total, cumulative liability to the customer exceed the amount paid by customer for the preparation of this report.
3. Valmont Structures shall have no liability whatsoever to Customer or to others for any work or services performed by any persons other than Valmont Structures personnel, including but not limited to, any services rendered by riggers, erectors or other subcontractors. Customer acknowledges and agrees that any riggers, erectors or subcontractors retained or employed by Customer shall be solely responsible to Customer for the quality of work performed by them.
4. Valmont Structures makes no warranties, expressed or implied, in connection with this Report as to any other matter whatsoever, and in particular, any and all warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose are hereby expressly disclaimed. Valmont Structures further expressly disclaims any liability arising from material, fabrication, and erection deficiencies. This Report is being provided by Valmont Structures without the benefit of an inspection by Valmont Structures personnel and is based solely on information supplied by the Customer to Valmont Structures. Valmont Structures has made no independent determination, nor is it required to do so, of the accuracy of the information provided by Customer. Therefore, unless specifically informed to the contrary by the Customer in writing, the following assumptions apply to the Report:
 - A. The subsoil characteristics exist as stated on the tower drawing or stated elsewhere in this report;
 - B. The tower is erected and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's plans and specifications and is plumb;
 - C. There is no damage, natural or manmade, to the structure, either gradual or sudden;
 - D. All connections are properly installed;
 - E. The information concerning the components, existing and proposed, is accurate; and
 - F. There are no modifications to the tower itself, except as may be disclosed elsewhere in this report. Examples include but are not limited to replacement or strengthening of bracing members, reinforcing vertical members in any manner, adding additional bracing, or extending tower.
6. All representations and recommendations and conclusions are based upon the information contained and set forth herein. If Customer is aware of any information which is contrary to that which is contained herein, or if Customer is aware of any defects arising from the original design, material, fabrication, and erection deficiencies Customer must disregard this Report and immediately contact Valmont Structures.

⁽¹⁾ Valmont Structures is the Structures Division of Valmont Industries, Inc., and performs engineering services under the engineering corporation name PiRod, Inc.



WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY CT5633 SEYMOUR EAST 6 PROGRESS AVENUE SEYMOUR, CT 06483

GENERAL NOTES


1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2005 INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE AS MODIFIED BY THE 2009 CONNECTICUT SUPPLEMENT, INCLUDING THE TIA/EIA-222 REVISION "F" "STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL ANTENNA TOWERS AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURES," 2005 CONNECTICUT FIRE SAFETY CODE AND 2009 AMENDMENTS, NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE AND LOCAL CODES.
2. THE COMPOUND, TOWER, PRIMARY GROUND RING, ELECTRICAL SERVICE TO THE METER BANK AND TELEPHONE SERVICE TO THE DEMARCATION POINT ARE PROVIDED BY SITE OWNER, AS BUILT FIELD CONDITIONS REGARDING THESE ITEMS SHALL BE CONFIRMED BY THE CONTRACTOR. SHOULD ANY FIELD CONDITIONS PRECLUDE COMPLIANCE WITH THE DRAWINGS, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE ENGINEER AND SHALL NOT PROCEED WITH ANY AFFECTED WORK.
3. CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW ALL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENT SET. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL WORK SHOWN IN THE SET OF DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE SET OF DRAWINGS TO ALL SUBCONTRACTORS AND ALL RELATED PARTIES. THE SUBCONTRACTORS SHALL EXAMINE ALL THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE INFORMATION THAT AFFECTS THEIR WORK.
4. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE BUILD-OUT WITH ALL FINISHES, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, AND ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS AND PROVIDE ALL ITEMS AS SHOWN OR INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS OR IN THE WRITTEN SPECIFICATIONS.
5. CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH ALL MATERIAL, LABOR AND EQUIPMENT TO COMPLETE THE WORK AND FURNISH A COMPLETED JOB ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE GOVERNING AUTHORITIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES HAVING LAWFUL JURISDICTION OVER THE WORK.
6. CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE AND PAY FOR ALL PERMITS AND ALL INSPECTIONS REQUIRED AND SHALL ALSO PAY FEES REQUIRED FOR THE GENERAL CONSTRUCTION, PLUMBING, ELECTRICAL AND HVAC. PERMITS SHALL BE PAID FOR BY THE RESPECTIVE SUBCONTRACTORS.
7. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A CURRENT SET OF DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS ON SITE AT ALL TIMES AND INSURE DISTRIBUTION OF NEW DRAWINGS TO SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RELEVANT PARTIES AS SOON AS THEY ARE MADE AVAILABLE. ALL OLD DRAWINGS SHALL BE MARKED VOID AND REMOVED FROM THE CONTRACT AREA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AN "AS-BUILT" SET OF DRAWINGS TO OWNER UPON COMPLETION OF PROJECT.
8. LOCATION OF EQUIPMENT, AND WORK SUPPLIED BY OTHERS THAT IS DIAGRAMMATICALLY INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE LOCATIONS AND DIMENSIONS SUBJECT TO STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS AND WORK OF THE SUBCONTRACTORS.
9. THE CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE TO DETERMINE CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURE AND SEQUENCE, AND TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES AND ITS COMPONENT PARTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. THIS INCLUDES THE ADDITION OF WHATEVER SHORING, BRACING, UNDERPINNING, ETC. THAT MAY BE NECESSARY. MAINTAIN EXISTING BUILDING'S/PROPERTY'S OPERATIONS, COORDINATE WORK WITH BUILDING/PROPERTY OWNER.
10. DRAWINGS INDICATE THE MINIMUM STANDARDS, BUT IF ANY WORK SHOULD BE INDICATED TO BE SUBSTANDARD TO ANY ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES, OR REGULATIONS BEARING ON THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INCLUDE IN HIS WORK AND SHALL EXECUTE THE WORK CORRECTLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUCH ORDINANCES, LAWS, CODES, RULES OR REGULATIONS WITH NO INCREASE IN COSTS.
11. ALL UTILITY WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL UTILITY COMPANY REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
12. ALL EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS PURCHASED ARE TO BE REVIEWED BY CONTRACTOR AND ALL APPLICABLE SUBCONTRACTORS FOR ANY CONDITION PER MFR.'S RECOMMENDATIONS. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY THESE ITEMS AT NO COST TO OWNER OR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER.
13. ANY AND ALL ERRORS, DISCREPANCIES, AND "MISSED" ITEMS ARE TO BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE AT&T CONSTRUCTION MANAGER DURING THE BIDDING PROCESS BY THE CONTRACTOR. ALL THESE ITEMS ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE BID. NO "EXTRA" WILL BE ALLOWED FOR MISSED ITEMS.
14. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL ON-SITE SAFETY FROM THE TIME THE JOB IS AWARDED UNTIL ALL WORK IS COMPLETE AND ACCEPTED BY THE OWNER.
15. CONTRACTOR TO REVIEW ALL SHOP DRAWINGS AND SUBMIT COPY TO ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL. DRAWINGS MUST BEAR THE CHECKER'S INITIALS BEFORE SUBMITTING TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER FOR REVIEW.
16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, ANGLES, AND EXISTING CONDITIONS AT THE SITE, PRIOR TO FABRICATION AND/OR INSTALLATION OF ANY WORK IN THE CONTRACT AREA.
17. COORDINATION, LAYOUT, FURNISHING AND INSTALLATION OF CONDUIT AND ALL APPURTENANCES REQUIRED FOR PROPER INSTALLATION OF ELECTRICAL AND TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICE SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
18. ALL EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTS PURCHASED ARE TO BE REVIEWED BY CONTRACTOR AND ALL APPLICABLE SUB-CONTRACTORS FOR ANY CONDITION PER THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS. CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY THESE ITEMS AT NO COST TO OWNER OR CONSTRUCTION MANAGER.
19. ALL DAMAGE CAUSED TO ANY EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE THE SOLE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE HELD LIABLE FOR ALL REPAIRS REQUIRED FOR EXISTING STRUCTURES IF DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.
20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT "CALL BEFORE YOU DIG" AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATIONS AT 1-800-922-4455. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE IDENTIFIED AND CLEARLY MARKED PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION WORK. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN AND PROTECT MARKED UTILITIES THROUGHOUT PROJECT COMPLETION.
21. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH OWNERS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER ON ALL METHODS AND PROVISIONS FOR ALL EXCAVATION ACTIVITIES INCLUDING SOIL DISPOSAL. ALL BACKFILL MATERIALS TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

