

September 19 2014

Melanie A. Bachman Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Street New Britain, CT 06051

Regarding:

Notice of Exempt Modification - Addition of 3 radio heads previously

approved

Property Address:

154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, CT (the "Property")

Applicant:

New Cingular Wireless PC, LLC ("AT&T")

Dear Ms. Bachman:

AT&T currently maintains a wireless telecommunications facility on an existing 175 foot Monopole ("tower") location on the Property. AT&T's facility consist of nine (9) wireless telecommunications antenna at 134 feet. The tower is owned by SBA Towers, Inc.. The Council approved the previous application on November 16th 2012 reference number EM-CING-116-121031. This application (attached) granted AT&T the use of 6 radio heads at this location. The approval expired one year from the issue date. During that time AT&T made the changes to the site per the approval but only installed three(3) of the six (6) radio heads that they received approval. AT&T would now like to install the additional three(3) radio heads that were originally approved under EM-CING-116-121031.

Please accept this application as notification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A.§ 16-50j-72 (b)(2). In accordance with R.C.S.A.§ 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to the Planning Chairmen for the Town of Putnam. A copy of this letter is also being sent to SBA Towers, Inc, the owner of the structure that AT&T is located.

The planned modifications to AT&T's facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. $\S$  16-50j-72 (b)(2).

- 1. The planned modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the existing structure. AT&T's additional, previously approved 3 radio heads will be installed at 134 foot level of the 175 foot monopole.
- 2. The proposed modifications will not involve any changes to ground-mounted equipment and, therefore will not require an extension of the site boundary
- 3. The proposed modification will not increase the noise level at the facility by six decibel or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.
- 4. The operation of the modified facility will not increase radio frequency (RF) emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) safety standard. An RF emissions calculation (attached) for AT&T's modified facility was provided in the application which led to the November 16th 2012 Decision.

- 5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.
- 6. The tower and its foundation can support AT&T's proposed modifications (please see attached structural analysis completed by FDH Engineering on October 23rd 2012

For the foregoing reasons AT&T respectfully request that the proposed addition of 3 radio heads previously approved be allowed within the exempt modifications under R.C.S.A.§ 16-50j-72 (b)(2).

Sincerely,

David P. Cooper

Director of Site Acquisition

Dand & Cogner

**Empire Telecom** 

CC: Planning Chairmen for the Town of Putnam, SBA Towers, Inc. CT1110 file





# CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

November 16, 2012

Peter LaMontagne New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC 95 Ryan Drive, Suite #1 Raynham, MA 02767

RE: EM-CING-116-121031 - New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. LaMontagne:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

• The coax lines and accessory equipment shall be installed in accordance with the recommendations made in the Structural Analysis Report prepared by FDH Engineering dated October 23, 2012 and stamped by Christopher Murphy; and

• Not more than 45 days following completion of the antenna installation, a signed letter from a Professional Engineer duly licensed in the State of Connecticut shall be submitted to the Council to certify that the recommended modifications have been completed and the tower does not exceed 100 percent of the post-construction structural rating.

• Any deviation from the proposed modification as specified in this notice and supporting materials with Council shall render this acknowledgement invalid;

• Any material changes to this modification as proposed shall require the filing of a new notice with the Council;

Not less than 45 days after completion of construction, the Council shall be notified in writing that construction has been completed;

• The validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter; and

• The applicant may file a request for an extension of time beyond the one year deadline provided that such request is submitted to the Council not less than 60 days prior to the expiration;

The proposed modifications including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated October 25, 2012. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency





# STATE OF CONNECTICUT

# CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

October 31, 2012

The Honorable Richard "Pete" Place Mayor Town of Putnam 126 Church Street Putnam, CT 06260

RE: EM-CING-116-121031 - New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, Connecticut.

Dear Mayor Place:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received a request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72. A copy of which has already been provided to you.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the proposal, please call me or inform the Council by November 14, 2012.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR/cm

c: Gerard Cotnoir, Planning Chairman, Town of Putnam





FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012

# Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

175' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Putnam SBA Site ID: CT00680-S AT&T Site ID: CT1110

FDH Project Number 12-01602E S3 (R1)

Analysis Results

	/ litary or or the same	
Towar Components	97.1%	Sufficient
Tower Components		Cufficient
Faundation	83.3%	Sufficient
Foundation	001070	

Prepared By:

Loga Perto

Logan Poe, El Project Engineer Reviewed By:

Christopher M. Murphy

Christopher M Murphy, PE President CT PE License No. 25842

FDH Engineering, Inc. 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 (919) 755-1012 info@fdh-inc.com



October 23, 2012

Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures and 2005 Connecticut Building Code

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Conclusions	
Recommendations	
APPIIRTENANCE LISTING	
RESULTS	5
GENERAL COMMENTS	
LIMITATIONS	6
ADDENDIY	7

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Putnam, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F and 2005 Connecticut Building Code (CBC). Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, geotechnical data, and member sizes was obtained from:

	Fred A. Nudd Corporation (Drawing No. 98-6220-1) original design drawings dated November 12, 1998
	Fred A Nudd Corporation (Drawing No. 98-6220-2) Foundation Details dated November 12, 1998
	Jaworski Geotech, Inc. (Project No. C98291G) Geotechnical Evaluation dated August 4, 1998
П	Vertical Structures, Inc. (Job No. 2008-007-034) Structural Analysis Report dated November 21, 2008
П	o2wireless Solutions (Joh No. 2230-019) Monopole Tower Rework Construction Drawings dated May 30, 2002
	o2wireless Solutions (Job No. 2230-019B) Monopole Tower Structural Analysis Report dated May 28, 2002
	FDH Engineering, Inc. (Job No. 12-004253T C1) TIA Inspection Report dated July 27, 2012
	FDH Engineering Inc. (Job No. 12-01602E S2) Modification Drawings dated April 30, 2012
	FDH Engineering, Inc. (Job No. 12-04253TC1) Modification Inspection Report dated July 24, 2012
<b>.</b>	SBA Network Services, Inc.

The basic design wind speed per the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CBC is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 1" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

### Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from New Cingular in place at 134 ft, the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and 2005 CBC provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Futhermore, provided the foundations were constructed per the original design drawings (see Fred A. Nudd Corporation Drawing No. 98-6220-2) and utilizing the existing soil parameters (see Jaworski Geotech, Inc. Project No. C98291G), the foundations should have the necessary capacity to support the existing and proposed loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

### Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and 2005 CBC are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

- 1. The proposed coax should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
- 2. RRU/RRH Stipulation: The equipment may be installed in any arrangement as determined by the client.
- 3. The existing TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

# APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.

# Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

## **Existing Loading:**

Antenna Elevation	Description	Coax and Lines!	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	- Mount Type.
191.5	(6) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe (6) Allen Telecom FE15501P77/75 MHAs	(12) 1 5/8	T-Mobile	175	(3) 24' x 4.5" Pipe Mounts
	(6) Decibel DB980H90 w/ Mount Pipe	(6) 1 5/8	Sprint	175	(1) 14.5' Low Profile Platform
179	(6) Decider DB900H90 W/ Wount Pipe	(9) 1 5/8	Nextel	160	(1) 14.5' Low Profile Platform
162	(9) Allgon ALP 9212 w/ Mount Pipe (3) Antel BXA-70063/6CF w/ Mount Pipe (6) Antel LPA-80080/4CF w/ Mount Pipe (3) Antel BXA-171085-12BF w/ Mount Pipe (6) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers	(12) 1 5/8	Verizon	147	(1) 14.5' Low Profile Platform
137.5	(6) Powerwave 7770 w/ Mount Pipe (6) Ppowerwave LGP21401 TMAs (6) Powerwave LGP21901 Diplexers	(12) 1 5/8	AT&T	135	(1) 14.5' Low Profile Platform

Coax installed inside the pole's shaft unless otherwise noted.

# Proposed Loading:

Antenna Elevation	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
134	(6) Powerwave 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (3) KMW AM-X-CD-17-65-00T w/ Mount Pipe (1) Nokia CS72188.01 (6) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs	(12) 1-5/8" (2) 3/4" DC Power	AT&T	135	(1) 14.5' Low Profile Platform
134	(6) Powerwave LGP21901 Diplexers  (6) Ericsson RRUS 11 RRUs  (1) Raycap DC2-48-60-18-8F Surge Arrestor	(1) 7/16" Fiber (1) 1/2" RET		133	(1) Valmont Universal Ring Mount

### **RESULTS**

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type : '-:	: Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	56 ksi & 65 ksi
Base Plate	50 ksi
	Fu = 125 ksi
Anchor Bolts	

**Table 3** displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 105% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the Appendix for detailed modeling information

Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section	Elevation	Component	Size	% Capacity*	Pass Fail
No.	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	Type	TP27.625x18x0.25	36.8	Pass
L1	175 - 150	Pole	TP31.425x25.2x0.25	92.8	Pass
L2	150 - 125	Pole	TP33.5x31.425x0.3125	80.4	Pass
L3	125 - 115	Pole	TP39.3819x31.8375x0.3125	97.0	Pass
L4	115 - 80	Pole (w/Modifications)	TP41.0625x39.3819x0.375	81.0	Pass
L5	80 - 70	Pole (w/Modifications)	TP46.8125x39.4722x0.375	95.4	Pass
L6	70 - 35	Pole (w/Modifications)	TP52.3x44.9615x0.4375	97.1	Pass
L7	35 - 0	Pole (w/Modifications)  Anchor Bolts	(18) 2"ø and (18) 1.25" w/BC=61"	91.3	Pass
		Base Plate	67"ø PL. x 1.5" Thk. w/stiffeners	34.1	Pass

<sup>\*</sup>Capacities include 1/3 allowable stress increase for wind per TIA/EIA-222-F standards.

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Table 4 - Waxiii dii base Reasions	
	Current Analysis
Base Reactions	(TIA/EIA-222-F)
	54 k
Axial	43 k
Shear	5,088 k-ft
Moment	

<sup>\*</sup> Foundation determined to be adequate per independent analysis.

# **GENERAL COMMENTS**

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

### LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

# **APPENDIX**

			w.	ĸ	4	е	2		-		
Section	7				0000	10.00	30.00		25.00		
10, 41,	41 00	,	40.00	10.00	40.00	200					
Lengin (ii)			45	12	12	12	12		12		
Number of Sides	12	3 ° 3	71	2 2 2 2	0.3125	0.3125	0.2500		0.2500		
Thickness (in)	0.4375		0.3750	0.3/30		5.00			5.00		
Socket Length (ft)			6,00	000		CLC, TC	25 2000		18.0000		
(a) 470	44 9615		39.4722	39.3819	31.8375	31.4250	20.2.02			21	
Top Dia (m)			LOTOGO	41.0625	39.3819	33.5000	31.4250		27.6250		
Bot Dia (in)	52.3000		46.8125	1.020							
	A36M-56				A572-65						
Grade					4.8	7	2.3		1.5		
Weight (K) 27.9	9.5		7.0	1.0	P.						
		35		80.0 70.0		115.0	125.01	150.0 ft		175.0 ft	
	D.O. ft	η O,				ft.	1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1				Control of the Contro
										OMETIC TO THE	

### DESIGNED APPLIETENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
ightning Rod	175	BXA-171085-12BF w/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147
2) RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe T-Mobile)	175	BXA-171085-12BF w/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147
2) RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe T-Mobile)	175	BXA-171085-12BF w/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147
2) RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe T-Mobile)	175	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer (Verizon)	147
2) Allen Telecom FE15501P77/75 MHAs (T-Mobile)	175	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer (Verizon)	147
2) Allen Telecom FE15501P77/75 MHAs (T-Mobile)	175	(2) FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexer (Verizon)	147
2) Allen Telecom FE15501P77/75 MHAs (T-Mobile)	175	Low Profile Platform (Verizon)	147
24" x 4.5" Pipe Mount (T-Mobile)	175	(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
24" x 4.5" Pipe Mount (T-Mobile)	175	(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
24" x 4.5" Pipe Mount (T-Mobile)	175	(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
(2) Decibel DB980H90 w/ Mount Pipe (Sprint)	175	AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
(2) Decibel DB980H90 w/ Mount Pipe (Sprint)	175	AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
(2) Decibel DB980H90 w/ Mount Pipe (Sprint)	175	AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe (ATI)	135
Low Profile Platform (Sprint)	175	CS72188.01 LMU (ATI)	135
(3) Allgon ALP 9212 w/ Mount Pipe	160	(2) LGP21401 TMA (ATI)	135
(Nextel)	0	(2) LGP21401 TMA (ATI)	135
(3) Aligon ALP 9212 w/ Mount Pipe	160	(2) LGP21401 TMA (ATI)	135
(Nextel)		(2) RRUS 11 (ATI)	135
(3) Allgon ALP 9212 w/ Mount Pipe	160	(2) RRUS 11 (ATI)	135
(Nextel)		(2) RRUS 11 (ATI)	135
Low Profile Platform (Nextel)	160	(2) Powerwave LGP21901 Diplexer	135
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147	(ATI) (2) Powerwave LGP21901 Diplexer	135
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147	(ATI) (2) Powerwave LGP21901 Diplexer	135
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147	(ATI) Raycap DC2-48-60-18-8F Surge	135
(2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147	Arrestor (ATI) Empty Pipe Mount (ATI)	135
(2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe	147	Low Profile Platform (ATL)	135
(Verizon) (2) LPA-80080/4CF W/Mount Pipe (Verizon)	147	Universal Ring Mount (ATI)	133


## MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fv	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu	
		DO Ivei	A36M-56	56 ksi	65 ksi	
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi	Addistrict			


# TOWER DESIGN NOTES

 Tower is located in Windham County, Connecticut.
 Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
 Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 1.00 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in this basic with basic tile. in thickness with height.

4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.



 $\bigcirc$ 



### EM-CING-116-121031



lew Cingular Wireless CS, LLC

00 Enterprise Drive ocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

reter LaMontagne

Real Estate Consultant 95 Ryan Drive, Suite #1 Raynham, MA 02767 Phone: (508)341-7854 plamontagne@clinellc.com

October 25, 2012

Honorable Robert Stein, Chairman, and Members of the Connecticut Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051



CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Re: Notice of Exempt Modification – Existing Telecommunications Facility at 154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, CT 06260

Dear Chairman Stein and Members of the Council:

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") intends to modify their existing telecommunications antennas and associated equipment at an existing multicarrier telecommunications tower located at 154 Sayle Avenue in Putnam, CT. AT&T operates under licenses issued by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to provide cellular and PCS mobile telephone service in Windham County, which includes the area to be served by AT&T's proposed installation.

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Long Term Evolution ("LTE") capabilities, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. LTE is a new high-performance air interface for cellular mobile communications. It is designed to increase the capacity and speed of mobile telephone networks.

Please accept this letter as notification to the Council, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to Peter Place, Mayor of Putnam.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the facility. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

# **Existing Facility**

The Putnam facility is located at 154 Sayle Avenue on the west side of Interstate 395. Site coordinates (NAD83) are N41° 55' 46" and W71° 53' 10.6".

The facility is owned by SBA Towers, 5900 Broken Sound Parkway N.W, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Boca Raton, FL, 33487-2797.

The existing facility consists of a 175' monopole tower with an existing chain link fence around the tower compound. AT&T currently operates wireless communications equipment at the facility and has six antennas mounted on the tower at a centerline of 134'.

# Statutory Considerations

The changes to the Putnam tower facility do not constitute a modification as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2) because they will not result in any substantial adverse environmental effect.

- 1. The height of the overall structure will be unaffected.
- 2. The proposed changes will not affect the property boundaries. All new construction will take place inside the existing fenced compound.
- 3. The proposed additions will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
- 4. LTE will utilize additional radio frequencies newly licensed by the FCC for cellular mobile communications. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, New Cingular Wireless respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A Section §16-50j-72(b)(2).

Respectfully yours,

Peter LaMontagne Real Estate Consultant

Enclosures:

Peter Place, Mayor of Putnam



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC

500 Enterprise Drive Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067

Peter LaMontagne

Real Estate Consultant 95 Ryan Drive, Suite #1 Raynham, MA 02767 Phone: (508)341-7854 plamontagne@clinellc.com

October 26, 2012

Peter Place, Mayor Town of Puntam 126 Church Street Putnam, CT 06260

Re: Notice of Exempt Modification - Existing Telecommunications Facility at 154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, CT 06260

Dear Peter Place, Mayor of Putnam

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") intends to add telecommunications antennas and associated equipment at an existing telecommunications tower, owned and operated by SBA Towers, 5900 Broken Sound Parkway N.W, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Boca Raton, FL, 33487-2797.

A Notice of Exempt Modification has been filed with the Connecticut Siting Council as required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("R.C.S.A.") Section 16-50j-73. Please accept this letter as notification to the Town of Putnam under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The attached letter fully sets forth AT&T's proposal. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on the plans for the site or the Siting Council's procedures, please contact Peter LaMontagne, Real Estate Consultant for AT&T, at (508) 341-7854 or Linda Roberts, Executive Director of the Connecticut Siting Council, at (860) 827-2935.