SITE DIRECTIONS

FROM:	TO:
500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE ROCKY HILL, CONNECTICUT	6 PROGRESS AVENUE SEYMOUR, CT 06483
1. Take ramp left for I-91 South	9.1 mi
2. At exit 18, take ramp right for I-691 West toward Meriden / Waterbury	7.9 mi
3. At exit 1, take ramp left for I-84 West toward Waterbury / Danbury	8.6 mi
4. At exit 19, take ramp left for CT-8 South toward Bridgeport / Naugatuck	11.2 mi
5. At exit 22, take ramp right for CT-67 toward Seymour / Bank St	0.2 mi
6. Turn left onto CT-67 / New Haven Rd	1.3 mi
7. Turn right onto Cogwheel Ln	0.5 mi
8. Turn right onto Progress Ave	375 ft
Arrive at 6 Progress Ave, Seymour, CT 06483	

VICINITY MAP

SCALE: 1" = 1000'



PROJECT SUMMARY

1. THE PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK GENERALLY CONSISTS OF THE INSTALLATION OF ONE (1) LTE ANTENNA PER SECTOR FOR A TOTAL OF (3) LTE ANTENNAS TO THE EXISTING AT&T ANTENNA ARRAY. AN LTE RADIO EQUIPMENT CABINET AND REPLACEMENT POWER CABINET WILL BE INSTALLED ON THE EXISTING CONCRETE EQUIPMENT PAD.
2. ADDITIONALLY, (2) REMOTE RADIO UNITS (RRUs) PER SECTOR WILL BE INSTALLED. SURGE ARRESTORS WILL BE INSTALLED AT BOTH AT&T RRU AND EQUIPMENT LOCATIONS. REFER TO THESE ACCOMPANYING DRAWINGS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

PROJECT INFORMATION

AT&T SITE NUMBER: CT5633
 AT&T SITE NAME: SEYMOUR EAST
 SITE ADDRESS: 6 PROGRESS AVENUE
 SEYMOUR, CT 06483
 LESSEE/APPLICANT: AT&T MOBILITY
 500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A
 ROCKY HILL, CT 06067
 ENGINEER: CENTEK ENGINEERING, INC.
 63-2 NORTH BRANFORD RD.
 BRANFORD, CT. 06405
 PROJECT COORDINATES: LATITUDE: 41°-23'-30"N
 LONGITUDE: 73°-03'-12"W
 GROUND ELEVATION: ±480'AMSL

SHEET INDEX

SHT. NO.	DESCRIPTION	REV.
T-1	TITLE SHEET	1
N-1	NOTES AND SPECIFICATIONS	1
C-1	PLANS AND DETAIL	1
C-2	LTE EQUIPMENT DETAILS	1
E-1	ELECTRICAL DETAILS AND NOTES	1
E-2	ELECTRICAL DETAILS	1

DESIGNED BY:	DEB
DRAWN BY:	FLO
CHK'D BY:	CFC

REV.	DATE	DRAWN BY	CHK'D BY
0	6/19/12	DEB	DEB
1	05/30/12	DND	DEB



CENTEK engineering
 Centered on Solutions™
 (203) 488-0580
 (203) 488-6587 Fax
 63-2 North Branford Road
 Branford, CT 06405

www.CentekEng.com

AT&T MOBILITY
 WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LTE UPGRADE
 CT5633
 SEYMOUR EAST
 6 PROGRESS AVENUE
 SEYMOUR, CT 06483


DATE: 05/30/12
 SCALE: AS NOTED
 JOB NO. 11118.C058

TITLE SHEET

T-1

Sheet No. 1 of 6

±280' TALL SELF-SUPPORTING LATTICE TOWER





TOWER STRUCTURAL NOTES:

- PASSING TOWER STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS SIGNED AND SEALED BY A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER LICENSED IN THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT TO BE PROVIDED PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE ADDITIONAL TOWER LOADING DEPICTED HEREIN.
- WIND SPEED: 85 MPH FASTEST MILE (EQUIVALENT TO 105 MPH 3 SECOND GUST) PER APPENDIX K OF THE CSBC.
- REFERENCE STANDARD: EIA/TIA-222-F AS SPECIFIED BY SECTION 3108.4 OF THE CSBC.


NOTE:
EXISTING NON-WIRELESS CARRIER ANTENNAS NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY.

NOTE:
UPON COMPLETION OF THE EMERSON POWER CABINET INSTALLATION, EXISTING NOKIA NUSS CABINET TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH PURCELL CABINETS. COORDINATE WITH AT&T.