Sincerely,

Peter LaMontagne Real Estate Consultant

Honorable Robert Stein, Chairmen of the Connecticut Siting Council

# CT1110 / Putnam / 154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, CT

Sallard Rd Aerial Location Map



C Squared Systems, LLC 65 Dartmouth Drive, Unit A3 Auburn, NH 03032 (603) 644-2800 support@csquaredsystems.com

# Calculated Radio Frequency Emissions



CT1110

(Putnam)

154 Sayle Avenue, Putnam, CT 06260

October 25, 2012

# Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1
Introduction      FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits	2
FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Ellinis      RF Exposure Prediction Methods	3
D C	•••••
Dormissible Hypositie (MFE)	
Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Fermissione Exposure (La Patterns Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns	
List of Tables	
	3
Table 1: Carrier Information	6
Table 1: Carrier Information	
List of Figures	
Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (M	PE)7



# 1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to investigate compliance with applicable FCC regulations for the proposed modifications to the existing AT&T antenna arrays mounted on the monopole tower located at 154 Sayle Avenue in Putnam, CT. The coordinates of the tower are 41° 55′ 46.00″ N, 71° 53′ 10.70″ W.

AT&T is proposing the following modifications:

1) Install three multi-band (700/850/1900/2100 MHz) antennas (one per sector) for their LTE network.

# 2. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits

In 1985, the FCC established rules to regulate radio frequency (RF) exposure from FCC licensed antenna facilities. In 1996, the FCC updated these rules, which were further amended in August 1997 by OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01. These new rules include Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for transmitters operating between 300 kHz and 100 GHz. The FCC MPE limits are based upon those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., (IEEE) and adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

The FCC general population/uncontrolled limits set the maximum exposure to which most people may be subjected. General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²). The general population exposure limits for the various frequency ranges are defined in the attached "FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)" in Attachment B of this report.

Higher exposure limits are permitted under the occupational/controlled exposure category, but only for persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment and who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure, and they must be able to exercise control over their exposure. General population/uncontrolled limits are five times more stringent than the levels that are acceptable for occupational, or radio frequency trained individuals. Attachment B contains excerpts from OET Bulletin 65 and defines the Maximum Exposure Limit.

Finally, it should be noted that the MPE limits adopted by the FCC for both general population/uncontrolled exposure and for occupational/controlled exposure incorporate a substantial margin of safety and have been established to be well below levels generally accepted as having the potential to cause adverse health effects.



# 3. RF Exposure Prediction Methods

The emission field calculation results displayed in the following figures were generated using the following formula as outlined in FCC bulletin OET 65:

Power Density = 
$$\left(\frac{1.6^2 \times EIRP}{4\pi \times R^2}\right)$$
 x Off Beam Loss

Where:

EIRP = Effective Isotropic Radiated Power

R = Radial Distance = 
$$\sqrt{(H^2 + V^2)}$$

H = Horizontal Distance from antenna in meters

V = Vertical Distance from radiation center of antenna in meters

Ground reflection factor of 1.6

Off Beam Loss is determined by the selected antenna pattern

These calculations assume that the antennas are operating at 100 percent capacity and power, and that all channels are transmitting simultaneously. Obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. The calculations assume even terrain in the area of study and do not take into account actual terrain elevations which could attenuate the signal. As a result, the predicted signal levels reported below are much higher than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.



# 4. Calculation Results

Table 1 below outlines the power density information for the site. Because the proposed AT&T antennas are directional in nature, the majority of the RF power is focused out towards the horizon. As a result, there will be less RF power directed below the antennas relative to the horizon, and consequently lower power density levels around the base of the tower. Please refer to Attachment C for the vertical pattern of the proposed AT&T antennas. The calculated results for AT&T in Table 1 include a nominal 10 dB off-beam pattern loss to account for the lower relative gain below the antennas.

Carrier	Antenna Height (Feet)	Operating Frequency (MHz)	Number of Trans.	ERP Per Transmitter (Watts)	Power Density (mw/cm²)	Limit	%MPE
Cingular UMTS	134	880	1	500	0.0100	0.5867	1.71%
	13:1	880	4	296	0.0237	0.5867	4.04%
Cingular GSM	134	1900	2	427	0.0173	1.0000	1.71%
Cingular GSM	124	2140	3	443.61	0.0311	1.0000	3.11%
MetroPCS	175	1962	11	122	0.0158	1.0000	1.58%
Sprint		858	9	100	0.0128	0.5720	2.24%
Nextel	159	1970	11	246	0.0450	1.0000	4.50%
Verizon PCS	147		9	254	0.0380	0.5793	6.57%
Verizon cellular	147	869	-	586	0.0098	1.0000	0.98%
Verizon AWS	147	2145	11	834	0.0139	0.4653	2.98%
Verizon LTE	147	698	1		0.0137	1.0000	1.14%
VoiceStream	187	1930	4	277	0.0023	0.5867	0.39%
AT&T UMTS	134	880	2	565	-	1.0000	0.35%
AT&T UMTS	134	1900	2	875	0.0035		0.72%
AT&T LTE	134	734	1	1771	0.0035	0.4893	0.72%
AT&T GSM	134	880	1	283	0.0006	0.5867	
AT&T GSM	134	1900	4	525	0.0042	1.0000 Total	0.42% 25.07%