2 NORTH ELEVATION
SCALE: 1" = 15'-0"



1 PARTIAL COMPOUND PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 5'-0"



DESIGNED BY: DEB
DRAWN BY: FLO
CHK'D BY: CFC

DATE	REV.	BY	CHK'D BY
05/30/12	0	FLO	CFC
06/19/12	1	DEB	DEB
06/19/12	1	DEB	DEB
06/19/12	1	DEB	DEB



CENTEK engineering
Centered on Solutions™

(203) 488-0580
(203) 488-8587 Fax
63-2 North Branford Road
Branford, CT 06405

www.CentekEng.com


AT&T MOBILITY
WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LITE UPGRADE
CT5633
SEYMOUR EAST
8 PROGRESS AVENUE
SEYMOUR, CT 06483

DATE: 05/30/12
SCALE: AS NOTED
JOB NO. 11118.C058

PLANS AND ELEVATION

C-1


Sheet No. 3 of 6



SURGE ARRESTOR				
SITE TYPE	ARRESTOR MAKE/MODEL	QTY REQUIRED	ARRESTOR LOCATION	WEIGHT
TOWER	MAKE: RAYCAP (SQUID) MODEL: DC6-48-60-18-8F	(1) PER SITE	TOWER, ADJACENT TO AT&T ANTENNAS AND RRU's.	20 LBS. (WITHOUT MOUNT)

NOTES:
 1. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE FINAL SURGE ARRESTOR MODEL SELECTION(S) WITH AT&T CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PRIOR TO ORDERING.
 2. CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL ARRESTOR IN CONFORMANCE WITH MANUFACTURERS RECOMMENDATIONS.


5 SURGE ARRESTOR DETAIL
 C-2 NOT TO SCALE



RRU (REMOTE RADIO UNIT)			
EQUIPMENT	DIMENSIONS	WEIGHT	CLEARANCES
MAKE: ERICSSON MODEL: RRU 11	17.8"L x 17.3"W x 7.2"D	BAND 4: 44 LBS. BAND 12: 50 LBS.	ABOVE: 16" MIN. BELOW: 12" MIN. SIDE: 0" MIN.


NOTES:
 1. CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE FINAL EQUIPMENT MODEL SELECTION WITH AT&T CONSTRUCTION MANAGER PRIOR TO ORDERING.

6 RRU DETAIL
 C-2 NOT TO SCALE




2 EXISTING ANTENNA SECTOR ELEVATION
 C-2 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

- NOTES:
1. ROTATE EXISTING GSM/UMTS ANTENNAS & RECONFIGURE EXISTING T-ARM ANTENNA MOUNTS AS REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE PROPOSED LTE AZIMUTHS.
 2. PROVIDE MOUNTING PIPES, CROSSOVERS & ASSOCIATED HARDWARE TO COMPLETE THE PROPOSED UPGRADE. REPLACE EXISTING COMPONENTS AS REQUIRED.
 3. REFER TO STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS AND FINAL AT&T RFDS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF ANTENNAS AND COAX.




4 PROPOSED ANTENNA SECTOR ELEVATION
 C-2 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



1 EXISTING ANTENNA PLAN
 C-2 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

APPROX. NORTH



3 PROPOSED ANTENNA PLAN
 C-2 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

APPROX. NORTH

DESIGNED BY: DEB
 DRAWN BY: FLO
 CHK'D BY: CFC

REV.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION
6/19/12	DEB	CFC	CONSTRUCTION - CLIENT REVIEW
05/30/12	DMD	DEB	CONSTRUCTION - CLIENT REVIEW

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER SEAL
 CARL J. CONNOR
 LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER
 STATE OF CONNECTICUT
 LICENSE NO. 16094

at&t
 NEXLINK
 CENTEK engineering
 Centered on Solutions™
 (203) 488-0590
 (203) 488-8587 Fax
 63-2 North Branford Road
 Branford, CT 06405
 www.CentekEng.com

AT&T MOBILITY
 WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LTE UPGRADE
 CT5633
 SEYMOUR EAST
 6 PROGRESS AVENUE
 SEYMOUR, CT 06483

DATE: 05/30/12
 SCALE: AS NOTED
 JOB NO. 11118.C058

LTE EQUIPMENT DETAILS

C-2
 Sheet No. 1 of 6