Table 1: Carrier Information 1 2 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The existing CSC filing for Cingular should be removed and replaced with the updated AT&T technologies and values provided in Table 1. The power density information for carriers other than AT&T was taken directly from the CSC database dated 7/26/2012. Please note that %MPE values listed are rounded to two decimal points. The total %MPE listed is a summation of each unrounded contribution. Therefore, summing each rounded value may not reflect the total value listed in the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the case where antenna models are not uniform across all 3 sectors for the same frequency band, the antenna model with the highest gain was used for the calculations to present a worse-case scenario.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Antenna height listed for AT&T is in reference to the FDH Engineering, Inc. Structural Analysis dated October 23, 2012.



### 5. Conclusion

The above analysis verifies that emissions from the existing site will be below the maximum power density levels as outlined by the FCC in the OET Bulletin 65 Ed. 97-01. Even when using conservative methods, the cumulative power density from the proposed transmit antennas at the existing facility is well below the limits for the general public. The highest expected percent of Maximum Permissible Exposure at ground level is 25.07% of the FCC limit.

As noted previously, obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. As a result, the predicted signal levels are more conservative (higher) than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.

### 6. Statement of Certification


I certify to the best of my knowledge that the statements in this report are true and accurate. The calculations follow guidelines set forth in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3, ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1 and FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01.

Daniel L. Goulet

C Squared Systems, LLC

October 25, 2012

Date



# Attachment A: References

OET Bulletin 65 - Edition 97-01 - August 1997 Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology

ANSI C95.1-1982, American National Standard Safety Levels With Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz. IEEE-SA Standards Board

IEEE Std C95.3-1991 (Reaff 1997), IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave. IEEE-SA Standards Board



# Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

# (A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure<sup>4</sup>

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Averaging Time $ E ^2$ , $ H ^2$ or S (minutes)
	614	1.63	(100)*	6
	1842/f	4.89/f	$(900/f^2)*$	6
	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
	=		f/300	6
1500-100,000	-	-	5	6
	Range (MHz) 0.3-3.0 3.0-30 30-300 300-1500	Range (V/m)  0.3-3.0 614  3.0-30 1842/f  30-300 61.4  300-1500 -	Range (MHz)         Strength (E)         Strength (E)           0.3-3.0         614         1.63           3.0-30         1842/f         4.89/f           30-300         61.4         0.163           300-1500         -         -	Range (MHz) (V/m) (A/m) (mW/cm²) (mW/cm²)  0.3-3.0 614 1.63 (100)*  3.0-30 1842/f 4.89/f (900/f²)*  30-300 61.4 0.163 1.0  300-1500 f/300

# (B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure<sup>5</sup>

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Averaging Time $ E ^2$ , $ H ^2$ or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	$(180/f^2)*$	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500	-	_	f/1500	30
1500-100,000	-	· <u>-</u>	1.0	30

f = frequency in MHz \* Plane-wave equivalent power density

Table 2: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

CT1110 6 October 25, 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Occupational/controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure






Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



## Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns

### 700 MHz

Manufacturer: KMW

Model #: AM-X-CD-17-65-00T-RET

Frequency Band: 698-806 MHz


Gain: 14.65 dBd

Vertical Beamwidth: 10°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 66°

Polarization: Dual Slant  $\pm 45^{\circ}$ 

Size L x W x D: 96.0" x 11.8" x 6.0"



### 850 MHz

Manufacturer: Powerwave

Model #: 7770.00

Frequency Band: 824-896 MHz


Gain: 11.5 dBd

Vertical Beamwidth: 15°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 82°

Polarization: Dual Linear ± 45°

Size L x W x D: 55.0" x 11.0" x 5.0"



### 1900 MHz

Manufacturer: Powerwave

Model #: 7770.00

Frequency Band: 1850-1990 MHz


Gain: 13.4 dBd


Vertical Beamwidth: 7°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 86°

Polarization: Dual Linear ± 45°

Size L x W x D: 55.0" x 11.0" x 5.0"





# **GROUNDING NOTES**

- EXISTING FACILITY GROUNDING SYSTEM AND LIGHTINING PROTECTION SYSTEM (AS DESCRED AND INSTALLED) FOR STRICT COMPUNECE WITH HE REC (AS ADDIFIED BY THE AHJ). THE SITE-SPECIFIC (UL. UPI, OR NFPA) LIGHTING PROTECTION CODE, AND GENERAL COMPUNACE WITH TELCOROM, AND ITA GROUNDING STANDAGES, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT ANY VIOLATIONS OR THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND INSPECT ADVERSE FINDINGS TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR RESOLUTION.
- ALL GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS (INCLUDING THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM IEEE TELECOMMUNICATION, RADIO, LIGHTNING PROTECTION, AND AC POWER GES'S) SHALL BE BONDED TOGETHER, AT OR BELOW GRADE, BY TWO OR MORE COPPER BONDING CONDUCTORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- METAL PACEMAY SMALL NOT BE USED AS THE NEC RECUPRED EQUIPMENT GROUND CONDUCTOR. STRANDED COPPER CONDUCTORS WITH ORSEN INSULATION, SIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC, SMALL BE FINANSHED AND INSTALLED WITH THE POWER CIRCUITS TO BTS EQUIPMENT. FALL-OF-POTRIMA RESIGNACE TO EARTH TESTING (PER REE 1100 AND 81) FOR MENY GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND INSTALL SUPPLEMENTAL GROUND ELECTRODES AS REEDED TO ACHIEVE A TEST RESULT OF 5 ONNES OR LESS.
- 5. EACH BIS CABINET FRAME SMAL BE DRECTLY
  CONNECTED TO THE MASTER GROUND DAR WHIT GREEN
  INSULATED SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WRES, 6
  ANG STRANDED COPPER OR LARGER FOR INDOOR BIS 2 ANG
  STRANDED COPPER FOR OUTDOOR BIS.
- EXOTHERMIC WELDS SHALL BE USED FOR ALL GROUNDING CONNECTIONS BELOW GRADE.
- APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E., CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE) SHALL BE USED ON ALL COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- ICE BRIDGE BONDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE EXOTHERMICALLY BONDED OR BOLTED TO THE BRIDGE AND
- ALUMINUM CONDUCTOR OR COPPER CLAD STEEL
  CONDUCTOR SHALL NOT BE USED FOR GROUNDING
- 10. MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND NON-ELECTRICAL METAL BOXES, FRAMES AND SUPPORTS SHALL BE BONDED TO THE GROUND RING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 11. METAL CONDUIT SHALL BE MADE ELECTRICALLY BONDING ACROSS THE DISCONTINUITY WITH 6 AWS COPPER WIRE UL APPROVED GROUNDING TYPE CONDUIT CLAMPS. CONTINUOUS WITH LISTED BONDING FITTINGS OR BY
- 12. ALL NEW STRUCTURES WITH A FOUNDATION AND/OR FOOTING HAWNG 20 FT. OR NORE OF 1/2 IN. OR GREATER ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTINE RENFORCING STEEL MUST HAVE IT BONDED TO THE GROUND RING USING AN EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTION USING \$2 AND SOLUD BARE TINNED COPPER GROUND WIRE, PER NEC 250.50

# GENERAL NOTES

1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY: THE FOLLOWING

SUBCONTRACTOR — NEXLINK
SUBCONTRACTOR — GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION)
OWNER — AT&T MOBILITY

2. PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS, THE BIDDING SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NORTH THE CPLL SIE TO FAMILHARDE WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TO CONSTRUCTION THE WORK CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWNOSS. ANY DISCREPANCY FOUND SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CONTRACTOR.

3. ALL MATERIALS PURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND DRINNAMCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE ROTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL GROBES OF ANY PUBLIC ANTHORITY RECAPOING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK, ALL WORK CARRIED DUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS. AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINNACES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.

DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED SHOW OUTLINE ONLY.

5. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPUNTETUNGES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

6. "Kithiag List" supplied with the Bid Package identifies teats that while be supplied by contractor. Items not included in the Bill. Of Materials and Kithiag Cist Samal Be supplied by the subcontractor.

THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATEGIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.

B. IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWNOS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.

9. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ACTUAL ROUTING OF CONDUIT, POWER AND TI CABLES, GEOUNDING CABLES AS SCHOWN ON THE POWER, GROUNDING AND TELCO PLAN DRAWNIG. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING TRAYS AND/ORS SHALL AND NEW TRAYS AS INCESSEARS, SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL COMPIRAL THE ACTUAL ROUTING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.

10. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS,
PARTHENTS, CURRES, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES, ANY DAWAGED PART
SHALL, BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF

11. Subcontractor shall legally and properly dispose of all scrap matrials such as commal cables and other teals removed from the existing facility. Antennas removed shall be returned to the owner's designated location.

13. ALL CONCRETE REPAIR WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 301. 12. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION.

14. ANY NEW CONCRETE NEEDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE ARE-ENTRANED AND SHALL HAVE 4000 PSI STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS. ALL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 318 CODE REQUIREMENTS.

IS, ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL, WORK SHALL BE DETAILED, FABRICATED AND LERCIFID IN ACCORDANCE MINH ASSC SPECIFICATIONS. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASSIN AS USED SHALL SEE SHALL BE ASSIN AS STEEL EXPOSED PRES SHALL BE ASSEN AS STEEL EXPOSED FRES SHALL BE AND TO INFORM SHALL SERVICHES AND WELFARE SHALL BE THE ADD THE STEEL IS ERECTED USING A COMPATIBLE ZING RICH PAINT.

16. CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH UMTS SPECIFICATIONS AND SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T MOBILITY

17, Subcontractor Shall Verify All Existing Direksions, and Conditions prag to combende any work, all direstons of Existing construction, shown on the drawings must be verified. Subcontractor shall note the contractor of that direction, enter the contractor of the verified proof to ordering water all proof the contraction.

AN APPROPRIATE AFTER MIDNIGHT. NA THE EXISTING CELL SITE IS IN FILL COMMERCIAL OPERATION, ANY PROPRIETABLE OF THE CONSTRUCTION MONK MY MORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE CONDITIONED WITH CONTRACTOR, AUST, MORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE CONDITIONED WITH CONTRACTOR, AUST THE CONDITIONED WITH THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF

DANGEROUS EXPOSURE LEVELS

20. APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES:
SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MATIONAL,
SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAWING
STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE EDITION OF THE ALL ADOPTED
UNRISDICTION (ALL) FOR THE LOCATION. THE EDITION OF THE ALL ADOPTED
SHALL COMERN THE DESIGN.

ELECTRICAL CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS LIGHTENING CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318; BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE;

MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION; AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (ASC)

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND TANDARDS RECARDING MATERIAL METHODS, OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIRELEMTS, THE MOST RESTRICTING REQUIRELEMT SHALL GOVERN, WHERE THERE IS, CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

10. SINCE THE CELL SIZE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MIST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING ARROWS THEN LONG THE SHOULD BE SHUTTOMN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORKING TO MAKEER, PERSONAL REFUSED MAKEER IN CHARLES TO MAKEER IN CHARLES TO MAKEER PERSONAL REFUSED MAKEER MAKEER MAKEER MAKEER TO MAKEER PERSONAL REFUSED TO BE WORN TO ALERT OF ANY DAMACER PROMISE THATES.

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES; REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR SPECIFIC ELECTRICAL STANDARDS. TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-F, STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL

1600 OSGOOD STREET BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 N. ANDOVER, MA 01845 Hudson Design Groupus F 1829 225-222



SITE NUMBER: CT1110 SITE NAME: PUTNAM-SBA

154 SAYLE AVENUE PUTNAM, CT 06260 WINDHAM COUNTY

at&t

500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

1 10/24/12 ISSUED FOR CONSTRU-0 08/20/12 ISSUED FOR REVIEW 10. DATE R CONSTRUCTION

EGR

EQUIPMENT GROUND RING REAL WITTER STATES OF THE COURSE OF

SIB AGL

BASE TRANSCEIVER STATION BARE COPPER WIRE AMERICAN WIRE GAUGE ABOVE GRADE LEVEL

PROPOSED ž MGB

NOT TO SCALE

굮 TBRR TBR 180

TYPICAL TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED

AT&T

G.C.

GENERAL CONTRACTOR MASTER GROUND BUS MUMUM

굒

RADIO FREQUENCY

TO BE DETERMINED
TO BE REMOVED

ABBREVIATIONS

EXISTING

DESIGNED BY: DC

CENS 140.01

GENERAL NOTES (LTE) GN-1

